MASTER M2 NUMERICAL ANALYSIS AND P.D.E.s UNIVERSITE PARIS 6 - ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE Course of G. Allaire, "Homogenization" January 5th, 2012 (3 hours)

The evaluation procedure will pay attention to the quality of the dissertation and most particularly to the clarity and readability in the proposed argumentation. As usual the subscript # denotes spaces of periodic functions. Throughout the problem C denotes a positive constant which does not depend on ϵ .

The goal of this problem is to study a model of mixed diffusive and radiative thermal transfer in a porous medium. Let Ω be a smooth bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^N which represents a porous medium. The domain Ω is tiled by a square periodic tiling of size ϵ . The cubes of this tiling $(Y_p^{\epsilon})_{1 \leq p \leq n(\epsilon)}$, with $n(\epsilon) \approx$ $|\Omega|\epsilon^{-N}$, are all equal, up to a translation, to $[0, \epsilon]^N$. Thus, after translation each cube is homothetic of ratio ϵ to the unit cell $Y = [0, 1]^N$ which is decomposed in a solid part Y^* and a smooth, simply connected hole $T \in Y$, compactly included in Y, with boundary $\Gamma = \partial T$, with $Y = Y^* \cup T$. Using the same notation in each cube, $Y_p^{\epsilon} = Y_p^{*,\epsilon} \cup T_p^{\epsilon}$, we define the solid part Ω_{ϵ} of the porous medium Ω_{ϵ} by

$$\Omega_{\epsilon} = \Omega \setminus \left(\cup_{p=1}^{n(\epsilon)} T_p^{\epsilon} \right).$$

The holes T_p^{ϵ} being disconnected, the domain Ω_{ϵ} is connex. The interface Γ_{ϵ} between the holes and the solid part is defined by

$$\Gamma_{\epsilon} = \partial \Omega_{\epsilon} \setminus \partial \Omega = \cup_{p=1}^{n(\epsilon)} \partial T_p^{\epsilon}.$$

For simplicity we assume that no hole cut the outer boundary $\partial\Omega$. In the solid part Ω_{ϵ} , heat propagates by diffusion, while in the holes it propagates by radiation without absorption.

The diffusion tensor in the solid is $A\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)$ where $A(y) \in L^{\infty}_{\#}(Y_f)^{N \times N}$ is a periodic coercive symmetric matrix satisfying, for $0 < \alpha \leq \beta$,

$$\alpha |\xi|^2 \le A(y)\xi \cdot \xi \le \beta |\xi|^2$$
, for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N, y \in Y_f$.

The temperature in the porous medium is denoted by $u_{\epsilon}(x)$. We consider a (very) simplified model of radiative transfer such that any point x on the boundary ∂T_p^{ϵ} of one hole emits thermal radiations proportionally to its temperature and receives thermal radiations from all other points of the same hole's, equal to the average of the temperature on ∂T_p^{ϵ} . On each hole's boundary we write the continuity of the normal heat flux

$$-\epsilon A\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot n = G_{\epsilon}(u_{\epsilon}) \text{ on } \partial T_{p}^{\epsilon}$$

where G_{ϵ} is an integral operator, defining the radiative transfer, given by

$$G_{\epsilon}(u_{\epsilon})(x) = \sigma \left(u_{\epsilon}(x) - \frac{1}{|\partial T_{p}^{\epsilon}|} \int_{\partial T_{p}^{\epsilon}} u_{\epsilon}(x') \, ds(x') \right)$$

with a positive constant $\sigma > 0$, ds(x') the surface measure (depending on the variable x'). The model is thus:

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(A\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) = f & \text{in } \Omega_{\epsilon}, \\ -A\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)\nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot n = \frac{1}{\epsilon}G_{\epsilon}(u_{\epsilon}) & \text{on } \Gamma_{\epsilon}, \\ u_{\epsilon} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1)

where n is the exterior unit mormal to Ω_{ϵ} and $f(x) \in L^2(\Omega)$ is a source term. The scaling in the second line of (1) is natural since it ensures a perfect balance between diffusion and radiation, as we shall see.

Part I

In this part we study, at fixed ϵ , the properties of model (1).

1. Prove that the operator G_{ϵ} is linear continuous self-adjoint from $L^{2}(\Gamma_{\epsilon})$ into $L^{2}(\Gamma_{\epsilon})$ and that it is positive in the sense that

$$\int_{\Gamma_{\epsilon}} G_{\epsilon}(u) \, u \, ds \ge 0 \quad \forall \, u \in L^{2}(\Gamma_{\epsilon}).$$

- 2. Deduce the existence and uniqueness of a solution to (1) in the space $H^1(\Omega_{\epsilon}) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$.
- 3. Show that the kernel of G_{ϵ} is made of functions in $L^{2}(\Gamma_{\epsilon})$ which are constant on each component $\partial T_{p}^{\epsilon}$.

Partie II

In this part the method of formal two-scale asymptotic expansions is applied in order to find the homogenized problem for (1). It is thus assumed that the solution u_{ϵ} can be written as a series

$$u_{\epsilon}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \epsilon^{i} u_{i}(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon})$$

with Y-periodic functions $y \to u_i(x, y)$.

1. Let $\phi(y) \in L^2(\Gamma)$ be extended by *Y*-periodicity and define $\phi^{\epsilon}(x) = \phi(\frac{x}{\epsilon}) \in L^2(\Gamma_{\epsilon})$. Show that $G_{\epsilon}(\phi^{\epsilon})(x) = [G(\phi)](y = \frac{x}{\epsilon})$ with the operator *G* defined on $L^2(\Gamma)$ by

$$G(\phi)(y) = \sigma\left(\phi(y) - \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \int_{\Gamma} \phi(y') \, ds(y')\right).$$

Unfortunately, if $\phi(x, y)$ is a smooth, Y-periodic in y function, for $\phi^{\epsilon}(x) = \phi(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon})$ we usually have

$$G_\epsilon(\phi^\epsilon)(x) \neq \Big[G(\phi(x,\cdot))\Big](y=\frac{x}{\epsilon}),$$

where the operator G is integral in y (but not in x). This difference is at the root of additional difficulties in the application of the method of two-scale asymptotic expansions...

2. For each cell Y_p^{ϵ} , x_{ϵ}^p denote its origin in such a way that the following change of variables holds true $x = x_{\epsilon}^p + \epsilon y$ with $x \in Y_p^{\epsilon}$ and $y \in Y$. Show that a smooth function $u_i(x, y)$ satisfies, for any $x \in Y_p^{\epsilon}$,

$$u_i(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}) = u_i(x_{\epsilon}^p, \frac{x}{\epsilon}) + (x - x_{\epsilon}^p) \cdot \nabla_x u_i(x_{\epsilon}^p, \frac{x}{\epsilon}) + \frac{1}{2}(x - x_{\epsilon}^p) \otimes (x - x_{\epsilon}^p) \cdot \nabla_x \nabla_x u_i(x_{\epsilon}^p, \frac{x}{\epsilon}) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3).$$
(2)

3. Prove that, on each boundary ∂T_p^{ϵ} ,

$$G_{\epsilon}(u_{\epsilon})(x) = Q_0(x_{\epsilon}^p, \frac{x}{\epsilon}) + \epsilon Q_1(x_{\epsilon}^p, \frac{x}{\epsilon}) + \epsilon^2 Q_2(x_{\epsilon}^p, \frac{x}{\epsilon}) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)$$

with

$$Q_0(x^p_{\epsilon}, y) = G\Big(u_0(x^p_{\epsilon}, y)\Big),$$
$$Q_1(x^p_{\epsilon}, y) = G\Big(u_1(x^p_{\epsilon}, y) + y \cdot \nabla_x u_0(x^p_{\epsilon}, y)\Big),$$
$$Q_2(x^p_{\epsilon}, y) = G\Big(u_2(x^p_{\epsilon}, y) + y \cdot \nabla_x u_1(x^p_{\epsilon}, y) + \frac{1}{2}y \otimes y \cdot \nabla_x \nabla_x u_0(x^p_{\epsilon}, y)\Big),$$

where the operator G is integral in y only. Show also that, for any $x \in \partial T_{n_2}^{\epsilon}$

$$Q_i(x^p_{\epsilon}, y) = Q_i(x, y) - (x - x^p_{\epsilon}) \cdot \nabla_x Q_i(x, y) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2).$$
(3)

- 4. Plugging the ansatz in (1) and using both (2) and (3), deduce the equations and boundary conditions satisfied by u_0 , u_1 and u_2 . Hint: these equations must involve only the x and y variables; the points x^p_{ϵ} should have been eliminated.
- 5. Let $g(y) \in L^2_{\#}(Y^*)$ and $h(y) \in L^2(\Gamma)$. Prove that the following problem admits a unique solution in $H^1_{\#}(Y^*)/\mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}_{y} \left(A(y) \nabla_{y} w \right) = g & \text{in } Y^{*} \\ -A(y) \nabla_{y} w \cdot n = G(w) - h & \text{on } \Gamma \\ y \to w(y) \ Y\text{-periodic} \end{cases}$$
(4)

if and only if the data satisfy

$$\int_{Y^*} g(y) dy + \int_{\Gamma} h(y) ds = 0$$

One should rely on the self-adjointness of G and on its explicit kernel.

- 6. Deduce that $u_0(x, y)$ does not depend on y, and that $u_1(x, y)$ can be written in terms of the gradient of u_0 and of solutions $w_k(y)$ of cell problems which must be given explicitly.
- 7. Write the necessary and sufficient condition of existence of $u_2(x, y)$. Deduce from it that the homogenized equation is a diffusion equation in Ω with a constant homogenized tensor A^* which must be given explicitly.
- 8. Using the variational formulation of the cell problem, prove that A^* is positive definite.

Partie III

In this part we use two-scale convergence to rigorously prove a homogenization theorem. We recall the following Poincaré inequality (uniform in ϵ): there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$\|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\epsilon})} \le C \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\epsilon})^N} \quad \forall \phi \in H^1(\Omega_{\epsilon}) \cap H^1_0(\Omega).$$

1. Prove that there exists C > 0 such that the solution u_{ϵ} of (1) satisfies

$$\|u_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\epsilon})} + \|\nabla u_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\epsilon})^{N}} + \sqrt{\epsilon}\|u_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma_{\epsilon})} \le C\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}.$$
 (5)

- 2. Recall the results from the course on the structure of the two-scale limit of a sequence u_{ϵ} satisfying the a priori estimate (5).
- 3. Let $\phi_1(x, y)$ be a smooth Y-periodic test function with compact support in $x \in \Omega$. Prove that there exists at least one vector-valued function $\theta(x, y)$ (with the same compact support in x) which is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}_{y}\theta(x,y) = 0 & \text{in } Y^{*}, \\ \theta(x,y) \cdot n = G(\phi_{1})(x,y) & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ y \to \theta(x,y) & Y\text{-periodic} \end{cases}$$

- 4. Multiplying (1) by a test function $\epsilon \phi_1(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon})$, find the cell problem. One shall first use the Taylor expansion (2) for ϕ_1 before applying to it the G_{ϵ} operator and then the previous question for $x = x_{\epsilon}^p$.
- 5. Multiplying (1) by a test function of the type $\phi(x) + \epsilon \phi_1(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon})$, with a smooth compactly supported in Ω function ϕ and with ϕ_1 explicitly given by

$$\phi_1(x,y) = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_k}(x) w_k(y)$$

where the w_k 's are the solutions of the cell problems, find the homogenized problem. One shall use again the Taylor expansion (2) for ϕ, ϕ_1 before applying the operator G_{ϵ} , as well as the cell equation defining $w_k(y)$.

Prove that the homogenized problem has a unique solution and deduce the convergence of the entire sequence u_{ϵ} .