Sampling methods for time domain inverse scattering problems

#### Houssem Haddar

INRIA Saclay IIe de France / CMAP, Ecole Polytechnique Project-Team DeFI

MMSN, October 2010

Joint work with

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

First part: Q. Chen, P. Monk, A. Lechleiter (Inverse Problems, 2010) Second part: A. Lechleiter (in preparation)

# The inverse problem / Motivation

Radar, Sonar, Mine detection, Infrastructure imaging, Non destructive testing,  $\cdots$ 



**Inverse problem**: Determine the geometry of inclusions from the knowledge of diffracted fields associated with several incident waves.

- Physical properties of the inclusions are not known (a priori)
- Spectrum of the incident waves in the resonant region
- $\Rightarrow$  Sampling methods are good candidates

Radar, Sonar, Mine detection, Infrastructure imaging, Non destructive testing,  $\cdots$ 

#### Imaging of urbain infrastructures with GPR:

Visualise complex structures (pipelines, deposits, mines, ...) buried in the ground (few meters), from electromagnetic measurements

#### Microwave biomedical imaging:

Use microwaves (moderate frequencies) for diagnosis of malignancies or functional monitoring.

Advantages: cheap cost, absense of side effects.



GPR device of WTI-inc



Prototype of experimental measurements

**Examples of sampling methods**: *Linear Sampling Method* (Colton-Kirsch, 1996), *Factorization method* (Kirsch, 1998), *Probe Method* (Potthast, 2001), *Reciprocity Gap Sampling Method* (Colton-Haddar, 2005), ...)

**Principle**: Associate with a *sampling point*  $\mathbf{z}$  of the probed domain a criterion  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{z})$  that indicates whether  $\mathbf{z}$  is in the interior or the exterior of the scatterer.



(+) Non-iterative, the computation of  $\mathcal{G}$  does not require a forward solver. (-) Require a large amount of multistatic-data (many transmitters-receivers).

**Goal**: Reduce the required number of sources/receivers by using multiple frequencies, or even better: a *time dependent data* 

- Use of realistic measurments: causal sources and short pulses (GPR applications)
- Provide naturel "multi-frequency" reconstruction criteria
- Incorporate arrival time information in the reconstruction procedure
- Naturel dependance of regularization parameters on the frequency

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# A model problem

Inverse scattering from a perfect conductor



Total field  $u_{tot}(\cdot, x_0)$ 

$$\begin{aligned} &(\partial_{tt} - \Delta) u_{\text{tot}}(\cdot, x_0) = \chi(t) \delta_{|x-x_0|} & (\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{D}) \times \mathbb{R}, \\ &u_{\text{tot}}(\cdot, x_0) = 0 & \partial D \times \mathbb{R}, \\ &u_{\text{tot}}(\cdot, x_0) = 0 & (\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{D}) \times \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

 $\chi$ : causal function with compact support.

 $x_0 \in \Gamma$ : location of the sources/receivers

**Inverse problem**: Determine *D* from the knowledge of  $u_{tot}(x, t, x_0)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and all x and  $x_0$  in  $\Gamma$ 

#### A model problem Inverse scattering from a perfect conductor

Incident field:

$$u_{\rm inc}(x,t,x_0) := \frac{\chi(t-|x-x_0|)}{4\pi |x-x_0|}$$

Scattered field:

$$u_{
m sca} := u_{
m tot} - u_{
m inc}$$

Near-Field operator:

$$(\mathcal{N}\phi)(x,t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{u}_{\text{sca}}(x,t-t_0,x_0)\phi(x_0,t_0)ds(x_0)dt_0 \quad x \in \Gamma, \ t \in \mathbb{R}$$

**Principle of the Linear Sampling Method** (LSM): characterize the inclusion *D* using the range of this operator.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Linearity of the map:  $u_{
m inc}\mapsto u_{
m sca}$ 

 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{N}\phi$  is the scattered field associated with the incident field

$$\operatorname{SL}^{\chi}_{\Gamma}\phi(x,t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\Gamma} u_{\operatorname{inc}}(x,t-t_0,x_0)\phi(x_0,t_0)ds(x_0)dt_0$$

Therefore:

$$\mathcal{N}\phi = \mathcal{G}(\operatorname{SL}^{\chi}_{\Gamma}\phi)$$

Where

$$\mathcal{G}f := \mathbf{u}(x,t)|_{\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}}$$

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_{tt} - \Delta) \boldsymbol{u} = 0 & (\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{D}) \times \mathbb{R}, \\ \boldsymbol{u} = -f & \partial D \times \mathbb{R}, \\ \boldsymbol{u} = 0 & (\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{D}) \times \mathbb{R}_- \end{cases}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

The solution u can be represented as a retarded potential

$$u(x,t) = (SL_{\partial D}\phi)(x,t) := \int_{\partial D} \frac{\phi(x_0, t - |x - x_0|)}{4\pi |x - x_0|} ds(x_0)$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Boundary integral equation:  $S_{\partial D}\phi = -f$  on  $\partial D \times \mathbb{R}$ .

Analysis of retarded potentials via Laplace transform in  $H^s_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}, H^{-1/2}(\Gamma))$ ,  $\sigma > 0$  (Bamberger & Ha-Duong, 1986)

$$\begin{split} &S_{\partial D}: H^p_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}, H^{-1/2}(\partial D)) \to H^{p-1}_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}, H^{1/2}(\partial D)) \\ &S_{\partial D}^{-1}: H^p_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}, H^{1/2}(\partial D)) \to H^{p-2}_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}, H^{-1/2}(\partial D)) \\ &\text{Hence: } \mathcal{G} f = -\mathrm{SL}_{\partial D} S_{\partial D}^{-1} f, \text{ and} \end{split}$$

 $\mathcal{N} = -\mathrm{SL}_{\partial D} \, S_{\partial D}^{-1} \, \mathrm{SL}_{\Gamma}^{\chi}$ 

**Thm**:  $\mathcal{N}: H^2_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}, H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)) \to H^{-2}_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}, H^{1/2}(\Gamma))$  is bounded and injective with dense range.

## Time Domain Sampling

• Idea: Test range of  $\mathcal{N}$  with "point sources"

$$\phi_{z,\tau}(x,t) = \frac{\chi(t-\tau-|x-z|)}{4\pi|x-z|}, \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{z\} \times \mathbb{R}$$

• Thm 1:  $\phi_{z,\tau}|_{\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}}$  is in the range of  $\mathcal{G}$  if an only if  $z \in D$ 

- For  $z \in D$ :  $\mathcal{G}(-\phi_{z,\tau}|_{\partial D \times \mathbb{R}}) = \phi_{z,\tau}|_{\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}}$
- For z ∉ D the function φ<sub>z,τ</sub>|<sub>Γ×ℝ</sub> cannot belong to the range of G: point source is singular at z but solutions to the wave equation are not (unique continuation argument is needed here)
- Thm 2:  $\operatorname{SL}^{\chi}_{\Gamma} : H^2_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}, H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)) \to H^1_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}, H^{1/2}(\partial D))$  is injective with dense range.

Recall that:

$$\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{G} \circ \operatorname{SL}^{\chi}_{\Gamma}$$

## Theoretical Justification of LSM

#### Main Theorem: Let $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(1) If  $z \in D$  then for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $g_{z,\tau}^{\epsilon} \in H^2_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}, H^{-1/2}(\Gamma))$  such that

$$\begin{split} \|\mathcal{N}\boldsymbol{g}_{z,\tau}^{\epsilon} - \phi_{z,\tau}\|_{H^{-2}_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R},H^{1/2}(\Gamma))} &\leq \epsilon, \\ & \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \|\mathrm{SL}^{\chi}_{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{g}_{z,\tau}^{\epsilon}\|_{H^{1}_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R},H^{1}(D))} < \infty. \end{split}$$

Moreover, for fixed  $\epsilon$ :

$$\begin{split} \lim_{z \to \partial D} \| g_{z,\tau}^{\epsilon} \|_{H^2_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}, H^{-1/2}(\Gamma))} &= \infty, \quad \text{ and } \\ \lim_{z \to \partial D} \| \mathrm{SL}_{\Gamma}^{\chi} g_{z,\tau}^{\epsilon} \|_{H^1_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}, H^1(D))} &= \infty. \end{split}$$

(2) If 
$$z \notin (D \cup \Gamma)$$
 then for any  $g_{z,\tau}^{\epsilon} \in H^2_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}, H^{-1/2}(\Gamma))$  such that  
$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \|\mathcal{N}g_{z,\tau}^{\epsilon} - \phi_{z,\tau}\|_{H^{-2}_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}, H^{1/2}(\Gamma))} = 0$$

it holds that

$$\begin{split} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \|g_{z,\tau}^{\epsilon}\|_{H^2_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}, H^{-1/2}(\Gamma))} &= \infty, \qquad \text{and} \\ \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \|\mathrm{SL}_{\Gamma}^{\chi} g_{z,\tau}^{\epsilon}\|_{H^1_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}, H^1(D))} &= \infty. \end{split}$$

• A regularization is needed to solve the near field equation, e.g.

 $(\epsilon + \mathcal{N}_d^* \mathcal{N}_d) g_{z,\tau}^{\epsilon} = \mathcal{N}_d^* \phi_{z,\tau}$ 

- Dimension of discretized matrix is huge Example: 10 sources/receivers, 100 time steps yield unknown  $g_{z,\tau}^{\epsilon}$  of dimension  $10 * 10 * 100 = 10^4$ 
  - Convolution structure of the kernel saves some memory
  - System matrix  $\mathcal{N}_d$  has a large kernel
  - Compute a sufficient number of the first singular values/vectors of  $\mathcal{N}_d$  (only necessitates evaluation of matrix-vector products) to approximate  $\mathcal{N}_d$
- The choice of  $\tau$  in  $g_{z,\tau}^{\epsilon}$  in the latter theorem seems arbitrary. For numerical implementation it is not arbitrary since support in time of the density  $g_{z,\tau}^{\epsilon}$  has to be truncated.

### Numerical Examples I

wave speed=1, source  $\sim \sin(4t)e^{-1.6(t-3)^2}$ ,  $\lambda_c = 2\pi/4 \approx 1.6$ , full aperture, 1% added random noise



## Numerical Examples II

wave speed=1, source  $\sim \sin(4t)e^{-1.6(t-3)^2}$ ,  $\lambda_{\rm c}=2\pi/4\approx 1.6,$  full aperture, 1% added random noise



▲ロト ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ① ♀ ○

## Numerical Examples III

(a) wave speed=1, source  $\sim \sin(4t)e^{-1.6(t-3)^2}$ ,  $\lambda_{\rm c}=2\pi/4\approx 1.6$ , full aperture, 1% added random noise. (b) Frequency domain reconstruction at central wave number  $k_{\rm c}=4$  using standard frequency domain linear sampling method



# Sampling Methods with Far Field data

Physical Setting - Radar, Sonar, Microwave applications



- Incident travelling wave front  $u_{inc}(x, t; \theta) := \chi(t \theta \cdot x)$ The function  $\chi$  has compact support and is zero for  $t \leq T = \sup_{x \in D} |x|$ .
- Scattered field:  $u_{sca}(\cdot, \cdot; \theta)$  solves

$$\begin{array}{l} \partial_{tt} u_{\rm sca} - \Delta u_{\rm sca} = 0 \quad (\Omega \times \mathbb{R}), \\ u_{\rm sca} = -u_{\rm inc} \quad (\partial D \times \mathbb{R}), \\ u_{\rm sca} = 0 \quad (\Omega \times (-\infty, 0)) \end{array}$$

• Far field:  $u_{\infty}(\xi, t; \theta) = \lim_{r \to \infty} u_{\text{sca}}(r\xi, r + t; \theta)$  for  $\xi \in \mathbb{S}, t \in \mathbb{R}$ • Thm:  $u_{\text{sca}}(r\xi, t; \theta) = u_{\infty}(\xi, t - r; \theta)/r + O(1/r^2)$  as  $r \to \infty$ 

#### Mathematical Setting – Inverse problem

- Measured Data:  $u_{\infty}(\xi, s, \theta)$  for all  $\xi, \theta \in \mathbb{S}$  and all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- Far-field operator:

$$(\mathcal{F}g)(\xi,s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{S}} \boldsymbol{u}_{\infty}(\xi,s-s_0,\theta) g(\theta,s_0) ds_0 d\theta$$

•  $\mathcal{F}g$  is the farfield associated with

$$(\mathcal{H}_{\chi}g)(x,t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{S}} \chi(t-s_0- heta\cdot x)g( heta,s_0)d heta ds_0$$

 $\mathcal{H}_{\chi}$  is the time domain Herglotz operator.

• Thm: For all a > 0, the operator  $\mathcal{H}_{\chi} : H_0^{5/2}(0, a; L^2(\mathbb{S})) \to H^{3/2}(-T, T + a; H^{1/2}(\partial D)$  is bounded and injective.

### Factorizing the Far Field Operator

• For a single layer potential  $u = \mathrm{SL}_{\partial D} \psi$  the far field is given by

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{\infty}(\xi,t) = (\boldsymbol{R}\psi)(\xi,t) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial D} \psi(x_0,t+\xi\cdot x_0) dx_0$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{F}g = -R S_{\partial D}^{-1} \mathcal{H}_{\chi} g$ 

• Thm 1: Setting  $\mathcal{F}_{\chi} := -\partial_t \chi \star \mathcal{F}$ 

$$\mathcal{F}_{\chi} = \mathcal{H}_{\chi}^* \circ \partial_t S_{\partial D}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{H}_{\chi}$$

• Thm 2:  $\partial_t S_{\partial D}^{-1}$  possesses the following coercivity property: Let a > 0 then

$$\int_0^a \int_{\partial D} \partial_t S_{\partial D}^{-1}(\psi) \psi dx dt \ge C \|\psi\|_{H^{-3/2}(0,a;H^{-1/2}(\Gamma))}^2$$

for all  $\psi \in H^{3/2}(0, a; H^{1/2}(\partial D))$ 

### Range Inclusions

**Thm**:  $\mathcal{F}_{\chi}$ :  $H_0^{5/2}(0, a; L^2(\mathbb{S})) \to H^{-5/2}(0, a; L^2(\mathbb{S}))$  is a positive and selfadjoint operator that has a "square root"  $B: H_0^{5/2}(0, a; L^2(\mathbb{S})) \to L^2(0, a; L^2(\mathbb{S}))$  such that

$$\mathcal{F}_{\chi} = B^* B$$

Moreover the following inclusions hold:

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{Rg} \left( \mathcal{H}_{\chi}^{*} : \, H_{0}^{3/2}(-T, a+T; H^{-1/2}(\partial D)) \to H^{-5/2}(0, a; L^{2}(\mathbb{S})) \right) \\ & \cap \\ \mathrm{Rg} \left( B^{*} : \, L^{2}(0, a; L^{2}(\mathbb{S})) \to H^{-5/2}(0, a; L^{2}(\mathbb{S})) \right) \\ & \cap \\ \mathrm{Rg} \left( \mathcal{H}_{\chi}^{*} : \, H^{-3/2}(-T, T+a; H^{-1/2}(\partial D)) \to H^{-5/2}(0, a; H^{1/2}(\partial D)) \right) \end{split}$$

Test functions: we use the far fields associated with the point sources

$$\phi_{z,\tau}(x,t) = \frac{\chi(t-\tau-|x-z|)}{4\pi|x-z|}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{z\}, \ t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$$\phi_{z,\tau}^{\infty}(\xi,t) := \chi(t-\tau+\xi\cdot z)/(4\pi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{S}, \ t \in \mathbb{R}$$

**Main Thm**: Let  $\tau > 0$  et let a > 0 such that the far field  $\phi_{z,\tau}^{\infty}$  is supported in  $\mathbb{S} \times [0, a]$  for all sampling points  $z \in \Omega \supset D$ . Then

$$\phi_{z,\tau}^{\infty} \in \operatorname{Rg}(B^*) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad z \in D.$$

**Remark**: Numerically  $B^* \equiv (\mathcal{F}_{\chi})^{1/2}$ .

# Conclusion and Outlook

#### **Conclusion:**

- Inverse scattering in the time domain
- Factorization of near field and far field operators
- Linear sampling method in the time domain domain for near field data
- Factorization method in the time domain for far field data
- Both methods use measurements of causal waves
- Implementation difficult huge dimension

#### Outlook:

- Penetrable media, Electromagnetic problem
- $\bullet\,$  Exploit sampling in time with the parameter  $\tau$
- Implementation of suitable data structures and faster SVD

Other regularizations