# Homogenization of reactive flows in porous media

G. Allaire \*, H. Hutridurga \*

13-October-2010

<sup>\*</sup>Centre de Mathématiques Appliquées, CNRS UMR 7641, École Polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau, France

# Outline

- \* Why study Reactive Flows in porous media?
- \* Periodic porous media and Model description
- \* Two-scale Expansion with Drift Method
- \* Numerical Study with FreeFem++
- \* Conclusions

## Why study Reactive Flows in porous media?

- \* Oil reservoir simulation (Enhanced Recovery Mechanisms)
- \* CO<sub>2</sub> storage (Natural Gas Extraction)
- \* Geothermal energy extraction
- \* Underground coal gasification
- \* Stockage of Nuclear Wastes
- \* Ground water contaminant transport (Drinking and Irrigation)
- \* Soil Chemistry (Movement of moisture, nutrients, pollutants in soil)

$$\begin{split} Y &= [0,1]^n \\ Y &= Y^0 \cup \Sigma^0 \text{ s.t } Y^0 \cap \Sigma^0 = \emptyset \\ Y^0 \text{ fluid part} \\ \Sigma^0 \text{ solid part} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} Y &= [0,1]^n \\ Y &= Y^0 \cup \Sigma^0 \text{ s.t } Y^0 \cap \Sigma^0 = \emptyset \\ Y^0 \text{ fluid part} \\ \Sigma^0 \text{ solid part} \end{split}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} Y_i^\varepsilon = [0,\varepsilon]^n\\ Y_i^\varepsilon = (Y_i^0)^\varepsilon \cup (\Sigma_i^0)^\varepsilon\\ (Y_i^0)^\varepsilon \text{ fluid part}\\ (\Sigma_i^0)^\varepsilon \text{ solid part} \end{array}$ 

 $\Omega_{\varepsilon} = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \cup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (\Sigma_i^0)^{\varepsilon} = \mathbb{R}^n \cap_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (Y_i^0)^{\varepsilon}$ 

Assumptions:

 $\Sigma^0$  smooth, connected set strictly included in *Y* or forms a connected set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by Y-periodicity.

 $\Omega_{arepsilon}$  smooth, connected set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 

## **2-D schematics**

				I								Ι.	_						
-	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠			
	٠		٠		•	•		٠	•	•	٠	$\bullet$	$\bullet$		٠	٠			
_	•		٠			•				•	•	$\bullet$	$\bullet$			•			
	۲		٠		•	٠	•	٠	•	٠	٠		$\bullet$		٠	٠			
	٠		ullet		٠	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	•	ullet	٠		٠			
_	ullet		•			•		ullet		•	•	•	ullet			•			
	 •	$\bullet$	٠				•	•				$\bullet$	ullet						
_	•		٠				٠	•				ullet	$\bullet$						
	•	ullet	٠	$\bullet$	•		•	٠	•			ullet	$\bullet$	•	٠				$\underline{\varepsilon}$
	 •	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•			
_	٠	$\bullet$	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	$\bullet$	$\bullet$	٠	٠	•			
	٠	ullet	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•		$\bullet$	$\bullet$	٠	٠	•	_		
	•		٠			$\bullet$		•		$\bullet$	$\bullet$	ullet	ullet			$\bullet$			
_	•		٠					•				ullet	ullet						
	 ٠	•	٠	•	٠	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	$\bullet$	٠	٠	•			
	 ٠	•	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•		_	

2-D schematic of an unbounded porous media

Apart from the convection and diffusion in the bulk, we have considered surface convection and surface diffusion on the pore surfaces.

Apart from the convection and diffusion in the bulk, we have considered surface convection and surface diffusion on the pore surfaces.

For simplicity, we study **Reactive Transport** of a single solute.

Apart from the convection and diffusion in the bulk, we have considered surface convection and surface diffusion on the pore surfaces.

For simplicity, we study **Reactive Transport** of a single solute.

Also, we assume that the reactive interactions are present only on the pore surfaces (Linear Adsorption).

#### Model Description contd.

The model is described as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t u_{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} b_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_x u_{\varepsilon} - div_x (D_{\varepsilon} \nabla_x u_{\varepsilon}) &= 0 \text{ in } (0, T) \times \Omega_{\varepsilon} \\
u_{\varepsilon}(0, x) &= u^0(x), \ x \in \Omega_{\varepsilon} \\
\partial_t v_{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} b_{\varepsilon}^S \cdot \nabla_x^S v_{\varepsilon} - div_x^S (D_{\varepsilon}^S \nabla_x^S v_{\varepsilon}) &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \kappa \left( u_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{K} v_{\varepsilon} \right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} D_{\varepsilon} \nabla_x u_{\varepsilon} \cdot \gamma \text{ on } (0, T) \times \partial \Omega_{\varepsilon} \\
v_{\varepsilon}(0, x) &= v^0(x), \ x \in \partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}$$
(1)

 $u_{\varepsilon}(t,x)$  respresents the concentration of the solute in the bulk.

 $v_{\varepsilon}(t,x)$  represents the concentration of the solute on the pore surfaces.

 $\kappa$  Rate constant

K Linear adsorption eq. const.

x Macroscopic variable

$$y = \frac{x}{\varepsilon}$$
 Microscopic variable

 $\kappa$  Rate constant

K Linear adsorption eq. const.

x Macroscopic variable

 $y = \frac{x}{\varepsilon}$  Microscopic variable

 $\gamma(y)$  outward normal  $G(y) = Id - \gamma(y) \otimes \gamma(y)$  projection matrix  $\nabla_x^S v = G \nabla_x v$  tangential gradient  $div_x^S \Psi = div_x(G\Psi)$  tangential divergence

 $\kappa$  Rate constant

K Linear adsorption eq. const.

x Macroscopic variable

 $D_{\varepsilon}(x) = D(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$  Periodic symmetric coercive diffusion

 $y = \frac{x}{\varepsilon}$  Microscopic variable

 $\gamma(y)$  outward normal  $G(y) = Id - \gamma(y) \otimes \gamma(y)$  projection matrix  $\nabla_x^S v = G \nabla_x v$  tangential gradient  $div_x^S \Psi = div_x(G\Psi)$  tangential divergence

 $D^S_{\varepsilon}(x) = D^S(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$  Periodic symmetric coercive surface diffusion

 $\kappa$  Rate constant

K Linear adsorption eq. const.

x Macroscopic variable

 $D_{\varepsilon}(x) = D(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$  Periodic symmetric coercive diffusion

$$\begin{split} b_{\varepsilon}(x) &= b\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \text{ Stationary} \\ \text{incompressible periodic flow} \\ div_y b &= 0 \text{ in } Y^0 \\ b \cdot \gamma &= 0 \text{ on } \partial \Sigma^0 \end{split}$$

 $y = \frac{x}{\varepsilon}$  Microscopic variable

 $\gamma(y)$  outward normal  $G(y) = Id - \gamma(y) \otimes \gamma(y)$  projection matrix  $\nabla_x^S v = G \nabla_x v$  tangential gradient  $div_x^S \Psi = div_x(G\Psi)$  tangential divergence

 $D^S_{\varepsilon}(x) = D^S(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$  Periodic symmetric coercive surface diffusion

 $\begin{array}{l} b^S_\varepsilon(x)=b^S(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \text{ Stationary}\\ \text{incompressible periodic flow}\\ div^S_yb^S=0 \text{ on } \partial\Sigma^0 \end{array}$ 

#### Main Result

# **Theorem 1** The solution $(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon})$ of (1) satisfies

$$u_{\varepsilon}(t,x) \approx u_0(t,x-\frac{b^*}{\varepsilon}t) \quad and \quad v_{\varepsilon}(t,x) \approx K u_0(t,x-\frac{b^*}{\varepsilon}t)$$

with the effective drift

$$b^* = \frac{\int b(y) \, dy + K \int b^S(y) \, d\sigma(y)}{|Y^0| + K |\partial \Sigma^0|_{n-1}}$$

and  $u_0$  the solution of the homogenized problem

$$\begin{cases} K_d \,\partial_t u_0 = div_x \left(A^* \nabla_x u_0\right) & \text{in } (0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^n \\ K_d \,u_0(0,x) = |Y^0| u^0(x) + |\partial \Sigma^0|_{n-1} v^0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases}$$

Where,  $K_d = |Y^0| + K |\partial \Sigma^0|_{n-1}$ , the dispersion tensor  $A^*$  will be described later.

#### **Two-scale Asymptotic Expansion**

The usual Two-scale Expansion method suggests us to

- Take the ansatz for  $u_{\varepsilon}(t, x)$  and  $v_{\varepsilon}(t, x)$  in slow and fast variables as  $u_{\varepsilon} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{i} u_{i} \left(t, x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$  and  $v_{\varepsilon} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{i} v_{i} \left(t, x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$
- Plug-in the two asymptotic expansions in (1).
- Identify the co-efficients of identical powers of ε and get a cascade of equations.
- solve those system of equations to arrive at the homogenized equation.

#### **Two-scale Asymptotic Expansion**

The usual Two-scale Expansion method suggests us to

- Take the ansatz for  $u_{\varepsilon}(t, x)$  and  $v_{\varepsilon}(t, x)$  in slow and fast variables as  $u_{\varepsilon} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{i} u_{i} \left(t, x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$  and  $v_{\varepsilon} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{i} v_{i} \left(t, x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$
- Plug-in the two asymptotic expansions in (1).
- Identify the co-efficients of identical powers of ε and get a cascade of equations.
- solve those system of equations to arrive at the homogenized equation.
- Convection term in microscale results in strong convection term dominating the diffusion. So, we cannot expect to prove the convergence of  $u_{\varepsilon}(t,x)$  in a fixed spatial frame x but in a moving frame  $x + b^*t$

#### **Two-scale Asymptotic Expansion with DRIFT**

$$u_{\varepsilon} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{i} u_{i} \left( t, x - \frac{b^{*}t}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right)$$
(2)

$$v_{\varepsilon} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{i} v_{i} \left( t, x - \frac{b^{*}t}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right)$$
(3)

Where  $b^*$  is the drift which shall be computed along the process. Consider  $y = \frac{x}{\varepsilon}$ . Then we have:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \phi \left( t, x - \frac{b^* t}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \right] = \left[ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{b_j^*}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j} \right] \left( t, x - \frac{b^* t}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ \phi \left( t, x - \frac{b^* t}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \right] = \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \phi + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \phi \right] \left( t, x - \frac{b^* t}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right)$$

$$\forall j \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$$
(4)

Before listing the cascade of equations, we shall state a Fredholm type result that helps us solve them.

**Lemma 2** For  $f \in L^2(Y^0)$ ,  $g \in L^2(\partial \Sigma^0)$  and  $h \in L^2(\partial \Sigma^0)$ , the following system of p.d.e.'s admit a solution  $(u, v) \in H^1_{per}(Y^0) \times H^1(\partial \Sigma^0)$ , unique up to the addition of a constant multiple of (1, K),

$$\begin{cases} b(y) \cdot \nabla_{y}u - div_{y}(D(y)\nabla_{y}u) = f & \text{in } Y^{0}, \\ -D(y)\nabla_{y}u \cdot \gamma + g = k\left(u - \frac{1}{K}v\right) & \text{on } \partial\Sigma^{0}, \\ b^{S}(y) \cdot \nabla_{y}^{S}v_{0} - D^{S}div_{y}^{S}(D^{S}(y)\nabla_{y}^{S}v_{0}) - h = k\left(u - \frac{1}{K}v\right) & \text{on } \partial\Sigma^{0}, \\ y \to (u(y), v(y)) & Y - periodic, \end{cases}$$
(5)

if and only if

$$\int_{Y_0} f \, dy + \int_{\partial \Sigma_0} (g+h) \, d\sigma(y) = 0 \tag{6}$$

## **Cascade of Systems**

# Co-efficients of $\varepsilon^{-2}$

$$\begin{cases} b(y) \cdot \nabla_{y} u_{0} - div_{y}(D(y)\nabla_{y} u_{0}) = 0 & \text{in } Y^{0}, \\ -D\nabla_{y} u_{0} \cdot \gamma = b^{S}(y) \cdot \nabla_{y}^{S} v_{0} - D^{S} div_{y}^{S}(D^{S}(y)\nabla_{y}^{S} v_{0}) \\ &= k \left[ u_{0} - \frac{1}{K} v_{0} \right] & \text{on } \partial \Sigma^{0}, \\ y \to (u_{0}(y), v_{0}(y)) & Y - \text{periodic,} \end{cases}$$

$$(7)$$

The compatibility condition is trivially satisfied.

Hence the existence and uniqueness of  $(u_0, v_0)$ .

Substituting the test functions by  $(u_0, v_0)$  in the variational formulation of (7), we can deduce that

$$v_0 = K u_0$$
 and  $u_0 = u_0(t, x)$ 

#### **Cascade of Systems Contd.**

# Co-efficients of $\varepsilon^{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} & (-b^* \cdot \nabla_x u_0 + b(y) \cdot (\nabla_x u_0 + \nabla_y u_1) - div_y (D(y)(\nabla_x u_0 + \nabla_y u_1)) = 0 \quad \text{in} Y^0, \\ & -b^* \cdot \nabla_x v_0 + b^S(y) \cdot (\nabla_x^S v_0 + \nabla_y^S v_1) - div_y^S (D^S(y)(\nabla_x^S v_0 + \nabla_y^S v_1)) \\ & = -D(y)(\nabla_x u_0 + \nabla_y u_1) \cdot \gamma = k \left[ u_1 - \frac{v_1}{K} \right] \qquad \text{on} \partial \Sigma^0 \\ & (y \to (u_1(y), v_1(y)) \qquad \qquad Y - \text{periodic}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (8) \end{aligned}$$

The linearity helps us deduce that

$$u_1(t, x, y) = \chi(y) \cdot \nabla_x u_0$$

and

$$v_1(t, x, y) = \omega(y) \cdot \nabla_x u_0$$

The above representation of  $(u_1, v_1)$  results in the following coupled cell problem, for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ 

# **Cell Problem**

$$\begin{cases} b(y) \cdot \nabla_y \chi_i - div_y (D(y)(\nabla_y \chi_i + e_i)) = (b^* - b(y)) \cdot e_i & \text{in } Y^0, \\ b^S(y) \cdot \nabla_y^S \omega_i - div_y^S (D^S(y)(\nabla_y^S \omega_i + K e_i)) \\ = K(b^* - b^S(y)) \cdot e_i + \kappa \left(\chi_i - \frac{1}{K}\omega_i\right) & \text{on } \partial \Sigma^0, \\ -D(y)(\nabla_y \chi_i + e_i) \cdot \gamma = \kappa \left(\chi_i - \frac{1}{K}\omega_i\right) & \text{on } \partial \Sigma^0, \\ y \to (\chi_i(y), \omega_i(y)) & Y - \text{periodic,} \end{cases}$$

$$(9)$$

Using the Fredholm result, we get the existence of  $(\chi_i, \omega_i)$  provided

$$b^* = \frac{\int b(y) \, dy + K \int b^S(y) \, d\sigma(y)}{|Y^0| + K |\partial \Sigma^0|_{n-1}}$$
(10)

# Cascade of Systems contd.

# Co-efficients of $\varepsilon^0$

$$\partial_{t}u_{0} - b^{*} \cdot \nabla_{x}u_{1} + b(y) \cdot (\nabla_{x}u_{1} + \nabla_{y}u_{2})$$

$$-div_{x}(D(y)(\nabla_{x}u_{0} + \nabla_{y}u_{1})) - div_{y}(D(y)(\nabla_{x}u_{1} + \nabla_{y}u_{2})) = 0 \quad \text{in } Y^{0},$$

$$\partial_{t}v_{0} - b^{*} \cdot \nabla_{x}v_{1} + b^{S}(y) \cdot (\nabla_{x}^{S}u_{1} + \nabla_{y}^{S}u_{2})$$

$$-div_{x}(GD^{S}(y)(G\nabla_{x}v_{0} + \nabla_{y}^{S}v_{1})) - div_{y}^{S}(D^{S}(y)(G\nabla_{x}v_{1} + \nabla_{y}^{S}v_{2}))$$

$$= -D(y)(\nabla_{y}u_{2} + \nabla_{x}u_{1}) \cdot \gamma = \kappa \left[u_{2} - \frac{1}{K}v_{2}\right] \quad \text{on } \partial\Sigma^{0}$$

$$y \rightarrow (u_{2}(y), v_{2}(y)) \quad Y - \text{periodic,} \quad (11)$$

The compatibility condition for  $(u_2, v_2)$  yields the homogenized equation.

$$\begin{cases} K_d \,\partial_t u_0 = div_x \,(A^* \nabla_x u_0) \text{ in } (0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^n \\ K_d \,u_0(0,x) = |Y^0| u^0(x) + |\partial \Sigma^0|_{n-1} v^0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases}$$
(12)

Where,  $K_d = |Y^0| + K |\partial \Sigma^0|_{n-1}$ , the dispersion tensor  $A^*$  is given by

$$A_{ij}^{*} = \int_{Y^{0}} D\left(\nabla_{y}\chi_{i} + e_{i}\right) \cdot \left(\nabla_{y}\chi_{j} + e_{j}\right) dy$$

$$+\kappa \int_{\partial\Sigma^{0}} \left(\chi_{i} - K^{-1}\omega_{i}\right) \left(\chi_{j} - K^{-1}\omega_{j}\right) d\sigma(y)$$

$$+K \int_{\partial\Sigma^{0}} D^{S} \left(Ge_{i} + K^{-1}\nabla_{y}^{S}\omega_{i}\right) \cdot \left(Ge_{j} + K^{-1}\nabla_{y}^{S}\omega_{j}\right) d\sigma(y)$$
(13)

The compatibility condition for  $(u_2, v_2)$  yields the homogenized equation.

$$\begin{cases} K_d \,\partial_t u_0 = div_x \,(A^* \nabla_x u_0) \text{ in } (0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^n \\ K_d \,u_0(0,x) = |Y^0| u^0(x) + |\partial \Sigma^0|_{n-1} v^0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases}$$
(12)

Where,  $K_d = |Y^0| + K |\partial \Sigma^0|_{n-1}$ , the dispersion tensor  $A^*$  is given by

$$A_{ij}^{*} = \int_{Y^{0}} D\left(\nabla_{y}\chi_{i} + e_{i}\right) \cdot \left(\nabla_{y}\chi_{j} + e_{j}\right) dy$$
  
+ $\kappa \int_{\partial\Sigma^{0}} \left(\chi_{i} - K^{-1}\omega_{i}\right) \left(\chi_{j} - K^{-1}\omega_{j}\right) d\sigma(y)$   
+ $K \int_{\partial\Sigma^{0}} D^{S} \left(Ge_{i} + K^{-1}\nabla_{y}^{S}\omega_{i}\right) \cdot \left(Ge_{j} + K^{-1}\nabla_{y}^{S}\omega_{j}\right) d\sigma(y)$  (13)

It should be noted that we have used the information we know of  $(u_1, v_1)$  in terms of  $(\chi, \omega)$ .  $A^*$  is symmetrized as anti-symmetric part doesn't contribute.

Define 
$$\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(t,x) = u_0(t,x-\frac{b^*}{\varepsilon}t)$$
. Then, it is solution of  

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}b^* \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} = K_d^{-1}div_x \left(A^*\nabla_x \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}\right) & \text{in } (0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^n \\ K_d \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(0,x) = |Y^0|u^0(x) + |\partial \Sigma^0|_{n-1}v^0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases}$$
(14)

Numerical tests were done using FreeFem++.

Using Lagrange P1 finite elements.

Number of vertices = 23894.

The solid obstacles are isolated circular disks of radius 0.2

The velocity field b(y) is generated by solving the following filtration problem in the fluid part  $Y^0$  of the unit cell Y. For simplicity, we have taken the surface convection  $b^S$  to be zero.

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_y p - \Delta_y b = e_i & \text{in } Y^0, \\ div_y b = 0 & \text{in } Y^0, \\ b = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Sigma^0, \\ p, b & Y^0 - periodic \end{cases}$$
(15)

Calculations were done to see the effect of the variation in  $\kappa$  and  $D^S$  on the effective co-efficients. They are seen to show a stable asymptotic behaviour.

### Behavior of the cell solution



Figure 1: The cell solution  $\chi_1$ : Left, reference value  $\kappa = \kappa^0$ ; Right,  $\kappa = 5\kappa^0$ 

# Behavior of the cell solution contd.



Figure 2: The cell solution  $\chi_1$ : Left,  $\kappa = 6\kappa^0$ ; Right,  $\kappa = 8\kappa^0$ 



Figure 3: The variation of effective longitudinal diffusion: Left,  $\kappa$  tending to 0; Right,  $\kappa$  increasing in magnitude



Figure 4: The variation of effective transverse diffusion: Left,  $\kappa$  tending to 0; Right,  $\kappa$  increasing in magnitude



Figure 5: The variation of effective diffusion with  $D^S$  increasing in magnitude: Left, longitudinal diffusion; Right, transverse diffusion

• Study of the effective behaviour of the model with the variation in the reaction rate  $\kappa$ .

• Study of the effective behaviour of the model with the variation in the reaction rate  $\kappa$ . The ill-posedness of the cell problems result in the blow-up of the effective tensor when  $\kappa \to 0$ .

Study of the effective behaviour of the model with the variation in the reaction rate κ. The ill-posedness of the cell problems result in the blow-up of the effective tensor when κ → 0. When κ → ∞, the transverse and longitudinal dispersion exhibit a stable asymptotic behaviour with transverse dispersion remaining relatively less on comparison with longitudinal dispersion.

- Study of the effective behaviour of the model with the variation in the reaction rate κ. The ill-posedness of the cell problems result in the blow-up of the effective tensor when κ → 0. When κ → ∞, the transverse and longitudinal dispersion exhibit a stable asymptotic behaviour with transverse dispersion remaining relatively less on comparison with longitudinal dispersion.
- Study of the effective behaviour of the model with the variation in the surface diffusion  $D^S$ .

- Study of the effective behaviour of the model with the variation in the reaction rate κ. The ill-posedness of the cell problems result in the blow-up of the effective tensor when κ → 0. When κ → ∞, the transverse and longitudinal dispersion exhibit a stable asymptotic behaviour with transverse dispersion remaining relatively less on comparison with longitudinal dispersion.
- Study of the effective behaviour of the model with the variation in the surface diffusion  $D^S$ . The case  $D^S = 0$  exactly matches with the previous results on effective dispersion with no surface diffusion.

- Study of the effective behaviour of the model with the variation in the reaction rate κ. The ill-posedness of the cell problems result in the blow-up of the effective tensor when κ → 0. When κ → ∞, the transverse and longitudinal dispersion exhibit a stable asymptotic behaviour with transverse dispersion remaining relatively less on comparison with longitudinal dispersion.
- Study of the effective behaviour of the model with the variation in the surface diffusion D<sup>S</sup>. The case D<sup>S</sup> = 0 exactly matches with the previous results on effective dispersion with no surface diffusion. When D<sup>S</sup> → ∞, the transverse and longitudinal dispersion exhibit a stable asymptotic behaviour with transverse dispersion remaining almost equal to longitudinal dispersion.

- Study of the effective behaviour of the model with the variation in the reaction rate κ. The ill-posedness of the cell problems result in the blow-up of the effective tensor when κ → 0. When κ → ∞, the transverse and longitudinal dispersion exhibit a stable asymptotic behaviour with transverse dispersion remaining relatively less on comparison with longitudinal dispersion.
- Study of the effective behaviour of the model with the variation in the surface diffusion D<sup>S</sup>. The case D<sup>S</sup> = 0 exactly matches with the previous results on effective dispersion with no surface diffusion. When D<sup>S</sup> → ∞, the transverse and longitudinal dispersion exhibit a stable asymptotic behaviour with transverse dispersion remaining almost equal to longitudinal dispersion.
- The Mathematical justification of the upscaling using two-scale convergence with drift upon introducing 2-scale convergence with drift on surfaces.

- G. Allaire, R. Brizzi, A. Mikelić, A. Piatnitski, Two-scale expansion with drift approach to the Taylor dispersion for reactive transport through porous media, Chemical Engineering Science, 65, pp.2292-2300 (2010)
- [2] G. Allaire, A. Mikelić, A. Piatnitski, Homogenization approach to the dispersion theory for reactive transport through porous media, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 42, pp.125-144 (2010)
- [3] H. Hutridurga, Master Thesis, École Polytechnique (2010)
- [4] E. Marušić-Paloka, A. Piatnitski, Homogenization of a nonlinear convection-diffusion equation with rapidly oscillating coefficients and strong convection, J. London Math. Soc., 72, pp.391-409 (2005)
- [5] O. Pironneau, F. Hecht, A. Le Hyaric, FreeFem++ version 2.15-1, http://www.freefem.org/ff++/