#### Hybrid methods for inverse scattering problems

Coupling linear sampling, gradient, topological gradient and quasi-newton methods

# $\begin{array}{c} {\sf Dimitri\ Nicolas}\\ {\sf joint\ work\ w/\ G.\ Allaire\ and\ H.\ Haddar} \end{array}$

MMSN 2012 CMAP, Ecole Polytechnique

January, 9th 2012

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

э

**Goal** : Determining the shape of an object from measurements of the electromagnetic far-field it scattered



**Direct** scattering problem : find  $u_s$  knowing  $u_i$  and  $\Omega$ **Inverse** scattering problem : find  $\Omega$  knowing  $u_s$  ( $u_{\infty}^s$  from far-field point of view)

#### Summary

- Introduction
  - Two main approaches
  - Pros and cons

#### The coupling

- Idea
- The minimization problem
- Details on methods
- Focus on the Topological Gradient computation
  - Computation of DJ
- Mumerical simulations
  - A way to handle topology changes
  - A way to improve the speed of convergence
- 5 Focus on the second order computation
  - The second order shape derivative
  - BFGS approximation
- 6 Numerical simulations
  - Better accuracy and speed of convergence
  - Conclusions and futur work

э

#### Introduction

The coupling Focus on the Topological Gradient computation Numerical simulations Focus on the second order computation Numerical simulations Conclusions and futur work Questions? Annexe

Two main approaches Pros and cons

### Two main approaches

Two traditionnaly approaches to solve those kind of problems :

- Sampling methods : find the solution of a linear Fredholm equation of the first kind
- Non-linear optimization schemes : reconstruction is performed iteratively from an initial guess

< ∃ >

- T

Two main approaches Pros and cons

# Sampling methods

Sampling methods such as the linear sampling method (LSM) :

- No need to solve the direct nor adjoint scattering problem
- Quick solve
- Very little a priori information is needed (nor the number of scatterers, nor if the object is penetrable or not, nor which kind of boundary conditions is satisfied by the total field on the boundary)
- Lack of precision
- Only provides a reconstruction of the support of the scatterer (no way to find the point value of the index of refraction in the case of inhomogenious scattering)

4 E 6

Two main approaches Pros and cons

#### Iterative methods

Iterative methods such as the gradient method (with level-set framework) :

- Can reach a good accuracy
- Slow solve
- Local convergence, so need a "not too bad" first approximation
- Need a priori information on the scatterer
- Need to solve forward and adjoint problems

- < ∃ →

#### Introduction

The coupling Focus on the Topological Gradient computation Numerical simulations Focus on the second order computation Numerical simulations Conclusions and futur work Questions? Annexe

Two main approaches Pros and cons

#### Topological gradient method

#### Topological gradient method :

- Can create and destroy inclusions : topology changes naturaly handled during the process of optimization
- Can be used iteratively or not
- Need a priori information on the scatterer as the gradient method.
- Need to solve forward and adjoint problems

- < ∃ →

э

Two main approaches Pros and cons

#### Second order shape derivative method

Second derivative of the shape functionnal (iterative method too) :

- Can reach a better accuracy than first order or accelerate the convergence rate
- Slower solving than iterative method : need to solve more forward and adjoint problems
- Local convergence too
- Need a priori information on the scatterer too
- How to approximate the best way the second order shape derivative.

4 E 5

э

Introduction The coupling Focus on the Topological Gradient computation Numerical simulations Focus on the second order computation focus of the s

ldea The minimization problem Details on methods

A

- < ∃ →

э

ldea The minimization problem Details on methods

< ∃ >

**Idea** : Coupling between the linear sampling method, the gradient method with the level-set formalism and the topological gradient method and/or second order schemes.

• The linear sampling method used to find quickly an initial guess whose accuracy depends on a cutoff parameter.

ldea The minimization problem Details on methods

4 E 5

- The linear sampling method used to find quickly an initial guess whose accuracy depends on a cutoff parameter.
- The level-set method used to go closer to the true shape (if close enough already...)

ldea The minimization problem Details on methods

- The linear sampling method used to find quickly an initial guess whose accuracy depends on a cutoff parameter.
- The level-set method used to go closer to the true shape (if close enough already...)
- Whenever the use is needed (by a posteriori information first), use of the topological gradient method to get other connected component and to accelerate the convergence.

ldea The minimization problem Details on methods

- The linear sampling method used to find quickly an initial guess whose accuracy depends on a cutoff parameter.
- The level-set method used to go closer to the true shape (if close enough already...)
- Whenever the use is needed (by a posteriori information first), use of the topological gradient method to get other connected component and to accelerate the convergence.
- Use of second order approximation to get a better accuracy.

ldea The minimization problem Details on methods

# Helmholtz in the dielectric case

We look first for the scattered plane wave  $u \in H^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such as :

$$\begin{cases} \nabla . (\frac{\nabla u}{\mu}) + k^2 \epsilon u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \\ u = u^i + u^s & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \end{cases}$$

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}\int_{S_R}|\partial_r u^s - iku^s|^2 ds = 0$$





< ≣ ▶

ldea The minimization problem Details on methods

#### The minimization problem

We can write  $u_s$  as :

$$u_{s} = rac{e^{ik|x|}}{|x|^{rac{1}{2}}}(u_{\infty}^{s}(\hat{x}) + O(rac{1}{|x|}))$$

with  $\hat{x} = \frac{x}{|x|} \in S^1$  and  $u^s_\infty$  the far-field up to a constant.

For an incident plane wave  $u^i(x) = e^{ikx.d}$ , we measure in  $d \in S^1$  directions

- $u_{\infty}^{s,mes}(\hat{x},d)$  the far field computed for the true shape
- $u_{\infty}^{s}(\Gamma)(\hat{x}, d)$  the far field computed for the current iteration shape

#### The problem :

Find  $\Gamma^{min}$  which minimize the following functionnal :

$$\mathcal{J}(\Gamma) := \frac{1}{2} \| u_{\infty}(\Gamma) - u_{\infty}^{mes} \|_{L^2(S^1 \times S^1)}^2$$

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

э

ldea The minimization problem Details on methods

#### The Linear Sampling method

The far field pattern  $u^s_\infty$  defines the far-field operator  $F: L^2(S^1) o L^2(S^1)$  by

$$(Fg)(\hat{x}) := \int_{S^1} u^s_{\infty}(\hat{x}, d)g(d)ds(d)$$

The Linear Sampling method is to find  $g = g(., z) \in L^2(S^1)$  of :

$$Fg_z(\hat{x}) = \phi_\infty(\hat{x}, z)$$

where  $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\phi_{\infty}(., z)$  is the far-field pattern of the fundamental solution  $\phi(., z)$  of the Helmholtz equation.

[Colton D., Kress R., Inverse acoustic and electromagnetic scattering theory, Second edition, Applied Mathematical Science, 93. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998]

ldea The minimization problem Details on methods

### The Linear Sampling method

The far field pattern  $u^s_\infty$  defines the far-field operator  $F: L^2(S^1) o L^2(S^1)$  by

$$(Fg)(\hat{x}) := \int_{S^1} u^s_{\infty}(\hat{x}, d)g(d)ds(d)$$

The Linear Sampling method is to find  $g = g(., z) \in L^2(S^1)$  of :

$$Fg_z(\hat{x}) = \phi_\infty(\hat{x}, z)$$

where  $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\phi_{\infty}(., z)$  is the far-field pattern of the fundamental solution  $\phi(., z)$  of the Helmholtz equation.

• The more  $g_z$  is high, the more there is a chance  $z \in \Omega$ .

[Colton D., Kress R., Inverse acoustic and electromagnetic scattering theory, Second edition, Applied Mathematical Science, 93. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998]

ldea The minimization problem Details on methods

### The Linear Sampling method

The far field pattern  $u^s_\infty$  defines the far-field operator  $F: L^2(S^1) o L^2(S^1)$  by

$$(Fg)(\hat{x}) := \int_{S^1} u^s_{\infty}(\hat{x}, d)g(d)ds(d)$$

The Linear Sampling method is to find  $g = g(., z) \in L^2(S^1)$  of :

$$Fg_z(\hat{x}) = \phi_\infty(\hat{x}, z)$$

where  $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\phi_{\infty}(., z)$  is the far-field pattern of the fundamental solution  $\phi(., z)$  of the Helmholtz equation.

- The more  $g_z$  is high, the more there is a chance  $z \in \Omega$ .
- Need of a "cutoff" value.

[Colton D., Kress R., Inverse acoustic and electromagnetic scattering theory, Second edition, Applied Mathematical Science, 93. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998]

ldea The minimization problem Details on methods

#### The Gradient method

The general form of a shape derivative in the direction  $\theta$  is

$${\mathcal J}'(\Omega)( heta) = \int_{\partial\Omega} 
u heta.$$
nds

where the function u defines the descent direction  $\theta$  with :

 $\theta = -\nu n$ 

Update of the shape with :

$$\Omega_{n+1} = (Id + t\theta)(\Omega_n)$$

where t > 0 is a small descent step. Formally :

$$\mathcal{J}(\Omega_{n+1}) = \mathcal{J}(\Omega_n) - t \int_{\partial \Omega} \nu^2 ds + O(t^2)$$

- 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト

Idea The minimization problem Details on methods

< 回 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

3

The Gradient method : Level-Set framework

Shape  $\Omega$  is characterized by the zero level-set of a function  $\phi$ .

The level-set method will move the shape  $\Omega$  (hence  $\phi)$  by solving the following Hamilton-Jacobi advection equation

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + V \mid \nabla \phi \mid = 0$$

Idea The minimization problem Details on methods

くぼう くほう くほう

3

The Gradient method : Level-Set framework

Shape  $\Omega$  is characterized by the zero level-set of a function  $\phi$ .

The level-set method will move the shape  $\Omega$  (hence  $\phi)$  by solving the following Hamilton-Jacobi advection equation

 $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + V \mid \nabla \phi \mid = 0$ 

Find the descent direction V by computing the shape derivative of the functionnal we want to minimize : advection velocity V = -ν

Idea The minimization problem Details on methods

< 回 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

3

The Gradient method : Level-Set framework

Shape  $\Omega$  is characterized by the zero level-set of a function  $\phi$ .

The level-set method will move the shape  $\Omega$  (hence  $\phi)$  by solving the following Hamilton-Jacobi advection equation

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + V \mid \nabla \phi \mid = 0$$

- Find the descent direction V by computing the shape derivative of the functionnal we want to minimize : advection velocity  $V = -\nu$
- Solve the H-J equation to get the new moved shape characterized by  $\phi = 0$ .

Idea The minimization problem Details on methods

#### The Topological Gradient method

Look at each point  $x_0$  of the mesh if it is fruitful to create a small infinitesimal inclusion.

Two problems : the non-perturbed problem and the one perturbed by the add of a ball of size  $\rho$  at the point  $x_0$ .

#### Definition

- $\chi$  the characteristic function of  $\Omega_1$
- $\chi_{\omega_{\rho}}$  the characteristic function of  $\omega_{\rho}$

• 
$$\chi_{\rho} = \chi + \chi_{\omega_{\rho}}$$



Idea The minimization problem Details on methods

#### The Topological Gradient method

#### Definition

The topological gradient is defined by the Taylor expansion :

$$\mathcal{J}(\chi_{\rho}) = \mathcal{J}(\chi) + \rho^d D J(x_0) + o(\rho^d)$$

with the cost function  ${\mathcal J}$  defined before.

We then get a map  $x_0 \rightarrow DJ(x_0)$ . The more  $DJ(x_0)$  is negative, the more we should create a small inclusion at the point  $x_0$ .

Need of a "cutoff" value also

[Sokolowski, A. Zochowski, On the topological derivative in shape optimization, SIAM J. Control Optim., 37, pp.1251-1272 (1999)] [Céa J., Garreau S., Guillaume P., Masmoudi M., The shape and topological optimizations connection, Compute. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg 188, 713-726 (2000)]

< 回 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

Introduction The coupling Focus on the Topological Gradient computation Numerical simulations Focus on the second order computation Numerical simulations Conclusions and futur work Questions? Annexe	Computation of DJ
Commutation of D1	

Computation of DJ

We get the topological gradient  $DJ(x_0)$  (For each point  $x_0$  of the underlying grid) for an inclusion of medium n°1  $(\mu_1, \epsilon_1)$  into a matrix of medium n°2  $(\mu_2, \epsilon_2)$ :

Topological Gradient expression

$$DJ(x_0) = \Re\{\frac{-2\pi(\mu_2-\mu_1)}{\mu_2(\mu_1+\mu_2)}\nabla\overline{u}_{\chi}(x_0).\nabla p_{\chi}(x_0) - \pi k^2(\epsilon_2-\epsilon_1)\overline{u}_{\chi}(x_0)p_{\chi}(x_0)\}$$

with  $u_{\chi}$  and  $p_{\chi}$  solutions of the forward (2) and adjoint (3) problems respectively.

A way to handle topology changes A way to improve the speed of convergence

▲ 同 → - ▲ 三

- < ∃ →

э

#### Parameters

- Implementation in scilab and Fortran.
- Simulations over a uniform 60x40 grid
- 10 incident plane waves and 10 measures over 360°
- 1% noise
- Wavelenght  $\lambda = 1$

A way to handle topology changes A way to improve the speed of convergence

< ∃ →

э

A way to handle topology changes

Big square is 0.5 wide and little square 0.3 wide. Both separated by 0.3



FIGURE: Initialisation of a square with a rectangle (little square missed on purpose)

A way to handle topology changes A way to improve the speed of convergence

프 ( ) ( ) ( ) (

- T

3

# A way to handle topology changes



 $\ensuremath{\operatorname{Figure:}}$  4th iteration with the Level-Set method

A way to handle topology changes A way to improve the speed of convergence

< ∃ →

э

# A way to handle topology changes



FIGURE: 5th iteration with the Topological Gradient method

A way to handle topology changes A way to improve the speed of convergence

- < ∃ →

 э

A way to handle topology changes

Topological gradient succeeded in finding the little square with

- very few measurements
- a wavelenght 3 times larger than the size of the little square

A way to handle topology changes A way to improve the speed of convergence

< ∃ >

э

#### A way to improve the speed of convergence



FIGURE: Initialisation with the Linear Sampling method

A way to handle topology changes A way to improve the speed of convergence

- < ∃ →

æ

#### A way to improve the speed of convergence



FIGURE: 2nd iteration with the Level-Set method

A way to handle topology changes A way to improve the speed of convergence

< ∃ >

э

#### A way to improve the speed of convergence



FIGURE: 3rd iteration with the Topological Gradient method

A way to handle topology changes A way to improve the speed of convergence

< ∃ >

æ

#### A way to improve the speed of convergence



 $\ensuremath{\operatorname{Figure}}$ : Convergence history with and without the use of the Topological Gradient

The second order shape derivative BFGS approximation

伺 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト

3

#### The second order derivative

Let  $\Omega_{n+1} = (I + \tilde{\theta})(\Omega_n)$ . The Taylor series of  $\mathcal{J}'(\Omega_{n+1})(\theta)$  yields for each  $\theta$ 

$$\mathcal{J}'(\Omega_{n+1})(\theta) = \mathcal{J}'(\Omega_n)(\theta) + (\mathcal{J}')'(\Omega_n)(\theta, \tilde{\theta}) + \dots$$

So we got to find  $\tilde{\theta}$  by solving

$$-\mathcal{J}'(\Omega_n)( heta) = (\mathcal{J}')'(\Omega_n)( heta, ilde{ heta}) \qquad orall heta$$

But in this above formula,  $(\mathcal{J}')'$  is complicated. We got, for  $\theta$  fixed, to solve a PDE for each  $\tilde{\theta}$  we set. So we use a BFGS approximation in finite dimension to simplify and see what happens.

**BFGS** approximation

A way to find this  $\tilde{\theta}$  is to use a Quasi-Newton method with an approximation of the inverse of the so-called Hessian matrix  $(\mathcal{J}')'$ .

We use the following BFGS approximation (in finite dimension) :

#### BFGS : approximation of the inverse of the Hessian

$$H_{k+1}^{-1} = (I - \frac{d_k \delta_k^T}{d_k^T \delta_k})^T H_k^{-1} (I - \frac{d_k \delta_k^T}{d_k^T \delta_k}) + \frac{\delta_k \delta_k^T}{d_k^T \delta_k}$$

with  $d_k = \nabla \mathcal{J}(x_{k+1}) - \nabla \mathcal{J}(x_k)$  and  $\delta_k = x_{k+1} - x_k$ . We parametrize  $\Gamma_k = \partial \Omega_k$  so that  $\forall k$ , the number of points of  $\Gamma_k$  be the same. Hence we can approximate  $\mathcal{J}'(\Gamma_k)$  by  $\nabla \mathcal{J}(x_k)$ ,  $\forall k$  such that  $x_k \in \Gamma_k$ . Then,

$$\tilde{\theta}_k = -H_k^{-1} \nabla \mathcal{J}(x_k)$$

伺 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト

Better accuracy and speed of convergence

3 N 3

#### Better accuracy and speed of convergence



FIGURE: 15th iteration with a usual first order method

Better accuracy and speed of convergence

< ∃ →

æ

#### Better accuracy and speed of convergence



FIGURE: 15th iteration with BFGS method

Better accuracy and speed of convergence

< ∃ →

6

э

### Better accuracy and speed of convergence



FIGURE: Blue : Second order derivative, green : normal method

# Conclusions

- The Linear Sampling method allow to get a first approximation. The Level-Set method, which converges only locally, can get us closer to the true shape.
- The Topological Gradient allow us to get other connected components we eventually missed from the beginning.
- The topological Gradient can improve the speed of convergence of the algorithm if we use it at the right moment.
- Second order schemes is only slightly better than first order method on first tests.
- $\Rightarrow$  Still the question to know when to use the topological gradient effciently.
- $\Rightarrow$  Better implementation of the topological gradient method for faster computation.

 $\Rightarrow$  Find the true second order shape derivative and use it in an iterative method (approximations will be needed).

# Some references

- H. Ammari, "An introduction to mathematics of emerging biomedical imaging", Collection : Mathématiques et Applications, Vol. 62, Springer (2008).
- M. Bonnet, "Inverse acoustic scattering by small-obstacle expansion of misfit function". Inverse Problems, 24 :035022 (2008)
- F. Cakoni, D. Colton, "Qualitative Methods in Inverse Scattering Theory". 2006. Springer, Berlin.
- M. Bonnet, B. B. Guzina, "Elastic-wave identification of penetrable obstacles using shape-material sensitivity framework", J. Comput. Phys. 228 (2009) 294–311.
  - G. Allaire, F. Jouve, A-M. Toader, "Structural optimization using sensisivity analysis and a level-set method", J. Comp. Phys. 194 (2004) 363-393.
- J. Sokolowski, A. Zochowski, On the topological derivative in shape optimization, SIAM J. Control Optim., 37, pp.1251-1272 (1999)
  - S. Garreau, P. Guillaume, M. Masmoudi, The topological asymptotic for PDE systems : the elasticity case. SIAM J. Control Optim. 39, no. 6, pp.1756-1778 (2001)

# Questions?

Thank you!

Dimitri Nicolas joint work w/ G. Allaire and H. Haddar Hybrid methods for inverse scattering problems

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

The lagrangian Forward and adjoint problems Difference between two derivatives Position of points during the optimization

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・

3

# A Lagrangian method

Use of the following lagrangian to determine the adjoint

$$\mathcal{L}(s,t) = \frac{1}{2} \parallel s_{\infty}(s) - s_{\infty}^{mes} \parallel^{2}_{L^{2}(S^{1} \times S^{1})} + \Re\{\int_{B_{R}} [-\frac{1}{\mu} \nabla s \nabla \overline{t} + k^{2} \epsilon s \overline{t}] - \int_{S_{R}} [T_{R}(s) - g] \overline{t}\}$$

that leads to the forward and adjoint problem (2) and (3). By taking  $v = u_{\chi\rho} - u_{\chi}$ and  $q = p_{\chi\rho} - p_{\chi}$ , where  $u_{\chi\rho}$  and  $p_{\chi\rho}$  are the respective solution of (4) and (5), v is then solution of the following problem :

$$\begin{cases} \nabla . (\frac{\nabla v}{\mu_{\chi_{\rho}}}) + k^{2} \epsilon_{\chi_{\rho}} v = \nabla . (\chi_{\omega_{\rho}}[\frac{1}{\mu}] \nabla u_{\chi}) + k^{2}[\epsilon] \chi_{\omega_{\rho}} u_{\chi} & \text{in } B_{R} \\ v = u_{\chi_{\rho}}^{s} - u_{\chi}^{s} & \text{in } B_{R} \\ \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} + T_{R}(v) = 0 & \text{on } S_{R} \end{cases}$$
(1)

q is solution of the adjoint problem of this above problem (not written here)

The lagrangian Forward and adjoint problems Difference between two derivatives Position of points during the optimization

(2)

æ

# Forward and adjoint problems

$$\sqrt{\nabla} \cdot (\frac{\nabla u_{\chi}}{\mu_{\chi}}) + k^2 \epsilon_{\chi} u_{\chi} = 0$$
 in  $B_R$ 

$$\begin{cases} u_{\chi} = u^i + u_{\chi}^s & \text{in } B_R \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{1}{\mu}\frac{\partial u_{\chi}}{\partial n} + T_R(u_{\chi}) = g \qquad \text{on} \quad S_R$$

$$\begin{cases} \nabla .(\frac{\nabla p_{\chi}}{\mu_{\chi}}) + k^{2} \epsilon_{\chi} p_{\chi} = 0 & \text{in } B_{R} \\ p_{\chi} = p^{i} + p_{\chi}^{s} & \text{in } B_{R} \end{cases}$$
(3)

<ロ> <同> <同> < 回> < 回>

$$\frac{1}{\mu}\frac{\partial p_{\chi}}{\partial n} + \overline{T}_{R}(\overline{p_{\chi}}) = S_{\infty}^{\chi} \qquad on \quad S_{R}$$

The lagrangian Forward and adjoint problems Difference between two derivatives Position of points during the optimization

# Perturbed forward and adjoint problems

$$\left(\nabla . \left(\frac{\nabla u_{\chi_{\rho}}}{\mu_{\chi_{\rho}}}\right) + k^2 \epsilon_{\chi_{\rho}} u_{\chi_{\rho}} = 0 \qquad \text{in} \quad B_R$$

$$\begin{cases} u_{\chi_{\rho}} = u^{i} + u^{s}_{\chi_{\rho}} & \text{in } B_{R} \end{cases}$$
(4)

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\frac{\partial u_{\chi_{\rho}}}{\partial n} + T_{R}(u_{\chi_{\rho}}) = g\right) \qquad on \quad S_{R}$$

$$\left\{ \nabla . \left( \frac{\nabla p_{\chi_{\rho}}}{\mu_{\chi_{\rho}}} \right) + k^2 \epsilon_{\chi_{\rho}} p_{\chi_{\rho}} = 0 \qquad \text{in} \quad B_R \right.$$

$$\begin{cases} p_{\chi_{\rho}} = p^{i} + p_{\chi_{\rho}}^{s} & \text{in } B_{R} \end{cases}$$
(5)

・ロン ・四 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と …

3

$$\frac{1}{\mu}\frac{\partial p_{\chi_{\rho}}}{\partial n} + \overline{T}_{R}(\overline{p_{\chi_{\rho}}}) = S_{\infty}^{\chi} \qquad on \quad S_{R}$$

with

$$S_{\infty}^{\chi} = \mu \overline{T}_{R}(\overline{\mathcal{H}}(u_{\infty}(\chi) - u_{\infty}^{mes})) + \frac{\partial \overline{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial n}(u_{\infty}(\chi) - u_{\infty}^{mes})$$

The lagrangian Forward and adjoint problems Difference between two derivatives Position of points during the optimization

-

Difference between  $(\mathcal{J}')'$  and  $\mathcal{J}''$ 

If  $\mathcal{J}(x)$  and x lives in a linear space then we have  $(\mathcal{J}')' = \mathcal{J}''$ . But we have  $\mathcal{J}(\Omega)$  and  $\Omega$  is not a linear space. We use the parameter  $\theta$  to define the variations of  $\Omega$ . And even if  $\theta$  lives in a linear space, we have the following :

$$(\Omega + \theta) + \tilde{ heta} 
eq \Omega + ( heta + ilde{ heta})$$

Indeed by definition  $\Omega + \theta = (I + \theta)(\Omega)$ , so

 $(\Omega + \theta) + \tilde{\theta} = (I + \tilde{\theta}) \circ (I + \theta)(\Omega) = (I + \theta + \tilde{\theta} \circ (I + \theta))(\Omega) = \Omega + (\theta + \tilde{\theta} \circ (I + \theta)(\Omega))$ 

We got the following relation between  $(\mathcal{J}')'$  and  $\mathcal{J}''$  :

$$\mathcal{J}''(\Omega,\theta,\tilde{\theta}) = (\mathcal{J}')'(\Omega,\theta,\tilde{\theta}) - \mathcal{J}'(\Omega,\theta.\nabla\theta)$$

[J. Simon. Second variation for domain optimization problems. In Control and estimation of distributed parameter systems, Birkhäuser (1989), 361-378]

The lagrangian Forward and adjoint problems Difference between two derivatives Position of points during the optimization

<ロ> <同> <同> < 回> < 回>

3

#### Plot of exterior normals



FIGURE: 15th iteration with 2nd order method and plot of exterior normals