CONVERGENCE THEORY

1

G. ALLAIRE CMAP, Ecole Polytechnique

- 1. Maximum principle
- 2. Oscillating test function
- 3. Two-scale convergence
- 4. Application to homogenization
- 5. General theory (H-convergence)
- 6. Boundary layers

Ecole CEA-EDF-INRIA, 13-16 Décembre 2010

INTRODUCTION

We recall the model problem of diffusion

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(A\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) = f & \text{in } \Omega\\ u_{\epsilon} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a smooth bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^N , $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ and the coefficient tensor A(y) is Y-periodic, uniformly coercive and bounded

$$\alpha |\xi|^2 \le \sum_{i,j=1}^N A_{ij}(y)\xi_i\xi_j \le \beta |\xi|^2, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N, \text{ a.e. } y \in Y \quad (\beta \ge \alpha > 0).$$

Lemma (a priori estimate). If Ω is bounded, then there exists a constant C > 0 depending only on Ω such that

$$\|u_{\epsilon}\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le C \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Therefore, up to a subsequence, there exists a limit $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ such that the sequence u_{ϵ} of solutions of the model problem converges to u weakly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$, as ϵ goes to 0,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} |u_{\epsilon} - u|^2 dx = 0, \quad \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} \nabla (u_{\epsilon} - u) \cdot \phi \, dx = 0 \quad \forall \phi \in L^2(\Omega)^N$$

Goal: find the equation satisfied by u.

(Proof of the a priori estimate)

Variational formulation

$$\int_{\Omega} A\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \nabla u_{\epsilon}(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \varphi(x) dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Take $\varphi = u_{\epsilon}$ and use coercivity

$$\alpha \|\nabla u_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq \int_{\Omega} f(x)u_{\epsilon}(x)dx \leq \|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \|u_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$$

Poincaré inequality in Ω

$$\|u_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C(\Omega) \|\nabla u_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$$

Thus

$$\|\nabla u_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{C(\Omega)\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}}{\alpha}$$

INTRODUCTION TO PERIODIC HOMOGENIZATION THEORY

G. Allaire

-I- MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE

Restricted to scalar elliptic equations ! Need some smoothness of the coefficients.

Recall that, at least formally,

$$u_{\epsilon}(x) \approx u(x) + \epsilon u_1\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) + \epsilon^2 u_2\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)$$

with

$$u_1(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(x)w_i(y) + \tilde{u}_1(x)$$

and

$$u_2(x,y) = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x)\chi_{ij}(y) + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_1}{\partial x_i}(x)w_i(y) + \tilde{u}_2(x)$$

INTRODUCTION TO PERIODIC HOMOGENIZATION THEORY

G. Allaire

Cell problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{y}} A(y) \left(e_i + \nabla_y w_i(y) \right) = 0 & \text{in } Y \\ y \to w_i(y) & Y \text{-periodic} \end{cases}$$

Second order cell problem:

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}_{y}A(y)\nabla_{y}u_{2}(x,y) &= \operatorname{div}_{y}A(y)\nabla_{x}u_{1} + \operatorname{div}_{x}A(y)\left(\nabla_{y}u_{1} + \nabla_{x}u\right) \\ &- \operatorname{div}_{x}(A^{*}\nabla_{x}u) & \text{in } Y \\ y &\to u_{2}(x,y) \end{aligned}$$

which implies the form $u_2(x, y) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x) \chi_{ij}(y)$ (up to addition of \tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2).

Define the remainder

$$r_{\epsilon}(x) = u_{\epsilon}(x) - \left\{ u(x) + \epsilon u_1\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) + \epsilon^2 u_2\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \right\}$$

which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
-\operatorname{div}\left(A\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right) &= -\epsilon\left[\operatorname{div}_{x}A(\nabla_{x}u_{1} + \nabla_{y}u_{2}) + \operatorname{div}_{y}A(\nabla_{x}u_{2})\right]\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \\
&- \epsilon^{2}\left[\operatorname{div}_{x}A(\nabla_{x}u_{2})\right]\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) & \text{in }\Omega \\
r_{\epsilon} &= -\epsilon u_{1}\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) - \epsilon^{2}u_{2}\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) & \text{on }\partial\Omega
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem. Assume $A(y) \in W^{1,\infty}(Y)$ and $u \in W^{4,\infty}(\Omega)$. Then

$$\|u_{\epsilon}(x) - u(x)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le C\epsilon$$

Proof. Apply the maximum principle to r_{ϵ} .



VAL - ISO > 6.00E+02

8

Comparison of the exact and reconstructed solution $u + \epsilon u_1$ (K. El Ganaoui) Correctors really matter !

INTRODUCTION TO PERIODIC HOMOGENIZATION THEORY

G. Allaire

-II- Oscillating test function method (L. Tartar)

The goal is to mathematically prove the following

Theorem. The sequence $u_{\epsilon}(x)$ of solutions of the model problem converges weakly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$, as ϵ goes to 0, to a limit u(x) which is the unique solution of the homogenized problem.

Naive idea: pass to the limit in the variational formulation

$$\int_{\Omega} A\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \nabla u_{\epsilon}(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \varphi(x) dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

impossible because $A\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)$ and ∇u_{ϵ} converge only weakly (the limit of the product is not the product of the limits).

Difference between strong and weak convergence

 u_{ϵ} converges strongly to u in $L^{2}(\Omega) \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} |u_{\epsilon}(x) - u(x)|^{2} dx = 0$

 v_{ϵ} converges weakly to v in $L^{2}(\Omega) \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} (v_{\epsilon} - v)\phi \, dx = 0 \quad \forall \phi \in L^{2}(\Omega)$

Lemma. Let $G : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous bounded function. Then $G(u_{\epsilon})$ converges strongly to G(u) in $L^{2}(\Omega)$.

This is false for weak convergence ! Counter-example: $v_{\epsilon}(x) = \sin\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)$.

Oscillating test function method (ctd.)

The key idea is to replace φ by

$$\varphi_{\epsilon}(x) = \varphi(x) + \epsilon \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i}(x) w_i\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)$$

when A is symmetric. Then, one can pass to the limit in the variational formulation using the cell equation satisfied by $w_i(y)$. Remark that the difference between the gradients of φ_{ϵ} and φ is not small

$$\nabla \varphi_{\epsilon}(x) = \nabla \varphi(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{i}}(x) (\nabla_{y} w_{i}) \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon).$$

This idea can be used in multiscale numerical computations: replace the usual piecewise affine finite element basis by oscillating functions.

-III- TWO-SCALE CONVERGENCE METHOD

This is a simpler method to prove the convergence theorem.

Definition. A sequence of functions u_{ϵ} in $L^2(\Omega)$ is said to two-scale converge to a limit $u_0(x, y)$ belonging to $L^2(\Omega \times Y)$ if, for any Y-periodic smooth function $\varphi(x, y)$, it satisfies

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} u_{\epsilon}(x) \varphi\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) dx = \int_{\Omega} \int_{Y} u_0(x, y) \varphi(x, y) dx dy.$$

Theorem 1. From each bounded sequence u_{ϵ} in $L^2(\Omega)$ one can extract a subsequence, and there exists a limit $u_0(x, y) \in L^2(\Omega \times Y)$ such that this subsequence two-scale converges to u_0 .

Theorem 2. Let u_{ϵ} be a bounded sequence in $H^1(\Omega)$. Then, up to a subsequence, u_{ϵ} two-scale converges to a limit $u_0(x, y) \equiv u(x) \in H^1(\Omega)$, and ∇u_{ϵ} two-scale converges to $\nabla_x u(x) + \nabla_y u_1(x, y)$ with $u_1 \in L^2(\Omega; H^1_{\#}(Y))$. Lemma 3. For a bounded open set Ω , let $B = C(\overline{\Omega}; C_{\#}(Y))$ be the space of continuous functions $\varphi(x, y)$ on $\overline{\Omega} \times Y$ which are Y-periodic in y. Then, B is a separable Banach space (i.e. it contains a dense countable family), is dense in $L^2(\Omega \times Y)$, and there exists C > 0 such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\varphi\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)|^2 dx \le C \|\varphi\|_B^2,$$

and

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} \int_{Y} |\varphi(x, y)|^2 dx dy,$$

for any $\varphi(x, y) \in B$.

Remark. The same works with $B = L^2(\Omega; C_{\#}(Y))$ and Ω not necessarily bounded.



Ω

Proof of Lemma 3. We mesh Ω with cubes of the type $(0, \epsilon)^N$

$$\begin{split} \Omega &= \cup_{1 \le i \le n(\epsilon)} Y_i^{\epsilon} \quad \text{with } Y_i^{\epsilon} = x_i^{\epsilon} + (0, \epsilon)^N. \\ \int_{\Omega} |\varphi \left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon} \right)|^2 dx = \sum_{i=1}^{n(\epsilon)} \int_{Y_i^{\epsilon}} |\varphi \left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon} \right)|^2 dx = \sum_{i=1}^{n(\epsilon)} \int_{Y_i^{\epsilon}} |\varphi \left(x_i^{\epsilon}, \frac{x}{\epsilon} \right)|^2 dx + o(1) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n(\epsilon)} \epsilon^N \int_Y |\varphi \left(x_i^{\epsilon}, y \right)|^2 dy + o(1) = \int_{\Omega} \int_Y |\varphi \left(x, y \right)|^2 dx \, dy + o(1) \end{split}$$

Proof of Theorem 1. By Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} u_{\epsilon}(x)\varphi\left(x,\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) dx \right| \leq C \left| \int_{\Omega} \varphi\left(x,\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) dx \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{B}.$$

Thus, the l.h.s. is a continuous linear form on B which can be identified to a duality product $\langle \mu_{\epsilon}, \varphi \rangle_{B',B}$ for some bounded sequence of measures μ_{ϵ} . Since B is separable, by the Banach-Alaoglu theorem, one can extract a subsequence and there exists a limit μ_0 such μ_{ϵ} converges to μ_0 in the weak * topology of B' (the dual of B). On the other hand, Lemma 3 allows us to pass to the limit in the middle term above. It yields

$$|\langle \mu_0, \varphi \rangle_{B',B}| \le C \left| \int_{\Omega} \int_Y |\varphi(x,y)|^2 dx dy \right|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Therefore μ_0 is actually a continuous linear form on $L^2(\Omega \times Y)$, by density of *B* in this space. Thus, there exists $u_0(x, y) \in L^2(\Omega \times Y)$ such that

$$\langle \mu_0, \varphi \rangle_{B',B} = \int_{\Omega} \int_Y u_0(x,y) \varphi(x,y) dx dy.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Since u_{ϵ} and ∇u_{ϵ} are bounded in $L^{2}(\Omega)$, up to a subsequence, they two-scale converge to limits $u_{0}(x, y) \in L^{2}(\Omega \times Y)$ and $\xi_{0}(x, y) \in L^{2}(\Omega \times Y)^{N}$. Thus

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{\epsilon}(x) \cdot \vec{\psi}\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) dx = \int_{\Omega} \int_{Y} \xi_{0}(x, y) \cdot \vec{\psi}(x, y) dx dy \quad \forall \vec{\psi} \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega; C^{\infty}_{\#}(Y)^{N}\right)$$

Integrating by parts the left hand side gives

$$\epsilon \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{\epsilon}(x) \cdot \vec{\psi}\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) dx = -\int_{\Omega} u_{\epsilon}(x) \left(\operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{y}} \vec{\psi}\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) + \epsilon \operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{x}} \vec{\psi}\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)\right) dx$$

Passing to the limit yields

$$0 = -\int_{\Omega} \int_{Y} u_0(x, y) \operatorname{div}_{y} \vec{\psi}(x, y) dx dy \quad \Rightarrow \quad u_0(x, y) \equiv u(x) \in L^2(\Omega).$$

Next, we choose $\vec{\psi}$ such that $\operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{y}}\vec{\psi}(x,y) = 0$. We obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{\epsilon}(x) \cdot \vec{\psi}\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) dx = -\int_{\Omega} u_{\epsilon}(x) \operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{x}} \vec{\psi}\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) dx.$$

Passing to the two-scale limit

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{Y} \xi_0(x,y) \cdot \vec{\psi}(x,y) dx dy = -\int_{\Omega} \int_{Y} u(x) \operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{x}} \vec{\psi}(x,y) dx dy.$$

If $\vec{\psi}$ does not depend on y, it proves that $u(x) \in H^1(\Omega)$. Furthermore,

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{Y} \left(\xi_0(x, y) - \nabla u(x) \right) \cdot \vec{\psi}(x, y) dx dy = 0 \quad \forall \vec{\psi} \text{ with } \operatorname{div}_y \vec{\psi} = 0.$$

The orthogonal of divergence-free functions are exactly the gradients. Thus, there exists a unique function $u_1(x, y)$ in $L^2(\Omega; H^1_{\#}(Y)/\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\xi_0(x,y) = \nabla u(x) + \nabla_y u_1(x,y).$$

Theorem 4. Let $u_{\epsilon} \in L^2(\Omega)$ two-scale converge to $u_0(x, y) \in L^2(\Omega \times Y)$.

1. Then, u_{ϵ} converges weakly in $L^2(\Omega)$ to $u(x) = \int_Y u_0(x, y) dy$, and we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \|u_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \ge \|u_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega \times Y)}^{2} \ge \|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}.$$

2. Assume further that $u_0(x, y)$ is smooth and that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \|u_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} = \|u_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega \times Y)}^{2}.$$

Then, we have

$$\left\| u_{\epsilon}(x) - u_0\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \to 0.$$

Remark. In the last case we say that u_{ϵ} two-scale converges strongly to u_0 .

Proof of Theorem 4. Take a test function depending only on x

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} u_{\epsilon}(x)\varphi(x) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \int_{Y} u_0(x,y)\varphi(x) \, dx \, dy = \int_{\Omega} u(x)\,\varphi(x) \, dx.$$

Thus, u_{ϵ} converges weakly to u in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Then, developing the inequality

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} \left| u_{\epsilon}(x) - \varphi\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \right|^{2} dx &\geq 0 \\ \int_{\Omega} |u_{\epsilon}(x)|^{2} dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} u_{\epsilon}(x) \varphi\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) dx + \int_{\Omega} |\varphi\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)|^{2} dx &\geq 0 \\ \liminf_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} |u_{\epsilon}(x)|^{2} dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} \int_{Y} u_{0}(x, y) \varphi(x, y) dx \, dy + \int_{\Omega} \int_{Y} |\varphi\left(x, y\right)|^{2} dx \, dy &\geq 0 \\ \text{Take } \varphi &= u_{0} \text{ to get} \\ \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \|u_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} &\geq \|u_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega \times Y)}^{2}. \end{split}$$

INTRODUCTION TO PERIODIC HOMOGENIZATION THEORY

G. Allaire

Proof of Theorem 4 (continued). If we assume

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \|u_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} = \|u_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega \times Y)}^{2},$$

the same computation yields

$$\liminf_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} \left| u_{\epsilon}(x) - \varphi\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \right|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} \int_{Y} |u_0(x, y) - \varphi\left(x, y\right)|^2 dx \, dy$$

If u_0 is smooth enough to be a test function φ (Carathéodory function), it gives the desired result

$$\left\| u_{\epsilon}(x) - u_0\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \to 0.$$

Theorem 5.

- 1. Let u_{ϵ} be a bounded sequence in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that $\epsilon \nabla u_{\epsilon}$ is also bounded in $L^{2}(\Omega)^{N}$. Then, there exists a two-scale limit $u_{0}(x, y) \in L^{2}(\Omega; H^{1}_{\#}(Y)/\mathbb{R})$ such that, up to a subsequence, u_{ϵ} two-scale converges to $u_{0}(x, y)$, and $\epsilon \nabla u_{\epsilon}$ to $\nabla_{y} u_{0}(x, y)$.
- 2. Let u_{ϵ} be a bounded sequence in $L^{2}(\Omega)^{N}$ such that $\operatorname{div} u_{\epsilon}$ is also bounded in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Then, there exists a two-scale limit $u_{0}(x, y) \in L^{2}(\Omega \times Y)^{N}$ with $\operatorname{div}_{y} u_{0} = 0$ and $\operatorname{div}_{x} u_{0} \in L^{2}(\Omega \times Y)$ such that, up to a subsequence, u_{ϵ} two-scale converges to $u_{0}(x, y)$, and $\operatorname{div} u_{\epsilon}$ to $\operatorname{div}_{x} u_{0}(x, y)$.

Proof of Theorem 5 (first part). We have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} u_{\epsilon}(x) \varphi\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) dx = \int_{\Omega} \int_{Y} u_{0}(x, y) \varphi(x, y) dx dy$$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} \epsilon \nabla u_{\epsilon}(x) \cdot \vec{\psi}\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) dx = \int_{\Omega} \int_{Y} \xi_{0}(x, y) \cdot \vec{\psi}(x, y) dx dy$$

By integration by parts

$$\int_{\Omega} \epsilon \nabla u_{\epsilon}(x) \cdot \vec{\psi}\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) dx = -\int_{\Omega} u_{\epsilon}(x) \left(\operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{y}} \vec{\psi} + \epsilon \operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{x}} \vec{\psi}\right) \left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) dx$$

Passing to the two-scale limit

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{Y} \xi_0(x,y) \cdot \vec{\psi}(x,y) dx dy = -\int_{\Omega} \int_{Y} u_0(x,y) \operatorname{div}_{y} \vec{\psi}(x,y) dx dy$$

which implies that $\xi_0(x, y) = \nabla_y u_0(x, y)$.

INTRODUCTION TO PERIODIC HOMOGENIZATION THEORY

G. Allaire

-IV- APPLICATION TO HOMOGENIZATION

Conductivity or diffusion equation

$$-\operatorname{div}\left(A\left(x,\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$u_{\epsilon} = 0 \qquad \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

with a coefficient tensor A(x, y) which is Y-periodic, uniformly coercive and bounded

$$\alpha |\xi|^2 \le \sum_{i,j=1}^N A_{ij}(x,y)\xi_i\xi_j \le \beta |\xi|^2, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N, \forall y \in Y, \forall x \in \Omega \quad (\beta \ge \alpha > 0).$$

A priori estimate. If Ω is bounded, then

 $\|u_{\epsilon}\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le C \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$

INTRODUCTION TO PERIODIC HOMOGENIZATION THEORY

G. Allaire

First step. We deduce from the a priori estimates the precise form of the two-scale limit of the sequence u_{ϵ} .

By application of Theorem 2, there exist two functions, $u(x) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $u_1(x,y) \in L^2(\Omega; H^1_{\#}(Y)/\mathbb{R})$, such that, up to a subsequence, u_{ϵ} two-scale converges to u(x), and ∇u_{ϵ} two-scale converges to $\nabla_x u(x) + \nabla_y u_1(x,y)$.

In view of these limits, u_{ϵ} is expected to behave as $u(x) + \epsilon u_1\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)$.

Second step. We multiply the p.d.e. by a test function similar to the limit of u_{ϵ} , namely $\varphi(x) + \epsilon \varphi_1\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)$, where $\varphi(x) \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and $\varphi_1(x, y) \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega; C^{\infty}_{\#}(Y))$. This yields

$$\int_{\Omega} A\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \left(\nabla \varphi(x) + \nabla_{y} \varphi_{1}\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) + \epsilon \nabla_{x} \varphi_{1}\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)\right) dx$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} f(x) \left(\varphi(x) + \epsilon \varphi_{1}\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)\right) dx.$$

Regarding $A^t\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)\left(\nabla\varphi(x) + \nabla_y\varphi_1\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$ as a test function for the two-scale convergence, we pass to the two-scale limit

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{Y} A(x,y) \left(\nabla u(x) + \nabla_{y} u_{1}(x,y) \right) \cdot \left(\nabla \varphi(x) + \nabla_{y} \varphi_{1}(x,y) \right) dx dy = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \varphi(x) dx$$

Third step. We read off a variational formulation for (u, u_1) . Take (φ, φ_1) in the Hilbert space $H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2\left(\Omega; H_{\#}^1(Y)/\mathbb{R}\right)$ endowed with the norm

$$/ (\|\nabla u(x)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \|\nabla_{y}u_{1}(x,y)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega \times Y)}^{2})$$

The assumptions of the Lax-Milgram lemma are easily checked. The main point is the coercivity of the bilinear form defined by the left hand side

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} \int_{Y} A(x,y) \left(\nabla \varphi(x) + \nabla_{y} \varphi_{1}(x,y) \right) \cdot \left(\nabla \varphi(x) + \nabla_{y} \varphi_{1}(x,y) \right) dx dy \geq \\ &\alpha \int_{\Omega} \int_{Y} |\nabla \varphi(x) + \nabla_{y} \varphi_{1}(x,y)|^{2} dx dy = \alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi(x)|^{2} dx + \alpha \int_{\Omega} \int_{Y} |\nabla_{y} \varphi_{1}(x,y)|^{2} dx dy. \end{split}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{Y} A(x,y) \left(\nabla u(x) + \nabla_{y} u_{1}(x,y) \right) \cdot \left(\nabla \varphi(x) + \nabla_{y} \varphi_{1}(x,y) \right) dx dy = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \varphi(x) dx dy$$

By application of the Lax-Milgram lemma, there exists a unique solution $(u, u_1) \in H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2\left(\Omega; H_{\#}^1(Y)/\mathbb{R}\right)$. Consequently, the entire sequences u_{ϵ} and ∇u_{ϵ} converge to u(x) and $\nabla u(x) + \nabla_y u_1(x, y)$.

An easy integration by parts shows that the associated p.d.e.'s are the so-called "two-scale homogenized problem",

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}_{y} \left(A(x,y) \left(\nabla u(x) + \nabla_{y} u_{1}(x,y) \right) \right) &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times Y \\ -\operatorname{div}_{x} \left(\int_{Y} A(x,y) \left(\nabla u(x) + \nabla_{y} u_{1}(x,y) \right) dy \right) &= f(x) & \text{in } \Omega \\ y \to u_{1}(x,y) & Y \text{-periodic} \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Fourth (and optional) step. Eliminate the y variable and the u_1 unknown

$$u_1(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(x)w_i(x,y),$$

where $w_i(x, y)$ are the unique solutions in $H^1_{\#}(Y)/\mathbb{R}$ of the cell problems

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}_{y} \left(A(x,y) \left(\vec{e_i} + \nabla_y w_i(x,y) \right) \right) = 0 & \text{in } Y \\ y \to w_i(x,y) & Y \text{-periodic,} \end{cases}$$

at each point $x \in \Omega$, and

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{x}} \left(A^*(x) \nabla u(x) \right) = f(x) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$

with $A_{ij}^*(x) = \int_Y A(x,y) \left(\vec{e_i} + \nabla_y w_i(x,y)\right) \cdot \left(\vec{e_j} + \nabla_y w_j(x,y)\right) dy.$

(Conclusion)

We have just proved.

Theorem. The sequence of solution u_{ϵ} converges weakly to u in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and the sequence ∇u_{ϵ} two-scale converges to $\nabla_x u(x) + \nabla_y u_1(x, y)$ where u is the solution of the homogenized problem and $u_1(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(x) w_i(x, y)$.

Remark. u_{ϵ} converges strongly to u in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ but its gradient does not converge strongly !

Corrector and strong two-scale convergence

Proposition. Assume that $A(x, y) \in C(\overline{\Omega}; L^{\infty}_{\#}(Y))$. Then $u_1(x, y)$ is indeed a corrector in the sense that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla u_{\epsilon}(x) - \nabla u(x) - \nabla_{y} u_{1}\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \right|^{2} dx = 0$$

Key ingredient: strong two-scale convergence. If $v_{\epsilon} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ two-scale converge to a smooth $v_{0}(x, y)$ and

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \|v_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} = \|v_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega \times Y)}^{2},$$

then

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left\| v_{\epsilon}(x) - v_0\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = 0.$$

Proof

We develop

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} A\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \left(\nabla u_{\epsilon} - \nabla u - \nabla_{y} u_{1}\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)\right) \cdot \left(\nabla u_{\epsilon} - \nabla u - \nabla_{y} u_{1}\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)\right) dx = \\ \int_{\Omega} A\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \left(\nabla u(x) + \nabla_{y} u_{1}\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)\right) \cdot \left(\nabla u(x) + \nabla_{y} u_{1}\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)\right) dx \\ -2 \int_{\Omega} A^{sym}\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \left(\nabla u(x) + \nabla_{y} u_{1}\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)\right) dx \\ + \int_{\Omega} A\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla u_{\epsilon} dx \end{split}$$
The last term is equal to
$$\int_{\Omega} f u_{\epsilon} dx \to \int_{\Omega} f u dx.$$

Proof (ctd.)

Passing to the limit

$$\begin{split} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \alpha \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla u_{\epsilon}(x) - \nabla u(x) - \nabla_{y} u_{1}\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \right|^{2} dx \leq \\ \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} A\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \left(\nabla u_{\epsilon} - \nabla u - \nabla_{y} u_{1}\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \right) \cdot \left(\nabla u_{\epsilon} - \nabla u - \nabla_{y} u_{1}\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \right) dx = \\ \int_{\Omega} f u dx \\ -2 \int_{\Omega} \int_{Y} A^{sym}(x, y) \left(\nabla u(x) + \nabla_{y} u_{1}(x, y) \right) \cdot \left(\nabla u(x) + \nabla_{y} u_{1}(x, y) \right) dx dy \\ + \int_{\Omega} \int_{Y} A(x, y) \left(\nabla u(x) + \nabla_{y} u_{1}(x, y) \right) \cdot \left(\nabla u(x) + \nabla_{y} u_{1}(x, y) \right) dx dy \\ = 0 \end{split}$$

because of the variational formulation of the two-scale limit problem !

[Error estimate]

Proposition. Assume that $A(x,y) \in C(\overline{\Omega}; L^{\infty}_{\#}(Y))$ and $u \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$. Then

$$\left\| u_{\epsilon}(x) - u(x) - \epsilon u_1\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \right\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le C\sqrt{\epsilon}$$

-V- GENERAL THEORY (H-convergence)

Let Ω be a bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^N , and let $0 < \alpha \leq \beta$. We introduce the set $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta, \Omega)$ of all possible matrices A(x) such that, a.e. in Ω

$$\alpha |\xi|^2 \le A(x)\xi \cdot \xi$$
 and $\beta |\xi|^2 \le A^{-1}(x)\xi \cdot \xi \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N.$

We consider a sequence $A_{\epsilon}(x)$ in $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta, \Omega)$, indexed by ϵ , going to 0, which is not associated to any specific lengthscale or statistical property of the elastic medium. In other words, no special assumptions (like periodicity or stationarity) are placed on the sequence A_{ϵ} . For $f(x) \in L^2(\Omega)$, there exists a unique solution $u_{\epsilon} \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ of

$$-\operatorname{div} \left(A_{\epsilon}(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \right) = f(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$u_{\epsilon} = 0 \qquad \qquad \text{on } \partial \Omega.$$

Definition. The sequence $A_{\epsilon}(x) \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta, \Omega)$ is said to *H*-converge to a limit $A^*(x)$, as ϵ goes to 0, if, for any $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, the sequence u_{ϵ} sastisfies

$$\begin{cases} u_{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } H_0^1(\Omega) \\ A^{\epsilon} \nabla u_{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup A^* \nabla u \text{ weakly in } L^2(\Omega)^N, \end{cases}$$

where u is the solution of the homogenized equation associated to A^*

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div} \left(A^*(x) \nabla u \right) &= f(x) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{aligned}$$

Remark that, by definition, the homogenized tensor A^* is independent of the source term f. We shall see that it is also independent of the boundary condition and of the domain.

Theorem (compactness). For any sequence A_{ϵ} in $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta, \Omega)$, there exist a subsequence (still denoted by ϵ) and a homogenized limit A^* , belonging to $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta, \Omega)$, such that A_{ϵ} *H*-converges to A^* .

Proposition (locality of *H*-convergence). Let $A^{\epsilon}(x)$ and $B^{\epsilon}(x)$ be two sequences in $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta, \Omega)$, which *H*-converge to $A^*(x)$ and $B^*(x)$, respectively. Let ω be an open subset compactly embedded in Ω , i.e., $\overline{\omega} \subset \Omega$. If $A^{\epsilon}(x) = B^{\epsilon}(x)$ in ω , then $A^*(x) = B^*(x)$ in ω .

Proposition (energy convergence). Let $A^{\epsilon}(x)$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta, \Omega)$ that *H*-converges to $A^*(x)$. For any $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, the sequence u_{ϵ} satisfies

$$A^{\epsilon} \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla u_{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup A^* \nabla u \cdot \nabla u \text{ in } L^1(\Omega)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} A^{\epsilon} \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla u_{\epsilon} dx \to \int_{\Omega} A^{*} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u dx,$$

G-convergence

When restricted to symmetric matrices, H convergence simplifies and is called G-convergence.

Proposition (G-convergence). Let $A^{\epsilon}(x)$ be a sequence of symmetric matrices in $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta, \Omega)$ that *H*-converges to $A^*(x)$. Then A^* is symmetric too. Furthermore, *H*-convergence is equivalent to so-called *G*-convergence which is defined by: for any $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, $u_{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ where *u* is the solution of the homogenized equation.

Remark. The convergence of the flux $A^{\epsilon} \nabla u_{\epsilon}$ is not required in *G*-convergence and is rather an automatic property (due to the symmetry).

Bibliography. *H*-convergence was introduced by Murat and Tartar. *G*-convergence was older and due to De Giorgi and Spagnolo.

-VI- BOUNDARY LAYERS

$$u_{\epsilon}(x) \approx u(x) + \epsilon u_1\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)$$

but u_1 does not satisfy the Dirichlet boundary condition.

We correct it by introducing a boundary layer solution of

$$\begin{pmatrix}
-\operatorname{div}\left(A\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)\nabla u_{\epsilon}^{bl}\right) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\
u_{\epsilon}^{bl} = -u_{1}\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) & \text{on } \partial\Omega
\end{cases}$$

which cannot be computed explicitly except in special cases. In particular

$$\|u_{\epsilon}^{bl}\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\right)$$

Proposition. Assume that $u \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$. Then

$$\left\| u_{\epsilon}(x) - u(x) - \epsilon u_{1}\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) - \epsilon u_{\epsilon}^{bl}(x) \right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \le C\epsilon$$

INTRODUCTION TO PERIODIC HOMOGENIZATION THEORY

Consider a rectangular domain Ω . In such a case we can approximate the boundary layer as follows.

Introduce the semi-infinite band G (in the direction y_N)

Γ

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}_{y} \left(A(y) \nabla_{y} w_{i}^{bl}(y) \right) = 0 & \text{in } G \\ w_{i}^{bl} = -w_{i} & \text{on } \Gamma \\ y' \to w_{i}^{bl}(y', y_{N}) & Y\text{-periodic} \end{cases}$$

Approximate the boundary layer (on just one side) by

$$u_{\epsilon}^{bl}(x) \approx \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N}(x) w_N^{bl}\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)$$

