Second-order optimality conditions The case of a state constrained optimal control problem for a parabolic equation

J. Frédéric Bonnans

INRIA-Saclay & CMAP, Ecole Polytechnique, France Joint work with Pascal Jaisson, U. Versailles St-Quentin

Journée de bilan de la chaire MMSN, EADS, Suresnes, 13 octobre 2010









- Setting: optimal control of a PDE
 State equation
- ③ First-order optimality system
- 4 Second-order optimality system
- 5 Sensitivity analysis

4 3 6 4 3 6

Framework

Motivating example

- The simplest state constrained optimal control problem !
- Joint work with A. Hermant (for this section)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Framework

Data of the academic example

(*P*) Min
$$\int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{2}u^2(t) + g(t)y(t)\right) dt$$

s.t. $\dot{y}(t) = u(t), \quad y(0) = y(1) = 0, \quad y(t) \ge h$

with

$$g(t) := (c - \sin(\alpha t))g_0, \qquad c > 0, \ \alpha > 0.$$

Time viewed as second state variable $(\dot{\tau} = 1)$ $\mu = (h - h_0)/(h_1 - h_0)$ homotopy parameter $h_0 = \min \bar{y}(t)$, where \bar{y} is the solution of unconstrained problem $h_1 = h$ target value; numerical values are

$$g_0 := 10, \qquad \alpha = 10\pi, \qquad c = 0.1, \qquad h_1 = -0.001.$$

Framework

Unconstrained problem: optimal state



k = 0

Framework

Neigborhood of limiting problem: when $\mu > 0$ is small

For $\mu > 0$ the state constraint is active (convex problem)

The contact set could be then for small $\mu > 0$:

- One point
- A small interval
- A non connected set

Your guess ?

マロト イヨト イヨト

Framework

Neigborhood of limiting problem: when $\mu > 0$ is small

For $\mu > 0$ the state constraint is active (convex problem)

- Structural result: the contact set is an interval
- Quantitative result: first-order expansion of value of extreme points of that interval !

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶





k = 1

J. Frédéric Bonnans





k = 2

J. Frédéric Bonnans





k = 3

J. Frédéric Bonnans

Numerical results V



k = 4

Framework

Numerical results VI



k = 5

An academic example Setting: optimal control of a PDE

First-order optimality system Second-order optimality system Sensitivity analysis

State equation

 $\begin{array}{rcl} y_t - \Delta y + \gamma y^3 &=& i_\omega u \mbox{ in } Q = \Omega \times [0, T] \\ y &=& 0 \mbox{ over } \Sigma = \partial \Omega \times [0, T], \\ y(\cdot, 0) &=& y_0 \mbox{ over } \Omega, \end{array}$

State equation

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \ T > 0$

 Ω open bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \in \{2,3\}$, with C^2 -smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$,

 ω open subset of Ω , $Q_{\omega} = \omega \times [0, T]$, $u \in L^{2}(Q_{\omega})$, i_{ω} injection from $L^{2}(Q_{\omega}) \rightarrow L^{2}(Q)$ $y_{0} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

An academic example Setting: optimal control of a PDE

First-order optimality system Second-order optimality system Sensitivity analysis

State equation

 $\begin{array}{rcl} y_t - \Delta y + \gamma y^3 & = & i_\omega u \mbox{ in } Q = \Omega \times [0, T] \\ y & = & 0 \mbox{ over } \Sigma = \partial \Omega \times [0, T], \\ y(\cdot, 0) & = & y_0 \mbox{ over } \Omega, \end{array}$

State equation

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \ T > 0$

 Ω open bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \in \{2,3\}$, with C^2 -smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$,

$$\omega$$
 open subset of Ω , $Q_{\omega} = \omega \times [0, T]$, $u \in L^{2}(Q_{\omega})$,
 i_{ω} injection from $L^{2}(Q_{\omega}) \rightarrow L^{2}(Q)$
 $y_{0} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

An academic example Setting: optimal control of a PDE First-order optimality system

First-order optimality system Second-order optimality system Sensitivity analysis

Functional spaces

State equation

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}^{2,1}(Q) := \{y \in L^2(0,T,\mathcal{H}^2(\Omega)); \; y_t \in L^2(Q)\}, \ & \mathcal{H}^{2,1}_{\Sigma}(Q) := \{y \in \mathcal{H}^{2,1}(Q); \; y = 0 \; ext{ over } \Sigma\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$H^{2,1}(Q) \subset C([0, T], H^1_0(\Omega))$$

$$H^1(\Omega) \subset L^6(\Omega), \quad \text{ for } n \leq 3$$

We say that $y \in H^{2,1}(Q)$ is a state associated with $u \in L^2(Q)$ if (y, u) satisfies the state equation.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

State equation

Well-posedness of the state equation

Refs Bebernes-Kassoy 81, Tartar (Topics in nonlinear analysis, 78)

Lemma

For given $u \in L^2(Q_\omega)$, either the state equation has a unique solution, or there exists a maximal time $\tau \in (0, T]$ such that the state equation with time restricted to $[0, \tau - \varepsilon]$ has, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, a unique solution, and $|y(t)|_6$ is not bounded over $[0, \tau)$.

In any case we denote by y_u the solution.

The implicit function theorem can be applied to the state equation.

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

State equation

Well-posedness of the state equation

Refs Bebernes-Kassoy 81, Tartar (Topics in nonlinear analysis, 78)

Lemma

For given $u \in L^2(Q_{\omega})$, either the state equation has a unique solution, or there exists a maximal time $\tau \in (0, T]$ such that the state equation with time restricted to $[0, \tau - \varepsilon]$ has, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, a unique solution, and $|y(t)|_6$ is not bounded over $[0, \tau)$.

In any case we denote by y_u the solution.

The implicit function theorem can be applied to the state equation.

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

State equation

Optimal control problem

Cost function, with N > 0:

$$J(u,y) = \frac{1}{2} \int_Q (y(x,t) - y_d(x,t))^2 \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t + \frac{N}{2} \int_{Q_\omega} u^2(x,t) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t.$$

State constraint

$$g(y(\cdot,t)) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |y(x,t)|^2 \mathrm{d}x - C \le 0.$$
 (1)

 $\min_{\substack{(u,y)\in L^2(Q_\omega)\times H^{2,1}(Q)}} J(u,y) \text{ s.t. the state equation and (1).} \quad (P)$

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

э

State equation

Optimal control problem

Cost function, with N > 0:

$$J(u,y) = \frac{1}{2} \int_Q (y(x,t) - y_d(x,t))^2 \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t + \frac{N}{2} \int_{Q_\omega} u^2(x,t) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t.$$

State constraint

$$g(y(\cdot,t)) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |y(x,t)|^2 \mathrm{d}x - C \leq 0. \tag{1}$$

 $\min_{\substack{(u,y)\in L^2(Q_\omega)\times H^{2,1}(Q)}} J(u,y) \text{ s.t. the state equation and (1).}$

3

State equation

Optimal control problem

Cost function, with N > 0:

$$J(u,y) = \frac{1}{2} \int_Q (y(x,t) - y_d(x,t))^2 \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t + \frac{N}{2} \int_{Q_\omega} u^2(x,t) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t.$$

State constraint

$$g(y(\cdot,t)) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |y(x,t)|^2 \mathrm{d}x - C \leq 0. \tag{1}$$

 $\min_{\substack{(u,y)\in L^2(Q_\omega)\times H^{2,1}(Q)}} J(u,y) \text{ s.t. the state equation and (1). } (P)$

3

An academic example Setting: optimal control of a PDE

First-order optimality system Second-order optimality system Sensitivity analysis

State equation

Existence of a solution

Existence easily obtained when $\gamma \geq 0$ Unclear if $\gamma < 0$.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

State equation

Quadratic growth

We say that (\bar{u}, \bar{y}) is a local solution of (P) that satisfies the *quadratic growth condition* with parameter $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, if it belongs to F(P) and there exists $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\begin{array}{l} J(\bar{u},\bar{y}) \geq J(u,y) + \theta |\bar{u}-u|^2_{L^2(Q_{\omega})} \text{ if } (u,y) \in F(P) \text{ and } |u-\bar{u}|_{L^2(Q_{\omega})} \leq \rho. \\ (2) \\ \text{If this holds for } \theta = 0, \text{ we say that } (\bar{u},\bar{y}) \text{ is a local solution of } (P). \end{array}$$

We say that $(\bar{u}, \bar{y}) \in F(P)$ satisfies the quadratic growth condition if (2) holds for some $\theta > 0$ and $\rho > 0$.

イロト イポト イラト イラト

State equation

Quadratic growth

We say that (\bar{u}, \bar{y}) is a local solution of (P) that satisfies the *quadratic growth condition* with parameter $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, if it belongs to F(P) and there exists $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\begin{array}{l} J(\bar{u},\bar{y}) \geq J(u,y) + \theta |\bar{u} - u|^2_{L^2(Q_\omega)} \mbox{ if } (u,y) \in F(P) \mbox{ and } |u - \bar{u}|_{L^2(Q_\omega)} \leq \rho. \end{array} (2) \\ \mbox{ (1)} If this holds for $\theta = 0$, we say that (\bar{u},\bar{y}) is a local solution of (P).} \\ \mbox{We say that } (\bar{u},\bar{y}) \in F(P)$ satisfies the quadratic growth condition if (2) holds for some $\theta > 0$ and $\rho > 0$.} \end{array}$$

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

An academic example Setting: optimal control of a PDE

First-order optimality system Second-order optimality system Sensitivity analysis

Definitions

Contact set:

$$I(g(y)) = \{t \in [0, T]; g(y)(t) = 0\}.$$

 χ_ω : restriction $L^2(\Omega) \to L^2(\omega)$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

э

State equation

Unqualified optimality system

Abstract format:

$$\begin{cases} G: L^2(Q_{\omega}) \times H^{2,1}_{\Sigma}(Q) \to L^2(Q) \times H^1_0(\Omega), \\ G(u,y) := \begin{pmatrix} y_t - \Delta y + \gamma y^3 - i_{\omega} u \\ y(\cdot, 0) - y_0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{cases}$$

Linearized state equation (well-posed):

$$z_t - \Delta z + 3\gamma y_u^2 = i_\omega v ext{ in } Q; \quad z = 0 ext{ on } \Sigma, \quad z(\cdot, 0) = 0.$$

Cost and constraint expressed as function of control:

$$\mathcal{J}(u) := J(u, y_u); \quad \mathcal{G}(u)(t) := g(y_u(t)) = \frac{1}{2}|y_u(t)|^2 - C.$$

Abstract problem, where $K = C([0, T])_{-}$:

 $\operatorname{Min}_{u} \mathcal{J}(u); \quad \mathcal{G}(u) \in K, \tag{AP}$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Unqualified optimality system

Abstract format:

$$\begin{cases} G: L^2(Q_{\omega}) \times H^{2,1}_{\Sigma}(Q) \to L^2(Q) \times H^1_0(\Omega), \\ G(u,y) := \begin{pmatrix} y_t - \Delta y + \gamma y^3 - i_{\omega} u \\ y(\cdot, 0) - y_0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{cases}$$

Linearized state equation (well-posed):

$$z_t - \Delta z + 3\gamma y_u^2 = i_\omega v \text{ in } Q; \quad z = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma, \quad z(\cdot, 0) = 0.$$

Cost and constraint expressed as function of control:

 $\mathcal{J}(u) := J(u, y_u); \quad \mathcal{G}(u)(t) := g(y_u(t)) = \frac{1}{2}|y_u(t)|^2 - C.$

Abstract problem, where $K = C([0, T])_{-}$:

 $\operatorname{Min}_{u} \mathcal{J}(u); \quad \mathcal{G}(u) \in K, \tag{AP}$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Unqualified optimality system

Abstract format:

$$\begin{cases} G: L^2(Q_{\omega}) \times H^{2,1}_{\Sigma}(Q) \to L^2(Q) \times H^1_0(\Omega), \\ G(u,y) := \begin{pmatrix} y_t - \Delta y + \gamma y^3 - i_{\omega} u \\ y(\cdot, 0) - y_0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{cases}$$

Linearized state equation (well-posed):

$$z_t - \Delta z + 3\gamma y_u^2 = i_\omega v ext{ in } Q; \quad z = 0 ext{ on } \Sigma, \quad z(\cdot, 0) = 0.$$

Cost and constraint expressed as function of control:

$$\mathcal{J}(u) := J(u, y_u); \quad \mathcal{G}(u)(t) := g(y_u(t)) = \frac{1}{2}|y_u(t)|^2 - C.$$

Abstract problem, where $K = C([0, T])_{-}$:

 $\underset{u}{\operatorname{Min}} \mathcal{J}(u); \quad \mathcal{G}(u) \in K, \qquad (AP)$

Unqualified optimality system

Abstract format:

$$\begin{cases} G: L^2(Q_{\omega}) \times H^{2,1}_{\Sigma}(Q) \to L^2(Q) \times H^1_0(\Omega), \\ G(u,y) := \begin{pmatrix} y_t - \Delta y + \gamma y^3 - i_{\omega} u \\ y(\cdot, 0) - y_0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{cases}$$

Linearized state equation (well-posed):

$$z_t - \Delta z + 3\gamma y_u^2 = i_\omega v ext{ in } Q; \quad z = 0 ext{ on } \Sigma, \quad z(\cdot, 0) = 0.$$

Cost and constraint expressed as function of control:

$$\mathcal{J}(u) := J(u, y_u); \quad \mathcal{G}(u)(t) := g(y_u(t)) = \frac{1}{2}|y_u(t)|^2 - C.$$

Abstract problem, where $K = C([0, T])_{-}$:

$$\operatorname{Min}_{u} \mathcal{J}(u); \quad \mathcal{G}(u) \in K, \qquad (AP)$$

Abstract optimality conditions

Normal cone to the state constraints

$$N_{\mathcal{K}}(h) = \{\mu \in M(0, T)_+; \ \operatorname{supp}(\mu) \subset h^{-1}(0)\}.$$

Generalized Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}: L^2(\mathcal{Q}_\omega) \times \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{M}([0, T])$:

$$\mathcal{L}(u,\alpha,\mu) := \alpha \mathcal{J}(u) + \langle \mu, \mathcal{G}(u) \rangle$$

Set of generalized Lagrange multipliers

 $\Lambda_{g}(u) := \{ (\alpha, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{N}_{K}(\mathcal{G}(u)); \ (\alpha, \mu) \neq 0; \ D_{u}\mathcal{L}(u, \alpha, \mu) = 0 \}.$

(日) (四) (日) (日)

Abstract optimality conditions

Normal cone to the state constraints

$$N_{\mathcal{K}}(h) = \{\mu \in M(0, T)_+; \ \operatorname{supp}(\mu) \subset h^{-1}(0)\}.$$

Generalized Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} : L^2(\mathcal{Q}_\omega) \times \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{M}([0, T])$:

$$\mathcal{L}(u, \alpha, \mu) := \alpha \mathcal{J}(u) + \langle \mu, \mathcal{G}(u) \rangle$$

Set of generalized Lagrange multipliers

 $\Lambda_{g}(u) := \{ (\alpha, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{N}_{K}(\mathcal{G}(u)); \ (\alpha, \mu) \neq 0; \ D_{u}\mathcal{L}(u, \alpha, \mu) = 0 \}.$

イヨト イヨト イヨト

Abstract optimality conditions

Normal cone to the state constraints

$$N_{\mathcal{K}}(h) = \{\mu \in M(0, T)_+; \ \operatorname{supp}(\mu) \subset h^{-1}(0)\}.$$

Generalized Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} : L^2(\mathcal{Q}_\omega) \times \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{M}([0, T])$:

$$\mathcal{L}(u, \alpha, \mu) := \alpha \mathcal{J}(u) + \langle \mu, \mathcal{G}(u) \rangle$$

Set of generalized Lagrange multipliers

$$\Lambda_{g}(u) := \{ (\alpha, \mu) \in \mathbf{R}_{+} \times N_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{G}(u)); \ (\alpha, \mu) \neq 0; \ D_{u}\mathcal{L}(u, \alpha, \mu) = 0 \}.$$

Optimality conditions II

Theorem

With a local solution (u, y) of (P) is associated a non empty set of generalized Lagrange multipliers.

explicit form of the constraint:

$$g(y) \leq 0, \quad \mu \geq 0, \quad \int_0^T g(y(t)) \mathrm{d} \mu(t) = 0.$$

(日) (品) (三) (

Use of the costate

Costate equation in the sense of transposition; formally

$$\begin{aligned} -p_t - \Delta p + 3\gamma y^2 p &= \alpha(y - y_d) + y d\mu(t) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(Q), \\ p(\cdot, T) &= 0, \\ p &= 0 \text{ on } \Sigma. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\alpha N u + \chi_{\omega} p = 0 \quad \text{ a.e. over } Q_{\omega}.$$

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Characterization of the qualification condition

Singular set = set of times for which the constraint is active and the control has no influence on its time derivative:

$$I_s(u)=\{t\in I(g(y_u));\; y_u(\cdot,t)=0\;$$
 a.e. on $\omega\}$

Theorem

Let (u, y) be a feasible point of (P). Then The set of singular multipliers is empty iff the singular set is empty. This happens iff the set of Lagrange multipliers is nonempty and bounded.

In the sequel we assume that the problem is qualified, in the sense that the singular set is empty.

< ロ > (同 > (回 > (回 >))

Characterization of the qualification condition

Singular set = set of times for which the constraint is active and the control has no influence on its time derivative:

$$I_s(u)=\{t\in I(g(y_u));\; y_u(\cdot,t)=0\;$$
 a.e. on $\omega\}$

Theorem

Let (u, y) be a feasible point of (P). Then The set of singular multipliers is empty iff the singular set is empty. This happens iff the set of Lagrange multipliers is nonempty and bounded.

In the sequel we assume that the problem is qualified, in the sense that the singular set is empty.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Alternative formulation

Lemma

Let a and b be two functions of bounded variations in [0, T]. Suppose that one is continuous, and the other is right-continuous. Then

$$a(T^{-})b(T^{-}) - a(0^{+})b(0^{+}) = \int_{0}^{T} a(t)db(t) + \int_{0}^{T} b(t)da(t).$$
 (3)

An application:

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega y(x,t) z(x,t) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}\mu(t) = -\int_0^T \int_\Omega [y_t(x,t) z(x,t)) + y(x,t) z_t(x,t)$$

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

Alternative costate

$$p^1 := p + g'(y)\mu = p + y\mu$$
 in $L^2(Q)$.

is solution in $H^{2,1}(Q)$ of

$$\begin{array}{rcl} -p_t^1 - \Delta p^1 + 3\gamma y^2 p^1 &=& y - y_d - (2\Delta y - 6\gamma y^3 + i_\omega u)\mu & \mbox{in } Q, \\ p^1(\cdot, T) &=& 0, \\ p^1(\cdot, t) &=& 0 & \mbox{on } \Sigma. \end{array}$$

Relations with the control:

$$Nu + \chi_{\omega}(p^1 - \mu y) = 0$$
 a.e. on $\omega \times [0, T]$.

therefor u has left and right limits in $H^1(\Omega)$.

Alternative costate

$$p^1 := p + g'(y)\mu = p + y\mu$$
 in $L^2(Q)$.

is solution in $H^{2,1}(Q)$ of

$$\begin{array}{rcl} -p_t^1 - \Delta p^1 + 3\gamma y^2 p^1 &=& y - y_d - (2\Delta y - 6\gamma y^3 + i_\omega u)\mu & \mbox{in } Q, \\ p^1(\cdot, T) &=& 0, \\ p^1(\cdot, t) &=& 0 & \mbox{on } \Sigma. \end{array}$$

Relations with the control:

$$Nu + \chi_{\omega}(p^1 - \mu y) = 0$$
 a.e. on $\omega \times [0, T]$.

therefor u has left and right limits in $H^1(\Omega)$.

Continuity of the control and multiplier

Lemma

Let (u, y) be a regular extremal of (P) and (p, p^1, μ) the classical and alternative costate and the multiplier associated with the state constraint. Then (i) μ is a continuous function of time and $u \in C([0, T]; H^1(\omega))$, (ii) if at time t the state constraint is active and $\int_{\omega} y^2(x, t) dx \neq 0$, then

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt}(g(y))(t) = -|\nabla y(t)|^2 + \int_{\omega} u(x,t)y(x,t)dx - \gamma|y(t)|_4^4,$$
$$\mu(t) = \frac{N|\nabla y(t)|^2 + \gamma N|y(t)|_4^4 + \int_{\omega} y(x,t)p^1(x,t)dx}{|\chi_{\omega}y(t)|_2^2}$$
$$u = \frac{1}{N}\chi_{\omega} \left(\frac{N|\nabla y(t)|^2 + \gamma N|y(t)|_4^4 + \int_{\omega} y(x,t)p^1(x,t)dx}{|\chi_{\omega}y(t)|_2^2}y - p^1\right).$$

Proof of continuity

$$[\cdot]$$
 jump function (e.g., $[u](t):=u(t^+)-u(t^-))$,

 $N[u] = [\mu]\chi_{\omega}y$

and since g attains a maximum if $[\mu] \neq 0$:

$$N|[u]|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2} = [\mu] \int_{\omega} [u] y \mathrm{d}x = [\mu] \left[\frac{d}{dt} g(y)(t) \right] \leq 0$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Regularity over a boundary arc

Lemma

Let (u, y) be a regular extremal of (P). Assume that the state constraint is active over an interval $[t_1, t_2]$, where $0 \le t_1 < t_2 \le T$. Then μ is absolutely continuous over $[t_1, t_2]$.

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Lagrangian

$$\begin{split} L(u, y, p, q, \mu) &:= \quad J(u, y) + \int_{Q} p \left(\Delta y - \gamma y^{3} + i_{\omega} u - y_{t} \right) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \\ &+ \int_{0}^{T} g(y(t)) \mathrm{d}\mu(t) + \int_{\Omega} q(x)(y(x, 0) - y_{0}(x)) \mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$

Second-order directional derivative in direction (v, z):

$$egin{aligned} \Delta(v,z) &:= & N \|v\|_2^2 + \int_Q \left(1 - 6\gamma p(x,t) y(x,t)
ight) z(x,t)^2 \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \ &+ \int_0^T |z(t)|_2^2 d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Critical directions

C(u, y) set of critical directions, such that

$g'(y(t))z(t) \le 0$ over I(g(y)), (4) g'(y(t))z(t) = 0 over $\operatorname{supp}(\mu)$. (5)

The contact set has a *finite structure* if it is a finite union of touch points and boundary arcs.

Strict complementarity holds if the support of $d\mu$ is the union of the boundary arcs. In that case, a linearized direction (v, z) is critical iff

 $\begin{cases} g'(y(t))z(t) &= 0 \quad \text{over boundary arcs,} \\ g'(y(\tau))z(\tau)) &\leq 0 \quad \text{for each touch point } \tau. \end{cases}$ (6)

Critical directions

C(u, y) set of critical directions, such that

$$g'(y(t))z(t) \le 0$$
 over $I(g(y))$, (4)
 $g'(y(t))z(t) = 0$ over $\operatorname{supp}(\mu)$. (5)

The contact set has a *finite structure* if it is a finite union of touch points and boundary arcs.

Strict complementarity holds if the support of $d\mu$ is the union of the boundary arcs. In that case, a linearized direction (v, z) is critical iff

 $\begin{cases} g'(y(t))z(t) &= 0 \quad \text{over boundary arcs,} \\ g'(y(\tau))z(\tau)) &\leq 0 \quad \text{for each touch point } \tau. \end{cases}$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト … ヨ

Critical directions

C(u, y) set of critical directions, such that

$$g'(y(t))z(t) \le 0$$
 over $l(g(y))$, (4)
 $g'(y(t))z(t) = 0$ over $supp(\mu)$. (5)

The contact set has a *finite structure* if it is a finite union of touch points and boundary arcs.

Strict complementarity holds if the support of $d\mu$ is the union of the boundary arcs. In that case, a linearized direction (v, z) is critical iff

$$\begin{cases} g'(y(t))z(t) &= 0 \text{ over boundary arcs,} \\ g'(y(\tau))z(\tau)) &\leq 0 \text{ for each touch point } \tau. \end{cases}$$
(6)

Second-order necessary condition

Theorem

Let (u, y) be a qualified local solution of (P), with associated multiplier μ and costate p. If the contact set has a finite structure and the hypothesis of strict complementarity holds, then

$$\Delta(v,z) \ge 0,$$
 for all $(v,z) \in C(u,y).$ (7)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Second-order sufficient conditions

Theorem

Let (u, y) be a regular extremal of (P). Then a sufficient condition for the quadratic growth condition (2) is

$$\Delta(v,z)>0, \quad \textit{ for all } (v,z)\in C(u,y)\setminus\{0\}.$$
 (8)

If, in addition, the contact set has a finite structure, then (8) *is a necessary condition for quadratic growth.*

Sensitivity analysis

Perturbed state equation:

$$y_t - \Delta y + \gamma y^3 = f + i_\omega u \text{ in } Q,$$
 (9)

$$y = 0 \text{ over } \Sigma, \tag{10}$$

$$y(\cdot,0) = y_0 \text{ over } \Omega.$$
 (11)

Localizing constraint

$$\|u-\bar{u}\|_2 \le \rho. \tag{12}$$

< ∃ →

-

Perturbed problem

Let (\bar{u}, \bar{y}) be a local solution of (P) satisfying the quadratic growth condition (2) for some $\theta > 0$ and $\rho > 0$. Assume that they satisfy the qualification condition, and let $(\bar{p}, \bar{\mu})$ denote the associated costate and Lagrange multiplier. The perturbed optimal control problem is

 $\min_{\substack{(u,y) \in L^2(Q_\omega) \times H^{2,1}(Q)}} J(u,y) \text{ s.t. (9)-(12); } G(u) \leq 0.$ (P_f)

イロト イポト イラト イラト

Path of perturbations

Denote by v(f) the value of problem (P_f) . Methodology of B., Cominetti, Shapiro:

$$f(\sigma) := \sigma f_1 + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 f_2 + o(\sigma^2),$$

perturbed linearized equation

$$z_t - \Delta z + 3\gamma \bar{y}^2 z = f_1 + i_\omega v \text{ in } Q; \quad z = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma, \quad z(\cdot, 0) = 0.$$
 (13)

The related linearized optimization problem is

 $\underset{\substack{(v,z)\in L^2(Q_\omega)\times H^{2,1}(Q)}{\operatorname{Min}} J'(\bar{u},\bar{y})(v,z); \quad g'(\bar{y}(t))z(t) \leq 0 \text{ over } I(g(\bar{y})); (13)$ (L_{f_1})

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト ・

Quadratic subproblem

$$\min_{(v,z)\in S(L_{f_1})}\Delta(v,z) + \int_Q \bar{p}(x,t)f_2(x,t)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \tag{Q}$$

J. Frédéric Bonnans Second-order optimality conditions The case of a state con

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Main result

Theorem

Let (\bar{u}, \bar{y}) be a qualified local solution of (P) satisfying the quadratic growth condition (2). Then a) we have the following expansion

$$v(f(\sigma)) = \operatorname{val}(P) + \sigma \operatorname{val}(L_{f_1}) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \operatorname{val}(Q) + o(\sigma).$$
(14)

b) In addition we have that if (u_{σ}, y_{σ}) is a path of $o(\sigma^2)$ solutions, then $||u_{\sigma} - \bar{u}||_2 = O(\sigma)$, each weak limit-point in $L^2(Q_{\omega})$ is a strong limit-point, and is solution of problem (Q). If the latter has a unique solution \bar{v} , then a path u_{σ} of $o(\sigma^2)$ solutions of $(P_{f(\sigma)})$ satisfies

$$u_{\sigma} = \bar{u} + \sigma \bar{v} + o(\sigma). \tag{15}$$

References for alternative formulation

- Bryson Denham, Dreyfus (1963): informal derivation, high-order
- Hager (1979) First-order constraints: Lipschitz stability
- Maurer (1979), unpublished: rigorous derivation, high-order
- Several related works by Maurer and Malanowski
- FB and A. Hermant Second-order Analysis for Optimal Control Problems with Pure State Constraints and Mixed Control-State Constraints. Annals of I.H.P. - Nonlinear Analysis 26 (2009), 561-598.

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

References

- J.F. B. and A.Hermant, *Stability and Sensitivity Analysis for Optimal Control Problems with a First-order State Constraint*. ESAIM:COCV, 14 (2008), 825–863.
- J.F. B. and P. Jaisson, *Optimal control of a time-dependent state constrained parabolic equation*. SIAM J. Control Optim., 2010.
- J.F. B. and A. Shapiro, *Perturbation analysis of optimization problems*. Springer-Verlag, 2000. Chinise edition, Science Press, 2008.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト