

# Random $G$ -Expectations

Marcel Nutz

ETH Zurich

New advances in Backward SDEs for financial engineering applications  
Tamerza, Tunisia, 28.10.2010

# Outline

- 1 Random  $G$ -Expectations
- 2 Axiomatic Framework and Superhedging (*joint work with Mete Soner*)

# Outline

1 Random  $G$ -Expectations

2 Axiomatic Framework and Superhedging (*joint work with Mete Soner*)

# Peng's $G$ -Expectation

- Intuition: Volatility is uncertain, but prescribed to lie in a fixed interval  $D = [a, b]$ .
- $\mathcal{E}^G(X)$  is the **worst-case expectation** of a random variable  $X$  over all these scenarios for the volatility.
- $\Omega$ : canonical space of continuous paths on  $[0, T]$ .
- $B$ : canonical process.
- $\mathcal{P}^G =$  martingale laws under which  $d\langle B \rangle_t/dt \in D$ , then

$$\mathcal{E}_0^G(X) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}^G} E^P[X], \quad X \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_T^o) \text{ regular enough.}$$

- $\mathcal{E}_0^G$  is a sublinear functional.

# Peng's $G$ -Expectation

- Intuition: Volatility is uncertain, but prescribed to lie in a fixed interval  $D = [a, b]$ .
- $\mathcal{E}^G(X)$  is the **worst-case expectation** of a random variable  $X$  over all these scenarios for the volatility.
- $\Omega$ : canonical space of continuous paths on  $[0, T]$ .
- $B$ : canonical process.
- $\mathcal{P}^G =$  martingale laws under which  $d\langle B \rangle_t/dt \in D$ , then

$$\mathcal{E}_0^G(X) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}^G} E^P[X], \quad X \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_T^o) \text{ regular enough.}$$

- $\mathcal{E}_0^G$  is a sublinear functional.

## Conditional G-Expectation

- Extension to a **conditional G-expectation**  $\mathcal{E}_t^G$  for  $t > 0$ .
- Nontrivial as measures in  $\mathcal{P}^G$  are singular.
- Peng's approach: for  $X = f(B_T)$  with  $f$  Lipschitz, define  $\mathcal{E}_t^G(X) = u(t, B_t)$  where

$$-u_t - G(u_{xx}) = 0, \quad u(T, x) = f; \quad G(x) := \frac{1}{2} \sup_{y \in D} xy.$$

- Extend to  $X = f(B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_n})$  and pass to completion.
- **Time-consistency** property:  $\mathcal{E}_s^G \circ \mathcal{E}_t^G = \mathcal{E}_s^G$  for  $s \leq t$ .

## Short (and Incomplete) History

- Uncertain volatility model: [Avellaneda, Levy, Parás \(95\)](#), [T. Lyons \(95\)](#).
- BSDEs and  $g$ -expectations: [Pardoux, Peng \(90\)](#), ...
- Capacity-based analysis of volatility uncertainty: [Denis, Martini \(06\)](#).
- $G$ -expectation and related calculus were introduced by [Peng \(07\)](#).
- Dual description via  $\mathcal{P}^G$  is due to [Denis, M. Hu, Peng \(10\)](#).
  
- Constraint on law of  $B_T$  [Galichon, Henry-Labordère, Touzi \(1?\)](#).
- Relations to 2BSDEs [Cheridito, Soner, Touzi, Victoir \(07\)](#), [Soner, Touzi, J. Zhang \(10\)](#).
- [Bion-Nadal, Kervarec \(10\)](#).

# Random $G$ -Expectation

- Allow for **updates of the volatility bounds**.
- Take into account historical volatility: **path-dependence**.
- Replace  $D = [a, b]$  by a stochastic interval  $\mathbf{D}_t(\omega) = [a_t(\omega), b_t(\omega)]$ .  
(In general: a progressive set-valued process.)
- This corresponds to a **random function  $G$**  (possibly infinite).
- At  $t = 0$  define  $\mathcal{E}_0(X) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E^P[X]$  for corresponding set  $\mathcal{P}$ .



# Random $G$ -Expectation

- Allow for **updates of the volatility bounds**.
- Take into account historical volatility: **path-dependence**.
- Replace  $D = [a, b]$  by a stochastic interval  $\mathbf{D}_t(\omega) = [a_t(\omega), b_t(\omega)]$ .  
(In general: a progressive set-valued process.)
- This corresponds to a **random function  $G$**  (possibly infinite).
- At  $t = 0$  define  $\mathcal{E}_0(X) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E^P[X]$  for corresponding set  $\mathcal{P}$ .

# Our Approach

- At  $t > 0$ : want  $\mathcal{E}_t(X)$  “=”  $\sup_{P' \in \mathcal{P}} E^{P'}[X | \mathcal{F}_t^\circ]$ .
- We shall construct  $\mathcal{E}_t(X)$  such that

$$\mathcal{E}_t(X) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{P' \in \mathcal{P}(t, P)}^P E^{P'}[X | \mathcal{F}_t^\circ] \quad P\text{-a.s. for all } P \in \mathcal{P},$$

where  $\mathcal{P}(t, P) := \{P' \in \mathcal{P} : P' = P \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_t^\circ\}$ .

- Non-Markov problem: PDE approach not suitable.
- Pathwise definition: condition  $\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{P}, X$  on  $\omega$  up to  $t$ :

$$\mathcal{E}_t(X)(\omega) := \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}(t, \omega)} E^P[X^{t, \omega}], \quad \omega \in \Omega.$$

- Benefits: Control arguments,  $\mathbf{D}$  need not be bounded.
- Time consistency corresponds to dynamic programming principle.
- Approach follows Soner, Touzi, Zhang (10).
- Here  $\mathcal{P}(t, \omega)$  is path-dependent. Regularity of  $\omega \mapsto \mathcal{P}(t, \omega)$  is needed.

# Our Approach

- At  $t > 0$ : want  $\mathcal{E}_t(X)$  “=”  $\sup_{P' \in \mathcal{P}} E^{P'}[X | \mathcal{F}_t^\circ]$ .
- We shall construct  $\mathcal{E}_t(X)$  such that

$$\mathcal{E}_t(X) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{P' \in \mathcal{P}(t, P)}^P E^{P'}[X | \mathcal{F}_t^\circ] \quad P\text{-a.s. for all } P \in \mathcal{P},$$

where  $\mathcal{P}(t, P) := \{P' \in \mathcal{P} : P' = P \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_t^\circ\}$ .

- Non-Markov problem: PDE approach not suitable.
- Pathwise definition: condition  $\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{P}, X$  on  $\omega$  up to  $t$ :

$$\mathcal{E}_t(X)(\omega) := \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}(t, \omega)} E^P[X^{t, \omega}], \quad \omega \in \Omega.$$

- Benefits: Control arguments,  $\mathbf{D}$  need not be bounded.
- Time consistency corresponds to **dynamic programming principle**.
- Approach follows [Soner, Touzi, Zhang \(10\)](#).
- Here  $\mathcal{P}(t, \omega)$  is path-dependent. **Regularity** of  $\omega \mapsto \mathcal{P}(t, \omega)$  is needed.

## Our Approach

- At  $t > 0$ : want  $\mathcal{E}_t(X)$  “=”  $\sup_{P' \in \mathcal{P}} E^{P'}[X | \mathcal{F}_t^\circ]$ .
- We shall construct  $\mathcal{E}_t(X)$  such that

$$\mathcal{E}_t(X) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{P' \in \mathcal{P}(t, P)}^P E^{P'}[X | \mathcal{F}_t^\circ] \quad P\text{-a.s. for all } P \in \mathcal{P},$$

where  $\mathcal{P}(t, P) := \{P' \in \mathcal{P} : P' = P \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_t^\circ\}$ .

- Non-Markov problem: PDE approach not suitable.
- Pathwise definition: condition  $\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{P}, X$  on  $\omega$  up to  $t$ :

$$\mathcal{E}_t(X)(\omega) := \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}(t, \omega)} E^P[X^{t, \omega}], \quad \omega \in \Omega.$$

- Benefits: Control arguments,  $\mathbf{D}$  need not be bounded.
- Time consistency corresponds to **dynamic programming principle**.
- Approach follows **Soner, Touzi, Zhang (10)**.
- Here  $\mathcal{P}(t, \omega)$  is path-dependent. **Regularity** of  $\omega \mapsto \mathcal{P}(t, \omega)$  is needed.

# Strong Formulation of Volatility Uncertainty

- $P_0$  Wiener measure,  $\mathbb{F}^\circ$  raw filtration of  $B$ .
- $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_S = \{P^\alpha := P_0 \circ (\int \alpha^{1/2} dB)^{-1}, \alpha > 0, \int_0^T \alpha dt < \infty\}$ .
- Define  $\langle B \rangle$  and  $\hat{\alpha} = d\langle B \rangle/dt$  simultaneously under all  $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_S$ .  
(E.g. by Föllmer's (81) pathwise calculus.)
- $\mathcal{P} := \{P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_S : \hat{\alpha} \in \text{Int}^\delta \mathbf{D} ds \times P\text{-a.e. for some } \delta > 0\}$ ,  
where  $\text{Int}^\delta \mathbf{D} := [a + \delta, b - \delta]$  for  $\delta > 0$ .

# Strong Formulation of Volatility Uncertainty

- $P_0$  Wiener measure,  $\mathbb{F}^\circ$  raw filtration of  $B$ .
- $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_S = \{P^\alpha := P_0 \circ (\int \alpha^{1/2} dB)^{-1}, \alpha > 0, \int_0^T \alpha dt < \infty\}$ .
- Define  $\langle B \rangle$  and  $\hat{\alpha} = d\langle B \rangle/dt$  simultaneously under all  $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_S$ .  
(E.g. by Föllmer's (81) pathwise calculus.)
- $\mathcal{P} := \{P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_S : \hat{\alpha} \in \text{Int}^\delta \mathbf{D} \text{ ds} \times P\text{-a.e. for some } \delta > 0\}$ ,  
where  $\text{Int}^\delta \mathbf{D} := [a + \delta, b - \delta]$  for  $\delta > 0$ .

## Conditioning and Regularity

- To condition  $X$  to  $\omega$  up to  $t$ , set  $X^{t,\omega}(\cdot) := X(\omega \otimes_t \cdot)$ , where  $\otimes_t$  is the concatenation at  $t$ .
- $X^{t,\omega}$  is an r.v. on the space  $\Omega^t$  of paths starting at time  $t$ .
- On  $\Omega^t$  we have  $B^t$ ,  $P_0^t$ ,  $\hat{a}^t$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_S^t$ , ... as for  $t = 0$ .
- $\mathcal{P}(t, \omega) := \{P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_S^t : \hat{a}^t \in \text{Int}^\delta \mathbf{D}^{t,\omega} ds \times P\text{-a.e. on } [t, T] \times \Omega^t, \delta > 0\}$ .
- Define  $\mathcal{E}_t(X)$  as the value function

$$\mathcal{E}_t(X)(\omega) := \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}(t, \omega)} E^P[X^{t,\omega}], \quad \omega \in \Omega.$$

- Regularity:  $X \in \text{UC}_b(\Omega)$  and  $\mathbf{D}$  uniformly continuous:  
for all  $\delta > 0$  and  $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$  there exists  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(t, \omega, \delta) > 0$  s.t.

$$\|\omega - \omega'\|_t \leq \varepsilon \Rightarrow \text{Int}^\delta \mathbf{D}_s^{t,\omega}(\tilde{\omega}) \subseteq \text{Int}^\varepsilon \mathbf{D}_s^{t,\omega'}(\tilde{\omega}) \quad \forall (s, \tilde{\omega}) \in [t, T] \times \Omega^t.$$

## Conditioning and Regularity

- To condition  $X$  to  $\omega$  up to  $t$ , set  $X^{t,\omega}(\cdot) := X(\omega \otimes_t \cdot)$ , where  $\otimes_t$  is the concatenation at  $t$ .
- $X^{t,\omega}$  is an r.v. on the space  $\Omega^t$  of paths starting at time  $t$ .
- On  $\Omega^t$  we have  $B^t$ ,  $P_0^t$ ,  $\hat{a}^t$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_S^t$ , ... as for  $t = 0$ .
- $\mathcal{P}(t, \omega) := \{P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_S^t : \hat{a}^t \in \text{Int}^\delta \mathbf{D}^{t,\omega} ds \times P\text{-a.e. on } [t, T] \times \Omega^t, \delta > 0\}$ .
- Define  $\mathcal{E}_t(X)$  as the value function

$$\mathcal{E}_t(X)(\omega) := \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}(t, \omega)} E^P[X^{t,\omega}], \quad \omega \in \Omega.$$

- Regularity:  $X \in \text{UC}_b(\Omega)$  and  $\mathbf{D}$  uniformly continuous:  
for all  $\delta > 0$  and  $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$  there exists  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(t, \omega, \delta) > 0$  s.t.

$$\|\omega - \omega'\|_t \leq \varepsilon \Rightarrow \text{Int}^\delta \mathbf{D}_s^{t,\omega}(\tilde{\omega}) \subseteq \text{Int}^\varepsilon \mathbf{D}_s^{t,\omega'}(\tilde{\omega}) \quad \forall (s, \tilde{\omega}) \in [t, T] \times \Omega^t.$$



# Consequences of Uniform Continuity

- $\omega \mapsto \mathcal{E}_t(X)(\omega)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t^0$ -measurable and LSC for  $X \in UC_b(\Omega)$ .

## Theorem (DPP, time consistency)

Let  $X \in UC_b(\Omega)$  and  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ . Then

- $\mathcal{E}_s(X)(\omega) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}(s, \omega)} E^P[\mathcal{E}_t(X)^{s, \omega}]$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,
- $\mathcal{E}_s(X) = \text{ess sup}_{P' \in \mathcal{P}(s, P)}^P E^{P'}[\mathcal{E}_t(X) | \mathcal{F}_s^0]$   $P$ -a.s. for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ ,

where  $\mathcal{P}(s, P) := \{P' \in \mathcal{P} : P' = P \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_s^0\}$ .

## On the proof:

- Main problem due to stochastic **D**: **admissibility of pastings**.
- Regularity of  $\mathcal{E}_t(X)$  turns out **not** to be a problem.

# Consequences of Uniform Continuity

- $\omega \mapsto \mathcal{E}_t(X)(\omega)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t^\circ$ -measurable and LSC for  $X \in UC_b(\Omega)$ .

## Theorem (DPP, time consistency)

Let  $X \in UC_b(\Omega)$  and  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ . Then

- $\mathcal{E}_s(X)(\omega) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}(s, \omega)} E^P[\mathcal{E}_t(X)^{s, \omega}]$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,
- $\mathcal{E}_s(X) = \text{ess sup}_{P' \in \mathcal{P}(s, P)}^P E^{P'}[\mathcal{E}_t(X) | \mathcal{F}_s^\circ]$   $P$ -a.s. for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ ,

where  $\mathcal{P}(s, P) := \{P' \in \mathcal{P} : P' = P \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_s^\circ\}$ .

## On the proof:

- Main problem due to stochastic **D**: **admissibility of pastings**.
- Regularity of  $\mathcal{E}_t(X)$  turns out **not** to be a problem.

## Extension to Completion of $UC_b(\Omega)$

- $L_{\mathcal{P}}^1$  = space of r.v.  $X$  such that  $\|X\|_{L_{\mathcal{P}}^1} := \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \|X\|_{L^1(P)} < \infty$ .
- $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{P}}^1$  = closure of  $UC_b \subset L_{\mathcal{P}}^1$  (can be described explicitly).
- DPP implies that  $\mathcal{E}_t$  is 1-Lipschitz wrt.  $\|\cdot\|_{L_{\mathcal{P}}^1}$ , hence extends to

$$\mathcal{E}_t : \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{P}}^1 \rightarrow L_{\mathcal{P}}^1(\mathcal{F}_t^{\circ}).$$

- **Theorem.** For  $X \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{P}}^1$  the DPP holds:

$$\mathcal{E}_s(X) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{P' \in \mathcal{P}(s,P)}^P E^{P'} [\mathcal{E}_t(X) | \mathcal{F}_s^{\circ}] \quad P\text{-a.s. for all } P \in \mathcal{P}.$$

In particular,  $\mathcal{E}_s(X)$  is characterized by

$$\mathcal{E}_s(X) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{P' \in \mathcal{P}(s,P)}^P E^{P'} [X | \mathcal{F}_s^{\circ}] \quad P\text{-a.s. for all } P \in \mathcal{P}.$$

## Extension to Completion of $UC_b(\Omega)$

- $L_{\mathcal{P}}^1$  = space of r.v.  $X$  such that  $\|X\|_{L_{\mathcal{P}}^1} := \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \|X\|_{L^1(P)} < \infty$ .
- $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{P}}^1$  = closure of  $UC_b \subset L_{\mathcal{P}}^1$  (can be described explicitly).
- DPP implies that  $\mathcal{E}_t$  is 1-Lipschitz wrt.  $\|\cdot\|_{L_{\mathcal{P}}^1}$ , hence extends to

$$\mathcal{E}_t : \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{P}}^1 \rightarrow L_{\mathcal{P}}^1(\mathcal{F}_t^{\circ}).$$

- **Theorem.** For  $X \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{P}}^1$  the DPP holds:

$$\mathcal{E}_s(X) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{P' \in \mathcal{P}(s,P)}^P E^{P'} [\mathcal{E}_t(X) | \mathcal{F}_s^{\circ}] \quad P\text{-a.s. for all } P \in \mathcal{P}.$$

In particular,  $\mathcal{E}_s(X)$  is characterized by

$$\mathcal{E}_s(X) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{P' \in \mathcal{P}(s,P)}^P E^{P'} [X | \mathcal{F}_s^{\circ}] \quad P\text{-a.s. for all } P \in \mathcal{P}.$$

# Outline

1 Random  $G$ -Expectations

2 Axiomatic Framework and Superhedging (*joint work with Mete Soner*)

## Axiomatic Setup

For the random  $G$ -expectations, we had:

- a set  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{P}}_S$  with a **time consistency** is a property,
- an **aggregated** r.v. for  $\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{P' \in \mathcal{P}(s, P)}^P E^{P'} [X | \mathcal{F}_s^\circ]$ ,  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ ,
- for  $X$  in a **subspace**  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{P}}^1 \subseteq L_{\mathcal{P}}^1$ .

**Axiomatic approach:**

- start with some set  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{P}}_S$ .
- $\mathcal{P}$  is assumed to be **stable under  $\mathbb{F}^\circ$ -pasting** ( $\approx$  time consistency):  
for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}_t^\circ, P)$  and  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_t^\circ$ ,

$$\bar{P}(\cdot) := E^P [P_1(\cdot | \mathcal{F}_t^\circ) \mathbf{1}_\Lambda + P_2(\cdot | \mathcal{F}_t^\circ) \mathbf{1}_{\Lambda^c}] \in \mathcal{P}.$$

- aggregated r.v.  $\mathcal{E}_s^\circ(X) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{P' \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}_s^\circ, P)}^P E^{P'} [X | \mathcal{F}_s^\circ]$   $P$ -a.s.,  $P \in \mathcal{P}$
- for all  $X$  in some subspace  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq L_{\mathcal{P}}^1$ .

## Axiomatic Setup

For the random  $G$ -expectations, we had:

- a set  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{P}}_S$  with a **time consistency** is a property,
- an **aggregated** r.v. for  $\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{P' \in \mathcal{P}(s, P)}^P E^{P'} [X | \mathcal{F}_s^\circ]$ ,  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ ,
- for  $X$  in a **subspace**  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{P}}^1 \subseteq L_{\mathcal{P}}^1$ .

### Axiomatic approach:

- start with some set  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{P}}_S$ .
- $\mathcal{P}$  is assumed to be **stable under  $\mathbb{F}^\circ$ -pasting** ( $\approx$  time consistency):  
for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}_t^\circ, P)$  and  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_t^\circ$ ,

$$\bar{P}(\cdot) := E^P [P_1(\cdot | \mathcal{F}_t^\circ) \mathbf{1}_\Lambda + P_2(\cdot | \mathcal{F}_t^\circ) \mathbf{1}_{\Lambda^c}] \in \mathcal{P}.$$

- aggregated r.v.  $\mathcal{E}_s^\circ(X) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{P' \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}_s^\circ, P)}^P E^{P'} [X | \mathcal{F}_s^\circ]$   $P$ -a.s.,  $P \in \mathcal{P}$
- for all  $X$  in some subspace  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq L_{\mathcal{P}}^1$ .

## Getting Path Regularity

- $\hat{\mathbb{F}} = \{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ , where  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t := \mathcal{F}_{t+}^\circ \vee \mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{P}}$  and  $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{P}$ -polar sets.

Take right limits of  $\{\mathcal{E}_t^\circ(X), t \in [0, T]\}$ :

### Theorem

For  $X \in \mathcal{H}$ , there exists a unique càdlàg  $\hat{\mathbb{F}}$ -adapted process  $Y$ ,

- $Y_t = \mathcal{E}_{t+}^\circ(X)$   $\mathcal{P}$ -q.s. for all  $t$ .
- $Y$  is the minimal  $(\hat{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{P})$ -supermartingale with  $Y_T = X$ .
- $Y_t = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{P' \in \mathcal{P}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t, \mathcal{P})}^P E^{P'}[X | \hat{\mathcal{F}}_t]$   $P$ -a.s. for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ .

- $Y$  is a  $\mathcal{P}$ -modification of  $\{\mathcal{E}_t^\circ(X), t \in [0, T]\}$  in regular cases but there are counterexamples.

- The process  $\mathcal{E}(X) := Y$  is called the (càdlàg)  $\mathcal{E}$ -martingale associated with  $X \in \mathcal{H}$ .



# Stopping Times and Optional Sampling

- Typically, the construction of  $\mathcal{E}^\circ$  is not compatible with stopping times (e.g.  $G$ -expectation).
- But we can easily define  $\mathcal{E}$  at a stopping time.

## Theorem

Let  $0 \leq \sigma \leq \tau \leq T$  be  $\hat{\mathbb{F}}$ -stopping times and  $X \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{E}_\sigma(X) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{P' \in \mathcal{P}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}_\sigma, P)}^P E^{P'}[X | \hat{\mathcal{F}}_\sigma] \quad P\text{-a.s. for all } P \in \mathcal{P};$$

$$\mathcal{E}_\sigma(X) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{P' \in \mathcal{P}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}_\sigma, P)}^P E^{P'}[\mathcal{E}_\tau(X) | \hat{\mathcal{F}}_\sigma] \quad P\text{-a.s. for all } P \in \mathcal{P}.$$

# Decomposition of $\mathcal{E}$ -Martingales

## Theorem

Let  $X \in \mathcal{H}$ . There exist

- an  $\hat{\mathbb{F}}$ -progressive process  $Z^X$
- a family  $(K^P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}$  of  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}^P$ -pred. increasing processes,  $E^P[|K_T^P|] < \infty$ , such that

$$\mathcal{E}_t(X) = \mathcal{E}_0(X) + \int_0^t Z_s^X dB_s - K_t^P, \quad P\text{-a.s. for all } P \in \mathcal{P}.$$

- $Z^X$  does not depend on  $P$ , but the integral may do.
- Cf. **optional decomposition**: El Karoui, Quenez (95), Kramkov (96).
- Construction as in the theory of 2BSDEs: Soner, Touzi, Zhang (10)
- Here we only need Doob-Meyer decomposition + martingale represent. + pathwise integration (Bichteler 81).
- More precise results for  $G$ -expectation: Peng (07), Xu, B. Zhang (09), Soner, Touzi, Zhang (10), Song (10), Y. Hu, Peng (10).

# Decomposition of $\mathcal{E}$ -Martingales

## Theorem

Let  $X \in \mathcal{H}$ . There exist

- an  $\hat{\mathbb{F}}$ -progressive process  $Z^X$
- a family  $(K^P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}$  of  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}^P$ -pred. increasing processes,  $E^P[|K_T^P|] < \infty$ , such that

$$\mathcal{E}_t(X) = \mathcal{E}_0(X) + \int_0^t Z_s^X dB_s - K_t^P, \quad P\text{-a.s. for all } P \in \mathcal{P}.$$

- $Z^X$  does not depend on  $P$ , but the integral may do.
- Cf. **optional decomposition**: [El Karoui, Quenez \(95\)](#), [Kramkov \(96\)](#).
- Construction as in the theory of 2BSDEs: [Soner, Touzi, Zhang \(10\)](#)
- Here we only need Doob-Meyer decomposition + martingale represent. + pathwise integration ([Bichteler 81](#)).
- More precise results for  $G$ -expectation: [Peng \(07\)](#), [Xu, B. Zhang \(09\)](#), [Soner, Touzi, Zhang \(10\)](#), [Song \(10\)](#), [Y. Hu, Peng \(10\)](#).

# Superhedging

Interpretation for decomposition

$$X = \mathcal{E}_T(X) = \mathcal{E}_0(X) + \int_0^T Z_s^X dB_s - K_T^P :$$

- $\mathcal{E}_0(X) = \hat{\mathcal{F}}_0$ -superhedging price,
- $Z^X =$  superhedging strategy,
- $K_T^P =$  overshoot for the scenario  $P$
- **Minimality** of the overshoot:

$$\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{P' \in \mathcal{P}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t, P)} E^{P'} [K_T^{P'} - K_t^{P'} | \hat{\mathcal{F}}_t] = 0 \quad P\text{-a.s. for all } P \in \mathcal{P}.$$

- **replicable** claims correspond to  $K^P \equiv 0$  for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ .

## 2BSDE for $\mathcal{E}(X)$

$(Y, Z)$  is a **solution of the 2BSDE** if there exists a family  $(K^P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}$  of  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}^P$ -adapted increasing processes satisfying  $E^P[|K_T^P|] < \infty$  such that

$$Y_t = X - \int_t^{(P)T} Z_s dB_s + K_T^P - K_t^P, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad P\text{-a.s. for all } P \in \mathcal{P}$$

and such that

$$\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{P' \in \mathcal{P}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t, P)} E^{P'} [K_T^{P'} - K_t^{P'} | \hat{\mathcal{F}}_t] = 0 \quad P\text{-a.s. for all } P \in \mathcal{P}.$$

### Theorem ( $X \in \mathcal{H}$ )

- $(\mathcal{E}(X), Z^X)$  is the minimal solution of the 2BSDE.
- If  $(Y, Z)$  is a solution of the 2BSDE such that  $Y$  is of class  $(D, \mathcal{P})$ , then  $(Y, Z) = (\mathcal{E}(X), Z^X)$ .

In particular, if  $X \in \mathcal{H}^p$  for some  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , then  $(\mathcal{E}(X), Z^X)$  is the unique solution of the 2BSDE in the class  $(D, \mathcal{P})$ .

## Pasting and Time Consistency

- $\mathcal{P}$  is *maximally chosen* for  $\mathcal{H}$  if  $\mathcal{P}$  contains all  $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_S$  such that  $E^P[X] \leq \sup_{P' \in \mathcal{P}} E^{P'}[X]$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{H}$ .
- $\mathcal{P}$  is *time-consistent* on  $\mathcal{H}$  if

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{P' \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}_s^\circ, P)}^P E^{P'} \left[ \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{P'' \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}_t^\circ, P')}^{P'} E^{P''} [X | \mathcal{F}_t^\circ] \middle| \mathcal{F}_s^\circ \right] = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{P' \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}_s^\circ, P)}^P E^{P'} [X | \mathcal{F}_s^\circ]$$

$P$ -a.s. for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$  and  $X \in \mathcal{H}$ .

### Theorem

- *stability under pasting*  $\Rightarrow$  *time consistency*.
- If  $\mathcal{P}$  is *maximally chosen*: *time consistency*  $\Rightarrow$  *stability under pasting*

- Similar results by [Delbaen](#) (06) for classical risk measures.

## Time Consistency of Mappings

- Consider a family  $(\mathcal{E}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$  of mappings  $\mathcal{E}_t : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^1_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{F}_t^\circ)$ .
- $\mathcal{H}_t := \mathcal{H} \cap L^1_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{F}_t^\circ)$ .

### Definition

$(\mathcal{E}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$  is called *time-consistent* if

$$\mathcal{E}_s(X) \leq (\geq) \mathcal{E}_s(\varphi) \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_t \text{ such that } \mathbb{E}_t(X) \leq (\geq) \varphi$$

and  $(\mathcal{H}_t)$  *positively homogeneous* if

$$\mathcal{E}_t(X\varphi) = \mathcal{E}_t(X)\varphi \quad \text{for all bounded nonnegative } \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_t$$

for all  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$  and  $X \in \mathcal{H}$ .

## More on $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{P}}^1$

- By arguments of Denis, Hu, Peng (10):

$$\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{P}}^1 = \left\{ X \in L_{\mathcal{P}}^1 \mid \begin{array}{l} X \text{ is } \mathcal{P}\text{-quasi uniformly continuous,} \\ \lim_n \|X \mathbf{1}_{\{|X| \geq n\}}\|_{L_{\mathcal{P}}^1} = 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

- If  $\mathbf{D}$  is uniformly bounded, we retrieve the space of Denis, Hu, Peng:
  - ▶  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{P}}^1$  is also the closure of  $C_b \subset L_{\mathcal{P}}^1$ ,
  - ▶ 'quasi uniformly continuous' = 'quasi continuous'.
- If  $\mathbf{D}$  is uniformly bounded,  $\mathcal{E}_t$  maps  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{P}}^1$  into  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{P}}^1(\mathcal{F}_t^\circ)$ .  
Hence time consistency can be expressed as  $\mathcal{E}_s \circ \mathcal{E}_t = \mathcal{E}_s$ .