

## Generalization of a Well-known Inequality

YanYan Li and Louis Nirenberg

*Dedicated to Djairo De Figueiredo on his seventieth birthday*

### Section 1.

The well-known inequality refers to a nonnegative  $C^2$  function  $u$  defined on an interval  $(-R, R)$ . The inequality is in:

**Proposition 1.** *Assume*

$$|\ddot{u}| \leq M.$$

*Then,*

$$|\dot{u}(0)| \leq \sqrt{2u(0)M} \quad \text{if } M \geq \frac{2u(0)}{R^2} \quad (1)$$

$$|\dot{u}(0)| \leq \frac{u(0)}{R} + \frac{R}{2}M \quad \text{if } M < \frac{2u(0)}{R^2}. \quad (2)$$

This is sometimes called Glaeser's inequality, see [2]; there it is attributed to Malgrange. It was used by Nirenberg and Treves in [3], where it is said that the inequality was probably known to Cauchy.

Here is the simple

*Proof.* For  $x$  in  $(-R, R)$ ,

$$u(x) = u(0) + x\dot{u}(0) + \int_0^x (x-y)\ddot{u}(y)dy.$$

So

$$|\dot{u}(0)| \leq \frac{u(0)}{|x|} + \frac{|x|}{2}M. \quad (3)$$

If  $R \geq \sqrt{\frac{2u(0)}{M}}$ , minimize the right hand side of (3) for  $|x|$  on  $(0, R)$ . This yields

(1). If  $R < \sqrt{\frac{2u(0)}{M}}$ , simply take  $|x| = R$  — to get (2).  $\square$

The function  $u = (x + R)^2$  shows that the constant  $\sqrt{2}$  in (1) cannot be improved. We present here several generalizations to higher dimensions. Our first

generalization is for  $C^2$ , nonnegative function  $u$  defined on a ball  $B_R = \{|x| \leq R\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proposition 2.** *Assume*

$$\max |\Delta u| = M.$$

*Then there is a constant  $C$  depending only on  $n$  such that*

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq C\sqrt{u(0)M} \quad \text{if } R \geq \sqrt{\frac{u(0)}{M}} \geq 2|x|, \quad (4)$$

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq C\left(\frac{u(0)}{R} + RM\right) \quad \text{if } 2|x| \leq R < \sqrt{\frac{u(0)}{M}}. \quad (5)$$

**Question 1.** *What is the best constant  $C$  in (4) for  $x = 0$ ?*

*Proof of Proposition 2.* For  $0 < r < R$ , let  $v$  be the function which is harmonic in  $|x| \leq r$ , with

$$v = u \quad \text{on } |x| = r.$$

Then  $w = u - v$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta w| = |\Delta u| &\leq M \quad \text{in } B_r, \\ w &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B_r. \end{aligned}$$

A standard inequality is

$$r|\nabla w(x)| + |w(x)| \leq CMr^2, \quad \forall |x| \leq \frac{r}{2}. \quad (6)$$

Here, and from now on in this proof,  $C$  represents different positive constants depending only on  $n$ . Now, by the gradient estimates and the Harnack inequality,

$$r|\nabla v(x)| \leq C \sup_{B_{\frac{3r}{4}}} v \leq Cv(0), \quad \forall |x| \leq \frac{r}{2}. \quad (7)$$

Combining (6) and (7) we find

$$r|\nabla u(x)| \leq C(Mr^2 + v(0)) \leq C(Mr^2 + u(0) + CMr^2), \quad \forall |x| \leq \frac{r}{2}.$$

Thus

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq C\left(\frac{u(0)}{r} + Mr\right), \quad \forall |x| \leq \frac{r}{2}.$$

If  $R \geq \sqrt{\frac{u(0)}{M}}$ , we take  $r = \sqrt{\frac{u(0)}{M}}$ , and we obtain (4). If  $R < \sqrt{\frac{u(0)}{M}}$ , we take  $r = R$ , and we obtain (5).  $\square$

**Section 2.**

Here is another simple generalization for  $u \geq 0$  in  $B_R$ .

**Proposition 3.** *Suppose*

$$\|\Delta u\|_{L^p(B_R)} = M \quad \text{for some } p > n.$$

Then

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq C u(0)^{\frac{p-n}{2p-n}} M^{\frac{p}{2p-n}} \quad \text{if } R \geq \left(1 - \frac{n}{p}\right)^{\frac{p}{n-2p}} \left(\frac{u(0)}{M}\right)^{\frac{p}{2p-n}} \geq 2|x|, \quad (8)$$

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq C \left(\frac{u(0)}{R} + MR^{1-\frac{n}{p}}\right) \quad \text{if } 2|x| \leq R < \left(1 - \frac{n}{p}\right)^{\frac{p}{n-2p}} \left(\frac{u(0)}{M}\right)^{\frac{p}{2p-n}}. \quad (9)$$

Here  $C$  is a constant depending only on  $n$  and  $p$ .

*Proof.* For  $0 < r < R$ , let  $v$  and  $w$  be defined in  $B_r$  as in the preceding proof. First we have

$$r|\nabla v(x)| \leq C \sup_{B_{\frac{3}{4}r}} v \leq Cv(0), \quad \forall |x| \leq \frac{r}{2}.$$

Next, by standard estimates, for  $p > n$ ,

$$r|\nabla w(x)| + |w(x)| \leq CMr^{2-\frac{n}{p}}, \quad \forall |x| \leq \frac{r}{2}. \quad (10)$$

Here  $C = C(n, p)$ . Hence

$$r|\nabla u(x)| \leq CMr^{2-\frac{n}{p}} + Cv(0) \leq CMr^{2-\frac{n}{p}} + Cu(0), \quad \forall |x| \leq \frac{r}{2}$$

i.e.

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq C \left(\frac{u(0)}{r} + Mr^{1-\frac{n}{p}}\right), \quad \forall |x| \leq \frac{r}{2}. \quad (11)$$

The minimum of the right hand side of (11), with respect to  $r$ , is achieved when

$$-\frac{u(0)}{r^2} + \left(1 - \frac{n}{p}\right)Mr^{-\frac{n}{p}} = 0$$

i.e. when

$$r = \left(1 - \frac{n}{p}\right)^{\frac{p}{n-2p}} \left(\frac{u(0)}{M}\right)^{\frac{p}{2p-n}}.$$

Arguing then as before, we obtain (8) and (9). □

**Section 3.**

What can we say if  $u \geq 0$  and

$$M = \|\Delta u\|_{L^p(B_R)} \quad \text{for some } p \leq n? \quad (12)$$

If  $p \in (\frac{n}{2}, n)$  we can obtain a Hölder continuity result with exponent

$$\alpha = 2 - \frac{n}{p} \quad (13)$$

in a form like (9). Namely we have

**Proposition 4.** *Suppose  $u \geq 0$  in  $B_R$  and (12) holds with some  $p \in (\frac{n}{2}, n)$ . Then, for  $x, y \in B_{R/2}$ ,  $x \neq y$ , and  $\alpha = 2 - \frac{n}{p}$ ,*

$$\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \leq C\left(\frac{u(0)}{R^\alpha} + MR^{2 - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha}\right) \tag{14}$$

where  $C$  depends on  $n$  and  $p$ .

*Proof.* Let  $v$  and  $w$  be defined as before, but in the entire ball  $B_R$ . By standard elliptic estimates and the Harnack inequality, since  $\frac{n}{2} < p < n$ , we have, for  $x \neq y$  in  $B_{R/2}$ ,

$$R^\alpha \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \leq C \sup_{B_{\frac{3}{8}R}} v \leq Cv(0).$$

Also

$$|w(0)| + R^\alpha \frac{|w(x) - w(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \leq CMR^{2 - \frac{n}{p}}. \tag{15}$$

Combining these, we find, as before,

$$R^\alpha \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \leq CMR^{2 - \frac{n}{p}} + Cu(0),$$

namely, (14). □

**Remark 1.** *More generally, suppose  $p > \frac{n}{2}$ . Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$  be such that  $p > \frac{n}{2 - \alpha}$ . Then the inequality (15) still holds, with  $C = C(n, p, \alpha)$ . Thus we find that for  $u \geq 0$  in  $B_R$  and*

$$\|\Delta u\|_{L^p(B_R)} = M, \quad p > \frac{n}{2}$$

then, in  $B_R$ ,

$$\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \leq C\left(\frac{u(0)}{R^\alpha} + MR^{2 - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha}\right),$$

where  $C = C(n, p, \alpha)$ .

**Section 4.**

We extend Proposition 2 from  $\Delta$  to second order elliptic operators with continuous coefficients. Consider

$$L = a_{ij}(x)\partial_{ij} + b_i(x)\partial_i + c(x),$$

where  $a_{ij}, b_i, c$  are continuous functions in the unit ball  $B_1$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $c(x) \leq 0$  for all  $|x| < 1$ , and, for some constants  $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda < \infty$ ,

$$\lambda|\xi|^2 \leq a_{ij}(x)\xi^i\xi^j \leq \Lambda|\xi|^2, \quad \forall |x| < 1, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The extension of Proposition 2 concerns some  $W^{2,p}$ ,  $p > 1$ , nonnegative function  $u$  defined on  $B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  for some  $R \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Proposition 5.** *Assume the above and*

$$\max |Lu| = M.$$

*Then there is a constant  $C$  depending only on  $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \|b_i\|_{L^\infty(B_{\frac{3}{4}})}, \|c\|_{L^\infty(B_{\frac{3}{4}})}$ , and the modulus of continuity of  $a_{ij}(x)$  in  $B_{\frac{3}{4}}$  such that (4) and (5) hold.*

*Proof.* For  $0 < r \leq R$ , let  $v$  be the solution of

$$Lv = 0 \text{ in } B_r, \quad v = u \text{ on } \partial B_r.$$

Then  $w = v - u$  satisfies

$$|Lw| \leq M \text{ in } B_r, \quad w = 0 \text{ on } \partial B_r.$$

By the  $W^{2,p}$  estimates, (6) holds, where, and from now on in the proof,  $C$  denotes various positive constants depending only on  $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \|b_i\|_{L^\infty(B_{\frac{3}{4}})}, \|c\|_{L^\infty(B_{\frac{3}{4}})}$ , and the modulus of continuity of  $a_{ij}(x)$  in  $B_{\frac{3}{4}}$ . Estimate (7) follows from the Harnack inequality of Krylov and Safonov, see [1]. The rest of the proof is identical to the corresponding part of the proof of Proposition 2.  $\square$

**Section 5.**

We extend Proposition 4 from  $\Delta$  to operators  $L$  in Section 4. We assume  $u \geq 0$  and

$$M = \|Lu\|_{L^p(B_R)}. \tag{16}$$

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $L$  be the operator in Section 4, we suppose  $u \geq 0$  in  $B_R$  for some  $R \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and (16) holds with some  $p \in (\frac{n}{2}, n)$ . Then for  $x, y \in B_{\frac{R}{2}}, x \neq y$ , and  $\alpha = 2 - \frac{n}{p}$ ,*

$$\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \leq C \left( \frac{u(0)}{R^\alpha} + MR^{2 - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha} \right) \tag{17}$$

*where  $C$  depends on  $n, p, \lambda, \Lambda, \|b_i\|_{L^\infty(B_{\frac{3}{4}})}, \|c\|_{L^\infty(B_{\frac{3}{4}})}$ , and the modulus of continuity of  $a_{ij}(x)$  in  $B_{\frac{3}{4}}$ .*

*Proof.* It is similar to that of Proposition 4, with the help of  $W^{2,p}$  estimates for  $L$  and the Harnack inequality of Krylov and Safonov.  $\square$

**Remark 2.** *If we take  $p = n$  in Proposition 6, then by using the Hölder continuity estimate of Krylov and Safonov instead of the  $W^{2,p}$  estimates in the proof of Proposition 6, inequality (17) holds for some positive constants  $\alpha$  and  $C$  which depend on  $n, p, \lambda, \Lambda, \|b_i\|_{L^\infty(B_{\frac{3}{4}})}$ , and  $\|c\|_{L^\infty(B_{\frac{3}{4}})}$ , but independent of the modulus of continuity of  $a_{ij}$ .*

## References

- [1] D. Gilbarg and N.S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Reprint of the 1998 edition, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [2] G. Glaeser, Racine carrée d'une fonction différentiable, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **13** (1963), 203-207.
- [3] L. Nirenberg and F. Trèves, Solvability of a first order linear partial differential equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **16** (1963), 331-351.

YanYan Li<sup>1</sup>  
Department of Mathematics  
Rutgers University  
110 Frelinghuysen Road  
Piscataway, NJ 08854  
USA

Louis Nirenberg  
Courant Institute  
251 Mercer Street  
New York, NY 10012  
USA

---

<sup>1</sup>Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0401118.