ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE CENTRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES APPLIQUÉES UMR CNRS 7641

91128 PALAISEAU CEDEX (FRANCE). Tél: 01 69 33 41 50. Fax: 01 69 33 30 11 $\label{eq:http://www.cmap.polytechnique.fr} http://www.cmap.polytechnique.fr/$

Convergence of an algorithm for anisotropic mean curvature motion

A. Chambolle, M. Novaga

R.I. 572

April, 2005

Convergence of an algorithm for anisotropic mean curvature motion

A. Chambolle * , M. Novaga †

Abstract

We give a simple proof of convergence of the anisotropic variant of a wellknown algorithm for mean curvature motion, introduced in 1992 by Merriman, Bence and Osher. The algorithm consists in alternating the resolution of the (anisotropic) heat equation, with initial datum the characteristic function of the evolving set, and a thresholding at level 1/2.

1 Introduction: the algorithm

More than ten years ago, Merriman, Bence and Osher [22] proposed the following algorithm for the computation of the motion by mean curvature of a surface. Given a closed set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, they let $T_h E = \{u(\cdot, h) \ge 1/2\}$, where u solves the following heat equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x,t) = \Delta u(x,t) & t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(\cdot,0) = \chi_E & (t=0). \end{cases}$$
(1)

Then, they let $E_h(t) = T_h^{[t/h]} E$ (with [t/h] the integer part of t/h), and conjecture that $\partial E_h(t)$ converges to $\partial E(t)$, as $h \to 0$, where $\partial E(t)$ is the (generalized) evolution by mean curvature starting from ∂E .

The proof of convergence of this scheme was established by Evans [14], Barles and Georgelin [2]. Other proofs were given by H. Ishii [18] and Cao [10], where the evolution in (1) was replaced by the convolution of χ_E with a more general symmetric kernel. This was generalized by H. Ishii, Pires and Souganidis [19] to the case of the convolution with an arbitrary kernel (with some growth assumptions). This approach was also studied by Ruuth and Merriman [24] (see also [23]). Fabiana Leoni [21] studied another generalization, where (1) was replaced with a time and space dependent anisotropic heat equation, plus a lower order term.

We would like here to study the generalization of this algorithm to the so-called *anisotropic* and *crystalline curvature motion*, as defined in [17, 28, 27, 26]. More

^{*}CMAP, CNRS UMR 7641, Ecole Polytechnique, 91128, Palaiseau Cedex, France, e-mail: antonin.chambolle@polytechnique.fr

[†]Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Pisa, via Buonarroti 2, 56127 Pisa, Italy, e-mail: novaga@dm.unipi.it

precisely, we follow the definition of [8]. We consider (ϕ, ϕ°) a pair of mutually polar convex one homogeneous functions in \mathbb{R}^N , (i.e., $\phi^{\circ}(\xi) = \sup_{\phi(\eta) \leq 1} \xi \cdot \eta$, $\phi(\eta) = \sup_{\phi^{\circ}(\xi) \leq 1} \xi \cdot \eta$), that are assumed to be locally finite, and, to simplify, even. This pair is referred as *the anisotropy*.

For $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we denote by $\operatorname{dist}^{\phi}(x, E) := \inf_{y \in E} \phi(x - y)$ the ϕ -distance of x to the set E, and by

$$d_E^{\phi}(x) := \operatorname{dist}^{\phi}(x, \mathbb{R}^N \setminus E) - \operatorname{dist}^{\phi}(x, E)$$

the signed distance to ∂E , positive in the interior of E and negative outside its closure. A Cahn-Hoffman vector field n_{ϕ} is a vector field on ∂E such that $n_{\phi}(x) \in$ $\partial \phi^{\circ}(\nu_{E}(x)) = \partial \phi^{\circ}(-\nabla d_{E}^{\phi}(x))$ a.e. on ∂E . Here $\partial \phi^{\circ}$ is the (zero-homogeneous) subgradient of ϕ° , and ν_{E} is the (euclidean) exterior normal to ∂E . If such a field is given in a neighborhood of ∂E , then $\kappa_{\phi} = \operatorname{div} n_{\phi}$ is a ϕ -curvature of ∂E . The ϕ -curvature flow is then an evolution E(t) such that at each time, the velocity of $\partial E(t)$ is given by

$$V = -\kappa_{\phi} n_{\phi} , \qquad (2)$$

where n_{ϕ} is a Cahn-Hoffman vector field and κ_{ϕ} is the associated curvature. If ϕ, ϕ° are smooth (e.g., in $C^2(\Omega \setminus \{0\})$) then n_{ϕ}, κ_{ϕ} are uniquely defined, whereas if ϕ , ϕ° are merely Lipschitz (when, for instance, the *Wulff shape* $\{\phi \leq 1\}$ is a convex polytope), then n_{ϕ} can be nonunique and the anisotropy is called *crystalline* [28, 7].

As easily shown by formal asymptotic expansion, the natural anisotropic generalization of the Merriman-Bence-Osher algorithm is as follows. Given E a closed set with compact boundary in \mathbb{R}^N , we let $T_h(E) = \{x : u(x,h) \ge 1/2\}$ where u(x,t)is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x,t) \in \operatorname{div}\left(\phi^{\circ}(\nabla u)\partial\phi^{\circ}(\nabla u)\right)(x,t) & t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\ u(\cdot,0) = \chi_{E} & (t=0). \end{cases}$$
(3)

This evolution is well defined and unique by classical results on contraction semigroups [9], it corresponds to the flow in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of the subdifferential of the functional $u \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi^{\circ}(\nabla u)^2/2 \, dx$ if $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and $+\infty$ otherwise.

We therefore will study the limit of the discrete evolutions $t \mapsto E_h(t) = T_h^{[t/h]} E$, as $h \to 0$. Our main result will be that if there exists a reasonably regular evolution starting from E (in a sense introduced in [7], which includes smooth evolutions when the anisotropy is smooth), then $E_h(t)$ converges to this evolution. This result, together with the obvious monotonicity of the scheme ($E \subseteq F \Rightarrow T_h E \subseteq T_h F$), yields also consistency with all generalized solutions defined (in the smooth case) using barriers [5, 6] or, equivalently, viscosity solutions [12, 13, 3], as long as these are unique. Also, it yields the consistency of the scheme with crystalline evolutions, whose existence is proven in [4] in the convex case, and provide an alternative proof of uniqueness for such evolutions [7]. We observe that the case of the evolution law (2) is not be covered by the anisotropic motions of Ishii, Pires and Souganidis [19], generated by convolution. The possible anisotropic laws that may be obtained by convolution generated motion have been studied, in 2D, by Ruuth and Merriman [24, 25].

Our evolution is also different from the evolutions considered by Leoni [21]: in her paper, the heat equation (1) is replaced with an equation of the form $u_t = A(x,t) : D^2u + H(x,t,Du)$. The resulting surface motion is a variant of the Mean Curvature Motion, with a (x,t)-dependent velocity which is a function of a Riemannian curvature (depending on A) plus a lower order forcing term.

It would be interesting to prove a similar consistency result for the variational variant of (3), which is somehow simpler to solve numerically (in the truly nonlinear anisotropic cases): for $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ bounded, one would define $T_h E = \{u_h \ge 1/2\}$ where u_h is the solution of (with $\Omega \supseteq E$ bounded or $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$)

$$\min_{u \in H^1(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} \phi^{\circ}(\nabla u(x))^2 + \frac{1}{h} (u(x) - \chi_E(x))^2 \, dx \,. \tag{4}$$

Although it is likely that this variant produces the same evolution as the original scheme (it is true in the isotropic case, since u_h is given by the convolution of χ_E with a radially symmetric kernel), we could not extend our proof in all cases to this new scheme (in the smooth cases, a proof can be given, which is slightly more complicated than for the original algorithm).

Our proof follows the same idea as our recent proof of consistency [11] for (a generalization of) the variational algorithm of Almgren, Taylor and Wang [1]. However, we have just learned that K. Ishii [20] has recently given an optimal estimate on the rate of convergence of Merriman-Bence-Osher's algorithm, in the isotropic case, by means of a new proof of convergence which is very similar to the proof we give here.

2 The consistency result

If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ we say that E satisfies the interior rW_{ϕ} -condition if and only if for any $x \in \partial E$, there exists $y \in E$ with $\phi(x-y) = r$ and $\phi(x'-y) \ge r$ for any $x' \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus E$. We say that E satisfies the exterior rW_{ϕ} -condition if $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus E$ satisfies the interior rW_{ϕ} -condition.

We will show a consistency result with regular evolutions of (2), in the sense of the following definition:

Definition 2.1 We say that $t \mapsto E(t)$ is a rW_{ϕ} -regular ϕ -curvature flow on $[t_0, t_1]$, $t_0 < t_1$, if and only if

- (i.) for any $t \in [t_0, t_1]$, E(t) satisfies the interior and exterior rW_{ϕ} -conditions;
- (ii.) there exists a bounded and relatively open neighborhood A of $\bigcup_{t_0 \le t \le t_1} \partial E(t) \times \{t\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \times [t_0, t_1]$ such that $d(x, t) := d_{E(t)}^{\phi}(x)$ is Lipschitz in A;

- (iii.) there exists a vector field $n : A \to \mathbb{R}^N$ with $n \in \partial \phi^{\circ}(-\nabla d)$ a.e. in A, and div $n \in L^{\infty}(A)$;
- (iv.) there exists $\overline{c} > 0$ such that $|\partial d/\partial t + \operatorname{div} n| \leq \overline{c}|d|$ a.e. in A.

This definition, up to the additional requirement that E(t) satisfies an interior and exterior rW_{ϕ} -condition, is due to Bellettini and Novaga [7, Def. 2.2].

Such evolutions are known to exist if ϕ , ϕ° and ∂E are smooth enough (for instance, in $C^{3,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ [1]), or for any ϕ , ϕ° , when the initial set E is convex and satisfies an interior rW_{ϕ} -condition (exterior is always true in the case of convex sets) [4]. They also exist in the purely crystalline case, i.e., when both ϕ and ϕ° are piecewise linear, in dimension N = 2 [15, 16, 26] (see Section 4 for an example).

Our main theorem states that the anisotropic Merriman-Bence-Osher scheme is consistent with such evolutions.

Theorem 1 Let E be a regular flow in the sense of Definition 2.1, on a time interval $[t_0, t_1]$. Then, for any t and τ with $t_0 \leq t < t + \tau \leq t_1$, $\partial T_h^{[\tau/h]} E(t)$ converges to $\partial E(t+\tau)$ in the Hausdorff sense, as $h \to 0$.

The following corollary, also shown in [7], is obvious.

Corollary 2.2 Let E, F be two flows in the sense of Definition 2.1, on $[t_0, t_1]$, and assume $E(t_0) \subseteq F(t_0)$. Then $E(t) \subseteq F(t)$ for all $t \in [t_0, t_1]$. In particular, if $E(t_0) = F(t_0)$, then E(t) = F(t) for all $t \in [t_0, t_1]$.

The next corollary follows, with a standard proof, from the monotonicity and consistency of the scheme.

Corollary 2.3 Assume $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a closed set with compact boundary such that the generalized ϕ -curvature flow E(t), starting from E, is uniquely defined on a time interval [0,T) (e.g., ϕ , $\phi^{\circ} \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$, and no fattening occurs [12]). Then $\partial T_h^{[t/h]}E(t) \to \partial E(t)$ in the Hausdorff sense for any t < T, as $h \to 0$. The same conclusion holds for any ϕ , ϕ° if E is convex, by the uniqueness result in [4].

Let us observe that this result follows easily from Theorem 1 when evolutions according to Definition 2.1 are known to exist. If not (e.g., if ϕ, ϕ° are merely C^2) this is still true, however the proof relies on a comparison with appropriate strict superand subsolutions, defined according to obvious modifications of Definition 2.1 (as in [11]).

3 Proof of Theorem 1

The proof of Theorem 1 is divided in several steps. The idea is to build appropriate sub- and super-solutions to equation (3), by means of the function d(x,t), and to compare $T_h E(t)$ with E(t+h).

These barriers will be built by means of the function $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \times [0, +\infty) \to [0, 1]$ that solves the following heat equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \tau}(\xi,\tau) = \frac{\partial^2 \gamma}{\partial \xi^2}(\xi,\tau), & \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \ \tau > 0, \\ \gamma(\xi,0) = Y(\xi), & \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \ (\tau = 0). \end{cases}$$
(5)

where $Y = \chi_{[0,+\infty)}$ is the Heavyside function. It is well known that γ is given by

$$\gamma(\xi, \tau) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi\tau}} \int_{-\infty}^{\xi} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4\tau}} \, ds \, .$$

In particular, one readily sees that it is self-similar: indeed, the change of variables $s' = s/\sqrt{\tau}$ yields

$$\gamma(\xi,\tau) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{\tau}}} e^{-\frac{s'^2}{4}} ds' = \gamma\left(\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{\tau}},1\right) =: \gamma_1\left(\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{\tau}}\right).$$

We first show the following (obvious) result.

Lemma 3.1 For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\tau_0 > 0$ such that if $0 \le \tau \le \tau_0$, then $\gamma(\varepsilon, \tau) \ge 1 - \tau$.

Proof. We just need to observe that $\tau \mapsto \gamma(\varepsilon, \tau)$ is C^1 with derivative 0 at 0. This derivative is indeed given by $(-\varepsilon/\tau^{3/2})\gamma'_1(\varepsilon/\sqrt{\tau}) = (-\varepsilon/\tau^{3/2})\exp(-\varepsilon^2/(4\tau))$. There exists τ_0 such that it is in [-1,0] for $\tau \leq \tau_0$, hence $\gamma(\varepsilon,\tau) \geq \gamma(\varepsilon,0) - \tau$ if $\tau \in [0,\tau_0]$, which shows the lemma.

Let us now consider $E, r > 0, t_0 \le t_1, A$ and the functions d(x, t), n(x, t), as in Definition 2.1. Possibly reducing r, we can assume that $\{|d| \le r\} \subset A$. Let us fix $t \in [t_0, t_1), \delta \in [0, r/2]$ and let $F = \{d(\cdot, t) \ge -\delta\}$. Let u be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau}(x,\tau) \in \operatorname{div}\left(\phi^{\circ}(\nabla u)\partial\phi^{\circ}(\nabla u)\right)(x,\tau) & \tau > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\ u(\cdot,0) = \chi_{F} = Y(d(\cdot,t)+\delta) & (\tau=0). \end{cases}$$
(6)

We first show the following result.

Lemma 3.2 For any $\varepsilon \in (0, r/2)$, there exists $\tau_0 > 0$ (independent of δ) such that $\tau \leq \tau_0$ yields $u(x, \tau) \leq \tau$ for any x such that $d(x, t) + \delta = -\varepsilon$.

Proof. Let us fix $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus F$ with $d(x_0, t) + \delta = -\varepsilon$. Since E(t) satisfies the exterior rW_{ϕ} -condition, the function $(d(\cdot, t) + \delta)$ is, outside F, equal to dist ${}^{\phi}(\cdot, F)$. Hence, letting $W = \{x : \phi(x - x_0) < \varepsilon\}$, one sees that $W \cap F = \emptyset$. We deduce that $\chi_F \leq 1 - \chi_W$ in \mathbb{R}^N , so that $u(\cdot, \tau) \leq 1 - w(\cdot, \tau)$ where w is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \tau}(x,\tau) \in \operatorname{div}\left(\phi^{\circ}(\nabla w)\partial\phi^{\circ}(\nabla w)\right)(x,\tau) & \tau > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}\\ w(\cdot,0) = \chi_{W} & (\tau=0) \,. \end{cases}$$

This solution is explicitly given by $w(x,\tau) = U(\phi(x-x_0)/\varepsilon,\tau/\varepsilon^2)$ where $U(|x|,\tau)$ is the solution of the heat equation $\partial^2 U/\partial t^2 = \Delta U$ with initial datum χ_{B_1} , the characteristic function of the unit ball. In particular, one has

$$U(|x|,\tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\tau^N}} \int_{\{|y| \le 1\}} \exp\left(\frac{-(|x|-y_1)^2 - \sum_{i=2}^N y_i^2}{4\tau}\right) dy.$$

Using arguments similar to the proof of the previous lemma (based on the fact that U is smooth near $(\xi, \tau) = 0, 0$ and $\partial U/\partial t(0, 0) = 0$), one sees that there exists $\tau_0 > 0$ such that if $\tau \leq \tau_0, U(0, \tau) \geq 1 - \varepsilon^2 \tau$, hence $w(0, \tau) \geq 1 - \tau$ if $\tau \leq \tau'_0 = \tau_0/\varepsilon^2$. We deduce that $u(x_0, \tau) \leq \tau$ if $\tau \leq \tau'_0$, depending only on ε . This shows the lemma. \Box

Let us fix $\varepsilon < r/4$ and let us look for a supersolution of (6) on a time interval [0, h], h small, of the form

$$v(x,\tau) = \gamma \Big(d(x,t+\tau) + \delta + \overline{c} \,\overline{\varepsilon} \tau, \tau \Big) + h \,,$$

in $B = \bigcup_{0 \le \tau \le h} \{x : -\varepsilon \le d(x,t) + \delta, d(x,t+\tau) + \delta \le \varepsilon\} \times \{\tau\}$, where the constant $\overline{\varepsilon}$ will be precised later on. We observe that since the speed of the motion is bounded at any time for τ small enough, if h is small enough (depending only on r, ε), B remains inside $\{(x,\tau) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0,h] : -\delta - 2\varepsilon \le d(x,t+\tau) \le -\delta + \varepsilon\}$, and $(0,t) + B \subset A$.

At $\tau = 0$, $v(x,0) = Y(d(x,t) + \delta) + h$ is strictly larger than $\chi_F(x) = u(x,0)$. If $0 \le \tau \le h$ and $d(x,t) + \delta = -\varepsilon$, by Lemma 3.2 we have $u(x,\tau) \le \tau \le h \le v(x,\tau)$, provided h is small enough. If on the other hand, $d(x,t+\tau) + \delta = \varepsilon$, then by Lemma 3.1, still for h small enough, $v(x,\tau) = \gamma(d(x,t+\tau) + \delta + \overline{c}\overline{\varepsilon}\tau,\tau) + h \ge \gamma(\varepsilon,\tau) + h \ge 1 - \tau + h$, hence $v(x,\tau) \ge 1 \ge u(x,\tau)$. Hence, to get that v is a supersolution of (6) in B, one has to show that $\partial v/\partial \tau \ge \operatorname{div} Z$, for some field $Z \in \phi^{\circ}(\nabla v)\partial\phi^{\circ}(\nabla v)$, inside B.

One has, a.e. in B,

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial \tau}(x,\tau) = \left(\frac{\partial d}{\partial t}(x,t+\tau) + \overline{c}\,\overline{\varepsilon}\right) \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \xi} (d(x,t+\tau) + \delta + \overline{c}\,\overline{\varepsilon}\tau,\tau) \\ + \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \tau} (d(x,t+\tau) + \delta + \overline{c}\,\overline{\varepsilon}\tau,\tau)$$

whereas

$$\nabla v(x,\tau) = \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \xi} (d(x,t+\tau) + \delta + \overline{c} \,\overline{\varepsilon} \tau, \tau) \nabla d(x,t+\tau) \,,$$

so that $\phi^{\circ}(\nabla v) = \partial \gamma / \partial \xi$ and $\partial \phi^{\circ}(\nabla v) = \partial \phi^{\circ}(\nabla d)$. Hence

$$\operatorname{div} \phi^{\circ}(\nabla v) \partial \phi^{\circ}(\nabla v)(x,\tau) = \operatorname{div} \left[\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \xi} (d(x,t+\tau) + \delta + \overline{c}\,\overline{\varepsilon}\tau,\tau) \partial \phi^{\circ}(\nabla d(x,t+\tau)) \right]$$
$$= \frac{\partial^{2} \gamma}{\partial \xi^{2}} (d(x,t+\tau) + \delta + \overline{c}\,\overline{\varepsilon}\tau,\tau) + \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \xi} (d(x,t+\tau) + \delta + \overline{c}\,\overline{\varepsilon}\tau,\tau) (\operatorname{div} \partial \phi^{\circ}(\nabla d))(x,t+\tau))$$

Let $Z(x,\tau) = -(\partial \gamma/\partial \xi)(d(x,t+\tau) + \delta + \overline{c}\,\overline{\varepsilon}\tau,\tau)n(x,t+\tau)$. Since we have $\partial d/\partial t \geq -\operatorname{div} n - \overline{c}|d|$ in B, we deduce

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \tau}(x,\tau) &\geq \operatorname{div} Z(x,\tau) - \frac{\partial^2 \gamma}{\partial \xi^2} (d(x,t+\tau) + \delta + \overline{c}\,\overline{\varepsilon}\tau,\tau) \\ &+ \overline{c}(\overline{\varepsilon} - |d(x,t+\tau)|) \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \xi} (d(x,t+\tau) + \delta + \overline{c}\,\overline{\varepsilon}\tau,\tau) + \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \tau} (d(x,t+\tau) + \delta + \overline{c}\,\overline{\varepsilon}\tau,\tau) \,. \end{split}$$

Now, γ satisfies the heat equation, so that if $|d(x, t + \tau)| \leq \overline{\varepsilon}$ a.e. in B, it remains

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial \tau}(x,\tau) \ge \operatorname{div} Z(x,\tau).$$

By standard comparisons results on elliptic equations, we find that if $\overline{\varepsilon} \geq \delta + 2\varepsilon$, $v(x,h) \geq u(x,h)$. In particular, we deduce that there exists $h_0 > 0$ (depending only on r, ε) such that if $h < h_0$,

$$T_h F = \left\{ u(\cdot, h) \ge \frac{1}{2} \right\} \subset \left\{ v(\cdot, h) \ge \frac{1}{2} \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N : d(x, t+h) \ge -\delta + [\gamma(\cdot, h)]^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} - h\right) - \overline{c} \,\overline{\varepsilon} h \right\}$$

Now, $\gamma(\xi, h) = \gamma_1(\xi/\sqrt{h})$, and $\gamma_1(0) = 1/2$, $\gamma'_1(0) = 1/(2\sqrt{\pi})$, so that $\gamma_1^{-1}(1/2-h) = -2\sqrt{\pi}h + o(h)$ and $[\gamma(\cdot, h)]^{-1}(1/2 - h) = (-2\sqrt{\pi}h + o(h))\sqrt{h}$. Hence, possibly changing h_0 , one gets that if $h < h_0$, then $[\gamma(\cdot, h)]^{-1}(1/2 - h) \ge -4h^{3/2}$. One gets, if $h < h_0$, and choosing $\overline{\varepsilon} = \delta + 2\varepsilon$,

$$T_h F \subset \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N : d(x, t+h) \ge -[\delta + (\overline{c}(\delta + 2\varepsilon) + 4\sqrt{h})h] \right\}.$$

Now, we deduce that $(\varepsilon \in (0, r/4)$ being fixed) if $t \in [t_0, t_1), h \leq h_0$ and $k \geq 1$ with $t + kh \leq t_0$, one has

$$T_h^k(E(t)) \ \subset \ \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \ : \ d(x,t+kh) \geq -\delta_k \right\}$$

with $\delta_0 = 0$ and $\delta_k = \delta_{k-1} + (\overline{c}(\delta_{k-1} + 2\varepsilon) + 4\sqrt{h})h$, as long as $\delta_{k-1} \leq r/2$. By induction, we find

$$\delta_k = \left((1 + \overline{c}h)^k - 1 \right) \left(2\varepsilon + \frac{4\sqrt{h}}{\overline{c}} \right)$$

In particular, if $\tau > 0$ is fixed, with $t + \tau \leq t_1$, and $k = [\tau/h]$, we see that $\lim_{h\to 0} \delta_k = 2\varepsilon(e^{\overline{c}\tau} - 1)$. If $\varepsilon < r/4$ is chosen small enough (less than $(r/4)/(e^{\overline{c}\tau} - 1)$), we see that for h > 0 small enough, $\delta_{[\tau/h]} \leq r/2$. If now E' is the Hausdorff limit of any converging subsequence of $T_h^{[\tau/h]}E(t)$, as $h \to 0$, we deduce that $E' \subseteq \{d(\cdot, t + \tau) \geq -2\varepsilon(e^{\overline{c}\tau} - 1)\}$. Since this must be true for all $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, one sees that $E' \subseteq E(t + \tau)$. On the other hand, a symmetric argument (based on subsolutions of the equation) will yield that if $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus E''$ is the Hausdorff limit of a converging subsequence of $(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus T_h^{[\tau/h]}E(t))_{h>0}$, then $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus E'' \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus E(t + \tau)}$, that is, $\operatorname{int}(E(t + \tau)) \subseteq E''$. Without loss of generality, one can choose the same subsequence in both limits above, getting that $E'' \subset E'$, and that $E' \setminus E''$ is the Hausdorff limit of $\partial T_h^{[\tau/h]}E(t)$. Since $\operatorname{int}(E(t + \tau)) \subseteq E'' \subset E(t + \tau)$, we see that $E'' = \operatorname{int}(E(t + \tau))$, $E' = E(t + \tau)$, $E' \setminus E'' = \partial E(t + \tau)$, and by uniqueness of this Hausdorff limit we deduce Theorem 1.

4 A numerical example

The algorithm is quite easy to implement, numerically. Of course, there is some difficulty in computing precisely the solution of (3) in strongly anisotropic or crystalline cases, especially when the subgradient $\partial \phi^{\circ}$ is multivalued. We experimented an implicit method, based on iterative resolutions of the variational problem (4). More precisely, we approximate $u(\cdot, h)$ with $w_n(x)$ where h = nh', n is a fixed (small) integer, $w_0 = \chi_E$ and for $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$, w_{i+1} solves (in a domain Ω "large" with respect to E)

$$\min_{w\in H^1(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} \phi^{\circ}(\nabla w(x))^2 \,+\, \frac{1}{h'} (w(x) - w_i(x))^2 \,dx \,.$$

To solve this minimization problem in the crystalline case, we discretize (here, on a bidimensional finite differences grid) and solve the dual problem

$$\min_{\xi \in L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^N)} \int_{\Omega} \phi(\xi(x))^2 + h((w_i(x)/h') - \operatorname{div}\xi(x))^2 dx$$

using a conjugate-gradient method. Then, $w_{i+1} = w_i - h' \operatorname{div} \xi$. The thresholding at level 1/2 is replaced by a "soft thresholding" $w_n(x) \mapsto \min\{1, \max\{1/2 + \sigma(w_n(x) - 1/2), 0\}\}$, where σ is adapted to the spatial discretization step, in order to keep a precision slightly higher that the grid's. In the example shown in Figure 1, the Wulff shape $\{\phi \leq 1\}$ is an hexagon.



Figure 1: Evolutions at times t = 0, 5, 25, 60, 400, 800.

References

 F. Almgren, J. E. Taylor, and L.-H. Wang. Curvature-driven flows: a variational approach. SIAM J. Control Optim., 31(2):387–438, 1993.

- [2] G. Barles and C. Georgelin. A simple proof of convergence for an approximation scheme for computing motions by mean curvature. SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 32(2):484–500, 1995.
- [3] G. Barles, H. M. Soner, and P. E. Souganidis. Front propagation and phase field theory. SIAM J. Control Optim., 31(2):439-469, 1993.
- [4] G. Bellettini, V. Caselles, A. Chambolle, and M. Novaga. Crystalline mean curvature flow of convex sets. Preprint Centro De Giorgi, Pisa, 2004.
- [5] G. Bellettini and M. Novaga. Minimal barriers for geometric evolutions. J. Differential Equations, 139(1):76–103, 1997.
- [6] G. Bellettini and M. Novaga. Comparison results between minimal barriers and viscosity solutions for geometric evolutions. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4), 26(1):97–131, 1998.
- [7] G. Bellettini and M. Novaga. Approximation and comparison for nonsmooth anisotropic motion by mean curvature in R^N. Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., 10(1):1–10, 2000.
- [8] G. Bellettini and M. Paolini. Anisotropic motion by mean curvature in the context of Finsler geometry. *Hokkaido Math. J.*, 25(3):537–566, 1996.
- [9] H. Brézis. Opérateurs maximaux monotones et semi-groupes de contractions dans les espaces de Hilbert. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1973. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, No. 5. Notas de Matemática (50).
- [10] F. Cao. Partial differential equations and mathematical morphology. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 77(9):909-941, 1998.
- [11] A. Chambolle and M. Novaga. Implicit time discretization of the mean curvature flow with a discontinuous forcing term. Preprint CMAP #571, Ecole Polytechnique, France, March 2005.
- [12] Y. G. Chen, Y. Giga, and S. Goto. Uniqueness and existence of viscosity solutions of generalized mean curvature flow equations. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, 65(7):207–210, 1989.
- [13] M. G. Crandall, H. Ishii, and P.-L. Lions. User's guide to viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equations. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* (N.S.), 27(1):1–67, 1992.
- [14] L. C. Evans. Convergence of an algorithm for mean curvature motion. Indiana Univ. Math. J., 42(2):533-557, 1993.
- [15] M.-H. Giga and Y. Giga. Generalized motion by nonlocal curvature in the plane. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 159(4):295-333, 2001.

- [16] Y. Giga and M. E. Gurtin. A comparison theorem for crystalline evolution in the plane. Quart. Appl. Math., 54(4):727–737, 1996.
- [17] M. E. Gurtin. Thermomechanics of evolving phase boundaries in the plane. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1993.
- [18] H. Ishii. A generalization of the Bence, Merriman and Osher algorithm for motion by mean curvature. In *Curvature flows and related topics (Levico,* 1994), volume 5 of *GAKUTO Internat. Ser. Math. Sci. Appl.*, pages 111–127. Gakkōtosho, Tokyo, 1995.
- [19] H. Ishii, G. E. Pires, and P. E. Souganidis. Threshold dynamics type approximation schemes for propagating fronts. J. Math. Soc. Japan, 51(2):267–308, 1999.
- [20] K. Ishii. Optimal rate of convergence of the Bence-Merriman-Osher algorithm for motion by mean curvature. Preprint #16 available at the *Control Theory* and PDE web site http://cpde.iac.rm.cnr.it, Nov. 2004.
- [21] F. Leoni. Convergence of an approximation scheme for curvature-dependent motions of sets. SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 39(4):1115–1131 (electronic), 2001.
- [22] B. Merriman, J. K. Bence, and S. J. Osher. Diffusion generated motion by mean curvature. In J. E. Taylor, editor, "Computational Crystal Growers Workshop", Selected Lectures in Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1992.
- [23] S. J. Ruuth. Efficient algorithms for diffusion-generated motion by mean curvature. J. Comput. Phys., 144(2):603-625, 1998.
- [24] S. J. Ruuth and B. Merriman. Convolution-generated motion and generalized Huygens' principles for interface motion. SIAM J. Appl. Math., 60(3):868–890 (electronic), 2000.
- [25] S. J. Ruuth and B. Merriman. Convolution-thresholding methods for interface motion. J. Comput. Phys., 169(2):678–707, 2001.
- [26] J. E. Taylor. Motion of curves by crystalline curvature, including triple junctions and boundary points. In Differential geometry: partial differential equations on manifolds (Los Angeles, CA, 1990), volume 54 of Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., pages 417–438. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.
- [27] J. E. Taylor. Surface motion due to crystalline surface energy gradient flows. In *Elliptic and parabolic methods in geometry (Minneapolis, MN, 1994)*, pages 145–162. A K Peters, Wellesley, MA, 1996.
- [28] J. E. Taylor, J. W. Cahn, and C. A. Handwerker. Geometric models of crystal growth. Acta Metall., 40:1443–1474, 1992.