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## Financial Problem

USDJPY and AUDUSD are two liquid currency pairs (ATM vols known, Smile known)

AUDJPY is less liquid (ATM vols known)

What is the smile of AUDJPY?

## Mathematical Problem

## $S_{1, t}, S_{2, t}$ Marginal Laws known

What is the law of $\frac{S_{1, t}}{S_{2, t}}$ ?

## Approach

Use Risk Neutral Approach to take on board all observed data (photography)

Use Historical Data to incorporate evolution information (dynamic)

Base analysis on rich models (Multi LSVLC)
Use efficient numerical techniques to perform all calculations (asymptotics)

## . 1 Model Description

## Model

Each currency pair is a mixture of local volatility and stochastic volatility

Stochastic volatility introduces correlation between volatilities

Local volatility introduces level dependency to the cross

## Literature I - on local correlation

A-M. Avenalleda, D. Boyer-Olson, J. Busca and P.Friz, << Reconstructing Volatility >>, October 2002

B-V. Durrleman + N. El-Karoui, 《 Basket Skew », April 2007

C-Bruno Dupire << Basket Skew Asymptotics > working paper 2004
D-X. Burtschell, J. Gregory and J-P. Laurent, << Beyond the Gaussian Copula: Stochastic and Local Correlation », Working Paper, 2005

E-A. Langnau, << Introduction Into Local Correlation Modelling », September 2009.

F-B. Jourdain, Mohamed Sbai "Coupling Index and stocks" 2009

## Literature II - some comments

A-It gives the framework for calibrating baskets and numerical algorithms for short term asymptotics for pricing

B-C-It provides a good grasp of the phenomenology with model free approach
Good for the phenomenology

D-Simple idea to expand the dimension and obtain stochastic correlation at a cheap cost (is used for the local correlation model)

E-Simplest local volatility extension plus direct calibration formulae and model risk illustration through the chewing gum effect

F- Nice numerical method - particle method, specific to baskets

## However,

As will be shown in the sequel, we need:

- local volatility and local correlation
- Fast Calibration : flow business
- Precise formulae for pricing


## Local Volatility Component

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d S_{1, t}}{S_{1, t}}=\sigma_{1}\left(S_{1, t}, t\right) d W_{1, t} \\
& \frac{d S_{2, t}}{S_{2, t}}=\sigma_{2}\left(S_{2, t}, t\right) d W_{2, t} \\
& \left\langle d W_{1, t}, d W_{2, t}\right\rangle=\rho_{12}\left(\frac{S_{1, t}}{S_{2, t}}\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

## Equation (1)

Historical Data
confirm link between correlation and cross level

## Local Volatility Component (2)



## Stoch. Volatility Component

$\frac{d S_{1, t}}{S_{1, t}}=\sigma_{1} e^{\alpha_{1} \tilde{W}_{1, t}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1}^{2} t} d W_{1, t}$
$\frac{d S_{2, t}}{\boldsymbol{S}_{2, t}}=\sigma_{2} e^{\alpha_{2} \tilde{W}_{2, t}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2}^{2} t} d W_{2, t}$
$<d W_{1, t}, d W_{2, t}>=\rho_{12}^{S} d t$
$<d \tilde{W}_{1, t}, d \tilde{W}_{2, t}>=\rho_{12}^{\sigma} d t$
$<d W_{1, t}, d \tilde{W}_{2, t}>=\rho_{12}^{s, \sigma} d t$
$<d \tilde{W}_{1, t}, d W_{2, t}>=\rho_{12}^{\sigma, s} d t$

Spot correlation is calibrated to the atm of the cross

Volvol correlation is a trader's input that can be estimated through historical data

Spot vol correlation has a very small impact

Eq. (3)

## .2 Mathematical Results

## Results

A- Short Term Asymptotic for LSVLC

B- Multi Stochastic VoL Perturbation approach

C- Multi Local Volatility Using most likely path combined with gradient conditioning

D- LSVLC combination result

## A-Ito and Short Term Asymptotic

## Pricing European Options under the general Local Stochastic

 Volatility and Local Correlation$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d S_{i, t}}{S_{i, t}}=\sigma_{i} d W_{i, t}^{s}, i=1, \ldots, n \\
& \frac{d \sigma_{i}}{\sigma_{i}}=\alpha_{i} d W_{i, t}^{\sigma} \\
& <d W_{i, t}^{u}, d W_{j, t}^{v}>=\rho_{i, j}^{u, v}\left(S_{1, t}, \ldots, S_{n, t}\right) d t, \ldots, u, v \in\{S, \sigma\} \quad \text { Eq. (4) }
\end{aligned}
$$

We want to price the Basket European options "linear"

$$
B_{T}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} S_{i}(T) \quad \text { Eq. (5) }
$$

## A-Ito and Short Term Asymptotic

## Notations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{B}^{2}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \rho_{i, j} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j} \omega_{i} \omega_{j} \\
& \omega_{i}=\frac{S_{i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\beta_{i, j}=\frac{\rho_{i, j} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j} \omega_{i} \omega_{j}}{\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \rho_{i, j} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j} \omega_{i} \omega_{j}}
$$

$$
\beta_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{i, j}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{j, i}
$$

## Approach

- Use Ito on special variable
- Take limit when time goes to zero


## A-Ito and Short Term Asymptotic

## Result

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{t}=B_{T} \frac{1}{\sigma_{B}} \\
& d X_{t}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i} \omega_{i} d W_{i, t}}{\sigma_{B}}-\frac{1}{2} \ln X_{t} \frac{d \sigma_{B}^{2}}{\sigma_{B}^{2}}+\theta_{t} d t \\
& \frac{d \sigma_{B}^{2}}{\sigma_{B}^{2}}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \underbrace{\beta_{i, j}}_{\text {correatio }} \underbrace{\frac{d \rho_{i, j}}{\rho_{i, j}}}_{\text {n }}+2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underbrace{\beta_{i} \frac{d \sigma_{i}}{\frac{\sigma_{i}}{\sigma_{i}}}}_{\text {dynamice }} \underbrace{}_{\text {dynamic }}+2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underbrace{\beta_{i} \frac{d \omega_{i}}{\omega_{i}}}_{\text {weight' }}
\end{aligned}
$$

Three terms contributing to the distortion from a log normal

- (1) Weights variability
- (2) Each underlying own distortion
- (3) Correlation skew


## A-Case I : Pat Hagan formula recovered

We look at a one stoch vol model - keep one underlying :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{t}=B_{T} \frac{1}{\sigma_{B}} \\
& \frac{d X_{t}}{X_{t}}=\sigma\left(X_{t}\right) d Z_{t} \\
& \sigma^{2}\left(X_{t}\right)=1+\alpha^{2} \ln ^{2}\left(X_{t}\right)-2 \rho_{S, \sigma} \alpha \ln \left(X_{t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This becomes a local volatility model for which the implied volatility is given by the classical BBF formula in [6]

Recover easily the Pat Hagan formula cf [7]

## A-Case II : Sum of log-normals is not a log normal

We keep one term coming from the weights variability:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{t}=B_{T} \frac{1}{\sigma_{B}} \\
& \frac{d X_{t}}{X_{t}}=\sigma\left(X_{t}\right) d Z_{t} \\
& \sigma^{2}\left(X_{t}\right)= \\
& \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{w_{i} \sigma_{i} w_{j} \sigma_{j} \rho_{i, j}^{S, S}}{\sigma_{B}^{2}} \\
& -2 \ln \left(X_{t}\right) \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\beta_{i}-w_{i}\right) \frac{\sigma_{i} w_{j} \sigma_{j} \rho_{i, j}^{S, S}}{\sigma_{B}} \\
& +\ln ^{2}\left(X_{t}\right) \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\beta_{i}-w_{i}\right)\left(\beta_{j}-w_{j}\right) \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j} \rho_{i, j}^{S, S}
\end{aligned}
$$

- We have a skew
- We have a curvature
- The distribution that is generated is not a log-normal

A-Case III : Multi Stoch vol and no local correlation nor local volatility

## We keep contribution from each underlying smile

We neglect the variability of the weights (in practice it is negligible)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{t}=B_{t} \frac{1}{\sigma_{B}} \\
& \frac{d X_{t}}{X_{t}}=\sigma\left(X_{t}\right) d Z_{t} \\
& \sigma^{2}\left(X_{t}\right)=1-2 \ln \left(X_{t}\right) \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{\sigma_{i}}{\sigma_{B}} w_{i} \beta_{j} \alpha_{j} \rho_{i, j}^{S, \sigma}+\ln ^{2}\left(X_{t}\right) \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \beta_{i} \alpha_{i} \beta_{j} \alpha_{j} \rho_{i, j}^{\sigma, \sigma}
\end{aligned}
$$

## A-Case IV : Multi Stoch vol asymptotic implied volatility calculation

Moment match the two coefficients of the $\log (X)$ expansions

## Use the Pat Hagan formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\alpha}^{2}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \beta_{i} \alpha_{i} \beta_{j} \alpha_{j} \rho_{i, j}^{\sigma, \sigma} \\
& \tilde{\rho} \tilde{\alpha}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{\sigma_{i}}{\sigma_{B}} w_{i} \beta_{i} \alpha_{i} \rho_{i, j}^{S, \sigma}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Sigma_{B S}(T, K)=\Sigma\left(T, B_{0}\right) f\left(\frac{\ln \left(\frac{B_{0}}{K}\right)}{\Sigma\left(T, B_{0}\right)}\right)
$$

$$
f(x)=\frac{\tilde{\alpha} x}{\ln \left(\frac{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}^{2} x^{2}-2 \tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\rho} x+1}-\tilde{\rho}+\tilde{\alpha} x}{1-\tilde{\rho}}\right)}
$$

Moment Match
Pat Hagan Formula cf[7]

## A-Case V : Local Correlation Model

## No stochastic volatility

We assume that the local correlation is given by the following formula
$\frac{d \rho_{i, j}}{\rho_{i, j}}=-\left(1-\delta_{i-j}\right) \lambda_{i, j} \ln \left(X_{t}\right) d Z_{t}$
We obtain the following dynamic

```
\(X_{t}=B_{T} \frac{1}{\sigma_{B}}\)
\(\frac{d X_{t}}{X_{t}}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i} \omega_{i} d W{ }_{i, t}}{\sigma_{B}}-\frac{1}{2} \ln { }^{2} X_{t} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \beta_{i, j} \lambda_{i, j} d Z_{t}+\theta_{t} d t\)
\(\frac{d X_{t}}{X_{t}}=\sigma\left(X_{t}\right) d B\)
\(\sigma^{2}\left(X_{t}\right)=1+\frac{1}{4} \ln { }^{4} X_{t}\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \beta_{i, j} \lambda_{i, j}\right)\)
```


## B-Multi Stoch. Volatility European

## Pricing European Options under multi asset Stochastic

 Volatility can be performed using perturbation techniques$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d S_{i}}{S_{i}}=\mu_{i} d t+\sigma_{i} d W_{i}^{s} \\
d \sigma_{i}=\varepsilon \alpha_{i} \sigma_{i} d W_{i}^{\sigma}
\end{array} \quad\right. \text { Eq. (6) } \\
& \left\langle d W_{i}^{s}, d W_{j}^{\sigma}\right\rangle=\sqrt{\varepsilon} \rho_{i, j}^{s, \sigma} d t,\left\langle d W_{i}^{s}, d W_{j}^{s}\right\rangle=\rho_{i, j}^{s} d t,\left\langle d W_{i}^{\sigma}, d W_{j}^{\sigma}\right\rangle=\rho_{i, j}^{\sigma} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

We want to price the European payoff $f$

$$
u=E\left(f\left(S_{1}(T), \ldots, S_{n}(T)\right) \mid S_{1}(t)=s_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}(t)=s_{n}, \sigma_{1}(t)=\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}(t)=\sigma_{n}\right)
$$

## B-Multi Stoch. Volatility (2)

It is based on the Black Scholes price (eps=0) and its greeks
Result $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\partial_{t} u_{0}+\sum_{i}\left(\mu_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{i}^{2}\right) \partial_{x_{i}} u_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j} \rho_{i, j}^{s} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j} \partial_{x_{x}, x_{j}} u_{0}=0 \quad \text { Eq. (7) } \\ u_{0}(T)=f\end{array}\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=u_{0} \\
& \left.+(T-t)\left\{\sum_{i, j} \frac{1}{12} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} \sigma_{i}(t) \sigma_{j}(t) \rho_{i, j}^{\sigma \sigma \sigma} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial\left(\sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}\right.}\right\}\right\} \\
& +(T-t)\left\{\sum_{i, j} \frac{1}{6} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} \sigma_{i}(t) \sigma_{j}(t) \rho_{i, j}^{\sigma_{i j} \sigma} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}}{\partial \sigma_{i} \partial \sigma_{i}}\right\} \\
& +(T-t)\left\{\left\{\sum_{i, j} \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{i}(t) \alpha_{j} \sigma_{j}(t) \rho_{i, j}^{s, \sigma} S_{i} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}}{\partial s_{i}, \sigma_{j}}\right\}\right. \\
& \text { Black \& Scholes } \\
& \text { cross Varga } \\
& \text { cross Vomma } \\
& \text { cross Vanna }
\end{aligned}
$$

## B-Proof in 1D

## Dynamic in 1D is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d S_{t}^{\varepsilon}}{S_{t}^{\varepsilon}} & =\mu_{t} d t+\sigma_{t} d W_{t}^{1} \\
d \sigma_{t} & =\varepsilon \eta\left(t, \sigma_{t}\right) d t+\sqrt{\varepsilon} \alpha\left(\sigma_{t}, t\right) d W_{t}^{2}
\end{aligned} \quad \mathbf{E q .}
$$

## Option's price satisfies $\quad C(t, s, \varepsilon)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{t}+\mu_{t} s C_{s}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} s^{2} C_{s s}+\varepsilon\left(\eta(t, \sigma) C_{\sigma}+\frac{\alpha^{2}(t, \sigma)}{2} C_{\sigma \sigma}+\sigma \rho_{t} s \alpha(t, \sigma)\right)=0 \quad \text { Eq. (10) } \\
& C(T, s)=\phi(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

## B-Proof in 1 D: order 0

## Equation order 0 is Black \& Scholes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{t}^{0}+\mu_{t} s C_{s}^{0}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{0}^{2} s^{2} C_{s s}^{0}=0 \quad \text { Eq. (11) } \\
& C^{0}(T, s)=\phi(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

Change of variables

$$
\phi_{\exp }(x)=\phi\left(S_{0} e^{x}\right)
$$

$C_{t}+\left(\mu_{t}-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}\right) C_{x}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} C_{x t}+\varepsilon\left(\eta(t, \sigma) C_{\sigma}+\frac{\alpha^{2}(t, \sigma)}{2} C_{\sigma \sigma}+\sigma \rho_{t} \alpha(t, \sigma) C_{x \sigma}\right)=0 \quad$ Eq. (12) $C(T, s)=\phi_{\text {exp }}(s)$

## B-Proof in 1 D: order 1

## Compute derivative w.r.t to epsilon: <br> $v^{\varepsilon}(t, x)=\partial_{\varepsilon} C(t, x, \varepsilon)$

## Equation becomes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{t}^{\varepsilon}+\left(\mu_{t}-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}\right) v_{x}^{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} v_{x x}^{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon\left(\eta(t, \sigma) v_{\sigma}^{\varepsilon}+\frac{\alpha^{2}(t, \sigma)}{2} v_{\sigma \sigma}^{\varepsilon}+\sigma p_{t} \alpha(t, \sigma) v_{x \sigma}^{\varepsilon}\right)= \\
& -\left(\eta(t, \sigma) C_{\sigma}+\frac{\alpha^{2}(t, \sigma)}{2} C_{\sigma \sigma}+\sigma \rho_{t} \alpha(t, \sigma) C_{x \sigma}\right) \\
& v^{\varepsilon}(T, x)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## B-Proof in 1 D: Math Toolbox

## (1) Lemma (magical lemma):

- Let Xt be a martingale and let $\mathbf{P ( t ,}$ $\mathrm{Xt})$ a pricing function then :

Eq. (14)

$$
\frac{\partial^{n} P}{\partial x^{n}}\left(t, X_{t}\right)=E\left(\frac{\partial^{n} P}{\partial x^{n}}\left(T, X_{T}\right) X_{t}\right) \quad \forall n
$$

## Feymann-Kac

if $X_{t}$ satifies the following SDE

$$
d X_{t}=a\left(t, X_{t}\right) d t+b\left(t, X_{t}\right) d W_{t}
$$

Then the following value function

$$
u(t, x)=E_{X_{t}=x}\left(f\left(X_{T}\right)+\int_{t}^{T} g\left(X_{s}\right) d s\right)
$$

Satisfies The Feymann - Kac equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{t}+a u_{x}+\frac{1}{2} b u_{x x}=g \\
& u_{T}=f
\end{aligned}
$$

Eq. (15)

## B-Proof in 1 D: order 1

Keep only order 1 in eps:
$v_{1}+\left(\mu_{t}-\frac{\sigma_{0}^{2}}{2}\right) v_{x}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{0} v_{x x}=-\left(\eta\left(t, \sigma_{0}\right) C_{o}^{0}+\frac{\alpha^{2}\left(t, \sigma_{0}\right)}{2} C_{o \sim}^{0}+\sigma_{0} \rho_{t} \alpha\left(t, \sigma_{0}\right) C_{10}^{0}\right) \quad$ Eq. (16)
$v(T, x)=0$
Use Feymann-Kac:

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(t, x) & =E\left[\int _ { t } ^ { T } \left(\eta\left(\theta, \sigma_{0}\right) C_{\sigma}^{0}\left(\theta, X_{\theta}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{2}\left(\theta, \sigma_{0}\right) C_{\sigma \sigma}^{0}\left(\theta, X_{\theta}\right) \quad\right.\right. \text { Eq. (17) } \\
& \left.\left.+\sigma_{0} \rho_{\theta} \alpha^{2}\left(\theta, \sigma_{0}\right) C_{x \sigma}^{0}\left(\theta, X_{\theta}\right)\right) d \theta \mid X_{t}=x\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

To use lemma we need to transform vol derivatives into $x$ derivatives

## B-Proof in 1 D: order 1

## Following Black\&Scholes relations hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{\sigma}^{0}(t, x)=\sigma_{0}(T-t)\left(C_{x x}^{0}(t, x)-C_{x}^{0}(t, x)\right) \\
& C_{x \sigma}^{0}(t, x)=\sigma_{0}(T-t)\left(C_{x x x}^{0}(t, x)-C_{x x}^{0}(t, x)\right) \\
& C_{\sigma \sigma}^{0}(t, x)=(T-t)\left(C_{x x}^{0}(t, x)-C_{x}^{0}(t, x)\right)+\sigma_{0}^{2}(T-t)^{2}\left(C_{x x x}^{0}(t, x)-2 C_{x x x}^{0}(t, x)+C_{x x}^{0}(t, x)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## B-Proof in 1 D: order 1

## Therefore:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E\left[\int_{t}^{T} \eta\left(\theta, \sigma_{0}\right) C_{\sigma}^{0}\left(\theta, X_{\theta}\right) d \theta\right]=\sigma_{0}\left(\int_{t}^{T}(T-\theta) \eta\left(\theta, \sigma_{0}\right) d \theta\right)\left(C_{x x}^{0}(t, x)-C_{x}^{0}(t, x)\right)=\frac{1}{(T-t)}\left(\int_{t}^{T}(T-\theta) \eta\left(\theta, \sigma_{0}\right) d \theta\right) C_{\sigma}^{0}(t, x) \\
& E\left[\int_{t}^{T} \rho_{\theta} \alpha\left(\theta, \sigma_{0}\right) C_{x \sigma}^{0}\left(\theta, X_{\theta}\right) d \theta\right]=\sigma_{0}\left(\int_{t}^{T}(T-\theta) \eta\left(\theta, \sigma_{0}\right) d \theta\right)\left(C_{x x x}^{0}(t, x)-C_{x x}^{0}(t, x)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{(T-t)}\left(\int_{t}^{T}(T-\theta) \rho_{\theta} \alpha\left(\theta, \sigma_{0}\right) d \theta\right) C_{x \sigma}^{0}(t, x) \quad \text { Eq. (19) }  \tag{19}\\
& E\left[\int_{t}^{T} \alpha^{2}\left(\theta, \sigma_{0}\right) C_{\sigma \sigma}^{0}\left(\theta, X_{\theta}\right) d \theta\right]=\left(\int_{t}^{T}(T-\theta) \alpha^{2}\left(\theta, \sigma_{0}\right) d \theta\right)\left(C_{x x}^{0}(t, x)-C_{x}^{0}(t, x)\right) \\
& +\sigma_{0}^{2}\left(\int_{t}^{T}(T-\theta)^{2} \alpha^{2}\left(\theta, \sigma_{0}\right) d \theta\right)\left(C_{x x x x}^{0}(t, x)-2 C_{x x x}^{0}(t, x)+C_{x x}^{0}(t, x)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

## B-Proof in 1 D: conclusion

## finally:

$C(t, x, \varepsilon)=C^{0}(t, x)+\varepsilon\left(\right.$ VegaFactor $C_{\sigma}^{0}(t, x)+$ VannaFacto $r C_{x \sigma}^{0}(t, x)+V o \lg$ aFactor $\left.C_{\sigma \sigma}^{0}(t, x)\right)$

$$
\text { VegaFactor }=\frac{1}{(T-t)}\left(\int_{t}^{T}(T-\theta) \eta\left(\theta, \sigma_{0}\right) d \theta\right)+\frac{1}{2(T-t) \sigma_{0}}\left(\int_{t}^{T}(T-\theta) \alpha^{2}\left(\theta, \sigma_{0}\right) d \theta\right)-\frac{1}{2(T-t)^{2} \sigma_{0}}\left(\int_{t}^{T}(T-\theta)^{2} \alpha^{2}\left(\theta, \sigma_{0}\right) d \theta\right)
$$

VolgaFactor $=\frac{1}{2(T-t)^{2}} \int_{t}^{T}(T-\theta)^{2} \alpha^{2}\left(\theta, \sigma_{0}\right) d \theta$
Eq. (20)
VannaFactor $=\frac{s}{(T-t)} \int_{t}^{T}(T-\theta) \sigma_{0} \rho_{\theta} \alpha\left(\theta, \sigma_{0}\right) d \theta$

## C-Multi European under Local Volatility Local Correlation

 (1)Pricing European Options under multi local volatility local correlation model can be performed using perturbation techniques

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d S_{\mathrm{i}}}{S_{\mathrm{i}}}=\mu_{i} d t+\sigma_{i}\left(t, S_{\mathrm{i}}\right) d W_{i} \\
& <d W_{i}, d W_{j}>=\rho_{i, j}\left(t, S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

We want to price the European payoff $f$

$$
u=E\left(f\left(S_{1}(T), \ldots, S_{n}(T)\right) \mid S_{1}(t)=s_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}(t)=s_{n}\right)
$$

## C-Multi European under Local Volatility Local Correlation

 (2)Using a simple perturbation analysis and Feymann Kac, we obtain the following result - just like before in the stochastic volatility case:
$u_{L V L C}=u_{B S}+E_{B S}\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j}^{n} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\rho_{i, j}\left(t, S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}\right) \sigma_{i}\left(t, S_{i}\right) \sigma_{j}\left(t, S_{j}\right)-\rho_{i, j}^{B S} \sigma_{i}^{B S} \sigma_{j}^{B S}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u_{B S}}{\partial S_{i} \partial S_{j}} d t\right)+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$
Eq. (22)

Yet formulae are not practical - many integrals to be computed

We do not have the magical lemma :

## C- Specific work for linear payoffs (3)

## Consider a linear payoff

- Basket with positive weights
- Spread options

$$
\psi=(\underbrace{\sum_{i=1, n} w_{i} S_{i}(T)}_{B_{T}}-k)^{+} \mathbf{E q \cdot} \cdot(23)
$$

Under the general dynamic

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d S_{\mathrm{i}}}{S_{\mathrm{i}}}=\mu_{i} d t+\sigma_{i}\left(t, S_{\mathrm{i}}\right) d W_{i} \\
& <d W_{i}, d W_{j}>=\rho_{i, j}\left(t, S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

## C-Most Likely Path Pricing under Multi Local Volatility (4)

## Model Reduction - using Gradient Conditionning (Curran)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{i}=E\left(S_{i}(T) \mid B(T)=k\right) \\
& \cong E\left(S_{i}(T) \mid Z=z^{*}\right) \\
& E\left(B(T) \mid Z=z^{*}\right)=k
\end{aligned}
$$

Eq. (24)

| Asset | Forward | Vol. ATM | Slope | Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1. | 0.20 | -0.30 | 0.333 |
| 2 | 1. | 0.25 | -0.30 | 0.333 |
| 3 | 1. | 0.30 | -0.30 | 0.333 |



## C-Most Likely Path Pricing under Multi Local Volatility (5)

## Model Reduction - works as well for spreads

| Asset/Value | Forward | Vol. ATM | Slope | Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1. | 0.20 | -0.30 | 0.50 |
| 2 | 1. | 0.25 | -0.30 | 0.50 |
| 3 | 1. | 0.30 | -0.30 | -1.00 |



## C-Most Likely Path Pricing under Multi Local Volatility (6)

Local Volatility Model becomes like when pricing : $\psi$
A simpler model - A multi Black Scholes Model :
Same methodology as in [3] and [4]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d S_{\mathbf{i}}}{S_{\mathbf{i}}}=\mu_{i} d t+\sigma_{i} * d W_{i} \\
& <d W_{i}, d W_{j}>=\rho_{i, j}\left(t, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

## C-From Specific to Generic(7)

Differentiating twice and integrating with exp(ik) we obtain the moment generating function for the joint distribution trick in [6]
$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial k^{2}} \exp (i k) d k=E\left(\exp \left(i \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} S_{j}(T)\right)\right) \quad$ Eq. (26)

We can recover the joint density (Fourier inversion) and be able to price all European payoffs ©

Numerically tractable in low dimensions 3 to 4 :

## D-Multi Local Vol \& Stoch. Volatility

Using Perturbation techniques under the general model

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d S_{\mathrm{i}}}{S_{\mathrm{i}}} & =\mu_{i} d t+\sigma_{i} f_{i}\left(t, S_{\mathrm{i}}\right) d W_{i}^{s} \quad \text { Eq. (27) } \\
d \sigma_{i} & =\varepsilon \sigma_{i} d W_{i}^{\sigma}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have the following Pricing approximating results
Price $=$ Price Local Vol $+\varepsilon^{2}($ Price Stoch Vol - Price Local Vol Local Correl $)$
Eq. (28)

## Summary



## Pricing Calls on the cross

Pricing a call on the cross
$\left(\frac{S_{2, t}}{S_{1, t}}-k\right)^{+}$

Is equivalent to pricing a spread option on the two currency pairs
$\left(S_{2, t}-k S_{1, t}\right)^{+}$
Warning: only true after change of numeraire

## Pricing Example: AUDJPY

## Pricing a call on the cross

$\left(\frac{J P Y}{A U D}-k\right)^{+}$under JPY measure

Is equivalent to pricing a spread option on the two currency pairs
$\left(\frac{U S D}{A U D}-k \frac{U S D}{J P Y}\right)^{+}$under USD measure

## Calculating Smile

From call prices we back out implied volatilities
From Implied Volatilities we back out smile characteristics

## Method

Collect complete data of :

- AUDUSD, USDJPY and AUDJPY

Apply Model with different inputs

Compare Predicted smiles with observed ones of AUDJPY

## Historical Data

## From 18/11/02 to 17/11/04

## Data for 1 y cross smile



## Tests

## We consider 4 different cases:

- Slope corr=0, curve corr=0, volvolcorr=0
- Slope corr=2, curve corr=0, volvolcorr=0
- Slope corr=2, curve corr=0, volvolcorr=0.5
- Slope corr=1.8, curve corr=-28,volvolcorr=0.5

Strangle


Risk Reversal
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Strangle

Local Correlation Slope $=2$
Curvature $=-28$
volvol correlation $=0.5$
AUDJPY Strangle


Risk Reversal


## Summary

A new model for cross smile estimation is produced

Uses a mixture of local vol and stoch. Vol

Introduces volvol correlation and local correlation

Playing on the parameters offers flexibility to predict market levels

It is based on efficient numerical techniques

## Questions

Thank you for your attention
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