

# *The Lavrentiev phenomena*

by

Alessandro Ferriero

– CMAP Ecole Polytechnique –

## *Abstract*

- 1. The Lavrentiev phenomenon
- 2. The Manià example
- 3. A class of Lagrangians without Lavrentiev phenomenon
- 4. A multi-dimensional variational problem
- 5. The case of higher-order Lagrangians
- 6. " $+\infty$ -values" phenomenon

## The Lavrentiev phenomenon

Let  $L : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$  be the Lagrangian function associated to an action functional

$$\mathcal{I}(x) = \int_a^b L(t, x(t), x'(t)) dt$$

and consider the following sets of admissible trajectories:

$$\mathbf{AC}_*[a, b] = \{x \in \mathbf{AC}([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^N) : x(a) = A, x(b) = B\},$$

$$\mathbf{Lip}_*[a, b] = \{x \in \mathbf{Lip}([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^N) : x(a) = A, x(b) = B\}.$$

## The Lavrentiev phenomenon

Let  $L : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$  be the Lagrangian function associated to an action functional

$$\mathcal{I}(x) = \int_a^b L(t, x(t), x'(t)) dt$$

and consider the following sets of admissible trajectories:

$$\mathbf{AC}_*[a, b] = \{x \in \mathbf{AC}([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^N) : x(a) = A, x(b) = B\},$$

$$\mathbf{Lip}_*[a, b] = \{x \in \mathbf{Lip}([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^N) : x(a) = A, x(b) = B\}.$$

The action  $\mathcal{I}$  exhibits the Lavrentiev phenomenon (LP) whenever

$$\inf_{\mathbf{AC}_*[a, b]} \mathcal{I} < \inf_{\mathbf{Lip}_*[a, b]} \mathcal{I}.$$

## The Lavrentiev phenomenon

Let  $L : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$  be the Lagrangian function associated to an action functional

$$\mathcal{I}(x) = \int_a^b L(t, x(t), x'(t)) dt$$

and consider the following sets of admissible trajectories:

$$\mathbf{AC}_*[a, b] = \{x \in \mathbf{AC}([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^N) : x(a) = A, x(b) = B\},$$

$$\mathbf{Lip}_*[a, b] = \{x \in \mathbf{Lip}([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^N) : x(a) = A, x(b) = B\}.$$

The action  $\mathcal{I}$  exhibits the Lavrentiev phenomenon (LP) whenever

$$\inf_{\mathbf{AC}_*[a, b]} \mathcal{I} < \inf_{\mathbf{Lip}_*[a, b]} \mathcal{I}.$$

- We cannot calculate a minimizer by using a standard finite-element method.
- The set of trajectories is a fundamental part of the physical model.

## The Manià example

- The action

$$\mathcal{I}(x) = \int_{-1}^1 x'^6(t) [x^3(t) - t]^2 dt,$$

with boundary conditions  $x(-1) = -1$ ,  $x(1) = 1$ , exhibits (LP), i.e.

$$\inf_{\mathbf{AC}_*[0,1]} \mathcal{I} < \inf_{\mathbf{Lip}_*[0,1]} \mathcal{I}.$$

( $\bar{x}(t) = \sqrt[3]{t}$  is a minimizer for  $\mathcal{I}$  in  $\mathbf{AC}_*[-1, 1]$ .)

# The Manià example

- The action

$$\mathcal{I}(x) = \int_{-1}^1 x'^6(t) [x^3(t) - t]^2 dt,$$

with boundary conditions  $x(-1) = -1$ ,  $x(1) = 1$ , exhibits (LP), i.e.

$$\inf_{\mathbf{AC}_*[0,1]} \mathcal{I} < \inf_{\mathbf{Lip}_*[0,1]} \mathcal{I}.$$

( $\bar{x}(t) = \sqrt[3]{t}$  is a minimizer for  $\mathcal{I}$  in  $\mathbf{AC}_*[-1, 1]$ .)

- (LP) persists under perturbations of the Lagrangians:

$$\int_{-1}^1 \{x'^6(t) [x^3(t) - t]^2 + \epsilon |x'(t)|^{5/4}\} dt, \quad x(-1) = -1, x(1) = 1,$$

exhibits (LP) for any "small"  $\epsilon$ .

- (LP) persists under perturbations of the boundary conditions:

$$\int_{-1}^1 x'^6(t) [x^3(t) - t]^2 dt, \quad x(t_{-1}) = x_{-1}, x(t_1) = x_1,$$

where  $(t_{-1}, x_{-1}) \in B((-1, -1), \epsilon)$ ,  $(t_1, x_1) \in B((1, 1), \epsilon)$ , exhibits (LP) for any "small"  $\epsilon$ .

## A class of Lagrangians without (LP)

**Theorem [A. Cellina, A. F., E.M. Marchini].** Let  $x : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  be a trajectory in  $\mathbf{AC}[a, b]$ .

Assume that:

1.  $L_1(x, \xi), \dots, L_m(x, \xi) : \text{Im}[x] \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous and convex in  $\xi$ ;
2.  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m : [a, b] \times \text{Im}[x] \rightarrow [c, +\infty)$  are continuous, with  $c > 0$ ;

3. 
$$\mathcal{I}(x) = \int_a^b \sum_{i=1}^m L_i(x(t), x'(t)) \psi_i(t, x(t)) dt.$$

Then, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a Lipschitzian trajectory  $x_\epsilon$ , a reparameterization of  $x$ , such that  $x(a) = x_\epsilon(a)$ ,  $x(b) = x_\epsilon(b)$  and  $\mathcal{I}(x_\epsilon) \leq \mathcal{I}(x) + \epsilon$ . □



## A class of Lagrangians without (LP)

**Theorem [A. Cellina, A. F., E.M. Marchini].** Let  $x : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  be a trajectory in  $\mathbf{AC}[a, b]$ . Assume that:

1.  $L_1(x, \xi), \dots, L_m(x, \xi) : \text{Im}[x] \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous and convex in  $\xi$ ;
2.  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m : [a, b] \times \text{Im}[x] \rightarrow [c, +\infty)$  are continuous, with  $c > 0$ ;
3. 
$$\mathcal{I}(x) = \int_a^b \sum_{i=1}^m L_i(x(t), x'(t)) \psi_i(t, x(t)) dt.$$

Then, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a Lipschitzian trajectory  $x_\epsilon$ , a reparameterization of  $x$ , such that  $x(a) = x_\epsilon(a)$ ,  $x(b) = x_\epsilon(b)$  and  $\mathcal{I}(x_\epsilon) \leq \mathcal{I}(x) + \epsilon$ . □

- The class of Lagrangians  $L(t, x, x') = \sum_{i=1}^m L_i(x, x') \psi_i(t, x)$  does not exhibit (LP) for any boundary conditions; it includes the autonomous Lagrangians.
- Condition 2. is used only to prove that  $\int_a^b L_i(x(t), x'(t)) dt$  are finite. The Theorem can be proved under the more general condition:

$$2'. \quad \psi_i(t, x) \geq 0 \text{ and } \int_a^b L_i(x(t), x'(t)) dt < +\infty, \text{ for any } i.$$

- We cannot drop condition 2'.: setting  $m = 1$ ,  $\psi_1(t, x) = [x^3 - t]^2$  and  $L_1(x, x') = x'^6$ , we obtain the Lagrangian of Manià,  $\psi_1 \geq 0$  and  $\int_0^1 L_1(\bar{x}(t), \bar{x}'(t)) dt = \int_0^1 1/(3^6 t^4) dt = +\infty$ .

## A multi-dimensional variational problem without (LP)

Let  $L : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a radial Lagrangian with respect to the gradient, i.e. there exists a function  $h : \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $L(u, \xi) = h(u, |\xi|)$ .

Consider the action

$$\mathcal{I}(u) = \int_{S[a,b]} L(u(x), \nabla u(x)) dx$$

where  $S[a, b] = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : 0 < a \leq |x| \leq b\}$ .

We denote with  $\mathbf{Lip}_r(S[a, b])$  and  $\mathbf{W}_r^{1,1}(S[a, b])$  respectively the sets

$$\begin{aligned} & \{u \in \mathbf{Lip}(S[a, b]) : u \text{ radial}, u|_{\partial B(0,a)} = A, u|_{\partial B(0,b)} = B\}, \\ & \{u \in \mathbf{W}^{1,1}(S[a, b]) : u \text{ radial}, u|_{\partial B(0,a)} = A, u|_{\partial B(0,b)} = B\}. \end{aligned}$$

## A multi-dimensional variational problem without (LP)

Let  $L : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a radial Lagrangian with respect to the gradient, i.e. there exists a function  $h : \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $L(u, \xi) = h(u, |\xi|)$ .

Consider the action

$$\mathcal{I}(u) = \int_{S[a,b]} L(u(x), \nabla u(x)) dx$$

where  $S[a, b] = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : 0 < a \leq |x| \leq b\}$ .

We denote with  $\mathbf{Lip}_r(S[a, b])$  and  $\mathbf{W}_r^{1,1}(S[a, b])$  respectively the sets

$$\begin{aligned} & \{u \in \mathbf{Lip}(S[a, b]) : u \text{ radial}, u|_{\partial B(0,a)} = A, u|_{\partial B(0,b)} = B\}, \\ & \{u \in \mathbf{W}^{1,1}(S[a, b]) : u \text{ radial}, u|_{\partial B(0,a)} = A, u|_{\partial B(0,b)} = B\}. \end{aligned}$$

- Let  $L$  be continuous and convex with respect to the gradient.

Then,

$$\inf_{\mathbf{W}_r^{1,1}(S[a,b])} \mathcal{I} = \inf_{\mathbf{Lip}_r(S[a,b])} \mathcal{I}.$$

## (LP) for higher-order Lagrangians

The Lavrentiev phenomenon occurs as well for problems of the Calculus of Variations of order  $\nu + 1$ , with  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ :

- minimize 
$$\mathcal{I}(x) = \int_a^b L(t, x(t), \dots, x^{(\nu+1)}(t)) dt$$

on a set  $\mathbf{X}$  of admissible trajectories  $x : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  satisfying the boundary conditions  $x(a) = A, x(b) = B, \dots, x^{(\nu)}(a) = A^{(\nu)}, x^{(\nu)}(b) = B^{(\nu)}$ .

## (LP) for higher-order Lagrangians

The Lavrentiev phenomenon occurs as well for problems of the Calculus of Variations of order  $\nu + 1$ , with  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ :

- minimize 
$$\mathcal{I}(x) = \int_a^b L(t, x(t), \dots, x^{(\nu+1)}(t)) dt$$

on a set  $\mathbf{X}$  of admissible trajectories  $x : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  satisfying the boundary conditions  $x(a) = A, x(b) = B, \dots, x^{(\nu)}(a) = A^{(\nu)}, x^{(\nu)}(b) = B^{(\nu)}$ .

$\mathcal{I}$  exhibits the Lavrentiev phenomenon (LP) whenever

$$\inf_{\mathbf{W}_*^{\nu+1,1}(a,b)} \mathcal{I} < \inf_{\mathbf{W}_*^{\nu+1,\infty}(a,b)} \mathcal{I}.$$

## (LP) for higher-order Lagrangians

The Lavrentiev phenomenon occurs as well for problems of the Calculus of Variations of order  $\nu + 1$ , with  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ :

- minimize 
$$\mathcal{I}(x) = \int_a^b L(t, x(t), \dots, x^{(\nu+1)}(t)) dt$$

on a set  $\mathbf{X}$  of admissible trajectories  $x : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  satisfying the boundary conditions  $x(a) = A, x(b) = B, \dots, x^{(\nu)}(a) = A^{(\nu)}, x^{(\nu)}(b) = B^{(\nu)}$ .

$\mathcal{I}$  exhibits the Lavrentiev phenomenon (LP) whenever

$$\inf_{\mathbf{W}_*^{\nu+1,1}(a,b)} \mathcal{I} < \inf_{\mathbf{W}_*^{\nu+1,\infty}(a,b)} \mathcal{I}.$$

- Autonomous higher-order Lagrangians can present (LP):

$$\mathcal{I}(x) = \int_0^1 |x''(t)|^7 [3x(t) - 3|x'(t) - 1|^2 - 2|x'(t) - 1|^3]^2 dt,$$

with boundary conditions  $x(0) = 0, x(1) = 5/3, x'(0) = 1, x'(1) = 2$  [A.V. Sarychev, 1997].

## A class of higher-order Lagrangians without (LP)

**Theorem [A. F.]** Let  $x : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  be a trajectory in  $\mathbf{W}^{\nu+1,1}[a, b]$ . Assume that:

1.  $L_1(w, \xi), \dots, L_m(w, \xi) : \text{Im}_\delta[x^{(\nu)}] \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous and convex in  $\xi$ ;
2.  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m : [a, b] \times \mathbb{T}'_\delta[x] \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  are continuous and  $\psi_i(t, x(t), x'(t), \dots, x^{(\nu)}(t)) \neq 0$ , for any  $t$  in  $[a, b]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ;
3. 
$$\mathcal{I}(x) = \int_a^b \sum_{i=1}^m L_i(x^{(\nu)}(t), x^{(\nu+1)}(t)) \psi_i(t, x(t), x'(t), \dots, x^{(\nu)}(t)) dt.$$

Then, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist a trajectory  $x_\epsilon$  in  $\mathbf{W}^{\nu+1,\infty}(a, b)$  such that  $x_\epsilon(a) = x(a)$ ,  $x_\epsilon(b) = x(b)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $x_\epsilon^{(\nu)}(a) = x^{(\nu)}(a)$ ,  $x_\epsilon^{(\nu)}(b) = x^{(\nu)}(b)$  and  $\mathcal{I}(x_\epsilon) \leq \mathcal{I}(x) + \epsilon$ .  $\square$

## A class of higher-order Lagrangians without (LP)

**Theorem [A. F.].** Let  $x : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  be a trajectory in  $\mathbf{W}^{\nu+1,1}[a, b]$ . Assume that:

1.  $L_1(w, \xi), \dots, L_m(w, \xi) : \text{Im}_\delta[x^{(\nu)}] \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous and convex in  $\xi$ ;
2.  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m : [a, b] \times \mathbb{T}'_\delta[x] \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  are continuous and  $\psi_i(t, x(t), x'(t), \dots, x^{(\nu)}(t)) \neq 0$ , for any  $t$  in  $[a, b]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ;
3. 
$$\mathcal{I}(x) = \int_a^b \sum_{i=1}^m L_i(x^{(\nu)}(t), x^{(\nu+1)}(t)) \psi_i(t, x(t), x'(t), \dots, x^{(\nu)}(t)) dt.$$

Then, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist a trajectory  $x_\epsilon$  in  $\mathbf{W}^{\nu+1,\infty}(a, b)$  such that  $x_\epsilon(a) = x(a)$ ,  $x_\epsilon(b) = x(b)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $x_\epsilon^{(\nu)}(a) = x^{(\nu)}(a)$ ,  $x_\epsilon^{(\nu)}(b) = x^{(\nu)}(b)$  and  $\mathcal{I}(x_\epsilon) \leq \mathcal{I}(x) + \epsilon$ . □

- For strictly positive  $\psi_i$ , the class of Lagrangians  $L(t, x, \dots, x^{\nu+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^m L_i(x^{(\nu)}, x^{(\nu+1)}) \psi_i(t, x, \dots, x^{(\nu)})$ , does not exhibit (LP) for any boundary conditions.
- Condition 2. is used only to prove that  $\int_a^b L_i(x^{(\nu)}(t), x^{(\nu+1)}(t)) dt$  are finite. The Theorem can be proved under the more general condition:
  - 2'.  $\psi_i \geq 0$  and  $\int_a^b |L_i(x^{(\nu)}(t), x^{(\nu+1)}(t))| dt < +\infty$ , for any  $i$ .
- We cannot drop condition 2'.: setting  $m = 1$ ,  $\psi_1(t, x, x') = [3x - 3|x' - 1|^2 - 2|x' - 1|^3]^2$  and  $L_1(x', x'') = |x''|^7$ , we obtain the Lagrangian of Sarychev,  $\psi_1 \geq 0$  and  $\int_0^1 L_1(\bar{x}'(t), \bar{x}''(t)) dt = \int_0^1 1/(2\sqrt{t})^7 dt = +\infty$ .



## " $+\infty$ -values" phenomenon

**Theorem [A. F.].** Let  $\nu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Assume that:

1.  $L_1(w, \xi), \dots, L_m(w, \xi) : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous and convex in  $\xi$ ;
2.  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^{N(\nu+1)} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  are continuous and  $\psi_i$  may vanish only on the graph of  $(\bar{x}, \dots, \bar{x}^{(\nu)})$ , for any  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , where  $\bar{x}$  is a minimizer for  $\mathcal{I}$  in  $\mathbf{W}_*^{\nu+1,1}[a, b]$ ;
3. 
$$\mathcal{I}(x) = \int_a^b \sum_{i=1}^m L_i(x^{(\nu)}(t), x^{(\nu+1)}(t)) \psi_i(t, x(t), \dots, x^{(\nu)}(t)) dt.$$

If  $\mathcal{I}$  exhibits the Lavrentiev phenomenon, then  $\mathcal{I}$  takes the value  $+\infty$  in any neighbourhood in  $\mathbf{W}_*^{\nu+1,1}[a, b]$  of a minimizer. □

## " $+\infty$ -values" phenomenon

**Theorem [A. F.].** Let  $\nu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Assume that:

1.  $L_1(w, \xi), \dots, L_m(w, \xi) : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous and convex in  $\xi$ ;
2.  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^{N(\nu+1)} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  are continuous and  $\psi_i$  may vanish only on the graph of  $(\bar{x}, \dots, \bar{x}^{(\nu)})$ , for any  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , where  $\bar{x}$  is a minimizer for  $\mathcal{I}$  in  $\mathbf{W}_*^{\nu+1,1}[a, b]$ ;
3. 
$$\mathcal{I}(x) = \int_a^b \sum_{i=1}^m L_i(x^{(\nu)}(t), x^{(\nu+1)}(t)) \psi_i(t, x(t), \dots, x^{(\nu)}(t)) dt.$$

If  $\mathcal{I}$  exhibits the Lavrentiev phenomenon, then  $\mathcal{I}$  takes the value  $+\infty$  in any neighbourhood in  $\mathbf{W}_*^{\nu+1,1}[a, b]$  of a minimizer. □

- The Theorem applies to the actions of Manià and Sarychev, for instance.
- In case we know a priori that the action does not assume the values  $+\infty$  on the admissible trajectories, (LP) does not occur.

## References

- A. Cellina, A. F., E.M. Marchini  
*Reparameterizations and approximate values of integrals of the Calculus of Variations*  
JOURNAL OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS **193** (2003), 2, 374–384;
- A. F.  
*The approximation of higher–order integrals of the Calculus of Variations and the Lavrentiev Phenomenon*  
to appear in SIAM JOURNAL ON CONTROL AND OPTIMIZATION (2004);
- A. F.  
*The Lavrentiev phenomenon in the Calculus of Variations*  
PhD thesis (2004).