Modeling dynamics of circulating tumor DNA for detecting resistance to targeted therapies A phylogenetic approach

Ulysse Herbach

École MMB – Aussois

20 mai 2019

Context

Context

- Chemotherapies are toxic even to non-tumor cells
- Targeted therapies can focus on a specific mutation
- Unfortunately, emergence of resistance is very common

Traditional biopsies

Sequencing DNA of tumor cells from extracted tissues:

- Cannot be performed very often
- Only reflects some part of the tumor

Liquid biopsies

Sequencing plasma cell-free DNA (cfDNA) from blood samples:

- Can be performed much more often
- Potentially reflects the full heterogeneity of the tumor

Emergence of resistance



Emergence of resistance



Emergence of resistance



The lost genome

Liquid biopsy data

Very short DNA fragments (\approx 150 bp) aligned with a reference sequence: each genome is *lost* and must be *inferred* from a model.



The lost genome

Liquid biopsy data

Very short DNA fragments (\approx 150 bp) aligned with a reference sequence: each genome is *lost* and must be *inferred* from a model.



"Poor man's phylogenetics"

Traditional phylogenies

Clustering **cells** by **mutational composition**

New wave phylogenies

Clustering **mutations** by **cellular frequencies**

Objectives

- Directly reconstruct a phylogenetic tree
- Exploit the time structure using a dynamical model
- Detect and characterize resistant populations

Hypotheses

- 1. All observed mutations have already appeared at t = 0
- 2. A mutation only appears once and then never disappear
- 3. All cfDNA fragments are degraded faster than cell death

Recap







 $\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{P}_1 = \{1,4\} \\ \mathcal{P}_2 = \{2\} \\ \mathcal{P}_3 = \{3,5\} \\ \mathcal{P}_4 = \{4\} \\ \mathcal{P}_5 = \{5\} \\ \mathcal{P}_6 = \{5,6\} \end{array}$



Getting even worse

Cellular frequencies are latent variables:



Roth *et al.* (2014). PyClone: statistical inference of clonal population structure in cancer. *Nature Methods*, 11(4):396–398.

Basic model for cfDNA dynamics

Mutations $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and corresponding populations $\mathcal{P}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_m$. • The size $C_i(t)$ of \mathcal{P}_i at time t is described by

$$\dot{C}_i(t) = \lambda_i C_i(t) - \mu_i C_i(t)$$

• The amount of mutation j circulating in the blood is given by

$$\dot{M}_j(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m [a_{ij}\mu_i C_i(t)] - dM_j(t)$$

where $a_{ij} > 0$ if and only if $j \in \mathcal{P}_i$, that is, \mathcal{P}_i is a *subclone* of \mathcal{P}_j .

Quasi-steady-state approximation for $d \ll \mu_i$

$$M_{j}(t) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{m} [a_{ij}\mu_{i}C_{i}(t)] = a_{1j}c_{1}e^{b_{1}t} + \dots + a_{mj}c_{m}e^{b_{m}t}$$

Statistical model

Parameters:

- $a = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{N}^{m imes m}$ scaled tree-structure matrix
- $b = (b_i) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ birth rate of each population
- $c = (c_i) \in (\mathbb{R}^*_+)^m$ initial size of each population

Random variables for $t = (t_k) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ observation times:

- $Y = (Y_{ik})$ hidden: size of population *i* at time t_k
- $X = (X_{jk})$ observed: amount of mutation j at time t_k

$$\mathcal{L}(Y) = \bigotimes_{i,k} \operatorname{Gamma}(c_i \exp(b_i t_k), 1)$$

 $\mathcal{L}(X|Y) = \bigotimes_{j,k} \operatorname{Poisson}(a_{1j}Y_{1k} + \dots + a_{mj}Y_{mk})$

Inference

- We need to infer heta = (b, c) and the nonzero structure of a
- By Cayley's formula there are $(m+1)^{m-1}$ possible models...

Variational trick

Idea:

- each *a* corresponds to a **rooted tree** $z \in \mathcal{T}_0$ on $\{0, 1, \dots, m\}$
- we can **regularize** the tree structure by making *z* random

For **any** distribution q(z) > 0, Jensen inequality gives:

$$\log p_{\theta}(x) = \log \left[\sum_{z} p_{\theta}(x, z) \right] = \log \left[\sum_{z} \frac{p_{\theta}(x, z)}{q(z)} q(z) \right]$$
$$\geq \sum_{z} \log \left[\frac{p_{\theta}(x, z)}{q(z)} \right] q(z)$$
$$= \sum_{z} [\log p_{\theta}(x, z) - \log q(z)] q(z)$$

Remarks

- ▶ [left] [right] = KL[q(·)||p_{\theta}(\cdot|x)] ≥ $\frac{1}{2}$ ||q(·) p_{\theta}(\cdot|x)||_1^2
- Hence the **optimal** choice is $q(z) = p_{\theta}(z|x)$
- This choice is nothing more than the EM algorithm

Variational distribution over trees

An interesting distribution for $z \in \mathcal{T}_0$ is given by

$$q_{\alpha}(z) = \frac{1}{C(\alpha)} \prod_{(i,j) \in z} \alpha_{ij} \quad \text{where} \quad C(\alpha) = \sum_{z' \in \mathcal{T}_0} \prod_{(i,j) \in z'} \alpha_{ij}$$

Matrix-tree theorem

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A = (A_{ij})$ be the $n \times n$ matrix defined by

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} -\alpha_{ij} & \text{if } i \neq j \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{kj} & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

Then for any $v \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ we have

$$\det(A_{\{v\}}) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_v} \prod_{(i,j) \in T} \alpha_{ij}$$

where \mathcal{T}_{v} is the set of all trees on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ that are rooted at v.

Thanks!

The ITMO project:

Maths

- Nicolas Champagnat
- Anne Gégout-Petit
- Pierre Vallois
- Coralie Fritsch
- Aurélie Muller-Gueudin
- Aline Kurtzmann

Medicine

- Alexandre Harlé
- Jean-Louis Merlin
- Erwan Pencreac'h