



Large-scale assessment of the impact of protein sequence variations on ageing using *Drosophila melanogaster*

Marina Abakarova

16.06.22 Aussois

Project supervisors Elodie Laine, MCF SU Michael Rera, CR CNRS



Biological context









.

Protein 2

Ageing phenotype: a continuous quantitative trait

broad continuous range of life expectancies



lvanov et al. 2015 Mackay et al. 2012

Ageing phenotype: a continuous quantitative trait

GWAS - Genome Wide Association Study
 Drosophila melanogaster Genetic Reference Panel (DGRP)
 2M of tested SNPs, only ~4.7% describes life expectancy variation



6 -30 requency 20 10 0-20 40 80 Mean lifespan [days]

Ivanov et al. 2015 Mackay et al. 2012



Tricoire&Rera PloS One 2015





Tricoire&Rera PloS One 2015



Tricoire&Rera PloS One 2015

a protein full mutational landscape



Takes as input a Multiple Sequence Alignment (MSA) and explicitly accounts for the evolutionary relationships between natural protein sequences.

Main hypotheses

- conservation is an indicator of mutational sensitivity
 - epistasis: positions interact with each other

MGFHIIVOVFODR MSFHIIVOLFODR MSFHVTVETFEDR VSFHVIVEVFEDR AGFHICVOVYENK ASFHICVQVYENK MGFHICVOVYONK LGFHICVQVY.NK GSFHPLVEVYODK KGFHP.VEIY.DK MPWHIMVDV.ONK MHWHIMVDV.QNK SIWHTIVNVFKDK SGWHILVNVYKDK SGWHTLVNVFKDK MKWHILVNIFKDK KGFHP.VEIY.DK MPWHIMVDV.ONK MHWHIMVDV.ONK SLWHILVNVFKDK SGWHTLVNVYKDK SGWHILVNVFKDK MKWHILVNIFKDK

MGFHIIVOVFODR MSFHIIVOLFODR MSFHVIVEIFEDR VSFHVIVEVFEDR /N sequences AGFHICVQVYENK ASFHICVOVYENK . . MGFHICVQVYQNK LGFHICVOVY.NK Gibbs sampling GSFHPLVEVYODK MGFHPLVEVYODK KGFHP.VEIY.DK MPWHIMVDV.ONK MHWHIMVDV.ONK $T_{\text{JET}}(i) = \frac{1}{M_i} \sum_{t=1}^{M_i} \frac{L_t - l_i^t}{I}$ SLWHILVNVFKDK SGWHILVNVYKDK SGWHILVNVFKDK MKWHILVNIFKDK

Joint Evolutionary Trees

|) > ||

MSA with N sequences

Main hypotheses

- conservation is an indicator of mutational sensitivity
- epistasis: positions interact with each other

q EPRR I V I HRGSTGLG FN I VGGEDGEG I F I SF I LAGGPADLSG ELRKGDQ I LS VNGVDLRNASH

		II									
S	QVEY	I D I ERF	• <mark>AG</mark> GLGI	SVVAVR	SHTDI	FVKEV	QPG <mark>S</mark> 14	AD <mark>RDQ</mark> R	QIL <mark>AIN</mark>	HTPLDR	VSH

$$D_{evol}(q,s) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{JET}(i)^2 * \mathbf{1}_{X_i^q \neq X_i^s}(i)$$

Main hypotheses

- conservation is an indicator of mutational sensitivity
 - epistasis: positions interact with each other

q EPRR I V I HRGSTGLG FN I VGGEDGEG I F I SF I LAGGPADLSG ELRKGDQ I LS VNGVDLRNASH



$$D_{evol}(q,s) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{JET}(i)^2 * \mathbf{1}_{X_i^q \neq X_i^s}(i)$$

Epistatic contribution:

$$PE^{Epi}(Y_i) = \min[D_{evol}(q, s)]$$

Independent contribution:

$$PE^{Ind}(Y_i) = -\log\left[\frac{\max(1, |S_{Y_i}|)}{|S_{X_i}|}\right]$$

E.Laine et al. MBE 2019

Main hypotheses

- conservation is an indicator of mutational sensitivity
- epistasis: positions interact with each other



Epistatic contribution:

$$PE^{Epi}(Y_i) = \min[D_{evol}(q, s)]$$

Independent contribution:

$$PE^{Ind}(Y_i) = -\log\left[\frac{\max(1, |S_{Y_i}|)}{|S_{X_i}|}\right]$$

E.Laine et al. MBE 2019





MSA	Method	#(seqs)
orig	JackHMMER (5 it), Uniref100	~15 000
colabFold	MMseqs2, Uniref30+Env.	~9 000
Pfam	from UniProt	~43 000
ProteinNet	JackHMMER (5 it), Uniparc + JGI	~220-250 000
ConSurfDB	HMMER (1 it), Uniref90	300
CATH	Muscle on CATH superfamily	300

Landscape covered by the MSA

 $1 - \frac{\#(\text{missing substitutions})}{\#(\text{substitutions})}$

GEMME predictions for BLAT (~5000 mut)









Spearman correlation orig: 0.74

Confirmed on the benchmark set (24 proteins)

ColabFold (MMseqs) > Pfam

Landscape covered by the MSA

1 - #(missing substitutions) #(substitutions)





Spearman correlation orig: 0.74

Confirmed on the benchmark set (24 proteins)

ColabFold (MMseqs) > Pfam

Scanning of the entire Fly proteome (95%: 29 339 sequences)

Landscape covered by the MSA

1 - #(missing substitutions) #(substitutions)

Protein language models

VESPA - Variant Effect Score Prediction without Alignments



pLM-embeddings - protT5

→ no alignment needed
→ very fast

Embeddings and VESPA predictions for for the entire proteome (100%: 30 784 sequences)

C.Marquet *et al.* 2021 Elnaggar *et al.* 2021



Lethal Phenotype

B - all die before larval stage C - all die before end of P-stage

G - all die during larval stage

H - all die during P-stage I - all die during pupal stage

A - all die before end of first instar larval stage

D - all die before end of prepupal stageE - all die before end of pupal stageF - all die during embryonic stage



Lethal Phenotypes 1.0 0.8 Normalized rank 0.2 GEMME 0.0 VESPA B C D G Ĥ Phenotype

Ageing phenotype

Distribution of mutational outcomes predicted by GEMME



The outcomes predicted for the genetic polymorphism observed in DGRP lines, and more specifically for the SNPs displaying highly significant p-values in the GWAS study span a wide range of values.

A specific example...

"miles to go" gene, crucial for the neuromuscular growth and branching.





Identify a set of non-synonymous single nucleotide polymorphism (SNPs) highly relevant for ageing and validate them by in vivo experiments on flies.

Investigate SNPs annotated in **lethal phenotypes** with relatively low mutational effect.

Build a predictive and interpretable model to characterize the way alternative splicing shapes Drosophila's proteome and interactome during ageing.

Expand our methods to non coding genome.

Explore evolutionary semantics in language models.

M2 project: predicting SNPs relevant to longevity in Drosophila



Large-scale assessment of the impact of protein sequence variations on ageing using *Drosophila melanogaster*





117 lines 27k female flies in total

M plot - inversion in 2R chr associated with 30% lifespan increase for homoz

INFO+

- 117 DGRP, une resource publics des lignées isogénique
- c'est la proportion qui augmentte avec le temps et pas la quantité
- Femelle: elles sont plus grande donc plus facilement observable, les smurf mal meurent plus tot que les femelle. et pour diminuer la quantités (pourquoi comme ça?)
- Croisement avec les males que les deux premiers jours
- lifespan de 15 à 67 jours
- studying ageing allows us to identify previously hidden features of ageing

Computational methods: Benchmarking with state-of-the-art methods

ROSTLAB.

Spearman correlation between DMS experiment and predictions by different methods



Bottleneck

Computational power problem with ColabFold:

- MeSU cluster : a very large shared-memory computer with 16 TB memory
- RAM memory 810-840Go for 3000 sequences
- running time: 4 hours in average

MSA	Method	#(seqs)
orig	JackHMMER (5 it), Uniref100	~15 000
colabFold	MMseqs2, Uniref30+Env.	~9 000
Pfam	from UniProt	~43 000
ProteinNet	JackHMMER (5 it), Uniparc + JGI	~220-250 000
ConSurfDB	HMMER (1 it), Uniref90	300
CATH	Muscle on CATH superfamily	300



Manipulating gene expression



a protein full mutational landscape



Takes as input a Multiple Sequence Alignment (MSA) and explicitly accounts for the evolutionary relationships between natural protein sequences.

How to build the input MSA? Default method - Jackhammer 5 iterations ~ 1h for a protein



E.Laine et al. MBE 2019

Bibliography

- 1. H.Tricoire, **M.Rera**. A New, Discontinuous 2 Phases of Aging Model: Lessons from Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS ONE 2015
- **2.** Laine, E.; Karami, Y.; Carbone, A. *GEMME: A Simple and Fast Global Epistatic Model Predicting Mutational Effects.* Mol. Biol. Evol. 2019
- 3. Rera, M., Clark, R. I. & Walker, D. W. Intestinal barrier dysfunction links metabolic and inflammatory markers of aging to death in Drosophila. PNAS 2012
- 4. Mirdita M., Schütz K., Moriwaki Y., Heo L., Ovchinnikov S., Steinegger M. ColabFold Making protein folding accessible to all biorxiv
- 5. Marquet C, Heinzinger M, Olenyi T, Dallago C, Erckert K, Bernhofer M, Nechaev D, Rost B. *Embeddings from protein language models predict conservation and variant effects.* Hum Genet. 2021
- 6. Zea, D. J.; Laskina, S.; Baudin, A.; Richard, H.; Laine, E. Assessing Conservation of Alternative Splicing with Evolutionary Splicing Graphs. Genome Res. 2021
- 7. Riesselman AJ, Ingraham JB, Marks DS. *Deep generative models of genetic variation capture the effects of mutations*. Nat Methods. 2018

How to build the input MSA?

JackHMMER iterative search based on profile Hidden Markov Model against Uniref100

ColabFold Many against Many sequence searching (MMseqs)

Pfam

ProteinNet

ConSurfDB

CATH

MSA	Method	#(seqs)
orig	JackHMMER (5 it), Uniref100	~15 000
colabFold	MMseqs2, Uniref30+Env.	~9 000
Pfam	from UniProt	~43 000



Profile Hidden Markov Model

Projet

- 1) GEMME, basé sur l'évolution JET(relation hierarchique) VS pLM
- comparaison des predictions -> trouver ce que GEMME a par rapport
- 1) gènes candidats CVVVEVFEDR
- 2) SNP dans les fighées veréprésentatif ou pas, comment ça se compare aux phenotypes covvy. NK
- 3) identify genetic strang str
- 4) est-ce qu'on a suff of information dans les parties transcrites -> challenge
 - : Region non-codanter adapter GEMME et Thorax

SGWHILVNVYKDK SGWHILVNVFKDK MKWHILVNIFKDK



0 Spearman rank correlation coefficient 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 0.0 orig pfam CATH colabFold proteinNet-4RVA conSurfDB-1ZG4 conSurfDB-4RVA proteinNet-1ZG²

GEMME predictions for BLAT

Spearman correlation orig: 0.74

Confirmed on the benchmark set (24 proteins)

ColabFold (MMseqs) > Pfam

Scanning of the entire Fly proteome (95%: 29 339 sequences)

Execution time for building the alignments: 4 hours per 3 000 sequences

Alignments and GEMME predictions were generated in 2-3 days for the whole proteome

