A finite dimensional approximation for pricing American options on moving average

Peter Tankov

CMAP, Ecole Polytechnique

Joint with M. Bernhart and X. Warin (EDF R&D)

New advances in Backward SDEs for financial engineering applications Tamerza, Tunisia, October 25–28, 2010 • Surge options: American-style options whose strike is adjusted daily to the moving average of the underlying price:

$$H_t = (S_t - X_t)^+, \quad X_t = \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{t-\delta}^t S_u du.$$

- The strike of indexed swing options (gas market) is linked to moving averages of different oil prices.
- Non-Markovian dynamics of the moving average leads to an infinite-dimensional optimal stopping problem:

$$dX_t = \frac{1}{\delta} \left(S_t - S_{t-\delta} \right) dt.$$

• We propose a finite-dimensional approximation allowing to price moving average options in PDE or LS Monte Carlo framework.

We consider general moving average processes of the form

$$M_t = \int_0^\infty S_{t-u}\mu(du)$$

where μ is a finite possibly signed measure on $[0,\infty)$ and we set $S_t = S_0$ for $t \leq 0$.

We would like to find *n* processes Y^1, \ldots, Y^n such that (S, Y^1, \ldots, Y^n) are jointly Markov, and M_t is approximated by M_t^n which depends deterministically on $S_t, Y_t^1, \ldots, Y_t^n$.

Assumptions on S

That the stock price S is a continuous Itô process:

$$S_t = S_0 + \int_0^t b_s ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s dW_s$$

with

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\leq t\leq \mathcal{T}}|b_{s}|\right]<\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\leq t\leq \mathcal{T}}|\sigma_{s}|^{1+\gamma}\right]<\infty, \quad \gamma>0$$

It can then be shown (Fischer and Nappo '10) that the modulus of continuity of S is integrable:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t,s\in[0,T]:|t-s|\leq h}|S_t-S_s|\right]\leq C\varepsilon(h),\quad \varepsilon(h):=\sqrt{h\ln\left(\frac{2T}{h}\right)}.$$

Lemma

Let μ and ν be finite signed measures on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\mu^+(\mathbb{R}_+) > 0$, and let M and N be corresponding moving average processes. Then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|M_t-N_t|\right] \leq C|\mu(\mathbb{R}_+)-\nu(\mathbb{R}_+)| \\ + C\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{\mu^+([0,T])}\int_0^T|F_\mu(t)-F_\nu(t)|dt\right)$$

where

$$F_{
u}(t) :=
u([0,t]) \quad and \quad F_{\mu}(t) := \mu([0,t]).$$

Sketch of the proof

We first assume that μ and ν are probability measures. Let F_{μ}^{-1} and F_{ν}^{-1} be generalized inverses of μ and ν respectively. Then,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|M_t-N_t|\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\int_0^1|S_{t-F_{\mu}^{-1}(u)}-S_{t-F_{\nu}^{-1}(u)}|du\right]$$
$$\leq C\varepsilon\left(\int_0^1|F_{\mu}^{-1}(u)\wedge T-F_{\nu}^{-1}(u)\wedge T|du\right).$$

The expression inside the brackets is the Wasserstein distance between μ and ν truncated at T. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|M_t-N_t|\right]\leq C\varepsilon\left(\int_0^T|F_{\mu}(t)-F_{\nu}(t)|dt\right).$$

Laguerre approximation: idea

• We assume

$$dY_t = -AYdt + \mathbf{1}(\alpha S_t dt + \beta dS_t), \quad M^n = B^{\perp} Y$$

• The solution can be written as

$$M_t^n = \int_{-\infty}^t B^{\perp} e^{-A(t-s)} \mathbf{1}(\alpha S_s ds + \beta dS_s)$$
$$= K_n S_t + \int_{-\infty}^t h_n(t-u) S_u du,$$

where h_n is of the form (Hankel approximation)

$$h_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} e^{-p_k t} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k} c_i^k t^i, \quad n_1 + \ldots + n_K + K = n$$

In this work we focus on a subclass for which h_n is of the form

$$h_n(t) = e^{-\rho t} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i t^i$$
 (Laguerre approximation)

Laguerre polynomials and functions

Fix a scale parameter p > 0. The scaled Laguerre functions $(L_k^p)_{k \ge 0}$ are defined on $[0, +\infty)$ by

$$L_k^p(t) = \sqrt{2p} \ P_k(2pt) e^{-pt}, \quad \forall k \ge 0$$

where $(P_k)_{k\geq 0}$ are Laguerre polynomials:

$$P_k(t) = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{k-i} \frac{(-t)^i}{i!}, \quad \forall k \ge 0$$

The Laguerre functions $(L_k^p)_{k\geq 0}$ form an orthonormal basis of $L^2([0,\infty))$.

Laguerre approximations for moving averages

• Let
$$H(x) = \mu([x, +\infty))$$
.

• Compute the Laguerre coefficients of the function *H*:

$$A_k^p = \langle H, L_k^p \rangle.$$

Set
$$H_n^p(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_k^p L_k^p(t)$$
 and $h_n^p(t) = -\frac{d}{dt} H_n^p(t)$.

• Approximate the moving average *M* with

$$M_t^{n,p} = (H(0) - H_n^p(0))S_t + \int_0^{+\infty} h_n^p(u)S_{t-u}du.$$

Laguerre approximations for moving averages

Introduce *n* random processes

$$X_t^{p,k} = \int_0^{+\infty} L_k^p(v) S_{t-v} dv, \quad k = 0, \ldots, n-1.$$

They are related to the moving average approximation by

$$M_t^{n,p} = (H(0) - H_n^p(0))S_t + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k^p X_t^{p,k}, \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$

and have Markovian dynamics

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^{p,0} = \left(\sqrt{2p}S_t - pX_t^{p,0}\right) dt \\ \dots \\ dX_t^{p,k} = \left(\sqrt{2p}S_t - 2p\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}X_t^{p,i} - pX_t^{p,k}\right) dt \end{cases}$$

with initial values

$$X_0^{p,k}=S_0(-1)^k\frac{\sqrt{2p}}{p}, \forall k\geq 0.$$

Theorem

Suppose that the moving average process M is of the form

$$M_t = K_0 S_t + \int_0^\infty S_{t-u} h(u) du$$

where K_0 is a constant and the function h has compact support, finite variation on \mathbb{R} and is constant in the neighborhood of zero. Then the approximation error admits the bound

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|M_t-M_t^{n,p}|\right]\leq C\varepsilon(n^{-\frac{3}{4}}).$$

One can approximate

$$\sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathbb{E} \left[\phi \left(S_{\tau}, M_{\tau} \right) \right] \quad \text{by} \quad \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathbb{E} \left[\phi \left(S_{\tau}, M_{\tau}^{n, p} \right) \right].$$

Corollary

Let the payoff function ϕ be Lipschitz in the second variable, then the pricing error admits the bound

$$\sup_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}}\mathbb{E}\left[\phi\left(S_{\tau},M_{\tau}\right)\right]-\sup_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}}\mathbb{E}\left[\phi\left(S_{\tau},M_{\tau}^{n,p}\right)\right]\right|\leq C\varepsilon(n^{-\frac{3}{4}}).$$

where C > 0 is a constant independent of n.

Uniformly weighted moving averages

Let

$$\mu(dx) = h(x)dx = \frac{1}{\delta} \mathbb{1}_{[0,\delta]} dx \quad \Rightarrow \quad H(x) = \frac{1}{\delta} \left(\delta - x\right)^+$$

The coefficients $A_k^{\delta,p} = \langle H, L_k^p \rangle$ can be computed explicitly. We determine the optimal scale parameter $p_{opt}(\delta, n)$ as

$$p_{opt}(\delta, n) = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{p>0} \left\| H - H_n^p \right\|_2 = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{p>0} \left\{ \frac{\delta}{3} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left| A_k^{\delta, p} \right|^2 \right\}.$$

It satisfies the scaling relation

$$p_{opt}(\delta, n) = rac{p_{opt}(1, n)}{\delta},$$

and the values $p_{opt}(1, n)$ can be tabulated.

Uniformly weighted moving average: illustration



Simulated trajectory of the moving average process and its Laguerre approximations.

< A ▶

Least squares Monte Carlo

- Replace the American option by a Bermudan one with a discrete grid π = {0 = t₀, t₁,..., t_N = T} of exercise dates.
- Simulate *M* paths of stock price and Laguerre processes.
- Compute optimal exercise times by backward induction:
 - 1 Initialization: $\tau_N^{\pi,m} = T$, $m = 1, \ldots, M$
 - 2 Backward induction for $i = N 1, ..., N_{\delta}$, m = 1, ..., M:

$$\begin{cases} \tau_{i}^{\pi,m} = t_{i} \mathbf{1}_{A_{i}^{m}} + \tau_{i+1}^{\pi,m} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}A_{i}^{m}} \\ A_{i}^{m} = \left\{ \phi\left(S_{t_{i}}^{\pi,m}, M_{t_{i}}^{n,\pi,m}\right) \geq \mathbb{E}_{t_{i}}^{M} \left[\phi\left(S_{\tau_{i+1}^{\pi}}^{\pi}, M_{\tau_{i+1}^{\pi}}^{n,\pi}\right)\right] \right\} \end{cases}$$



$$V_0^{\pi} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \phi\left(S_{\tau_{N_{\delta}}^{\pi,m}}^{\pi,m}, M_{\tau_{N_{\delta}}^{\pi,m}}^{n,\pi,m}\right)$$

 The conditional expectations are estimated by regression on the basis functions of state variables

- In numerical examples, we find that best results are obtained if the approximate moving average M^{n,π} is replaced by the true moving average M^π in the pay-off function, while estimating the conditional expectations by regressions on S^π, X^{0,π},..., X^{n,π}.
- The suboptimal approach often used by practitioners consists in estimating the conditional expectations by regression on (S^{π}, M^{π}) only.

Numerical examples: convergence



Left: Laguerre approximation vs. the improved method. Right: zoom for the improved method and the practitioner' method.

Numerical examples: delayed options



For moving average options with time delay whose pay-off depends on $X_{\tau} = \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{\tau-l-\delta}^{\tau-l} S_u du$, the Laguerre approximation leads to a substantial improvement compared to the practitioner's method.