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## Motivation

* Interacting Populations systems: models for ecology, epidemiology and molecular reaction networks
* Dynamics leading to on/off or all/nothing values: switching between them - (quasi)bistability
* In gene expression (cellular differentiation, development) and cell signaling (enzyme kinetics, metabolic pathways): modeled deterministically using positive feedback switches
* Stochastic models for reaction systems in biology:
- needed due to visibly noisy outcomes

Time-scales for switching vary over:
$\star 1$ per $10^{8}$ generations (lysogenic state of $E /$ coli)

* 1 per 8.33 generations (epigenetic states of S.cerevisiae)

Memory of previous state vanishes within:

* single generation; to
* thousands of generations

Relevant questions:

* How long will a cell stay in the same state before spontaneously switching to an alternative one?
* What features of the underlying interaction network determine this stability?
* Is stability independent of specific details of interaction network?


Yeast cells switch between expressing and nonexpressing states 'Heritable Stochastic Switching Revealed by Single Cell Genealogy', Kaufmann, B.B., Yang, Q., Mettetal, J.T., van Oudenaarden, A., PLOS Biology, 5(9), 2007




Additional noise in gene expression from partitioning of the cells -'Non-genetic heterogeneity from stochastic partitioning at cell division', Huh, D., Paulsson, J., Nature Genetics, 43(2), 2011

## Reaction Networks

- Simple interaction system:

$$
\left\{a_{i} A+b_{i} B \xrightarrow{\stackrel{\kappa_{\zeta}^{a b}}{\longrightarrow}}\left(a_{i}+\zeta_{i}\right) A+\left(b_{i}-\zeta_{i}\right) B\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, \mathcal{I}}
$$

1-dim system in terms of the population size of species $A$

- $\{1, \ldots, \mathcal{I}\}=$ set of different interactions
- conservation law between $A$ and $B$ - environment or space limitations; or species can only one of two forms
- Density dependent Markov chain model:

$$
X(t)=X(0)+\sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}} \zeta_{i} Y_{i}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \lambda_{i}(X(s), N-X(s)) d s\right)
$$

- $\left\{Y_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, \mathcal{I}}$ independent Poisson processes
- $\lambda_{i}(\cdot, \cdot)$ interaction rate - depends on the population size of $A$
- Additional noisy mechanism:

$$
X(t)=X(0)+\int_{0}^{t}(Z(X(s-), s)-X(s-)) d Y_{\gamma}(s)
$$

error due to resampling/splitting

- $Y_{\gamma}$ a counting process with state-dependent rate $\gamma(x, N)$
- $\{Z(x, s)\}_{0 \leq x \leq N, s \geq 0}$ independent with distribution $p_{x}$.
- Effects of additional noisy mechanism examined based on:
- different orders of magnitude of rate $\gamma(x, N)$
- different variance of 'resampling' distributions $p_{x}$


## Assumptions on interaction dynamics:

1. the amount of species $X(t) \in\{0, \ldots, N\}$ has finite capacity;
2. the drift at the boundaries 0 and $N$ is reflecting

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{E}\left[X_{A}(t) \mid X_{A}(t)=0\right]>0, \quad \frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{E}\left[X_{A}(t) \mid X_{A}(t)=N\right]<0
$$

3. the form of rate for $a_{i} A+b_{i} B \xrightarrow{\kappa_{i}}\left(a_{i}+\zeta_{i}\right) A+\left(b_{i}-\zeta_{i}\right) B$ is

$$
\lambda_{i}(X(t))=\kappa_{\zeta}^{a b}\left(X_{A}(t)\right)_{a_{i}}\left(X_{B}(t)\right)_{b_{i}}
$$

where $(x)_{a}=x(x-1) \cdots(x-a+1)$ is the falling factorial
4. the effect of any other species on an interaction is reflected only in the value of its interaction rate $\kappa_{\zeta}^{a b}$;

## Assumptions on splitting/resampling mechanism:

5. overall rate is $\gamma(x, N)$, which depends both on current state $x$ and on the scaling parameter $N$
6. the distribution $p_{x}$ has absorbing boundaries

$$
p_{0}(0)=1, p_{N}(N)=1 \text { and is unbiased } \sum_{y} y p_{x}(y)=x
$$

For some results we will also assume:
7* the jump sizes are asymptotically uniformly bounded

$$
\Delta>0, \sup _{x} \gamma(x, N) \sum_{y: N^{-1}|y-x| \geq \Delta} p_{x}(y) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } N \rightarrow \infty
$$

the variance is asymptotically given by

$$
\sup _{x}\left|\gamma(x, N) N^{-2} \sum_{y}(y-x)^{2} p_{x}(y)-\tilde{\gamma}^{2} \tilde{\sigma}^{2}\left(N^{-1} x\right)\right| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } N \rightarrow \infty,
$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}^{2}$ is continuous $\tilde{\sigma}^{2}(x) \geq 0$, and $\tilde{\sigma}^{2}(0)=\tilde{\sigma}^{2}(1)=0$.

## Conventional Scaling

- Rescaled species amounts: $X_{N}(t)=N^{-1} X(t)$
- Balanced interactions: $\mathcal{I}^{\text {bal }} \subset \mathcal{I}$

$$
\sum_{(a, b, \zeta) \in \mathcal{I}^{\text {bal }}} \zeta \lambda_{\zeta}^{a, b}(x)=0 \forall x \Leftrightarrow \exists(a, b) \in \mathcal{I}^{\text {bal }}: \sum_{(a, b, \zeta) \in \mathcal{I}^{\text {bal }}} \zeta \kappa_{\zeta}^{a b}=0
$$

- Biased interactions: $\mathcal{I}^{\text {bia }} \subset \mathcal{I}=\mathcal{I}-\mathcal{I}^{\text {bal }}$
- Relationship between mean and variance:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{E}\left[X_{N}(t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]=\sum_{(\zeta, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}) \in \mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{bia}}} N^{a+b-1} \zeta \kappa_{\zeta}^{a b} X_{N}(t)_{a, N}\left(1-X_{N}(t)\right)_{b, N} \\
\frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{E}\left[\left(X_{N}(t)-\mathbf{E}\left[X_{N}(t)\right]\right)^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]=\sum_{(\zeta, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}) \in \mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{bal}} \cup \mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{bia}}} N^{a+b-2} \zeta \kappa_{\zeta}^{a b} X_{N}(t)_{a, N}\left(1-X_{N}(t)\right)_{b, N} \\
\\
\quad+\int_{0}^{t} N^{-2}\left(Z\left(N X_{N}(s-), s\right)-N X_{N}(s-)\right)^{2} d Y_{\gamma}(s)
\end{gathered}
$$

constrains the possibilities for limitting behavior

LLN:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{\kappa}_{\zeta}^{a b}:=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{a+b-1} \kappa_{\zeta}^{a b}(N) \quad \text { ('mass-action' scaling) } \\
\forall T>0, \forall \epsilon>0 \quad \mathbf{P}\left[\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left|X_{N}(t)-X(t)\right| \geq \epsilon\right] \rightarrow 0 \\
d X(t)=\tilde{\phi}(X(t)) d t, \quad \tilde{\phi}(x)=\sum_{(\zeta, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}) \in \mathcal{I}^{\text {bia }}} \zeta \tilde{\kappa}_{\zeta}^{a b} x^{a}(1-x)^{b}
\end{gathered}
$$

FCLT:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{\gamma}^{2} \tilde{\sigma}^{2}(x):=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(N x, N) \sum_{y}(y-x)^{2} p_{N x}(N y) \quad(7 * \text { holds }) \\
\left(X_{N}(t)\right)_{0 \leq t \leq T} \Rightarrow(\widetilde{X}(t))_{0 \leq t \leq T} \\
d \widetilde{X}(t)=\tilde{\phi}(\widetilde{X}(t)) d t+\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\sigma}(\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}(\mathrm{t})) d \widetilde{W}(t)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Effects of additional mechanism

- nonlinear dynamics needs to have 2 stable equilibria:

$$
0<x_{1}<x_{2}<x_{3}<1: \tilde{\phi}\left(x_{i}\right)=0, \tilde{\phi}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)<0, \tilde{\phi}^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right)>0, \tilde{\phi}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right)<0
$$

moving between enabled by noise of additional mechanism

- if $\tilde{\gamma}:=\varepsilon$ is small (perturbation) then LD for diffusions apply:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{x_{i}, x_{2}}(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\sigma})=\inf _{s>0} \inf _{\varphi}\left\{\int_{0}^{s} L\left(\varphi(u), \varphi^{\prime}(u)\right) d u \mid \varphi \in C^{1}([0, s])\right. & , \\
& \left.\varphi(0)=x_{i}, \varphi(s)=x_{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is the 'quasipotential' with the action functional

$$
L\left(\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}\right)=\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}-\tilde{\phi}(\varphi)}{\tilde{\sigma}(\varphi)}\right)^{2}
$$

- have explicit form:

$$
I_{x_{i}, x_{2}}(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\sigma})=-\int_{x_{i}}^{x_{2}} \frac{\tilde{\phi}(x)}{\tilde{\sigma}^{2}(x)} d x, i=1,3
$$

## LD for diffusion

- transitions $\widetilde{T}_{0}=\inf \left\{t \geq 0: X_{N}(t)=B_{c}\left(x_{3}\right)\right\}$, for $i \geq 1$ :

$$
T_{i}=\inf \left\{t>\widetilde{T}_{i-1}: \widetilde{X}(t) \in B_{c}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\}, \widetilde{T}_{i}=\inf \left\{t>T_{i}: \widetilde{X}(t) \in B_{c}\left(x_{3}\right)\right\}
$$

- time scales $\beta_{\tilde{\gamma}}$, and $\tilde{\beta}_{\tilde{\gamma}}$ :

$$
\mathbf{P}\left[T_{1}>\beta_{\tilde{\gamma}} \mid \widetilde{X}(0)=x_{3}\right]=e^{-1}, \mathbf{P}\left[\widetilde{T}>\tilde{\beta}_{\tilde{\gamma}} \mid \widetilde{X}(0)=x_{1}\right]=e^{-1}
$$

Theorem (Wentzell '78 + Galves-Olivieri-Vares '87)
If $\tilde{\phi}$ has two stable equilibria $x_{1}<x_{3}$ and one unstable equilibrium $x_{2}$, then transitions of $\widetilde{X}$ from $D_{3}=\left(x_{2}, 1\right]$ and $D_{1}=\left[0, x_{2}\right)$ satisfy:
(i) $\lim _{\tilde{\gamma} \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{P}\left[T>t \beta_{\tilde{\gamma}} \mid \widetilde{X}(0) \in D_{3}\right]=e^{-t}, \lim _{\tilde{\gamma} \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{P}\left[\widetilde{T}>t \tilde{\beta}_{\tilde{\gamma}} \mid \widetilde{X}(0) \in D_{1}\right]=e^{-t}$
(ii) $\lim _{\tilde{\gamma} \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\gamma}^{2} \ln \beta_{\tilde{\gamma}}=I_{x_{3}, x_{2}}(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\sigma}), \lim _{\tilde{\gamma} \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\gamma}^{2} \ln \tilde{\beta}_{\tilde{\gamma}}=I_{x_{1}, x_{2}}(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\sigma})$.

- occupation measure: bdd conts $f, R_{\tilde{\gamma}} \rightarrow \infty$ s.t. $\frac{R_{\tilde{\gamma}}}{\beta_{\tilde{\gamma}}} \rightarrow 0$

$$
\nu_{t}^{\tilde{\gamma}}(f)=\frac{1}{R_{\tilde{\gamma}}} \int_{\beta_{\tilde{\gamma}} t}^{\beta_{\tilde{\gamma}} t+R_{\tilde{\gamma}}} f\left(\widetilde{X}_{\tilde{\gamma}}(s)\right) d s
$$

Theorem (Wentzell '78 + Galves-Olivieri-Vares '87)
(i) (Metastability) If $-\int^{x_{1}} \frac{\tilde{\phi}(x)}{\tilde{\sigma}^{2}(x)} d x<-\int^{x_{3}} \frac{\tilde{\phi}(x)}{\tilde{\sigma}^{2}(x)} d x$, then $\left(\nu_{t}^{\tilde{\gamma}}\right)_{t \geq 0} \Rightarrow\left(\nu_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ where, with $T$ an exponential mean 1 r.v.

$$
\nu_{t}= \begin{cases}\delta_{x_{3}}, & t<T \\ \delta_{x_{1}}, & t \geq T\end{cases}
$$

(ii) (Bistability) If $-\int^{x_{1}} \frac{\tilde{\phi}(x)}{\tilde{\sigma}^{2}(x)} d x=-\int^{x_{3}} \frac{\tilde{\phi}(x)}{\tilde{\sigma}^{2}(x)} d x$, then $\left(\nu_{t}^{\tilde{\gamma}}\right)_{t \geq 0} \Rightarrow\left(\nu_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ where, with $T_{i}, i \geq 0$ Poisson rate 1 times

$$
\nu_{t}=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\delta_{x_{3}}, & T_{2 i} \leq t<T_{2 i+1} \\
\delta_{x_{1}}, & T_{2 i+1} \leq t<T_{2 i+2}
\end{array} \quad i=0,1,2, \ldots\right.
$$

## Effects of finite system size

- transitions between equilibria can be due to finite system size assume $X_{N}(t)$ is birth-death chain with rates $N \tilde{r}_{+}\left(\frac{x}{N}\right), N \tilde{r}_{-}\left(\frac{x}{N}\right)$
- if $N$ is large then LD for Markov jump processes apply:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
x_{x_{i}, x_{2}}\left(\tilde{r}_{+}, \tilde{r}_{-}\right)=\inf _{T>0} \inf _{\varphi}\left\{\int_{0}^{T} \ell\left(\varphi(u), \varphi^{\prime}(u)\right) d u \mid \varphi \in C^{1}([0, T]),\right. \\
\left.\varphi(0)=x_{i}, \varphi(T)=x_{2}\right\}
\end{array}
$$

is 'quasipotential' with action functional in variational form

$$
\ell(x, y)=\sup _{\theta}\left\{\theta y-\left(\tilde{r}_{+}(x)\left(e^{\theta}-1\right)+\tilde{r}_{-}(x)\left(e^{-\theta}-1\right)\right)\right\}
$$

- for birth-death process have explicit form:

$$
l_{x_{i}, x_{2}}\left(\tilde{r}_{+}, \tilde{r}_{-}\right)=\int_{x_{i}}^{x_{2}} \log \left(\frac{\tilde{r}_{-}(x)}{\tilde{r}_{+}(x)}\right) d x, x=1,3
$$

## LD for jump Markov process

## Theorem (Wentzell '78 + uniform conv rates)

If the transition rates of birth-death process $X_{N}$ satisfy

$$
r_{+}(N x) / N \rightarrow \tilde{r}_{+}(x), r_{-}(N x) / N \rightarrow \tilde{r}_{-}(x) \text { uniformly in }[0,1]
$$

and $\tilde{\phi}=\tilde{r}_{+}-\tilde{r}_{-}$has two stable equilibria $x_{1}<x_{3}$ and one unstable $x_{2}$, then transitions of $X_{N}$ from $D_{3}=\left(x_{2}, 1\right]$ and $D_{1}=\left[0, x_{2}\right)$ have mean times $\beta_{N}$ and $\tilde{\beta}_{N}$, respectively, which satisfy:
(i) $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\left[T>t \beta_{N} \mid X_{N}(0) \in D_{3}\right]=e^{-t}, \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\left[\tilde{T}>t \tilde{\beta}_{N} \mid X_{N}(0) \in D_{1}\right]=e^{-t}$
(ii) $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln \beta_{N}=i_{x_{3}, x_{2}}\left(\tilde{r}_{+}, \tilde{r}_{-}\right), \quad \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln \tilde{\beta}_{N}=i_{x_{1}, x_{2}}\left(\tilde{r}_{+}, \tilde{r}_{-}\right)$.

## Comparison of effects

* are the transitions more often due to additional (small noise) mechanism or due to finite system size effects?


## Theorem

If the rates of interactions scale as 'mass-action' and have limiting drift $\tilde{\phi}$ with two stable and one unstable equilibria, and if additional resampling/splitting mechanism has rate $\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} \gamma(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{N})$ where $\gamma(x, N)$ satisfies Assumption 7* then, transitions between stable equilibria are more often due to finite system size effects than due to the effects of additional mechanism, that is,

$$
\imath_{x_{i}, x_{2}}\left(\tilde{r}_{+}, \tilde{r}_{-}\right) \leq I_{x_{i}, x_{2}}(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\sigma})
$$

* threshold is when perturbation parameter $\tilde{\gamma}^{2}=\frac{1}{N}$ when parameter $\tilde{\gamma}^{2} \ll \frac{1}{N}$ - interaction noise wins when parameter $\tilde{\gamma}^{2} \gg \frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}}$ - noise of additional mechanism wins


## Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Let } r_{+}^{N}(x)=r_{+}(N x) / N, \text { and } r_{-}^{N}(x)=r_{-}(N x) / N \text { then } \\
& r_{+}^{N}(x)=\sum_{(a, b, 1) \in \mathcal{I}} N^{a+b-1} \kappa_{1}^{a b}(N) x_{a, N}(1-x)_{b, N}+\frac{1}{2} N^{-2} \gamma(N x, N), \\
& r_{-}^{N}(x)=\sum_{(a, b,-1) \in \mathcal{I}} N^{a+b-1} \kappa_{-1}^{a b}(N) x_{a, N}(1-x)_{b, N}+\frac{1}{2} N^{-2} \gamma(N x, N) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since by Assumption 7 ., $\left|N^{-2} \gamma(N x, N)-\tilde{\sigma}^{2}(x)\right| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly, the birth-death rates converge uniformly to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{r}_{+}(x)=\sum_{(a, b, 1) \in \mathcal{I}} \tilde{\kappa}_{1}^{a b} x^{a}(1-x)^{b}+\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\sigma}^{2}(x) \\
& \tilde{r}_{-}(x)=\sum_{(a, b,-1) \in \mathcal{I}} \tilde{\kappa}_{-1}^{a b} x^{a}(1-x)^{b}+\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\sigma}^{2}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\omega(x)=1-\frac{\tilde{r}_{-}(x)}{\tilde{r}_{+}(x)}$, since $l_{x_{i}, x_{2}}\left(\tilde{r}_{+}, \tilde{r}_{-}\right)=\int_{x_{i}}^{x_{2}} \log (1-\omega(x)) d x$

$$
-\int_{x_{i}}^{x_{2}} \frac{\tilde{\phi}(x) d x}{\sum_{(a, b,-1) \in \mathcal{I}} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{-1}^{a b} x^{a}(1-x)^{b}+\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\gamma}^{2} \tilde{\sigma}^{2}(x)}
$$

$$
\leq \imath_{x_{i}, x_{2}}\left(\tilde{r}_{+}, \tilde{r}_{-}\right) \leq-\int_{x_{i}}^{x_{2}} \frac{\tilde{\phi}(x) d x}{\sum_{(a, b, 1) \in \mathcal{I}} \tilde{\kappa}_{1}^{a b} x^{a}(1-x)^{b}+\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\gamma}^{2} \tilde{\sigma}^{2}(x)}
$$

hence

$$
I_{x_{i}, x_{2}}(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\sigma})=-\int_{x_{i}}^{x_{2}} \frac{\tilde{\phi}(x) d x}{\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\gamma}^{2} \tilde{\sigma}^{2}(x)} \geq l_{x_{i}, x_{2}}\left(\tilde{r}_{+}, \tilde{r}_{-}\right)
$$

and the expected values of the time scales satisfy

$$
\ln \beta_{\tilde{\gamma}} \approx \frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}^{2}} l_{x_{i}, x_{2}}(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\sigma}) \gtrsim N i_{x_{i}, x_{2}}\left(\tilde{r}_{+}, \tilde{r}_{-}\right) \approx \ln \beta_{\varepsilon_{N}}
$$



Figure: Sample path of $X_{N}$ for a system of interactions with double-well potential drift and small perturbative resampling/splitting mechanism. parameters: $N=500, \tilde{\gamma}^{2}=2 \cdot 10^{-4}, \gamma(x, N)=\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\gamma}^{2} N^{2}=25: \quad \tilde{\gamma}^{2} \ll \frac{1}{N}$


Figure: Sample path of $X_{N}$ for a system of interactions with double-well potential drift and small perturbative resampling/splitting mechanism. parameters: $N=1500, \tilde{\gamma}^{2}=2 \cdot 10^{-2}, \gamma(x, N)=22.5 \cdot 10^{3}: \quad \tilde{\gamma}^{2} \gg \frac{1}{N}$

## Features of Bistability

Bistability due to small additional noise or finite size effects:

* occupation time has 'smooth' bimodal distribution
* exponentially long time scale of transitions (in $N$ or $1 / \tilde{\gamma}^{2}$ )
$\star$ almost no correlation between the transition times in parent/daughter cells

Can bistability be stochastically induced in other ways?

* the interaction drift may not be a double-well potential
* the occupation time may have sharp two state distribution
* the parent/daughter cells may have transition times that are highly correlated


## Unconventional Scaling

- Rates of (unscaled) Markov jump process $X$ :

$$
r_{i, j}=\sum_{(a, b, j-i) \in \mathcal{I}} \kappa_{j-i}^{a b}(N) i_{a}(N-i)_{b}+\gamma(i, N) p_{i, j}
$$

- By Assumption 2. the boundary is not absorbing, $\exists j, j^{\prime}$ s.t.:

$$
r_{0 j}=\sum_{(0, b, j) \in \mathcal{I}} \kappa_{j}^{0 j}(N) N_{b} \neq 0, \quad r_{N j^{\prime}}=\sum_{\left(a, 0, N-j^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{I}} \kappa_{N-j^{\prime}}^{a 0}(N) N_{a} \neq 0
$$

- Excursions between boundaries, $\tau_{0, N}=\inf \left\{t \geq 0: X_{A}(t) \in\{0, N\}\right\}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
e_{j 0}:=\mathbf{E}\left[\tau_{0, N} \mid X_{A}(0)=j, X_{A}\left(\tau_{0, N}\right)=0\right] \\
e_{j N}:=\mathbf{E}\left[\tau_{0, N} \mid X_{A}(0)=j, X_{A}\left(\tau_{0, N}\right)=N\right] \\
\pi_{j N}:=\mathbf{P}\left[X_{A}\left(\tau_{0, N}\right)=N \mid X_{A}(0)=j\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

## Conditions on $\gamma(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{N})$

$(\star):$ for some $\omega_{N} \rightarrow \infty, \beta_{N}>0, \exists \tilde{r}_{+}, \tilde{r}_{-}, \tilde{r}_{01}, \tilde{r}_{10} \in(0, \infty)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\omega_{N}} \sum_{j} r_{0 j} \rightarrow \tilde{r}_{+}, \frac{1}{\omega_{N}} \sum_{j} r_{N j} \rightarrow \tilde{r}_{-} \\
\beta_{N} \sum_{j} r_{0 j} \pi_{j N} \rightarrow \tilde{r}_{01}, \beta_{N} \sum_{j} r_{N j} \pi_{j 0} \rightarrow \tilde{r}_{10} \\
\frac{1}{\beta_{N} \omega_{N}} \sum_{j} r_{0 j} e_{j N}, \sum_{j} r_{0 j} e_{j 0}, \frac{1}{\beta_{N} \omega_{N}} \sum_{j} r_{N j} e_{j 0}, \sum_{j} r_{N j} e_{j N} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

- transition times $\widetilde{T}_{N}^{0}=\inf \{t \geq 0: X(t)=0\}$, for $i \geq 1$

$$
T_{N}^{i}=\inf \left\{t>\widetilde{T}_{N}^{i-1}: X(t)=N\right\}, \widetilde{T}_{N}^{i}=\inf \left\{t>T_{N}^{i}: X(t)=0\right\}
$$

- occupation measure: any $f, \rho_{N}>0$ s.t. $\frac{\rho_{N}}{\beta_{N}} \rightarrow 0$

$$
\nu_{t}^{N}(f)=\frac{1}{\rho_{N}} \int_{\beta_{N} t}^{\beta_{N} t+\rho_{N}} f\left(X_{N}(s)\right) d s
$$

## Theorem

If the rates of $X$ satisfy $(\star)$, then
$\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\left[T_{N}^{i}-\widetilde{T}_{N}^{i-1}>t \beta_{N}\right]=e^{-\tilde{r}_{01} t} \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\left[T_{N}^{i+1}-\widetilde{T}_{N}^{i}>t \beta_{N}\right]=e^{-\tilde{r}_{10} t}$
and $\left(\nu_{t}^{N}\right)_{t \geq 0} \Rightarrow\left(\nu_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ where

$$
\nu_{t}=\left\{\begin{array}{cr}
\delta_{0}, & T_{2 i} \leq t<T_{2 i+1} \\
\delta_{1}, & T_{2 i+1} \leq t<T_{2 i+2}
\end{array} \quad i \geq 0\right.
$$

with $\left\{T_{2 i+1}-T_{2 i}\right\}_{i \geq 0},\left\{T_{2 i+2}-T_{2 i+1}\right\}_{i \geq 0}$ independent sequences of i.i.d exponential variables with rates $\tilde{r}_{01}$ and $\tilde{r}_{10}$ respectively.
$\star$ occupation measure of $X \Rightarrow$ 2-state Markov jump process

* not pathwise, $X$ makes almost successful transition attempts


## Lemma

If $X$ is a birth-death chain whose rates $r_{+}, r_{-}$satisfy:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{r_{+}(0)}{N} \rightarrow \tilde{r}_{+} \in(0, \infty), \quad \frac{r_{-}(N)}{N} \rightarrow \tilde{r}_{-} \in(0, \infty) \\
\quad \sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\left|\frac{r_{-}(i)}{r_{+}(i)}-1\right| \rightarrow 0 \\
\quad \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{N-i}{r_{+}(i)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{i}{r_{-}(i)} \rightarrow 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

then $(\star)$ holds with $\omega_{N}=N, \beta_{N}=1$ and $\tilde{r}_{01}=\tilde{r}_{+}, \tilde{r}_{10}=\tilde{r}_{-}$.

## Theorem

If the rates of interactions scale as 'mass-action' with drift away from the boundary, and if the additional resampling/splitting mechanism has rates $\gamma(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{N})=\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{i}} \gamma(\mathbf{N}), \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{i}}=\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{1}), \gamma(\mathbf{N})$ satisfies:

$$
\frac{N}{\gamma(N)} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{p_{i}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \frac{1}{\gamma(N)} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{i}{p_{i}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \frac{1}{\gamma(N)} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{N-i}{p_{i}} \rightarrow 0
$$

then results based on conditions ( $\star$ ) hold with $\beta^{N}=1$, and $\tilde{r}_{01}=\sum_{(0, b, 1) \in \mathcal{I}} \tilde{\kappa}_{1}^{0 b}, \tilde{r}_{10}=\sum_{(a, 0,-1) \in \mathcal{I}} \tilde{\kappa}_{-1}^{a 0}$

* time scale of transitions is $\beta^{N}=1$
* the only rates whose precise value is relevant are at the boundary



Figure: Sample path of $X_{N}$ for the same system of interactions as before, with additional fast noisy resampling/splitting mechanism. parameters: $N=200, \gamma(N)=4 N^{2}, p_{i}=\frac{1}{2}, \gamma(i, N)=8 \cdot 10^{4}: \gamma(\mathbf{N}) \gg \mathbf{N}^{2}$

## Summary

Rates of additional mechanism lead to different features of bistability: if system has increments of size $\{+1,-1\}$ only and the rate $\gamma(x, N)=p_{x} \gamma(N)$ with $p_{x}=O(1)$, then

- if $\gamma(\mathbf{N}) \ll \mathbf{N}$ bistability is caused by large deviations of the Markov jump process, transitions between neighbourhoods of drift equilibirum points on a time scale of order $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{N}(\gamma(\mathrm{N}))^{-1} \imath_{x_{\mathrm{i}}, \times_{2}}}$, no correlations in transitions times;
- if $\gamma(\mathbf{N}) \sim \tilde{\gamma}^{2} \mathbf{N}^{2}$ bistability is caused by large deviations of a diffusion with a small perturbation coefficient, transitions between neighbourhoods of drift equilibirum points on a time scale of order $e^{\varepsilon^{-2} I_{x_{i}}, x_{2}}$, no correlations in transition times;
- if $\gamma(\mathbf{N}) \gg \mathbf{N}^{2} \log \mathbf{N}$ bistability is caused by excessive noise, and transitions between the boundaries on a time scale of order 1, highly correlated transition times

