ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE CENTRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES APPLIQUÉES UMR CNRS 7641

91128 PALAISEAU CEDEX (FRANCE). Tél: 01 69 33 41 50. Fax: 01 69 33 30 11 http://www.cmap.polytechnique.fr/

Interaction of a bulk and a surface energy with a geometrical constraint

A. Chambolle, M. Solci

R.I. 584

November, 2005

Interaction of a bulk and a surface energy with a geometrical constraint

Antonin Chambolle^{*} and Margherita Solci[†]

Abstract

This study is an attempt to generalize in dimension higher than two the mathematical results in [8] (*Computing the equilibrium configuration of epitaxially strained crystalline films*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **62** (2002), no. 4, 1093–1121) by E. Bonnetier and the first author. It is the study of a physical system whose equilibrium is the result of a competition between an elastic energy inside a domain and a surface tension, proportional to the perimeter of the domain. The domain is constrained to remain a subgraph. It is shown in [8] that several phenomenon appear at various scales as a result of this competition. In this paper, we focus on establishing a sound mathematical framework for this problem in higher dimension. We also provide an approximation, based on a phase-field representation of the domain.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we seek to extend to higher dimension the results of the first author and Eric Bonnetier in [8]. There, the authors modelize the physical system which consists in a thin film of atoms deposited on a substrate, made of a different crystal. Such systems are common in the engineering of devices such as electronic chips, which are obtained by growing epitaxial films on flat surfaces.

In such a situation, the misfit between the crystalline lattices of the substrate and the film induces strains in the film. To release the elastic energy due to these strains, the atoms of the free surface of the film may diffuse and a reorganization occurs in the film. The result of this mechanism is a competition between the surface energy of the crystal, and the bulk elastic energy. The former is roughly proportional to the free surface of the crystal, and therefore favors flat configurations. The bulk energy, on the contrary, is best released if oscillatory patterns develop. We refer to [8] and the former study [9] for a more complete explanation of the phenomenon, and for references on "stress driven rearrangement instabilities" (SDRI) and epitaxial growth.

^{*}CMAP (CNRS UMR 7641), Ecole Polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau cedex, France.

[†]DAP, Università di Sassari, Palazzo Pou Salit, 07041 Alghero, Italia.

Here, we restrict our study to the mathematical model which is proposed in [8] in dimension two. We extend to higher dimension the relaxation result (implicitly contained in Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 in [8]), and show the correctness of the phase-field approximation, extending [8, Thm 3.1]. Observe however that in that paper, the bulk energy is a linearized elasticity energy that involves the symmetrized gradient of the displacement. It seems that up to now, the theory of "special bounded deformation" functions [5, 7] is not well-enough developped to make possible the generalization of our results to that case, so that we only work with $W^{1,p}$ -coercive bulk energies. Alternatively, we could have decided to impose an additional (artificial) L^{∞} constraint to the displacements, in which case the extension to linearized elasticity energies would have been relatively easy (see for instance [13]).

Numerical experiments conducted by François Jouve and Eric Bonnetier (at CMAP, Ecole Polytechnique, France, and LMC/Imag, Grenoble, France) show that the phase-field energy introduced in Section 5, in dimension 3, yield results similar to the 2D plots in [8]. See Figure 1 which shows how an island is formed, as a result of the competition between the surface energy and the strains in the material. Here the stretch (the lattice misfit) along the x-direction in stronger than in the y-direction, explaining the shape of the island. (In this example, the bulk energy is a linearized elasticity energy.)



Figure 1: Example of an "island".

To be precise, we consider in this paper a displacement in a material domain which is the subgraph of an unknown nonnegative function h. Assuming h is defined on an open Lipschitz set $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, the displacement u will be defined on the subgraph $\Omega_h := \{x = (x', x_N) \in \omega \times (0, +\infty) : x_N < h(x')\}$ of h. We will consider energies of the form:

$$F(u,h) = \int_{\Omega_h} W(\nabla u) \, dx + \int_{\omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla h|^2} \, dx'$$

where u sastisfies a prescribed boundary condition on the boundary $\omega \times \{0\}$. In this paper, ω will be the (N-1)-dimensional torus and the boundary condition of u on " $\partial \omega$ " will be of periodic type, as in [8] (however, adaption to other situations will not be difficult as long as $\partial \omega$ is Lipschitz).

The goal of our paper is to show that the relaxed functional of F can be written

$$\overline{F}(u,h) = \int_{\Omega_h} W(\nabla u) \, dx + \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_*\Omega_h) + 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma),$$

where Σ , the "internal" discontinuity set of u, "inside" the subgraph Ω_h of h (which is now a BV function), will be a "vertical" rectifiable set, so that $\Omega_h \cup \Sigma$ can be viewed as a generalized subgraph.

In an article written almost simultaneously by Andrea Braides and the authors of the present paper [10], a similar problem is studied, without the constraint that the domain is the subgraph of a function. Although this may seem more general, showing that "recovery" sequences can be built, so that \overline{F} is not only a lower bound, but also an upper bound for the lower semicontinuous envelope of F, is considerably more difficult in our setting, since the sequence which is found must satisfy the constraint, and therefore has to be built in a constructive way (and not using some general existence result). This construction follows the discretization/reinterpolation technique introduced in [12, 13]. On the other hand, the lower bound in this work is almost a straightforward consequence of [10].

Eventually, the last section in this paper deals with the phase-field approximation of \overline{F} , using the same approach as in [8].

2 Setting of the problem and statement of the result

2.1 Functions of bounded variation

We start by recalling some definition and results, useful in this paper, concerning spaces of function of bounded variation; for this topic, we refer essentially to [6].

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N . Given $u \in L^1(\Omega)$, its total variation is defined as

$$\sup\left\{\int_{\Omega} u\operatorname{div} \psi \, dx : \psi \in C^{\infty}_{c}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{N}), \ |\psi(x)| \leq 1 \ \forall x \in \Omega\right\}.$$

One may check that it is finite if and only if the distributional derivative Du of u is a bounded Radon measure in Ω . In this case, the total variation of u is equal to the total variation of the measure Du, and is classically denoted by $|Du|(\Omega)$.

At each $x \in \Omega$, one can define upper and lower values of u as follows: the upper value is

$$u_{+}(\xi) = \inf \left\{ t \in [-\infty, +\infty] : \limsup_{\rho \to 0} \frac{|\{y \in \Omega : u(y) > t\}| \cap B_{\rho}(x)|}{|B_{\rho}(x)|} = 0 \right\}$$

where $B_{\rho}(x)$ is the ball of radius ρ , centered at x. The lower value is simply $-(-u)_+$. Defining the "jump set" of u as $S_u := \{x \in \Omega : u_-(x) < u_+(x)\}$, one can show that if $u \in BV(\Omega)$, S_u is a $(\mathcal{H}^{N-1}, N-1)$ -rectifiable set (in the sense of Federer [16]), so that it admits a normal $\nu_u(x)$ at \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -a.e. $x \in S_u$, and Du decomposes as

$$Du = \nabla u(x) \, dx + (u_+(x) - u_-(x))\nu_u(x) \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \sqcup S_u(x) + D^c u$$

where $D^c u$, the "Cantor part", is singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure and vanishes on any set with finite (N-1)-dimensional Hausdorff measure. The Radon-Nikodym derivative of Du with respect to the Lebesgue measure dx, denoted by $\nabla u(x)$, is a.e. the "approximate gradient" of u at x, see [6]. Of course, if $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$, it coincides with the weak gradient.

Up to now, we have considered real-valued functions. If $u: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is vectorvalued, S_u will be the union of the jumps sets of the *d* components of *u*. One shows, then, that when two of these jumps sets intersect, the corresponding normals coincide \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -everywhere in the intersection up to a change of sign. The jump part of the derivative Du is given by $(u_+ - u_-) \otimes \nu_u d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \sqcup S_u$, where now, u^+ and $u^$ are not the "upper" and "lower" values (since there is no natural order in \mathbb{R}^d) but the orientation depends on the choice of the direction of the normal ν_u (the triple (u_-, u_+, ν) being equivalent to $(u_+, u_-, -\nu_u)$).

The space $SBV(\Omega)$ is defined as the subset of $BV(\Omega)$ of functions u such that $D^c u = 0$, that is, Du is absolutely continuous with respect to $dx + \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \sqcup S_u$. Then, for p > 1, we say that a function $u: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the space $SBV_p(\Omega)$ if $u \in SBV(\Omega), \nabla u \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S_u) < +\infty$.

We say that a function $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ is a generalized function of bounded variation $(u \in GBV(\Omega))$ if $u^T := (-T) \lor u \land T$ belongs to $BV(\Omega)$ for every $T \ge 0$. If $u \in GBV(\Omega)$, setting $S_u = \bigcup_{T>0} S_{u^T}$, a truncation argument allows to define the traces $u_-(x)$ and $u_+(x)$ for a.e. $x \in S_u$. Defining, for $u \in GBV(\Omega)$, the Cantor part of the derivative as $|D^c u| = \sup_{T>0} |D^c u^T|$, we say that a function u in $GBV(\Omega)$ belongs to $GSBV(\Omega)$ if $|D^c u| = 0$, and moreover u in $GSBV(\Omega)$ belongs to $GSBV_p(\Omega)$, for p > 1, if $\nabla u \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S_u) < +\infty$.

The following compactness result for SBV is proven in [3, 4] (see also [6, Thm. 4.8]).

Theorem 2.1 (Compactness in SBV) Let $(u_n)_n \subset SBV(\Omega)$ satisfy

$$\sup_{n} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{n}|^{p} dx + \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S_{u_{n}}) \right\} < +\infty,$$

with u_n uniformly bounded in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Then, there exists a subsequence $(u_{n_k})_k$ and $u \in SBV_p(\Omega)$ such that $u_{n_k} \to u$ a.e. in Ω , $\nabla u_k \to \nabla u$ in $L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$, and

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S_u) \leq \liminf_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S_{u_k})$$

If u_n is bounded only in $L^1(\Omega)$, one shows easily by truncation that the results still holds, with $u \in GSBV_p(\Omega)$.

2.2 Subgraphs of finite perimeter

In this paper, to simplify, ω is the torus $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^{N-1}$; however, the extension of our results to the case of a Lipschitz bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^{N-1} does not raise any difficulties. A generic point $x \in \omega \times \mathbb{R}$ will be denoted by (x', x_N) , $x' = (x_1, \ldots, x_{N-1}) \in \omega$, $x_N \in \mathbb{R}$. For $h : \omega \to \mathbb{R}_+$ measurable, we consider:

$$\Omega_h = \{ x \in \omega \times (-1, +\infty) : x_N < h(x') \} \text{ and}$$
$$\Omega_h^+ = \{ x \in \omega \times (0, +\infty) : x_N < h(x') \} = \Omega_h \cap (\omega \times (0, +\infty)) .$$

If $h \in BV(\omega; \mathbb{R}_+)$, the set Ω_h has finite perimeter in the sense of Caccioppoli in $\omega \times (-1, +\infty)$ (*i.e.*, $|D\chi_{\Omega_h}|(\omega \times (-1, +\infty)) \leq |\omega| + |Dh|(\omega) < +\infty$, so that $\chi_{\Omega_h} \in BV(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))$). At each point $\xi \in \omega$ one can define the upper and lower values $h_+(\xi)$ and $h_-(\xi)$ as in the previous section. As before, it is known that $h_+ = h_-$ a.e. in ω and the set of points where $h_- < h_+$, called the jump set of h, is denoted by S_h . Then, if $x = (x', x_N) \in \omega \times (-1, +\infty)$, $x_N < h_-(x') \Rightarrow x \in \Omega_h^1$ (the set of points where it has density 0), and $\partial_*\Omega_h = \omega \times (-1, +\infty) \setminus (\Omega_h^0 \cup \Omega_h^1)$, the measure-theoretical boundary, is a subset of (and \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -a.e. equal to) $\bigcup_{\xi \in \omega} \{\xi\} \times [h_-(\xi), h_+(\xi)]$. It is known that the measure-theoretical boundary is \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -a.e. equal to a subset $\partial^*\Omega_h$ called the "reduced boundary" of De Giorgi, that contains only points x where the blow-ups $(\Omega_h - x)/\rho$ converge as $\rho \to 0$ (in $L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$) to a half-space of outer normal $\nu_{\Omega_h}(x)$ (hence, Ω_h has density exactly 1/2 at x).

Let us emphasize the fact that the boundaries $\partial \Omega_f$, $\partial_* \Omega_h$ will always, in this paper, be intended as boundaries *inside* $\omega \times (-1, +\infty)$, that is, they do not contain $\omega \times \{-1\}$.

2.3 The relaxation result

Let $W: M^{d \times N} \to [0, +\infty)$, with $d \ge 1$, be a continuous and quasi-convex function satisfying a *p*-growth condition. Let $u^0 \in W^{1,p}(\omega \times (-1,0); \mathbb{R}^d)$.

For $h \in C^1(\omega; [0, +\infty))$, and $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_h^+; \mathbb{R}^d)$, with $u = u^0$ in $\omega \times \{0\}$, we set:

$$F(u,h) = \int_{\Omega_h^+} W(\nabla u) \, dx + \int_{\omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla h|^2} \, dx';$$

clearly, the same definition can be done for $u \in L^1(\omega \times (0, +\infty); \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that the restriction to Ω_h^+ satisfies the previous properties; moreover, we define $F(u, h) = +\infty$ otherwise in $L^1(\omega \times (0, +\infty); \mathbb{R}^d) \times BV(\omega; [0, +\infty))$.

It is clear that equivalently one can write that $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_h; \mathbb{R}^d)$, with $u = u^0$ in $\omega \times (-1, 0)$.

The main result of this paper is the proof of the following relaxation result for the functional F, here written in the case d = 1 (for the general case, see the 4th remark in Section 2.4).

Theorem 2.2 The lower-semicontinuous envelope of the functional F with respect to the $L^1(\omega \times (0, +\infty)) \times L^1(\omega)$ topology, is the functional $\overline{F} \colon L^1(\omega \times (0, +\infty)) \times L^1(\omega) \to [0, +\infty]$ defined as:

$$\overline{F}(u,h) = \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega_h^+} W(\nabla u) \, dx + \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_*\Omega_h) + 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S'_u \cap \Omega_h^1) \\ & \text{if } h \in BV(\omega; [0, +\infty)) \text{ and } u\chi_{\Omega_h^+} \in GSBV(\omega \times (0, +\infty)) \\ & +\infty \quad otherwise, \end{cases}$$

where

$$S'_u = \{ (x', x_N + t) : x \in S_u, t \ge 0 \}$$

Observe that, denoting $\Sigma = S'_u \cap \Omega_h^1$, Σ is a "vertical" rectifiable set, and we will sometimes write $\Gamma = \partial_* \Omega_h \cup \Sigma$, the "generalized" interface.

The proof of Theorem 2.2 will be given by showing a lower and an upper bound, respectively in Section 3 (Prop. 3.1) and in Section 4 (Prop. 4.1); the thesis of Theorem 2.2 immediately follows from these results.

2.4 Some remarks

- In [10], a similar result is shown, with mainly two differences, that both follow from the constraint that the set where u is defined is a subgraph: in the lim inf inequality, we have to keep the track of vertical parts of the boundary (S'_u) that might not be in the jump set of u (that is, one might have (S'_u \ S_u) ∩ Ω¹_h ≠ Ø). In the lim sup inequality, one needs to build a recovery sequence which remains a subgraph, leading to a much more complex proof than in [10].
- 2. In [8], one also considers the case where the surface tension for the substrate (of boundary ω × {0}), σ_S, can be different from the surface tension σ_C of the crystal (of boundary ∂Ω_h ∩ (ω × (0, +∞)), if h is smooth). In this case, two different phenomena occur, depending on the fact σ_S ≤ σ_C or σ_C < σ_S. In the latter case, it is always energetically convenient to cover (or "wet") all the surface of the substrate with an infinitesimal layer of crystal, so that the global surface tension in the relaxed energy is σ_C. In case σ_S is less than σ_C, then parts of the substrate might remain uncovered by the crystal, and the surface energy in the relaxed functional will be given by

$$\sigma_C(\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_*\Omega_h \cap (\omega \times (0, +\infty))) + 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S'_u \cap \Omega^1_h)) + \sigma_S \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{x' \in \omega : h(x') = 0\}).$$

We do not prove this result here: we fear it would make the paper harder to read, mostly because of the notation. See also Remark 4.4.

3. Still in [8], the (2D) functional F is minimized with an additional volume constraint $(\int_{\omega} h \, dx = 1)$. It is easy to show that the relaxed functional \overline{F} does not change under this constraint — see Remark 4.2 below.

- 4. In the sequel, we will assume that d = 1, u is scalar, hence W is convex. Adapting the proofs to the vectorial case (and W quasiconvex) is straightforward (and would just make the notation more tedious).
- 5. In [8] and the problem mentionned in the introduction, it is not u but $u x_1$ which is 1-periodic in the first variable. Here, to simplify, everything is written with $u \in GSBV_p(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))$: that is, u is periodic in the (N-1) first directions (we recall ω is the (N-1)-dimensional torus). Adapting the results to extend them to the case where (for instance) $u \alpha(x_1, 0, \ldots, 0) \in GSBV_p(\omega \times (-1, +\infty)), \alpha > 0$, would not be difficult.

3 A lower bound for the relaxed envelope of F

In this section we obtain a lower bound for the relaxed functional \overline{F} by proving the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1 For every sequence $(u_n, h_n) \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_{h_n}) \times C^1(\omega; [0, +\infty))$, with $u_n = u_0$ in $\omega \times (-1, 0)$, such that

$$\sup_{n} F(u_n, h_n) < +\infty$$

there exist $h \in BV(\omega; [0, +\infty))$ and $u \in GSBV(\omega \times (0, +\infty))$ (with u = 0 out of Ω_h) such that $\chi_{\Omega_{h_n}} u_n \to u$ in $L^1(\omega \times (0, +\infty))$, $h_n \to h$ in $L^1(\omega)$, and

$$\int_{\Omega_h^+} |\nabla u(x)|^p \, dx \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega_{h_n}^+} |\nabla u_n(x)|^p \, dx \,, \tag{1}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_*\Omega_h) + 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S'_u \cap \Omega_h^1) \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{\omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla h_n(x')|^2} \, dx' \tag{2}$$

This Proposition implies immediately the lower bound for the relaxed envelope of F, that is the first part of the proof of Theorem 2.2. Indeed, we obtain in the proof that the sequence $(u_n)_n$ converges in fact weakly in the $W^{1,p}$ -topology, and since the function W is lower semicontinuous and quasi-convex, with growth p, the functional $G(u) = \int_{\Omega_h^+} W(\nabla u) dx$ is weakly lower semicontinuous in $W^{1,p}$; then, in the same hypotheses, we get the inequality:

$$\int_{\Omega_h^+} W(\nabla u(x)) \, dx + \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_*\Omega_h) + 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S'_u \cap \Omega_h^1) \\\leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega_{h_n}^+} W(\nabla u_n(x)) \, dx + \int_{\omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla h_n(x')|^2} \, dx',$$
(3)

Let us consider a sequence (u_n, h_n) such that

$$\sup_{n\geq 1}F(u_n,h_n)<+\infty\,;$$

we show that, up to a subsequence, $u_n \to u$ in $L^1(\omega \times (0, +\infty))$ and $h_n \to h$ in $L^1(\omega)$, with

$$\overline{F}(u,h) \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} F(u_n,h_n). \tag{4}$$

To prove the lower inequality, it is sufficient to consider sequences (u_n, h_n) with $h_n \in C^{\infty}(\omega; [0, +\infty))$ and $u_n \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_{h_n}^+)$, and $u = u^0$ on $\omega \times \{0\}$; however, this compactness property, as well as inequality (4), will still hold if we just assume that $h_n \in W^{1,1}(\omega)$ and $u_n \in SBV_p(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))$ with $u_n = u^0$ in $\omega \times (-1, 0)$, u(x) = 0 a.e. in $\{x_N > h(x')\}$, and $S_u \tilde{\subset} \partial_* \Omega_{h_n}$ (where $A \tilde{\subset} B$ means $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(A \setminus B) = 0$).

Let us consider first the compactness and lower semicontinuity of the jump term, and for this we will use a special notion of convergence for jump set of SBV_p functions.

3.1 Jump set convegence

The following notion of jump set convergence is introduced by Dal Maso, Francfort and Toader [14, Def. 4.1] and [15, Def. 3.1]. It is called " σ^{p} -convergence". A variant, which is independent on the exponent p > 1, has been introduced more recently by Giacomini and Ponsiglione, see [18].

In the sequel, we denote respectively equality and inclusion up to a \mathcal{H}^{N-1} negligible set by the symbols $\tilde{=}$ and $\tilde{\subset}$.

Definition 3.2 Let Ω be an open set in \mathbb{R}^N , and $p \in (1, +\infty)$. We say that a sequence $(\Gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of subsets of $\Omega \sigma^p$ -converges to Γ if and only if $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Gamma_n) < +\infty$ and:

- (i) For any sequence $(v_n)_n$ of functions in $SBV_p(\Omega)$, with $S_{v_n} \in \Gamma_n$, if the subsequence v_{n_k} goes to v weakly in $SBV_p(\Omega)$ as $k \to \infty$ then $S_v \in \Gamma$;
- (ii) There exists a function $v \in SBV_p(\Omega)$ and sequence $(v_n)_n$ of functions in $SBV_p(\Omega)$ converging to v, such that $S_{v_n} \tilde{\subset} \Gamma_n$ for each n and $S_v = \Gamma$.

The following compactness theorem is proven in [14, Thm. 4.7]

Theorem 3.3 Every sequence $\Gamma_n \subset \Omega$, with $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Gamma_n)$ uniformly bounded, has a σ^p -convergent subsequence.

The proof of this theorem is based on the following lemma (cf [14, Lemma 4.5])

Lemma 3.4 Let $(v_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in $SBV_p(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and let us assume $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_{v_i}) < +\infty$. Then there exist real numbers $c_i > 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i < +\infty$ such that $v := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i v_i \in SBV_p(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $S_v = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_{v_i}$.

Let us mention the following variant of the proof of Theorem 3.3, still based on Lemma 3.4: given $\Gamma \subset \Omega$, we introduce

$$X(\Gamma) = \left\{ v \in SBV_p(\Omega; [-1,1]) : S_v \tilde{\subset} \Gamma, \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p \, dx \le 1 \right\}.$$

Then, if $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Gamma) < +\infty$, by Ambrosio's compactness theorem 2.1, $X(\Gamma)$ is compact in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ (which is metrizable). If $(\Gamma_n)_n$ is a sequence of jumps sets with $L = \sup_n \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Gamma_n) < +\infty$, then the sets $X(\Gamma_n)$ all belong to

$$X_L = \left\{ v \in SBV_p(\Omega; [-1,1]) : \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S_v) \le L, \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p \, dx \le 1 \right\}.$$

which is also compact in $L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$. Hence, a subsequence $(X(\Gamma_{n_k}))_k$ converges in the Hausdorff sense (with the Hausdorff distance in $L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ induced by a distance in $L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$) to a compact $K \subset X_L$. We show that $K \subseteq X(\Gamma)$ for some Γ .

Let $(v_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a dense sequence in the compact set K. We first observe that since K is convex, given any v, v' in K there exists w (given by $\theta v + (1 - \theta)v'$ for an appropriate choice of θ , see for instance [17]) such that $S_w = S_v \cup S_{v'}$, hence $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S_v \cup S_{v'}) \leq L$. In particular, we deduce that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\bigcup_{i=1}^k S_{v_i}) \leq L$ for any $k \geq 1$, and passing to the limit, that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Gamma) \leq L < +\infty$, where we have let $\Gamma = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_{v_i}$. Using Lemma 3.4, we deduce that there exists $v \in K$ with $\Gamma = S_v$. Hence Γ satisfies axiom (ii) in Definition 3.2. On the other hand, any $v \in K$ is the limit of an appropriate subsequence $v_{i(k)}, k \geq 1$, with $S_{v_{i(k)}} \subset \Gamma$, and a consequence of Ambrosio's compactness theorem is that $S_v \subset \Gamma$, so that also axiom (i) in Definition 3.2 is satisfied. Hence $\Gamma_{n_k} \sigma^p$ -converges to Γ .

We observe that an obvious consequence of Ambrosio's theorem is that if Γ_n σ^p -converges to Γ ,

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Gamma) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Gamma_n) \,. \tag{5}$$

3.2 Proof of the lower inequality

Let $\Gamma_n = \partial \Omega_{h_n} = \{x \in \omega \times (-1, +\infty) : x_N = h_n(x')\}$ be the graph of the function h_n . Up to a subsequence, we know by Theorem 3.3 that $\Gamma_n \sigma^p$ -converges to some Γ as $n \to \infty$. Since h_n is uniformly bounded in $W^{1,1}(\omega)$, possibly extracting another subsequence, $h_n \to h$ in $L^1(\omega)$. Equivalently, the sets Ω_{h_n} converge to Ω_h in the $L^1(\omega \times (0, +\infty))$ topology for the characteristic functions.

Clearly, $\partial_*\Omega_h \subseteq \Gamma$, indeed, if we take in Definition 3.2 the sequence $v_n = \chi_{\Omega_{h_n}}$, we find that $v_n \to \chi_{\Omega_h}$ whose jump set is $\partial_*\Omega_h$.

Let us decompose Γ in the three parts $\partial_*\Omega_h$, $\Sigma = \Gamma \cap \Omega_h^1$, and $\Sigma^0 = \Gamma \cap \Omega_h^0$. The part Σ^0 is irrelevant in our study, since the functions u, limits of converging subsequences of (u_n) , will all vanish outside of Ω_h .

We show that Σ is "vertical": that is, for any $x = (x', x_N) \in \Sigma$, $(x', x_N + t) \in \Sigma \cup (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_h^1)$ for any $t \ge 0$. Indeed, let $v \in SBV_p(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))$ be such that $S_v = \Gamma$, and let v_n be a sequence weakly converging to v in $SBV_p(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))$ with $S_{v_n} \in \Gamma_n$. Consider the functions $x \mapsto v_n(x', x_N - t)\chi_{\Omega_{h_n}}(x)$, with t < 1, extended in an appropriate way in $\omega \times (-1, -1 + t)$. These functions will converge to $x \mapsto v(x', x_N - t)\chi_{\Omega_h}(x)$, showing that $(S_v + te_N) \cap \Omega_h^1 \subset \Gamma$, which shows our claim. In particular, we deduce that \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -a.e. in Σ , $\nu_{\Sigma} \cdot e_N = 0$.

By (5), we have $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_*\Omega_h) + \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Gamma_n)$. We claim that, in addition,

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_*\Omega_h) + 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Gamma_n).$$

This follows from [10] and the definition of σ^p -convergence. Indeed, it is a consequence of the liminf-inequality in [10], applied to a sequence $(v_n)_{n\geq 1}$ with $S_{v_n} \tilde{\subset} \Gamma_n$, weakly converging in $SBV_p(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))$ to a v such that $\Sigma \tilde{\subset} S_v$.

Let us now conclude. If $F(u_n, h_n)$ is uniformly bounded, then by integration along vertical segments we easily check that (u_n) is uniformly bounded in $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))$. Then, it is a consequence of Ambrosio's Theorem 2.1 that there exists $u \in GSBV_p(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))$ such that $u_n(x) \to u(x)$ a.e., and $\nabla u_n \to$ ∇u in $L^p(\omega \times (-1, +\infty); \mathbb{R}^N)$, so that the inequality (1) holds. Clearly, u vanishes out of Ω_h . By point (i) in Definition 3.2, which is easily generalized to $GSBV_p$ functions (see [14, Prop. 4.6]), we have that $S_u \tilde{\subset} \Sigma \cup \partial_* \Omega_h$. In particular since Σ is "vertical", $S'_u \cap \Omega^1_h \subset \Sigma$. We deduce (2). Clearly, the inequality (4) follows from (1) and (2).

4 An upper bound for the relaxed envelope of F

We now get the upper bound for the relaxed envelope of the functional F by proving the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1 For any u, h with $\overline{F}(u, h) < +\infty$, there exist $h_n \in C^1(\omega; [0, +\infty))$ and $u_n \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_{h_n})$ with $u_n = u^0$ in $\omega \times (-1,0)$, such that $h_n \to h$ in $L^1(\omega)$, $u_n \chi_{\Omega_h^+} \to u \chi_{\Omega_h^+}$ in $L^1(\omega \times (0, +\infty))$, and:

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega_{h_n}^+} |\nabla u_n(x)|^p \, dx = \int_{\Omega_h^+} |\nabla u(x)|^p \, dx \tag{6}$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{\omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla h_n(x')|^2} \, dx' \le \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_* \Omega_h) + 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S'_u \cap \Omega_h^1). \tag{7}$$

We note that the proposition completes the proof of Theorem 2.2. Indeed, if we find a sequence $(u_n)_n$ satisfying the equation (6), we can deduce the strong convergence $\nabla u_n \chi_{\Omega_{h_n}^+} \to \nabla u \chi_{\Omega_h^+}$ in L^p ; the continuity of W gives the general result

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega_{h_n}^+} W(\nabla u_n(x)) \, dx + \int_{\omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla h_n(x')|^2} \, dx' \\ \leq \int_{\Omega_h^+} W(\nabla u(x)) \, dx + \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_*\Omega_h) + 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S'_u \cap \Omega_h^1), \tag{8}$$

which is the lim sup inequality for the functional F.

Remark 4.2 In case one adds in the definition of functional F a volume constraint (that is, $F(u,h) = +\infty$ if $\int_{\omega} h \, dx \neq V$ where V > 0 is a fixed volume), then it is easy to show that Proposition 4.1 still holds, with the sequence (h_n) satisfying the same volume constraint as the limit h. Indeed, given the sequence (h_n) provided by the proposition (without volume constraint), one clearly has $r_n = \int_{\omega} h_n \, dx / \int_{\omega} h \, dx \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$, and an appropriate scaling (of the form $x \mapsto (x', x_N/r_n)$) of the functions and the domain will provide new sequences (u_n, h_n) with $\int_{\omega} h_n \, dx = \int_{\omega} h \, dx$, and still satisfying (6) and (7).

Proof of the proposition. Let us consider, now, u and h such that $\overline{F}(u,h) < +\infty$.

First step: approximation of (most of) the graph. We show that we can approximate a "generalized graph" $(\partial_*\Omega_h, \Sigma)$, where $\Sigma \subset \Omega_h^1 \cap (\omega \times (0, +\infty))$ is "vertical" in the sense that $x \in \Sigma \Rightarrow (x', x_N + t) \in \Sigma$ for any $t \ge 0$ as long as $(x', x_N + t) \in \Omega_h^1$, with the graph of a smooth function $f : \omega \to \mathbb{R}_+$, with $\Omega_f \subset \Omega_h \setminus \Sigma$ up to a small part, and a good approximation of the total surface energy $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_*\Omega_h) + 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma)$ (by the surface of the smooth graph $\int_{\omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla f|^2} dx$).

Let us first state the following lemma, which will be useful in the sequel:

Lemma 4.3 Let $g \in BV(\omega; \mathbb{R}_+)$ and assume $\partial_*\Omega_g$ is essentially closed, that is, $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\overline{\partial_*\Omega_g} \setminus \partial_*\Omega_g) = 0$. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $f \in C^{\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $0 \leq f \leq g$ a.e. in ω , $||f - g||_{L^1(\omega)} \leq \varepsilon$ and

$$\left| \int_{\omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla f|^2} \, dx \, - \, \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_* \Omega_g) \right| \leq \varepsilon \, .$$

We do not give the proof of this lemma, which is obtained by regularizing (at a scale smaller than $\delta \in (0, 1)$) the function $g_{\delta}^+ = g_{\delta} \vee 0$, where g_{δ} is defined by

$$\{ x = (x', x_N) \in \omega \times (-1, +\infty) : x_N \le g_{\delta}(x') \}$$

= $\{ x \in \omega \times (-1, +\infty) : \operatorname{dist}(x, (\omega \times (0, +\infty)) \setminus \Omega_g) > \delta \} .$

The (N-1)-dimensional measure of the boundary of this set goes to $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_*\Omega_g)$ as $\delta \to 0$ (along well chosen subsequences) because of the assumption that $\partial_*\Omega_g$ is closed.

Now, let us first assume that $\Sigma = \emptyset$: we claim that for any $h \in BV(\omega; \mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $f \in C^{\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that

$$\|f - h\|_{L^{1}(\omega)} + \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_{*}\Omega_{h} \cap \Omega_{f}) \leq \varepsilon.$$
(9)

 and

$$\left| \int_{\omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla f(x)|^2} \, dx \, - \, \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_* \Omega_h) \right| \leq \varepsilon \,. \tag{10}$$

We fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Let us consider a mollifying kernel $\rho \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, with support in the unit ball, and for any $\eta > 0$ let $\rho_{\eta}(x) = (1/\eta)^N \rho(x/\eta)$. For $n \ge 1$ we consider the

function $w_n = \rho_{1/n} * \chi_{\Omega_h} : \omega \times \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$. It is well known that not only $w_n \to \chi_{\Omega_h}$ strongly in L^1 , but also that $\int_{\omega \times (-1, +\infty)} |\nabla w_n(x)| \, dx \to |D\chi_{\Omega_h}| (\omega \times (-1, +\infty)) = \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_*\Omega_h)$ as $n \to +\infty$.

One has, for every $x \in \Omega_h^1 \cup \partial^* \Omega_h \cup \Omega_h^0$ (hence, \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -a.e. $x \in \omega \times (-1, +\infty)$):

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} w_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \Omega_h^1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x \in \partial^* \Omega_h \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \Omega_h^0 \end{cases}$$
(11)

The same properties are true for the sequence of (l.s.c.) functions $(\tilde{w}_n)_{n\geq 1}$ defined by

$$\tilde{w}_n(x) = \begin{cases} w_n(x) & \text{if } x \in \omega \times [0, +\infty) \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in \omega \times (-1, 0) \,. \end{cases}$$

Indeed, using the coarea formula, one sees that

$$\begin{aligned} |D\tilde{w}_n|(\omega \times (-1, +\infty)) &= \int_0^1 \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial\{\tilde{w}_n > s\}) \, ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial\{w_n > s\}) \, ds = \int_{\omega \times (0, +\infty)} |\nabla w_n(x)| \, dx \,, \end{aligned}$$

since $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial \{w_n > s\} \cap (\omega \times (-1,0))) \geq \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{x' \in \omega : w_n(x',0) \leq s\}) = \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial \{\tilde{w}_n > s\} \cap (\omega \times (-1,0)))$, the second set being the projection onto $\omega \times \{0\}$ of the first one. We deduce that $\limsup_{n \to \infty} |D\tilde{w}_n|(\omega \times (-1,+\infty)) \leq \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_*\Omega_h)$, but since $\tilde{w}_n \to \chi_{\Omega_h}$, it yields $\lim_{n \to \infty} |D\tilde{w}_n|(\omega \times (-1,+\infty)) = \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_*\Omega_h)$. Clearly, (11) is also true for \tilde{w} , since $\Omega_h^1 \supset \omega \times (-1,0)$. We drop the tilde in the sequel and just write w_n instead of \tilde{w}_n .

For a.e. $s \in (0,1)$, one also checks that $\lim_{n\to\infty} |\{w_n > s\} \Delta \Omega_h| = 0$, and using Fatou's lemma and the co-area formula, that for a.e. $s \in (0,1)$, $\{w_n > s\}$ is an open set such that $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial \{w_n > s\}) = \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_*\Omega_h)$. Thus, up to a subsequence (possibly depending on s), we may assume $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial \{w_n > s\}) = \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_*\Omega_h)$. Let us consider $s^* \in (2/3, 3/4)$ and an appropriate subsequence such that this property is true, and we consider the corresponding sequence of sets $\{x \in \omega \times (-1, +\infty) : w_n(x) > s^*\}$. We have that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_*\Omega_h \cap \{w_n > s^*\}) = \int_{\partial_*\Omega_h} \chi_{\{w_n > s^*\}}(x) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)$, and since by (11), $\chi_{\{w_n > s^*\}}(x) \to 0 \mathcal{H}^{N-1}$ -a.e. in $\partial_*\Omega_h$, we find $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_*\Omega_h \cap \{w_n > s^*\}) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. We fix n large, such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\{w_n > s^*\} \triangle \Omega_h| + \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_* \Omega_h \cap \{w_n > s^*\}) &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \\ |\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial \{w_n > s^*\}) - \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_* \Omega_h)| &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that there exists $g: \omega \to [0, +\infty)$ a *BV* function such that $\{w_n > s^*\} = \{x_N < g(x')\}$. By Lemma 4.3 applied to g, we find a smooth function $f \leq g, f \geq 0$, satisfying both (9) and (10).

Now, assume $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$. First, possibly replacing h by $h \wedge (M-1) = \min\{h, M-1\}$, M > 1 large, we may assume without loss of generality that h is bounded by M-1.

Let us then define Σ' by $\Sigma' = \bigcup_{x \in \Sigma} \{x'\} \cup [x_N, M]$ and recall that by assumption, $\Sigma' \cap \Omega_h^1 = \Sigma$. We may also assume without loss of generality that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma' \cap (\omega \times [0, M])) < +\infty$, possibly replacing (in a preliminary step) h with $h_{\delta} = (h - \delta)^+$, $\delta > 0$ small, and Σ with $\Sigma_{\delta} = \Sigma \cap \Omega_{h_{\delta}}$: indeed, one will have that $\Sigma'_{\delta} \cap \{h_{\delta}(x') \leq x_N \leq h_{\delta}(x') + \delta\} \subseteq \Sigma$ so that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma'_{\delta} \cap (\omega \times [0, M])) \leq (M/\delta)\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma) < +\infty$. Now, let $K \subseteq \Sigma'$ be a compact set such that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma' \setminus K) \leq \varepsilon/10$. Observe that, if K' is defined as Σ' , also $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma' \setminus K') \leq \varepsilon/10$, and K' is compact.

Let us build the sequence of l.s.c. functions $(w_n)_{n\geq 1}$, and find a level $s^* \in (2/3, 3/4)$, as previously. By (11), we have that $\chi_{\{w_n > s^*\}}$ converges to 1 in Ω_h^1 , while it tends to 0 \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -a.e. outside. In particular, $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(K' \cap \{w_n > s^*\}) \to \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(K' \cap \Omega_h^1)$ as $n \to \infty$, and this limit satisfies $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma) - \varepsilon/10 \leq \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(K' \cap \Omega_h^1) \leq \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma)$. We can hence choose n such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\{w_n > s^*\} \triangle \Omega_h| + \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_* \Omega_h \cap \{w_n \ge s^*\}) &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \\ |\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial \{w_n > s^*\}) - \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_* \Omega_h)| &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left|\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(K' \cap \{w_n > s^*\}) - \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma)\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{8}.$$

Observe now that since the set K' is compact, then its Minkowski content $|\{\operatorname{dist}(\cdot, K') < s\}|/(2s)$ converges to $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(K')$ as $s \to 0$ (see [16]). Since by the coarea formula,

$$\frac{|\{\operatorname{dist}(\cdot,K') < s\}|}{2s} \;\; = \;\; \frac{1}{2s} \int_0^s \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial\{\operatorname{dist}(\cdot,K') > t\}) \, dt \,,$$

we can deduce (for instance with arguments similar as in Section 3.2) that there exists a sequence $(s_k)_{k\geq 1}$ such that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \sqcup \partial \{ \operatorname{dist}(\cdot, K') > s_k \} \rightharpoonup 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \sqcup K'$ as measures. In particular, if k is large enough, and provided we have chosen s^* such that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(K' \cap \partial \{w_n > s^*\}) = 0$ (almost any choice suits, since $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(K' \cap (\omega \times \{0\})) = 0$ —otherwise $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma')$ would be infinite), we have

$$\left|\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial\{\operatorname{dist}(\cdot, K') > s_k\} \cap \{w_n > s^*\}) - 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma)\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

while $|\{\operatorname{dist}(\cdot, K') \leq s_k\}| \leq \varepsilon/4$ and $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial \{w_n > s^*\} \cap \{\operatorname{dist}(\cdot, K') \leq s_k\}) \leq \varepsilon/8$.

For such values of k, the open set $\{\operatorname{dist}(\cdot, K') > s_k\} \cap \{w_n > s^*\} \cap (\omega \times (-1, +\infty))$ (with piecewise Lipschitz boundary, if s_k was properly chosen) is the subgraph Ω_g of a nonnegative BV function g with $\|g - h\|_{L^1(\omega)} \leq \varepsilon/2$, $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial \Omega_g \setminus \partial_*\Omega_g) = 0$,

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}((\partial_*\Omega_h\cup\Sigma)\cap\Omega_g) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

and $\partial \Omega_g = (\partial \{ \operatorname{dist}(\cdot, K') > s_k\} \cap \{w_n > s^*\}) \cup (\partial \{w_n > s^*\} \cap \{\operatorname{dist}(\cdot, K') > s_k\}),$ so that

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial\Omega_g) - \left(\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_*\Omega_h) + 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma)\right) \Big| \leq \frac{3\varepsilon}{4}$$

Then, invoking again Lemma 4.3, we find a smooth function $f \leq g, f \geq 0$, with $\|f - h\|_{L^1(\omega)} \leq \varepsilon$,

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}((\partial_*\Omega_h \cup \Sigma) \cap \Omega_f) \leq \varepsilon$$
(12)

 and

$$\left| \int_{\omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla f(x)|^2} \, dx \, - \, \left(\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_* \Omega_h) + 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma) \right) \right| \leq \varepsilon \,. \tag{13}$$

Remark 4.4 We have, in addition,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{x' \in \omega : f_{\varepsilon}(x') = 0\}) = \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{x' \in \omega : h(x') = 0\}),$$

 $(f_{\varepsilon} \text{ denoting the } f \text{ obtained for a particular } \varepsilon > 0).$ Indeed, for $\eta > 0$, there exists k > 1 such that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{h < 1/k\}) \leq \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{h = 0\}) + \eta$ and $K \subset \omega$ with $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(K) \leq \eta$ such that $f_{\varepsilon} \to h$ uniformly in $\omega \setminus K$. Then, if ε is small enough, $h(x') \geq 1/k$ and $x' \notin K$ will yield $f_{\varepsilon}(x') \geq 1/(2k)$, hence $\{f_{\varepsilon} = 0\} \subset K \cup \{h < 1/k\}$ so that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{f_{\varepsilon} = 0\}) \leq \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{h = 0\}) + 2\eta$. We deduce that $\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{f_{\varepsilon} = 0\}) \leq \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{h = 0\})$. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_*\Omega_h \cap \Omega_{f_{\varepsilon}}) \to 0$, we see that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{h = 0\} \cap \{f_{\varepsilon} > 0\}) \to 0$ so that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{h = 0\} \cap \{f_{\varepsilon} = 0\}) \to \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{h = 0\})$, hence $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{h = 0\}) \in [f_{\varepsilon} = 0\})$.

A consequence is that in case (as in [8]) the "substrate" $\{x_N \leq 0\}$ has a superficial tension σ_s less than the superficial tension σ_c of the crystal, that is, the surface energy of $(\partial_*\Omega_h, \Sigma)$ is

$$\sigma_s \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{h=0\}) + \sigma_c(\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_*\Omega_h \cap (\omega \times (0,+\infty))) + 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma)) + 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma))$$

then f can fulfill the additional requirement

$$\left| \sigma_s \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{f=0\}) + \sigma_c \int_{\{f>0\}} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla f|^2} \, dx - \left(\sigma_s \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{h=0\}) + \sigma_c (\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_* \Omega_h \cap (\omega \times (0,+\infty))) + 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma)) \right) \right| \le \varepsilon$$

If on the other hand $\sigma_c < \sigma_s$, this is not optimal (in terms of relaxation: approximating (h, Σ) with $(h + \delta, \Sigma + \delta e_N)$, δ small, will reduce the energy).

Second step: approximation of both the graph and displacement. We now show that if $u \in GSBV_p(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))$ is given, with $S_u \subseteq \partial_*\Omega_h \cup \Sigma$, u = 0out of Ω_h , and $u = u^0$ on $\omega \times (-1, 0)$ (where $u^0 \in W^{1,p}(\omega \times (-1, 0))$), $\Sigma \subset \Omega_h^1 \cap (\omega \times (0, +\infty))$ "vertical", then there exists $(u_n, h_n)_{n\geq 1}$, with $h_n \in C^{\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}_+)$, $u_n \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_{h_n})$, $u_n = u^0$ in $\omega \times (-1, 0)$, such that as $n \to \infty$, $h_n \to h$ in $L^1(\omega)$ and (extending both u_n and ∇u_n with zero out of Ω_{h_n}), $u_n \to u$ in $L^1(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))$, $\nabla u_n \to \nabla u$ strongly in $L^p(\omega \times (-1, +\infty); \mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla h_n(x)|^2} \, dx = \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_* \Omega_h) + 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma)$$

Let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$. First, by the previous step, there exists $f \in C^{\infty}(\omega)$ with $\|f - h\|_{L^{1}(\omega)} \leq \varepsilon$, and such that both (12) and (13) hold. We denote by v the function that is equal to u in Ω_{f} , to 0 in $(\omega \times (0, +\infty)) \setminus \Omega_{f}$, and to u^{0} in $\omega \times (-1, 0)$. Possibly choosing f closer to h, we may assume, also, that $\|v - u\|_{L^{1}(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))} \leq \varepsilon$. Eventually, we also extend v (by symmetry) slightly below $\omega \times \{-1\}$, to the set $\omega \times (-1 - \delta, -1), 0 < \delta < 1$.

Let us define, for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, the anisotropic potential

$$W_p(\xi) := \sum_{i=1}^N |\xi_i|^p.$$

Clearly, $v \in GSBV_p(\omega \times (-1 - \delta, +\infty))$, and one has, if δ is small enough,

$$\int_{\Omega_{f}^{\delta}} W_{p}(\nabla v(x)) \, dx = \int_{\omega \times (-1-\delta, +\infty)} W_{p}(\nabla v(x)) \, dx$$
$$\leq \int_{\omega \times (-1, +\infty)} W_{p}(\nabla u(x)) \, dx + \varepsilon, \quad (14)$$

where $\Omega_f^{\delta} = \{x \in \omega \times (-1 - \delta, +\infty) : x_N < f(x')\}$. The jump set of v satisfies $S_v \subset \partial \Omega_f \cup ((\partial_* \Omega_h \cup \Sigma) \cap \Omega_f)$, its surface energy is estimated by (12) and (13).

For $n \ge 1$ let $\eta = 1/n$ be a discretization step. Given $y \in (0,1)^N$, we denote by $v_k^{y,\eta} = (v(y\eta + k\eta)), (k_1, \ldots, k_{N-1}) \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{N-1}, k_N \ge -(1+\delta)/\eta - y$ (so that only point in $\omega \times (-1-\delta, +\infty)$ are considered) a discretization of v.

Let us also define a "discrete jump" of $v^{y,\eta}$. We let, for i = 1, ..., N, and y, k as above, $l_k^{i,y,\eta} = 0$ if $(\partial_* \Omega_h \cup \Sigma) \cap [y\eta + k\eta, y\eta + (k + e_i)\eta] = \emptyset$, and 1 otherwise. We have that $l^{i,y,\eta} = \chi_{S_n^i}(y\eta + k\eta)$ where the set S_η^i is given by

$$S^i_\eta = (\partial_*\Omega_h \cup \Sigma) + [-\eta e_i, 0]$$

where (e_1, \ldots, e_N) is the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^N and as usual the sum of two sets A, B is $A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}.$

The discrete energy of $(v_k^{y,\eta}, (l_k^{i,y,\eta})_{i=1}^N)_k$ is defined by

$$D_{\eta}^{y} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} D_{\eta}^{i,y} \text{ with } D_{\eta}^{i,y} = (\eta)^{N} \sum_{k} (1 - l_{k}^{i,y,\eta}) \frac{|v_{k+e_{i}}^{y,\eta} - v_{k}^{y,\eta}|^{p}}{(\eta)^{p}} + \alpha \frac{l_{k}^{i,y,\eta}}{\eta},$$

where the sum is taken on all k such that the segment $[y\eta + k\eta, y\eta + (k + e_i)\eta]$ lies inside open set Ω_f^{δ} . The parameter $\alpha > 0$ will be fixed later on.

Let us compute the average $\int_{y \in (0,1)^N} D_y^{\eta}$. For each *i*, one has (using the change of variable $(y,k) \mapsto x = (y+k)\eta$

$$\int_{(0,1)^N} D_{\eta}^{i,y} = \int_{\mathcal{O}_{\eta}^i} (1 - \chi_{S_{\eta}^i})(x) \frac{|v(x + \eta e_i) - v(x)|}{(\eta)^p} + \alpha \frac{\chi_{S_{\eta}^i}(x)}{\eta} dx$$

where the domain of integration is

$$\mathcal{O}_{\eta}^{i} = \left\{ x \in \omega \times (-1 - \delta, +\infty) : x_{N} < \min_{0 \le t \le 1} f(x' + t\eta e_{i}) \right\} \quad \text{if } i \le N - 1, \text{ and}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{\eta}^{N} = \left\{ x \in \omega \times (-1 - \delta, +\infty) : x_{N} < f(x') - \eta \right\}$$

Now, using the slicing technique of Gobbino [19], used in a similar setting in [12, 13] (see also [2]), we find that this integral is less than

$$\int_{\Omega_f^{\delta}} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i}(x) \right|^p dx + \alpha \int_{S_v \cap \Omega_f} |e_i \cdot \nu_v(x)| \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) \, .$$

Since by construction, using (12), $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S_v \cap \Omega_f) \leq \varepsilon$, we deduce

$$\int_{(0,1)^N} D^{i,y}_{\eta} \leq \int_{\Omega^{\delta}_f} W_p(\nabla v(x)) \, dx + \alpha \sqrt{N} \varepsilon \,. \tag{15}$$

On the other hand, if for any y and $\eta > 0$ (small) we define the interpolate of $(v_k^{y,\eta})_k$ as

$$v^{y,\eta}(x) = \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{N-1} \times \mathbb{Z}} v_k^{y,\eta} \Delta\left(\frac{x}{\eta} - (k+y)\right), \quad x \in \omega \times \mathbb{R},$$

where

$$\Delta(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} (1 - |x_i|)^+, \qquad (16)$$

then it is classical [2, 11] that there exists a sequence $(\eta_l)_{l\geq 1}$ such that $v^{y,\eta} \to v$ in $L^1(\omega \times (-1, +\infty); \mathbb{R}^d)$ as $l \to \infty$ for a.e. $y \in (0, 1)^N$. Then, possibly extracting a subsequence, we deduce from (15) that there exist $y \in (0, 1)^N$ such that both

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} D^y_{\eta_l} \leq \int_{\omega \times (-1-\delta, +\infty)} W_p(\nabla v) \, dx + \alpha \sqrt{N} \varepsilon \,, \tag{17}$$

and $||v^{y,\eta_l} - v||_{L^1} \to 0$ as $l \to \infty$.

In the sequel, we fix y to this value and drop the corresponding superscript. Consider now a cube $C_k = (y+k)\eta_l + (0,\eta_l)^N$ such that $C_k \subset \Omega_f^{\delta}$.

If $\partial_*\Omega_h \cup \Sigma$ does not cross any edge of C_k , then $l_{\hat{k}}^{i,\eta_l} = 0$ for any i and $\hat{k} \in k + \{0,1\}^N$ with $\hat{k}_i = k_i$. The sum

$$(\eta_l)^N \frac{1}{2^{N-1}} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\substack{\hat{k} \in k + \{0,1\}^N \\ \hat{k}_i = k_i}} \frac{\left| v_{\hat{k}+e_i}^{\eta_l} - v_{\hat{k}}^{\eta_l} \right|^p}{(\eta_l)^p}$$

can be interpreted as the contribution of the cube C_k to the energy D_{η_l} , since each edge $[(y + \hat{k})\eta_l, (y + \hat{k} + e_i)\eta_l]$ is shared by 2^{N-1} cubes. By inequality (30) in Lemma A.1, this sum is larger or equal to $\int_{C_k} W_p(\nabla v^{\eta_l}(x)) dx$.

On the other hand, if $\partial_*\Omega_h \cup \Sigma$ crosses one of the edges of C_k , then the contribution of C_k to the energy D^{η_l} is at least $\alpha(\eta_l/2)^{N-1} = \alpha \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial C_k)/(N2^N)$ (since at least one $l_{\hat{k}}^{i,\eta_l}$ is 1). By (17), the total number of cubes C_k such that this happens is bounded by $c/(\eta_l)^{N-1}$, hence their total volume by $c\eta_l$ Notice that, in this case, $\partial_*\Omega_h \cup \Sigma$ must cross an edge of every other cube $C' = C_{k',k_N+m}, m \ge 1$, as long as $C' \subset \Omega_f^{\delta}$, or unless v = 0 a.e. in C' (which may happen if $C' \subset \Omega_f \setminus \Omega_h^1$).

We call a "jump cube" a cube $C_k \subset \Omega_f^{\delta}$ such that either $C_k \subset \Omega_f \setminus \Omega_h^1$, or $\partial_*\Omega_h \cup \Sigma$ crosses an edge of C_k ; the other cubes lying in Ω_f^{δ} are called "regular" cubes. Let \mathcal{J} be the union of all jump cubes, and \mathcal{R} be the union of all regular

cubes (so that $C_f = \mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{J}$ is the union of all cubes C_k contained in Ω_f^{δ}). Then, $x \in \mathcal{J}$ implies $(x', x_N + t) \in \mathcal{J}$ for any $t \geq 0$ as long as $(x', x_N + t) \in \mathcal{C}_f$. The above discussion shows that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial \mathcal{J} \cap \partial \mathcal{R})$ is controlled by $(N2^N/\alpha) \times$ the contribution of the cubes of \mathcal{J} to the energy D^{η_l} , while $\int_{\mathcal{R}} W_p(\nabla v^{\eta_l}(x)) dx$ is controlled by the contributions of the cubes of \mathcal{R} to the same energy.

Let now $\kappa = 1 + \sqrt{N} \max_{\xi \in \omega} |\nabla f(\xi)|$, this constant is such that

$$\mathcal{C}_f + \kappa \eta_l e_N \supset \Omega_f$$

as soon as l is large enough (so that $x_N > -1$ yields $x_N - \kappa \eta_l > -1 - \delta + \eta_l$ which clearly holds as soon as $\eta_l \leq \delta/(1+\kappa)$).

We now define, for any l (large enough), the function $f_l \in BV(\omega)$ by $f_l(x') = \sup\{x_N < f(x') : (x', x_N - \kappa \eta_l) \in \mathcal{R}\}$, and for any $x \in \omega \times (-1, +\infty)$, we also define $v_l(x)$ by

$$v_l(x) = \begin{cases} v^{\eta_l}(x', x_N - \kappa \eta_l) & \text{if } -1 < x_N < f_l(x') \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By construction, the boundary of Ω_{f_l} (in $\omega \times (-1, +\infty)$) is a piecewise smooth compact set made of two parts: one part is contained in the (smooth) graph of f, $\partial \Omega_f$, and the rest, $\partial \Omega_{f_l} \cap \Omega_f$, is a subset of $(\partial \mathcal{J} \cap \partial \mathcal{R}) + \kappa \eta_l e_N$, which is a finite union of facets of hypercubes. On the other hand, $v_l \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_{f_l})$, with

$$\int_{\Omega_{f_l}} W_p(\nabla v_l(x)) \, dx + \frac{\alpha}{N2^N} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial \Omega_{f_l} \cap \Omega_f) \leq D^{\eta_l} \,. \tag{18}$$

We fix $\alpha = N2^N$. We now make the observation that $v_l = v^{\eta_l}(\cdot - \kappa \eta_l e_N)$ except on a set of measure $O(\eta_l)$ (the union of the cubes of \mathcal{J} such that $\partial_*\Omega_h \cup \Sigma$ crosses an edge of the cube). Therefore, $v_l \to v$ as $l \to \infty$, in $L^1(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))$ (and, as well, $f_l \to f$). We can now fix l large enough so that $\|f_l - f\|_{L^1(\omega)} + \|v_l - v\|_{L^1(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))} < \varepsilon$, and

$$\int_{\Omega_{f_l}} W_p(\nabla v_l(x)) \, dx \, + \, \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial \Omega_{f_l}) \, \leq \, D^{\eta_l} \, + \, \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial \Omega_f)$$

$$\leq \, \int_{\omega \times (-1, +\infty)} W_p(\nabla u(x)) \, dx \, + \, \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_*\Omega_h) \, + \, 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma) \, + \, (3 + 2^N N \sqrt{N})\varepsilon$$

where we have used (13), (14), (17) and (18). Observe eventually that if l is large enough, we also have (since $\liminf_{l\to\infty} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial\Omega_{f_l}) \geq \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial\Omega_f)$ and using (13))

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial\Omega_{f_l}) \geq \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_*\Omega_h) + 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma)) - 2\varepsilon.$$

Using now Lemma 4.3, we can find a smooth $f' \in C^{\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ with $f' \leq f_l$, close enough to f_l , in such a way that if $v' = v_l$ in Ω'_f and 0 in $(\omega \times (-1, +\infty)) \setminus \Omega'_f$, one has $\|f' - f\|_{L^1(\omega)} + \|v' - v\|_{L^1(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))} < 2\varepsilon$, hence both $\|f' - h\|_{L^1(\omega)} < 3\varepsilon$ and $||v' - u||_{L^1(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))} < 3\varepsilon$, and

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega_{f'}} W_p(\nabla v') dx &+ \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial \Omega_{f'}) \\ &\leq \int_{\omega \times (-1, +\infty)} W_p(\nabla u(x)) dx + \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_* \Omega_h) + 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma) + \beta \varepsilon_f \\ \end{split}$$

where $\beta = 4 + 2^N N \sqrt{N}$ is a constant, and, as well,

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial\Omega_{f'}) \geq \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_*\Omega_h) + 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma)) - 3\varepsilon.$$

Performing this construction for $\varepsilon = 1/n$, $n \ge 1$, yields the existence of two sequences $(f_n)_{n\ge 1}$, $(u_n)_{n\ge 1}$, with $f_n \in C^{\infty}(\omega)$, $u_n \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_{f_n})$, $f_n \to h$ in $L^1(\omega)$, $u_n \to u$ in $L^1(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))$,

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega_{f_n}} W_p(\nabla u_n(x))) \, dx + \int_{\omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla f_n(x)|^2} \, dx$$
$$\leq \int_{\omega \times (-1, +\infty)} W_p(\nabla u(x)) \, dx + \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_*\Omega_h) + 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma) \quad (19)$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_*\Omega_h) + 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma)) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{\omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla f_n(x)|^2} \, dx \,. \tag{20}$$

The function u_n , extended with 0 out of Ω_{f_n} , is in $GSBV(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))$, and its gradient is ∇u_n in Ω_{f_n} and 0 outside. Invoking now Ambrosio's compactness theorem for GSBV functions, we find that $\nabla u_n \rightharpoonup \nabla u$ in $L^p(\omega \times (-1, +\infty); \mathbb{R}^N)$, so that

$$\int_{\omega \times (-1,+\infty)} W_p(\nabla u(x)) \, dx \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{\omega \times (-1,+\infty)} W_p(\nabla u_n(x)) \, dx \, ,$$

which, combined with (19) and (20), yields that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\omega \times (-1, +\infty)} W_p(\nabla u_n(x)) \, dx = \int_{\omega \times (-1, +\infty)} W_p(\nabla u(x)) \, dx \,, \quad (21)$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla f_n(x)|^2} \, dx = \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_* \Omega_h) + 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Sigma)) \,. \tag{22}$$

In particular, we deduce from (21) (since $1) that <math>\nabla u_n$ goes strongly to ∇u in $L^p(\omega \times (-1, +\infty); \mathbb{R}^N)$. We also find that $u_n \to u^0$ strongly in $W^{1,p}(\omega \times (-1,0))$. Modifying u_n in order to ensure that $u_n \equiv u^0$ in $\omega \times (-1,0)$ is now not difficult. A simple way is as follows: we choose a continuous extension operator from $W^{1,p}(\omega \times (-1,0))$ to $W^{1,p}(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))$, and define, for all n, a function w_n as the extension of $(u_n|_{\omega \times (-1,0)} - u^0)$. Clearly, $w_n \to 0$ strongly in $W^{1,p}(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))$. The sequence u_n is then modified in the following way: we replace u_n with $u_n - w_n$ in Ω_{f_n} , letting it keep the value 0 outside. This new u_n satisfies the same properties as before, but, additionally, $u_n = u^0$ a.e. in $\omega \times (-1, 1)$. This shows the thesis. \Box

5 An approximation result

We introduce in this section, as in [8], a phase-field approximation of the functional \overline{F} . The idea is to represent the subgraph $\Omega_h \setminus \Sigma$ by a field v that will be an approximation of the characteristic function of this set, at a scale of order ε . Then, numerically, the minimization of our new functional will provide an approximation of (u, h) minimizing \overline{F} . Our approximated functional is the following:

$$F_{\varepsilon}(u,v) = \int_{\omega \times (0,+\infty)} (\eta_{\varepsilon} + v^{2}(x)) W(\nabla u(x)) dx + c_{V} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\omega \times (0,+\infty)} |\nabla v(x)|^{2} dx + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\omega \times (0,+\infty)} V(v(x)) dx \right)$$
(23)

if $u \in W^{1,p}(\omega \times (0, +\infty))$ with $u = u^0$ on $\omega \times \{0\}$, and $v \in H^1(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))$, with v = 1 on $\omega \times \{0\}$ and $\partial_N v \leq 0$ a.e. in $\omega \times (0, +\infty)$. Otherwise, for all other $u, v \in L^1(\omega \times (0, +\infty))$, we let $F_{\varepsilon}(u, v) = +\infty$. Here the potential V is a two-wells potentials with V(t) > 0 except if $t \in \{0, 1\}$, V(0) = V(1) = 0, and $c_V^{-1} = \int_0^1 \sqrt{2V(t)} dt$. The parameter η_{ε} is any function of ε with $\eta_{\varepsilon}/(\varepsilon^{p-1}) \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. The function u^0 is assumed to be the trace of a function in $W^{1,p}(\omega \times (-1,0))$, still denoted by u^0 , and for technical reasons we also have to assume that it is bounded: $u^0 \in L^{\infty}(\omega \times (-1,0))$. The following results generalizes in arbitrary dimension Theorem 3.1 in [8]. However, its proof also owes a lot to [10, Sec. 5.2], where a similar approximation is studied.

Theorem 5.1 Let $(\varepsilon_j)_{j\geq 1}$ be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers, going to 0. Then

(i) For any (u_j, v_j) , if $\limsup_{j\to\infty} F_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j, v_j) < +\infty$, then up to a subsequence there exist u, v such that $v_j \to v$ in $L^1(\omega \times (0, +\infty))$, $u_j(x) \to u(x)$ a.e. in $\{v = 1\}$, and there exists $h \in BV(\omega; \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $\{v = 1\} = \Omega_h$, and

$$\overline{F}(u,h) \leq \liminf_{j \to \infty} F_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j, v_j).$$
(24)

(ii) For any $h \in BV(\omega; \mathbb{R}_+)$ and $u \in GSBV_p(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))$ with $u = u^0$ in $\omega \times (-1, 0)$ and u(x) = 0 a.e. in $\{x_N > h(x')\}$, there exists (u_j, v_j) such that $u_j \to u$ and $v_j \to \chi_{\Omega_h}$ in $L^1(\omega \times (0, +\infty))$, and

$$\limsup_{j \to \infty} F_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j, v_j) \leq \overline{F}(u, h).$$
⁽²⁵⁾

This is almost a Γ -convergence result. We deduce in particular that if for all j, (u_j, v_j) is a minimizer of F_{ε_j} , then, up to a subsequence, $v_j \to \chi_{\Omega_h}$ and $u_j \to u$ a.e. in Ω_h , where (u, h) minimize the relaxed functional \overline{F} .

Remark 5.2 The thesis of the theorem is still valid if (as in [8, Thm 3.1]) the set Ω_h must satisfy a volume constraint $|\Omega_h| = V > 0$ (which is imposed in the approximation by a constraint on v_j : $\int_{\omega} v_j(x) dx = V$). The adaption of the proofs is easy, see Remark 4.2 above.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. We first show the first point. Let (u_j, v_j) be as in (i). Since $F_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j, v_j)$ is finite, v_j must be nondecreasing in x_N . Now, if we replace v_j by $\tilde{v}_j(x) = 0 \lor ((v_j(x) - \delta_j x_N) \land 1)$, if δ_j is small enough one can ensure that $F_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j, v_j) = F_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j, \tilde{v}_j) + O(1/j)$, and \tilde{v}_j is strictly decreasing.

Assume first that v_j is smooth, so that \tilde{v}_j is smooth in $\{0 < \tilde{v}_j < 1\}$. For any $s \in (0,1)$, let $h_j^s : \omega \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be the function such that $\tilde{v}_j(x', h_j^s(x')) = s$ for any $x' \in \omega$, then clearly, h_j^s is in $C^1(\omega)$, with

$$|\nabla' h_j^s(x')| = \frac{|\nabla' \tilde{v}_j(x', h_j^s(x'))|}{|\partial_N \tilde{v}_j(x', h_j^s(x'))|} \le \frac{1}{\delta_j} |\nabla' \tilde{v}_j(x', h_j^s(x'))|$$

for any $x' \in \omega$. Now, we deduce that

$$\begin{split} \int_{\omega} |\nabla' h_{j}^{s}(x')|^{2} \, dx' &\leq \frac{1}{\delta_{j}} \int_{\omega} \frac{|\nabla' \tilde{v}_{j}(x', h_{j}^{s}(x'))|^{2}}{|\partial_{N} \tilde{v}_{j}(x', h_{j}^{s}(x'))|} \, dx' \\ &\frac{1}{\delta_{j}} \int_{\omega} |\nabla' \tilde{v}_{j}(x', h_{j}^{s}(x'))|^{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla' h_{j}^{s}(x')|^{2}}}{|\nabla \tilde{v}_{j}(x', h_{j}^{s}(x'))|} \right) \, dx' \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta_{j}} \int_{\partial\{\tilde{v}_{j} > s\}} \frac{|\nabla' \tilde{v}_{j}(x)|^{2}}{|\nabla \tilde{v}_{j}(x)|} \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) \, . \end{split}$$

Using the coarea formula, we find that

$$\int_0^1 \left(\int_\omega |\nabla' h_j^s(x')|^2 \, dx' \right) \, ds \; \leq \; \frac{1}{\delta_j} \int_{\{1 > \tilde{v}_j > 0\}} |\nabla' \tilde{v}_j(x)|^2 \, dx \; < \; +\infty \, .$$

By approximation, we easily deduce that this remains true when v_j is just in $H^1(\omega \times (0, +\infty))$: we get that for a.e. level $s \in (0, 1)$, the set $\{\tilde{v}_j > s\}$ can be represented as the subgraph of a function $h_j^s \in H^1(\omega)$. We may also assume that this is true for all $j \geq 1$.

Now, we notice that (using $a^2 + b^2 \ge 2ab$ and the co-area formula)

$$\frac{\varepsilon_j}{2} \int_{\omega \times (0,+\infty)} |\nabla \tilde{v}_j(x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j} \int_{\omega \times (0,+\infty)} V(\tilde{v}_j(x)) dx$$

$$\geq \int_{\omega \times (0,+\infty)} \sqrt{2V(\tilde{v}_j(x))} |\nabla \tilde{v}_j(x)| dx$$

$$\geq \int_0^1 \sqrt{2V(s)} \left(\int_\omega \sqrt{1 + |\nabla' h_j^s(x')|^2} dx' \right) \quad (26)$$

and in particular, using Fatou's lemma, we see that

$$\int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{2V(s)} \left(\liminf_{j \to \infty} \int_{\omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla' h_{j}^{s}(x')|^{2}} \, dx' \right)$$

$$\leq \liminf_{j \to \infty} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{j}}{2} \int_{\omega \times (0, +\infty)} |\nabla \tilde{v}_{j}(x)|^{2} \, dx + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{j}} \int_{\omega \times (0, +\infty)} V(\tilde{v}_{j}(x)) \, dx \right)$$

In particular, for a.e. $s \in (0,1), h_j^s \in H^1(\omega)$ for all $j \ge 1$ and in addition, $\liminf_{j\to\infty} \sqrt{1+|\nabla' h_j^s|^2}$ is finite.

By a diagonal argument, we can find a subsequence (still denoted by (ε_j)) and a decreasing sequence $(s_n)_{n\geq 1}$ of real numbers in (0,1) with $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = 0$, and such that for each n,

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\int_{\omega}\sqrt{1+|\nabla'h_j^{s_n}(x')|^2}\,dx'\ =\ \liminf_{j\to\infty}\int_{\omega}\sqrt{1+|\nabla'h_j^{s_n}(x')|^2}\,dx'\ <\ +\infty\,.$$

We can also assume that for each n, $h_j^{s_n}$ converges in $L^1(\omega)$ to some function h^{s_n} , and since it is then clear (since $V(\tilde{v}_j(x)) \to 0$ a.e. in $\omega \times (0, +\infty)$) that $\tilde{v}_j(x) \to 0$ for a.e. x with $x_N > h^{s_n}(x')$ and $\tilde{v}_j(x) \to 1$ for a.e. x with $x_N < h^{s_n}(x')$, this function is independent on n and will be denoted simply by h.

For any $n \ge 1$, let us denote by u_j^n the function given by $u_j(x)$ if $x_N < h_j^{s_n}(x')$ and by 0 otherwise: let us show that $(u_j^n)_{j\ge 1}$ is compact in $GSBV(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))$. One has $u_j^n \in W^{1,p}(\{x : -1 < x_N < h_j^{s_n}(x')\})$, hence $u_j^n \in GSBV(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))$ with $S_{u_j^n} \subseteq \{(x', h_j^{s_n}(x')) : x' \in \omega\}$. In particular,

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(u_j^n) \leq \int_{\omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla' h_j^{s_n}(x')|^2} \, dx'$$

is uniformly bounded (in j). On the other hand,

$$F_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j, \tilde{v}_j) \ge (\eta_{\varepsilon_j} + s_n^2) \int_{\omega \times (0, +\infty)} W(\nabla u_j^n(x)) dx$$

showing that ∇u_j^n is uniformly bounded in $L^p(\omega \times (-1, +\infty); \mathbb{R}^N)$.

Now, for any $x' \in \omega$, if we denote by \hat{u}_j^n the function $u_j^n - u^0$ (where u^0 is appropriately extended to a function in $W^{1,p}(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))$ that vanishes for $x_N \ge$ 1), one sees that for any x with $x_N < h_j^{s_n}(x')$,

$$|\hat{u}_{j}^{n}(x)| \leq \int_{0}^{x_{N}} |\partial_{N}\hat{u}_{j}^{n}(x',s)| \, ds \leq x_{N}^{1-1/p} \left(\int_{0}^{x_{N}} |\partial_{N}\hat{u}_{j}^{n}(x',s)|^{p} \, ds \right)^{1/p},$$

so that for any M > 0 and a.e. $x' \in \omega$,

$$\int_0^{M \wedge h_j^{s_n}(x')} |\hat{u}_j^n(x',s)| \, ds \leq \frac{M^{2-1/p}}{2^{1-1/p}} \left(\int_0^{h_j^{s_n}(x')} |\partial_N \hat{u}_j^n(x',s)|^p \, ds \right)^{1/p}.$$

We get

$$\|\hat{u}_j^n\|_{L^1(\omega\times(-1,M))} \leq C(M) \|\partial_N \hat{u}_j^n\|_{L^p(\omega\times(-1,+\infty))}.$$

Therefore, $u_j^n = \hat{u}_j^n + u^0$ is uniformly bounded in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))$. By Ambrosio's compactness theorem we deduce that there exists $u^n \in GSBV_p(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))$ such that $u_j^n(x) \to u^n(x)$ a.e. in $\omega \times (-1, +\infty)$, up to a subsequence.

By a diagonal argument, we can extract a subsequence (still denoted by $(\varepsilon_j)_{j\geq 1}$) such that as $\varepsilon_j \to 0$, for each $n \geq 0$, $u_j^n(x) \to u^n(x)$ almost everywhere. Now, by construction we have that if $n' \geq n$, then $u_j^{n'}(x) = u_j^n(x)$ a.e. in $\{x_N < h_j^n(x')\}$: from this we deduce that $u^{n'}(x) = u^n(x)$ a.e. in $\{x_N < h(x')\}$, and since moreover one checks easily that both functions vanish a.e. in $\{x_N > h(x')\}$ one deduces that u^n , which is simply denoted by u in the sequel, is independent on n. We have shown the first assertion of point (i) of the Theorem: indeed, if we let $v = \chi_{\Omega_h}$, one sees that $\tilde{v}_j(x) \to v(x)$ a.e., and by construction also $v_j(x) \to v(x)$ a.e. in $\omega \times (0, +\infty)$. Moreover, $u_j(x) \to u(x)$ a.e. in $\{x \in \omega \times (-1, +\infty) : x_N < h(x)\}$, with $u = u^0$ in $\omega \times (-1, 0)$. The function u is in $GSBV_p(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))$ and vanishes above the graph of h.

Let us now show (24). We follow a similar proof in [10]. We have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\omega \times (0,+\infty)} &(\eta_{\varepsilon_j} + \tilde{v}_j^2(x)) W(\nabla u_j(x)) \, dx \geq \int_{\omega \times (0,+\infty)} &\left(2 \int_0^{\tilde{v}_j(x)} s \, ds \right) W(\nabla u_j(x)) \, dx \\ &\geq \int_0^1 2s \left(\int_{\{\tilde{v}_j(x) > s\}} &W(\nabla u_j(x)) \, dx \right) \, ds \, . \end{split}$$

This inequality, together with (26), yields

$$F_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j, \tilde{v}_j) \geq \int_0^1 \left(2s \int_{\{\tilde{v}_j(x) > s\}} W(\nabla u_j(x)) \, dx \, + \, c_V \sqrt{2V(s)} \int_\omega \sqrt{1 + |\nabla' h_j^s(x')|^2} \, dx' \right) \, ds \, .$$

By Fatou's lemma, we deduce that

$$\int_{0}^{1} \liminf_{j \to \infty} \left(2s \int_{\{\tilde{v}_{j}(x) > s\}} W(\nabla u_{j}(x)) \, dx \, + \, c_{V} \sqrt{2V(s)} \int_{\omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla' h_{j}^{s}(x')|^{2}} \, dx' \right) \, ds$$
$$\leq \liminf_{j \to \infty} F_{\varepsilon_{j}}(u_{j}, \tilde{v}_{j}) \, < \, +\infty \,. \tag{27}$$

Therefore for a.e. $s \in (0, 1)$,

$$\liminf_{j \to \infty} 2s \int_{\{\tilde{v}_j(x) > s\}} W(\nabla u_j(x)) \, dx \, + \, c_V \sqrt{2V(s)} \int_{\omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla' h_j^s(x')|^2} \, dx' \, < \, +\infty \, .$$

Let us choose such a s, with additionnally $h_j^s \in H^1(\omega)$ for all $j \ge 1$, and let us consider a subsequence $(j_k)_{k\ge 1}$ such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} 2s \int_{\{\tilde{v}_{j_k}(x) > s\}} W(\nabla u_{j_k}(x)) \, dx \, + \, c_V \sqrt{2V(s)} \int_{\omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla' h_{j_k}^s(x')|^2} \, dx'$$

=
$$\lim_{j \to \infty} 12s \int_{\{\tilde{v}_j(x) > s\}} W(\nabla u_j(x)) \, dx \, + \, c_V \sqrt{2V(s)} \int_{\omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla' h_j^s(x')|^2} \, dx'$$

As above, let us introduce the sequence of functions $u_{j_k}^s \in GSBV_p(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))$ such that $u_{j_k}^s(x) = u_{j_k}(x)$ if $x_N < h_{j_k}^s(x')$ and 0 otherwise. By compactness, we easily check that $u_{j_k}(x) \to u(x)$ a.e. in $\omega \times (-1, +\infty)$, while $h_{j_k}^s \to h$ in $L^1(\omega)$. By the lower semicontinuity property (**P1**), we deduce

$$2s \int_{\Omega_h^+} W(\nabla u) + c_V \sqrt{2V(s)} (\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial_*\Omega_h) + 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S'_u \cap \Omega_h^1))$$

$$\leq \lim_{k \to \infty} 2s \int_{\{\tilde{v}_{j_k}(x) > s\}} W(\nabla u_{j_k}(x)) \, dx + c_V \sqrt{2V(s)} \int_{\omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla' h_{j_k}^s(x')|^2} \, dx' \, .$$

Integrating then (27) on (0,1) and recalling that by construction, $F_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j, \tilde{v}_j) = F_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j, v_j) + o(1)$, we deduce (24).

Let us now show point (ii) of Theorem 5.1. The proof follows the lines in [8], where the same inequality is shown in the 2D case, and we will only sketch it.

Let $h \in BV(\omega; \mathbb{R}_+)$ and let $u \in GSBV_p(\omega \times (-1, +\infty))$, with $u = u^0$ in $\omega \times (-1, 0)$ and u(x) = 0 a.e. in $\{x_N > h(x')\}$, with $\overline{F}(u, h) < +\infty$. By Theorem 2.2, there exists h_n in $C^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}_+)$ and $u^n \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_h; \mathbb{R})$, with $u^n = u^0$ in $\omega \times (-1, 0)$, $h^n \to h$ in $L^1(\omega)$ and $u^n \to u$ a.e. in $\omega \times (0, +\infty)$, with

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}F(u^n,h^n)=\overline{F}(u,h)$$

By construction (since we have assumed $u^0 \in L^{\infty}(\omega \times (-1,0))$), one also has that $u^n \in L^{\infty}(\omega \times (0,+\infty))$. Now, we construct sequences $(u_j^n)_j$ and $(v_j^n)_j$ with $u_j^n \to u^n$ in $L^1(\omega \times (0,+\infty))$ $v_j^n \to \chi_{\omega_{h^n}}$ in $L^1(\omega \times (0,+\infty))$ such that

$$\limsup_{j \to \infty} F_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j^n, v_j^n) \le F(u^n, h^n).$$
(28)

Let us condider the sequence of functionals

$$H_{\varepsilon}(v) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\omega \times (0, +\infty)} |\nabla v(x)|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\omega \times (0, +\infty)} V(v(x)) \, dx;$$

the Γ -convergence result of Modica and Mortola for such functionals (see [1]) allows us to find, for each n, a sequence $(v_j^n)_j$ converging to the characteristic function $\chi_{\Omega_{h_n}}$ such that

$$\limsup_{j \to \infty} H_{\varepsilon_j}(v_j^n) = \int_0^1 \sqrt{2V(s)} \, ds \, \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S_{\chi_{\omega_h n}} \cap \omega \times (0, +\infty))$$
$$= c_V^{-1} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial \Omega_{h_n}).$$

We recall that the explicit construction of the recovery sequence $(v_j^n)_j$ can be obtained in the following way: one considers γ_j solution of the Euler's equation of the functional with appropriate boundary conditions, namely:

$$\begin{cases} -\gamma_j'' + V'(\gamma_j) = 0\\ \gamma_j(0) = 1, \quad \gamma_j\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_j}}\right) = 0. \end{cases}$$

This function is extended by 0 beyond $1/\sqrt{\varepsilon_j}$. One then lets:

$$v_j^n(x) = \gamma_j \Big(\frac{\operatorname{dist}(x, \Omega_{h_n}^+)}{\varepsilon_j} \Big).$$

Then, the sequence $(u_j^n)_j$ is constructed by translating u_n , and multiplying by an appropriate cut-off function, as in [8]. We first choose $c_n \ge \max\{1, \|\nabla h_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\omega)}\}$ and let $w_j^n(x) := v_j^n(x', x_N - c_n \sqrt{2\varepsilon_j})$. This function is 1 on the support of v_j^n , and vanishes shortly beyond. Then, we let $u_j^n(x) = u^n(x', x_N - 2c_n\sqrt{2\varepsilon_j})w_j^n(x)$. (As in the end of the proof of Proposition 4.1, we have to modify slightly u_j^n in order to ensure $u_j^n = u^0$ in $\omega \times (-1, 0)$, however, this is easily done, and one checks that this modified u_j^n satisfies a uniform (in j) L^{∞} bound.) In order to show that (28) holds, we just need to check

$$\limsup_{j \to \infty} \int_{\omega \times (0, +\infty)} (\eta_{\varepsilon_j} + (v_j^n(x))^2) W(\nabla u_j^n(x)) \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega_{h_n}^+} W(\nabla u^n(x)) \, dx \,. \tag{29}$$

Since $\nabla u_j^n(x) = w_j^n(x)\nabla u^n(x', x_N - 2c_n\sqrt{2\varepsilon_j}) + u^n(x', x_N - 2c_n\sqrt{2\varepsilon_j})\nabla w_j^n(x)$, this inequality is clear as soon as we have established that

$$\limsup_{j \to \infty} \eta_{\varepsilon_j} \int_{\omega \times (0, +\infty)} |u^n(x', x_N - 2c_n \sqrt{2\varepsilon_j}) \nabla w_j^n(x)|^p \, dx = 0$$

and since u^n is bounded in L^{∞} , we need to show

$$\limsup_{j \to \infty} \eta_{\varepsilon_j} \int_{\omega \times (0, +\infty)} |\nabla w_j^n(x)|^p \, dx = 0$$

This integral is bounded by

$$\int_{\{0<\operatorname{dist}(x,\Omega_{h_n}^+)<\sqrt{\varepsilon_j}\}} \frac{|\gamma_j'|^p \left(\operatorname{dist}(x,\Omega_{h_n}^+)/\varepsilon_j\right)}{\varepsilon_j^p} dx$$
$$= \int_0^{\sqrt{\varepsilon_j}} \frac{|\gamma_j'|^p (s/\varepsilon_j)}{\varepsilon_j^p} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{\operatorname{dist}(\cdot,\Omega_{h_n}^+)=s\}) ds$$
$$= \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j^{p-1}} \int_0^{1/\sqrt{\varepsilon_j}} |\gamma_j'|^p (s) \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{\operatorname{dist}(\cdot,\Omega_{h_n}^+)=\varepsilon_j s\}) ds$$

Now, one can show that

$$\int_0^{1/\sqrt{\varepsilon_j}} |\gamma_j'|^p(s) \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{\operatorname{dist}(\cdot, \Omega_{h_n}^+) = \varepsilon_j s\}) \, ds \to \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial \Omega_{h_n}^+) \int_0^1 \sqrt{2V(t)}^{p-1} \, dt$$

as $j \to \infty$, hence since we have assumed $\eta_{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon^{p-1} \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we deduce (29) and (28).

Since (28) holds, a standard diagonal extraction argument allows to find subsequences $(u_{j_k}^{n_k})_k$, $(v_{j_k}^{n_k})_k$ satisfying point (ii) of Theorem 5.1, and this complete the proof of the theorem.

A A simple inequality

Lemma A.1 Let $w \in C^1([0,1]^N)$ satisfy for any $x \in [0,1]^N$:

$$w(x) = \sum_{k \in \{0,1\}^N} w(k) \Delta(x-k)$$

where Δ is defined in (16). Then, for any $p \geq 1$,

$$\int_{(0,1)^N} W_p(\nabla w(x)) \, dx \leq \frac{1}{2^{N-1}} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\substack{k \in \{0,1\}^N \\ k_i = 0}} |w(k+e_i) - w(k)|^p \tag{30}$$

Proof. We show that for each i,

$$\int_{(0,1)^N} \left| \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i}(x) \right|^p dx \le \frac{1}{2^{N-1}} \sum_{\substack{k \in \{0,1\}^N \\ k_i = 0}} |w(k+e_i) - w(k)|^p.$$

We will show this inequality for i = N. Let us denote, for $x' = (x_1, \ldots, x_{N-1})$,

$$\Delta_{N-1}(x') = \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} (1 - |x_i|)^+$$

Then, for any $x \in (0,1)^N$,

$$w(x) = \sum_{\substack{k \in \{0,1\}^N \\ k' \in \{0,1\}^{N-1}}} w(k) \Delta(x-k)$$

=
$$\sum_{\substack{k' \in \{0,1\}^{N-1}}} \Delta_{N-1} (x'-k') (w_{k',0}(1-x_N) + w_{k',1}x_N)$$

so that

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_N}(x) = \sum_{k' \in \{0,1\}^{N-1}} \Delta_{N-1}(x'-k')(w_{k',1}-w_{k',0}).$$

Now, at any x, we have $\sum_{k' \in \{0,1\}^{N-1}} \Delta_{N-1}(x'-k') = 1$, so that this is a convex combination of $(w_{k',1} - w_{k',0})_{k' \in \{0,1\}^{N-1}}$. Hence, by convexity of the function $|\cdot|^p$,

$$\int_{(0,1)^N} \left| \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_N}(x) \right|^p dx \leq \int_{(0,1)^N} \sum_{k' \in \{0,1\}^{N-1}} \Delta_{N-1}(x'-k') |w_{k',1}-w_{k',0}|^p dx.$$

We deduce (30) by simply observing that for any $k' \in \{0, 1\}^{N-1}$,

$$\int_{(0,1)^N} \Delta_{N-1}(x'-k') \, dx = \int_0^1 dx_N \times \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \int_0^1 (1-|x_i-k_i|)^+ \, dx_i = \frac{1}{2^{N-1}} \, .$$

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank A. Braides, E. Bonnetier and F. Jouve for stimulating discussions, and the two latters for providing the result of the numerical experiment shown on Fig. 1.

References

- G. Alberti, Variational models for phase transitions, an approach via Γ-convergence, Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations (G. Buttazzo et al., ed.), Springer-Verlag, 2000, pp. 95–114.
- R. Alicandro, M. Focardi, and M. S. Gelli, *Finite-difference approximation of energies in fracture mechanics*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 29 (2000), no. 3, 671–709. MR 2001k:49068

- [3] L. Ambrosio, A compactness theorem for a new class of functions with bounded variation, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (7) 3-B (1989), 857-881.
- [4] _____, A new proof of the SBV compactness theorem, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 3 (1995), no. 1, 127–137.
- [5] L. Ambrosio, A. Coscia, and G. Dal Maso, Fine properties of functions with bounded deformation, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 139 (1997), no. 3, 201–238. MR 98m:49058
- [6] L. Ambrosio, N. Fusco, and D. Pallara, Functions of bounded variation and free discontinuity problems, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 2000. MR 1 857 292
- [7] G. Bellettini, A. Coscia, and G. Dal Maso, Compactness and lower semicontinuity properties in SBD(Ω), Math. Z. 228 (1998), no. 2, 337–351. MR 99e:49011
- [8] E. Bonnetier and A. Chambolle, Computing the equilibrium configuration of epitaxially strained crystalline films, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 62 (2002), no. 4, 1093-1121 (electronic). MR 1 898 515
- E. Bonnetier, R. S. Falk, and M. A. Grinfeld, Analysis of a one-dimensional variational model of the equilibrium shape of a deformable crystal, M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal. 33 (1999), no. 3, 573–591. MR MR1713239 (2000e:74031)
- [10] A. Braides, A. Chambolle, and M. Solci, A relaxation result for energies defined on pairs set-function and applications, (2005), preprint CMAP, Ecole Polytechnique.
- [11] A. Chambolle, Finite-differences discretizations of the Mumford-Shah functional, M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal. 33 (1999), no. 2, 261–288. MR 2000d:49049
- [12] _____, An approximation result for special functions with bounded deformation, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 83 (2004), no. 7, 929–954. MR MR2074682 (2005d:49066)
- [13] _____, Addendum to: "An approximation result for special functions with bounded deformation" [J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 83 (2004), no. 7, 929–954; mr2074682], J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 84 (2005), no. 1, 137–145. MR MR2112874 (2005h:49103)
- [14] G. Dal Maso, G. Francfort, and R. Toader, Quasistatic crack growth in finite elasticity, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations (2004), (to appear).
- [15] G. Dal Maso, G. A. Francfort, and R. Toader, *Quasi-static evolution in brittle fracture: the case of bounded solutions*, Calculus of variations: topics from the

mathematical heritage of E. De Giorgi, Quad. Mat., vol. 14, Dept. Math., Seconda Univ. Napoli, Caserta, 2004, pp. 245–266. MR MR2118420 (2005m:74086)

- [16] H. Federer, Geometric measure theory, Springer-Verlag New York Inc., New York, 1969. MR 41 #1976
- [17] G. A. Francfort and C. J. Larsen, Existence and convergence for quasi-static evolution in brittle fracture, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 56 (2003), no. 10, 1465– 1500. MR MR1988896 (2004d:49003)
- [18] A. Giacomini and M. Ponsiglione, $A \gamma$ -convergence approach to stability of unilateral minimality properties, 2005.
- [19] M. Gobbino, Finite difference approximation of the Mumford-Shah functional, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 51 (1998), no. 2, 197–228.