OPTIMAL DESIGN OF STRUCTURES (MAP 562)

G. ALLAIRE

January 18th, 2017

Department of Applied Mathematics, Ecole Polytechnique

CHAPTER IV

OPTIMAL CONTROL

Optimization of distributed systems:
Computing a gradient by the adjoint method

Control of an elastic membrane

For $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, the vertical displacement u of the membrane is solution of

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta u = f + v & \text{in } \Omega \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega,
\end{cases}$$

where v is a **control force** which is our optimization variable (for example, a piezzo-electric actuator). We define the set of admissible controls

$$K = \left\{ v \in L^2(\omega) \mid v_{min}(x) \le v(x) \le v_{max}(x) \text{ in } \omega \text{ and } v = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \setminus \omega \right\}.$$

We want to control the membrane in order to reach a prescribed displacement $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ by minimizing (c > 0)

$$\inf_{v \in K} \left\{ J(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(|u - u_0|^2 + c|v|^2 \right) dx \right\}.$$

Existence of an optimal control

Proposition.

There exists a unique optimal control $\overline{v} \in K$.

Proof. $v \to u$ is an affine function from K into $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

The integrand of J is a positive "polynomial" of degree two in v.

 $v \to J(v)$ is strongly convex on K which is convex.

Remark. The existence is often more delicate to prove, but the important thing here is to compute a gradient J'(v) for numerical purposes.

Important notice: the solution u of the p.d.e. depends on the control v.

Gradient and optimality condition

The safest and simplest way of computing a gradient is to evaluate the directional derivative

$$j(t) = J(v + tw)$$
 \Rightarrow $j'(0) = \langle J'(v), w \rangle = \int_{\Omega} J'(v)w \, dx$.

By linearity, we have $u(v + tw) = u(v) + t\tilde{u}(w)$ with

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \tilde{u}(w) = w & \text{in } \Omega \\ \tilde{u}(w) = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

In other words, $\tilde{u}(w) = \langle u'(v), w \rangle$.

Since J(v) is quadratic the computation is very simple and we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} J'(v)w \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \left((u(v) - u_0)\tilde{u}(w) + cvw \right) dx,$$

Unfortunately J'(v) is not explicit because we cannot factorize out w in $\tilde{u}(w)$!

Adjoint state

To simplify the gradient formula we use the so-called adjoint state p, defined as the unique solution in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ of

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta p = u - u_0 & \text{in } \Omega \\
p = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{cases}$$

We multiply the equation for $\tilde{u}(w)$ by p and conversely

equation for
$$p \times \tilde{u}(w) \Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \nabla p \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}(w) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} (u - u_0) \tilde{u}(w) \, dx$$

equation for $\tilde{u}(w) \times p \Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \nabla \tilde{u}(w) \cdot \nabla p \, dx = \int_{\Omega} wp \, dx$

Comparing these two equalities we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega} (u - u_0)\tilde{u}(w) dx = \int_{\Omega} wp dx \quad \Rightarrow \quad \int_{\Omega} J'(v)w dx = \int_{\Omega} (p + cv)w dx.$$

Conclusion on the adjoint state

We found an explicit formula of the gradient

$$J'(v) = p + cv.$$

- Adjoint method: computation of the gradient by solving 2 boundary value problems (u and p).
- If one does not use the adjoint: for **each** direction w one must solve 2 boundary value problems $(u \text{ and } \tilde{u}(w))$ to evaluate $\langle J'(v), w \rangle$. For example, if J'(v) is a vector of dimension n, its n components are obtained by solving (n+1) problems!
- Tery efficient in practice: it is the best possible method.
- Inconvenient: if one uses a black-box software to compute u, it can be very difficult to modify it in order to get the adjoint state p.

Further remarks on the notion of adjoint state

- If the state equation is not self-adjoint (the bilinear form is not symmetric), the operator of the adjoint equation is the transposed or adjoint of the direct operator.
- If the state equation is time dependent with an initial condition, then the adjoint equation is time dependent too, but backward with a final condition.
- If the state equation is non-linear, the adjoint equation is linear.

The adjoint is not just a trick! It can be deduced from the Lagrangian of the problem.

General method to find the adjoint equation

We consider the state equation as a constraint and, for any $(\hat{v}, \hat{u}, \hat{p}) \in L^2(\Omega) \times H^1_0(\Omega) \times H^1_0(\Omega)$, we introduce the Lagrangian of the minimization problem

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{v}, \hat{u}, \hat{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (|\hat{u} - u_0|^2 + c|\hat{v}|^2) dx + \int_{\Omega} \hat{p}(\Delta \hat{u} + f + \hat{v}) dx,$$

where \hat{p} is the Lagrange multiplier for the constraint which links the two independent variables \hat{v} and \hat{u} .

Integrating by parts yields

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{v}, \hat{u}, \hat{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(|\hat{u} - u_0|^2 + c|\hat{v}|^2 \right) dx + \int_{\Omega} (-\nabla \hat{p} \cdot \nabla \hat{u} + f\hat{p} + \hat{v}\hat{p}) dx.$$

Proposition. The optimality conditions are equivalent to the stationnarity of the Lagrangian, i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial p} = 0.$$

Proof

- $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial p} = 0 \Rightarrow$ by definition, we recover the equation satisfied by the state u.
- $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u} = 0 \Rightarrow$ equation satisfied by the adjoint state p. Indeed,

$$\ell_u(t) = \mathcal{L}(\hat{v}, \hat{u} + t\phi, \hat{p}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \ell'_u(0) = \langle \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u}, \phi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \left((\hat{u} - u_0)\phi - \nabla \hat{p} \cdot \nabla \phi \right) dx$$

which is the variational formulation of the adjoint equation.

• $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v} = 0 \Rightarrow$ formula for J'(v). Indeed,

$$\ell_v(t) = \mathcal{L}(\hat{v} + tw, \hat{u}, \hat{p}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \ell_v'(0) = \langle \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v}, w \rangle = \int_{\Omega} (c\hat{v} + \hat{p})w \, dx$$

Simple formula for the derivative

In the preceding proof we obtained

$$J'(v) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v}(v, u, p)$$

with the state u and the adjoint p (both depending on v).

It is not a surprise! Indeed,

$$J(v) = \mathcal{L}(v, u, \hat{p}) \quad \forall \hat{p}$$

because u is the state. Thus, if u(v) is differentiable, we get

$$\langle J'(v), w \rangle = \langle \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v}(v, u, \hat{p}), w \rangle + \langle \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u}(v, u, \hat{p}), \frac{\partial u}{\partial v}(w) \rangle$$

We then take $\hat{p} = p$, the adjoint, to obtain

$$\langle J'(v), w \rangle = \langle \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v}(v, u, p), w \rangle$$

Another interpretation of the adjoint state

The adjoint state p is the Lagrange multiplier for the constraint of the state equation. But it is also a sensitivity function.

Define the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{v}, \hat{u}, \hat{p}, f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(|\hat{u} - u_0|^2 + c|\hat{v}|^2 \right) dx + \int_{\Omega} \left(-\nabla \hat{p} \cdot \nabla \hat{u} + f \hat{p} + \hat{v} \hat{p} \right) dx.$$

We study the sensitivity of the minimum with respect to variations of f.

We denote by v(f), u(f) and p(f) the optimal values, depending on f. We assume that they are differentiable with respect to f. Then

$$\nabla_f \Big(J(v(f)) \Big) = p(f).$$

p gives the derivative (without further computation) of the minimum with respect to f!

Indeed
$$J(v(f)) = \mathcal{L}(v(f), u(f), p(f), f)$$
 and $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial p} = 0$.