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Asian Options and Stochastic Time Changes

G - Yor (Notes aux Comptes Rendus 1992, Mathem Finance 1993)

1. In order to find the "exact" price of an Asian option in the Black-Scholes – Merton setting
   • use the property that a geometric Brownian motion is a \textit{time-changed} squared-Bessel process
     \[ S(t) = \text{BESQ} (X(t)) \]
     where the time change \( X(t) \) is completely specified by the parameters of the geometric Brownian motion \( S(t) \)
   • Use also two times Girsanov theorem and obtain the Laplace transform of the Asian option price with respect to the time-to-maturity
2. Introduce the idea of a stochastic time change to represent stochastic volatility

3. This powerful approach was used in CGMYSV (Math Fin, 2003), where the undesirable property of independence of increments in the Lévy process CGMY is broken by the introduction of a stochastic volatility, itself written as a time change. Hence, the log-price process $Z$ is written as

$$Z(t) = \text{CGMY} ( A(t))$$

where $A$ was chosen to be the integral of a square-root process $D$.

$\Rightarrow$ Since $D(t)$ is positive, $A(t)$ - its integral from $0$ to $t$ - is increasing almost surely, the only general condition a time change needs to satisfy. The mean-reversion property of $D$ creates volatility clustering, as observed by many authors (Bates, Fouque, Barndorff-Nielsen)

$\Rightarrow$ The process $Z$ now is able to calibrate the volatility surface while CGMY was able to calibrate well only short (or long) maturities. The number of parameters in $Z$ is seven (in fact 6 because of the drift condition under $Q$)
CGMY (2002) volatility surface: short calibration

- Very flat at long maturity
CGMY volatility surface: long calibration

- Much better at long maturity
- Short maturity bad
→ Eydeland- G (RISK, 1995) invert the Laplace transform of these quantities. For instance, in the case of the Asian call option, the Laplace transform

$$\varphi(h) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-ht} C(t) \, dt$$

is first changed into

$$\varphi_1(h) = \varphi(h) e^{\alpha h}$$

where the factor $\alpha$ is chosen to make $\varphi$ regular in the domain of complex numbers with a positive real part.

→ Because $\varphi_1$ is holomorphic in the $h \geq 0$, its inverse Laplace transform maybe expressed in terms of its inverse Fourier transform.

→ For computational efficiency, Eydeland -G use the Fast Fourier transform and derive the inversion of the function $\varphi_1$ (or equivalently, $\varphi$).

→ Carr - Madan (1999) use the same multiplier in their paper on the Fourier transform in option pricing.
Asian or Average Rate Options in Oil, Gold and Agriculturals

An Asian is an option whose pay-off is based on an average of close or open prices, rather than on a single price at maturity.

Pay-out for the call holder = max (0, A(T) – k) where A(T) is an average over a period (0, T), calculated daily or weekly, etc…

Asian options are frequently used

• in oil markets, Asian options represent the vast majority
• in emerging markets (to avoid price manipulations at date T)
• in gold: Asian options are as liquid on the London Bullion Exchange as the plain-vanilla ones
• In all other commodity markets: electricity, natural gas, shipping
Shipping and Freight as Part of the Commodities World

→ Two types of freight
  • Dry bulk: Capesize, Panamax, Handymax
  • Tankers: Suez-Aframax

→ Major actors in the spot and forward markets: Cargill, Louis Dreyfus, Total, Shell, Deutsche Bank, Morgan Stanley

→ Trading activity
  • Baltic Exchange (London), used to offer Futures contracts, now only forwards
  • Imarex (Oslo), provides daily quotes on maritime shipping
  • LCH-Clearnet (London)
  • Nymex (New York), very active for dry bulk
The Baltic Dry Index (BDI) – 2000 to 2010
Prices dipped from 12,000 in May 08 to 700 in Dec 08!
BDI and Gold – 2008 to 2010
Theory of Storage
Keynes (1936), Kaldor (1939), Working (1949), Brennan (1958)

Three fundamentals results:

→ The convenience yield accounts for the benefit that accrues to the holder of the physical commodity but not to the holder of the futures contract. It is represented as an implicit dividend

→ The volatility of the commodity spot price is high when inventory is low

→ The volatility of Futures contracts decreases with the maturity: "Samuelson effect"

Moreover, forward curves used to be viewed as being mostly in backwardation, the so-called “normal backwardation”, due both to the convenience yield and an assumption of mean-reversion in prices
Spot-Forward Relationship for a Storable Commodity

Under no arbitrage

\[ f^T(t) = S(t) \left[ 1 + r(T - t) + c(T - t) - y_1(T - t) \right] \]

If we define a convenience yield net of cost of storage

\[ f^T(t) = S(t)[1 + (r - y)(T - t)] \]

Or in continuous time, at a fixed date \( t \) for a given maturity \( T \)

\[ f^T(t) = S(t)e^{(r-y)(T-t)} \]
An Example of Electricity Price Trajectory
Correlation Spot- Prompt month (Nordpool):
The standard convenience yield does not apply to electricity
(Eydeland- G, RISK, 1998)
LME Copper Prices, in the Sterling Numéraire
Gold Prices in the Euro Numéraire
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Number of Ounces of Gold that can buy the Average US House
Crude Oil (Petroleum)

→ **It is a** complex mixture of various hydrocarbons found in the upper layers of the earth's crust
   
The commercial drilling of oil began in Titusville, Pennsylvania, in 1859

→ There are over 200 grades of crude oil around the world, differing by the *sulfur* content and *gravity*. The highest quality crudes are those with a low sulfur content and a high specific gravity (API gravity)

→ *On this* basis, the US WTI and Malaysia's Tapis are the best quality crude oils. The heavier, sour crudes from the United Emirates and Mexico are of a poorer quality and consequently trade at a discount to WTI
Matthew Simmons, *Twilight in the Desert*

→ "Sooner or later, the worldwide use of oil must peak because oil, like the other two fossils - coal and natural gas - is non-renewable."

→ Over the past 30 years, daily oil consumption has risen by approximately 33 million barrels, Asia accounting for more than half of this growth in demand.

→ Current consumption levels suggest that the world's oil supply should last until around 2045 (without including tar sands).

→ The world's largest producers are Saudi Arabia (13% of world production), Russia (12%), the United States (7%), Iran (6%) and China (5%).

→ The Gulf of Mexico region provides about 29% of the US oil.
The oil market as a World Market

→ Seasonality is not significant since tankers are rerouted to satisfy a surge of demand in a given region

→ The representation of the spot price as a diffusion is mostly acceptable

→ It is in the context of this crucial commodity that Brennan and Schwartz (1985), Gibson and Schwartz (1990) remarkably introduced in the valuation of derivative contracts the economic concept of *convenience yield*

→ Gabillon (1991) shows the role of the convenience yield in explaining the role of oil forward curves, as well as the most frequent backwardation observed in oil forward curves up to 1990
WTI Oil Prices Jan 2002 - Oct 2007
Spreading of the Oil Forward Curve - Dec 1995 / Dec 2005
Oil Forward Curve - March 2006 (Bid and Ask)
Back to Backwardation in September 2007
In 2003, the NYMEX natural gas volatility smile is skewed to the right: 

*Inverse Leverage Effect*
A Possible Model for Oil Futures and Options

→ The first state variable is naturally the spot price of the commodity.

→ Stochastic volatility is a good candidate for the second state variable, as it will account for inventory (besides being very popular in equity option models).

→ The third state variable may be the long-term value of the commodity, translating in particular the forecast on long-term supply.

→ Hence, a three-factor model with stochastic volatility and a rising long-term price ($\mu > 0$)

$$dS_t = a(\ln L_t - \ln S_t) S_t dt + \sigma_t S_t dW_t^1$$

$$dU_t = \alpha (b - U_t) dt + \eta \sqrt{U_t} dW_t^2 \quad \text{where} \quad U_t = \sigma_t^2$$

$$dL_t = \mu L_t dt + \xi L_t dW_t^3$$
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