Structure et dynamique des communautés écologiques

(iii)

Stability of ecological communities

Colin Fontaine

Aussois 2021

Stability of ecosystems

- Most ecologists describe ecosystem stability as the ability of an ecosystem to maintain its structure and function over long period of time and despite disturbances
- Natural ecosystems experience regular punctual environmental changes, or disturbances
 - Fire; flooding; storm; Insect outbreak...
- The Anthropocene is characterized by
 - An increase in the frequency and amplitude of **pulse perturbations**



Stability of ecosystems

- Most ecologists describe ecosystem stability as the ability of an ecosystem to maintain its structure and function over long periods of time and despite disturbances
- Natural ecosystems experience regular punctual environmental changes, or disturbances.
 - Fire; flooding; storm; Insect outbreak...
- The Anthropocene is characterized by
 - An increase in the frequency and amplitude of **pulse perturbations**
 - An increase of system forcing/press perturbations





Complexity stability relashionship

- Original idea:
 - Theoretical and experimental evidence that simple model ecosystems are inherently unstable
 - Observations suggest that diversity of species and interactions among them favor community stability









Odum E. 1950



Elton C. S. 1958



MacArthur R. 1955

Challenged by theory







(a) Engineering resilience







May R. 1971

Ecological stability: an expending (messy) field



Aggregated

(community)

59%

32%

41%

5%

Null

Noise

- How to reconcile May's results with the idea that complexity favors stability?
- Empirical approches to ecological stability
- The dimensionality of ecological stability

Ecological interaction networks are not random











No. 10	MM	\mathbb{N}	MM	MM	MM
				XXXI	
					S Z O

Network structure and community dynamic



- -intrinsic growth rates r_P and $r_A < 0 \rightarrow$ obligate mutualism
- -density dependence term

-interaction term saturates with mutualistic partner densities



 $Pk \in prey(Aj)$

-intrinsic growth rates $r_P > 0$ and $r_A < 0$

dt

dt

- -density dependence term
- -interaction term saturates with prey densities

Network structure and community dynamic



Network structure and community dynamic



Persistence

	réseau mutualiste	réseau trophique
diversité	+	-
connectance	+	-
emboitement		-
compartimentalisation	-	

Résilience

	réseau mutualiste	réseau trophique
diversité	+	-
connectance	+	-
emboitement	+	
compartimentalisation		+

Thébault & Fontaine 2010

Considering effects of foraging adaptation





Kondoh 2003

Considering effects of foraging adaptation



Adaptation rate

Kondoh 2003

Stabilty of networks integrating different interaction types





Cluster webs



- How to reconcile May's results with the idea that complexity favors stability?
- Empirical approches to ecological stability
- The dimensionality of ecological stability

The relationship between diversity and temporal stability of communities



The relationship between diversity and temporal stability of communities







Diversity decreases variability of ecosystem properties through:

Asynchronous responses of species to environmental perturbation



Evolutionary history of species and the temporal stability of biomass production

20

20



Ļ





Resitance, resilience and variability of primary production to climatic extrems



Ē

- In addition to warming, current climat change lead to a increase in the frequency of extrem climatic events
- Both resitance and resilence can affect the variability of primary production
- Plant diversity mainly affects resistance





Isbell et al. 2015

The relationship between diversity and temporal stability of communities: Limits of existing empirical studies

- Mostly comes from plant experimental communities
 - diversity stability relationship for other taxa?
 - Effects of non-random species loss?
- Effects of anthropogenic perturbations other than species loss on community and ecosystem stability?





Hautier et al. (2015

Data from citizen science programs to investigate the links between land uses, species diversity and community stability











Annual abundances of communities: 161 bat communities for 4 year 269 bird communities for 8 years 130 butterfly communities for 7 years

Standardized protocols in fixed sites

Non-lethal monitoring

Assessing the links among: land uses, species diversity and community stability

➤ 8 landscape variables





Assessing the links among: land uses, species diversity and community stability

- ➢ 8 landscape variables
- Species richness and phylogenetic diversity







Assessing the links among: land uses, species diversity and community stability

community

variability

- 8 landscape variables
- > Species richness and phylogenetic diversity
- Community stability/variability as a function of population stability/variability and asynchrony





Thibaut & Connolly et al. (2013)

Results: relation between diversity and community stability



Results: relation between land use and community stability



Results: disentangling the effects of land use and diversity on community stability



Results: disentangling the effects of land use and diversity on community stability

Effects on community stability

	• 4
l Dive	rcitv
	I SILY .

Total effects	0.433	0.224	0.513
Richness effects	0.195	0.139	0.347
Phylogenetic diversity effects	0.238	0.085	0.166
Effects via population stability	NS	-0.076	0.172
Effects via population asynchrony	0.433	0.299	0.341

Negative effects of diversity loss on community stability mainly mediated through decreased population asynchrony

Effects of habitat degradation and diversity loss on community stability Conclusion

- Anthropogenic habitat degradation and species diversity loss have both destabilizing effects at community level
- While the stabilizing effects of diversity are mediated by greater population asynchrony, the destabilizing effects of habitat degradation are mainly channeled by lower population stability
- These results suggest that classical studies on the diversitystability relationship might miss a critical determinant of natural community stability by not including perturbations into the framework

Standardized monitoring of river fish communities (ONEMA/OFB)









Standardized monitoring of river fish communities (ONEMA/OFB)





Standardized monitoring of river fish communities (ONEMA/OFB)







*		*	N	1		• ·	~
		Indirect effects via					
Variable	Direct	${\rm Species} \ richness$	Connectance	Avg trophic level	CVsp	$\operatorname{Synchrony}$	Total effect
PCA1 Avg stream size	NA	-0.10	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.02
PCA2 Avg temperature & Avg BOD	NA	-0.17	0.00	0.09	-0.33	0.00	-0.41
Species richness	NA	NA	0.00	-0.09	-0.62	0.40	-0.31
Connectance	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00	0.00	0.00
Avg trophic level	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.35	0.00	0.35

- How to reconcile May's results with the idea that complexity favors stability?
- Empirical approches to ecological stability
- The dimensionality of ecological stability

What is the dimensionality of ecological stability?

Name (time

Niche model to define network structure



Three type of perturbations:

- pulse (e.g. mortality events) ۲
- press (e.g. increased mortality rate, extinctions) ۲
- environmental stochasticity (e.g. white noise) ۲

Twenty seven stability metrics

Bioenergetic m	odel to simulate p	opulation dyn	amics
	Conversion efficiency	Metabolic demand	Death rate
$\frac{dB_i}{dt} = r_i G_i B_i + B$	$P_i \sum_{j \in prey} e_{0j} F_{ij} - \sum_{k \in pre} E_{ij} - \sum_{k \in pre} E_{ij} - \sum_{k \in pr$	$B_k F_{ki} - x_i B_i -$	$d_i B_i$
$G_i = \left(1 - \frac{B_i}{K_i}\right)$	$F_{ij} = \frac{w_i a_i}{m_i (1 + w_i \sum_{k \in I} w_k)}$	$\frac{_{ij}B_j^{1+q}}{_{\in prey}a_{ik}h_{ik}B_k^{1+q})}$	

Name	Acronym [equation in	Name	Acronym (equation in
(time scale)	SI Appendix, section 10]	(time scale)	SI Appendix, section 10]
Reactivity (initial)	R ₀ [6], MR ₀ [11]	Resistance of total biomass (long-term):	
Maximum amplification		To mortality	<i>RM^G</i> [18]
(transient)	A _{max} [9], MA _{max} [12]		$< RM^L >, RM^L_{max}$
Time to maximum amplification			
(transient)	t _{max} , Mt _{max}	To extinctions	< RE > [20], RE _{max}
Resilience (long-term)	R _{inf} [10], <i>MR</i> _{inf} [13]	Cascading extinctions (long-term)	< CE >, CE _{max}
Stochastic invariability (long-term)	<i>I</i> ₅ [14]	Sensitivity of species' biomass (long-term To mortality): <i>SM</i> ^G [19]
Sensitivity matrix (long-term)			< SM ^L $>$, SM ^L _{max}
, , , , ,	$< s_{ii} > [16], S [15]$		
T (To extinctions	< SE > [21], SE _{max}
To mortality	<i>TM^G</i> [17]		
	$< TM^L >$, TM^L_{min}		
To extinctions	< TE >		

Logistic groth rate

Holling-type functional response

What is the dimensionality of ecological stability?

Modularity analysis on the spearman correlation coefficients among stability metrics





Effect of perturbations on the dimensionality of stability





Perturbation treatments: Insecticide x Herbicide x nutrients

Effect of perturbations on the dimensionality of stability





Pollazo et al. 2021

Effect of perturbations on the dimensionality of stability





Species contribution to different components of stability





Species contribution to different components of stability





Mathematical relationship among stability new metrics



Stability measure	Interpretation	Formula
Asymptotic	Slowest asympt. rate of return	$\mathcal{R}_{\infty} = -\Re(\lambda_{\text{dom}}(A))$ ^(a)
resilience	to equilibrium after a shock.	
Deterministic	Inverse of maximal response	$\mathcal{I}_{\rm D} = (\sup_{\omega} (i\omega - A)^{-1})^{-1}$ (b)
invariability	amplitude to periodic forcing.	
Stochastic	Inverse of maximal response	$\mathcal{I}_{\rm S} = \frac{1}{2} - \hat{A}^{-1} ^{-1} (c)$
invariability	variance to white-noise.	
Initial	Slowest initial rate of return	$\mathcal{R}_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_{\text{dom}}(A + A^{\top})^{(d)}$
resilience	to equilibrium after a shock.	

^(a) λ_{dom} is the eigenvalue of community matrix A with maximal real part $\Re(\lambda_{\text{dom}})$.

^(b) *i* is the imaginary unit and $\omega \geq 0$. $|| \cdot ||$ is the spectral norm of matrices.

 ${}^{\rm (c)}\,\hat{A}=A\otimes\mathbb{I}+\mathbb{I}\otimes A$ where \mathbb{I} is the identity matrix; \otimes is the Kronecker product.

^(d) A^{\top} is the transpose of A.

 $\mathcal{R}_0 \leq \mathcal{I}_S \leq \mathcal{I}_D \leq \mathcal{R}_\infty$