ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE Applied Mathematics Master Program MAP 562 Optimal Design of Structures (G. Allaire) Answers to the written exam of March 4th, 2015.

## 1 Parametric optimization: 14 points

1. Writing  $v = \langle u'(h), k \rangle$ ,  $\Lambda = \langle \lambda'(h), k \rangle$  and differentiating problem (1) yields

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} \left(h\nabla v\right) - \operatorname{div} \left(k\nabla u\right) = \lambda \,\rho \,h \,v + \lambda \,\rho \,k \,u + \Lambda \,\rho \,h \,u & \text{in }\Omega, \\ v = 0 & \text{on }\partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Differentiating the normalization condition  $\int_{\Omega} \rho h u^2 dx = 1$  we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \rho \, u(2hv + ku) \, dx = 0.$$

2. Multiplying the above problem for v by u and integrating by parts leads to

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( h \nabla v \cdot \nabla u + k \nabla u \cdot \nabla u \right) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \left( \lambda \, \rho \, h \, v \, u + \lambda \, \rho \, k \, u^2 + \Lambda \, \rho \, h \, u^2 \right) dx.$$

From the equation for u we know that

$$\int_{\Omega} h \nabla v \cdot \nabla u \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \lambda \, \rho \, h \, v \, u \, dx.$$

Therefore, from the normalization  $\int_{\Omega} \rho h u^2 dx = 1$ , we deduce

$$\Lambda = \int_{\Omega} k \left( |\nabla u|^2 - \lambda \rho u^2 \right) \, dx.$$

In other words, we found  $\lambda'(h) = |\nabla u|^2 - \lambda \rho u^2$  which is a function in  $L^1(\Omega)$ .

3. From the previous question and since, by assumption,  $\nabla u \neq 0$  on  $\partial \Omega$ and u = 0 on  $\partial \Omega$ , we find  $\lambda'(h) > 0$  on  $\partial \Omega$  (and thus by continuity on a neighborhood of  $\partial \Omega$ ). Therefore, for an optimal thickness h, the lower constraint  $h \geq h_{min}$  must be saturated in this region, i.e.,  $h = h_{min}$ near  $\partial \Omega$ . On the contrary, at the point where u is maximum, we have  $\nabla u = 0$  and u > 0, thus  $\lambda'(h) < 0$ . It implies that, in the vicinity of the maximum of u, we must have  $h = h_{max}$ . 4. The objective function is

$$J(h) = \int_{\Omega} j\left(\frac{u(h)}{\|u(h)\|}\right) \, dx.$$

It is clear that, since j is even, i.e., j(-w)=j(w), we have for any  $t\neq 0$ 

$$j\left(\frac{tu(h)}{\|tu(h)\|}\right) = j\left(\frac{u(h)}{\|u(h)\|}\right),$$

so that the objective function is independent from the normalization of the eigenfunction.

For  $h \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}$ ,  $\hat{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\hat{u} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $\hat{p} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  we define the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}(h,\hat{\lambda},\hat{u},\hat{p}) = \int_{\Omega} j\left(\frac{\hat{u}}{\|\hat{u}\|}\right) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \left(h\nabla\hat{u}\cdot\nabla\hat{p} - \hat{\lambda}\rho h\hat{u}\hat{p}\right) \, dx.$$

5. For  $\hat{u} \in L^2(\Omega)$  we define

$$F(\hat{u}) = \int_{\Omega} j\left(\frac{\hat{u}}{\|\hat{u}\|}\right) \, dx$$

By the chain rule lemma, since the derivative of  $\|\hat{u}\|$  in the direction of  $\phi$  is  $\langle \hat{u}, \phi \rangle / \|\hat{u}\|$  with the notation  $\langle \hat{u}, \phi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \hat{u} \phi \, dx$ , we obtain

$$\langle F'(\hat{u}), \phi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} F'(\hat{u}) \, \phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} j'\left(\frac{\hat{u}}{\|\hat{u}\|}\right) \left(\frac{\phi}{\|\hat{u}\|} - \frac{\langle \hat{u}, \phi \rangle}{\|\hat{u}\|^3} \hat{u}\right) dx.$$

Clearly we find  $\langle F'(\hat{u}), \hat{u} \rangle = 0$ . Equivalently,

$$\int_{\Omega} F'(\hat{u}) \phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} j'\left(\frac{\hat{u}}{\|\hat{u}\|}\right) \frac{\phi}{\|\hat{u}\|} dx - \left(\int_{\Omega} j'\left(\frac{\hat{u}}{\|\hat{u}\|}\right) \frac{\hat{u}}{\|\hat{u}\|^3} dx\right) \int_{\Omega} \hat{u} \phi \, dx$$

which implies

$$F'(\hat{u}) = \frac{1}{\|\hat{u}\|} j'\left(\frac{\hat{u}}{\|\hat{u}\|}\right) - \left(\int_{\Omega} j'\left(\frac{\hat{u}}{\|\hat{u}\|}\right) \frac{\hat{u}}{\|\hat{u}\|^3} dx\right) \hat{u}.$$

6. The variational formulation of the adjoint equation is, by definition,

$$\langle \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\partial \hat{u}}(h,\lambda,u,p),\phi\rangle = 0 \quad \forall \,\phi \in H^1_0(\Omega).$$

We compute

$$\left\langle \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\partial \hat{u}}(h,\lambda,u,p),\phi\right\rangle = \int_{\Omega} F'(u)\,\phi\,dx + \int_{\Omega} \left(h\nabla\phi\cdot\nabla p - \lambda\rho h\phi p\right)\,dx.$$

7. By integration by parts we find that the adjoint p is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(h\nabla p\right) - \lambda \,\rho \,h \,p = -\frac{1}{\|u\|} j'\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|}\right) + \alpha \,u & \text{ in }\Omega,\\ p = 0 & \text{ on }\partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

with

$$\alpha = \left(\int_{\Omega} j'\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|}\right) \frac{u}{\|u\|^3} \, dx\right)$$

We check that the right hand side is orthogonal to u since

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{u}{\|u\|} j'\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|}\right) dx = \alpha \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx = \alpha \|u\|^2.$$

Clearly, if p is a solution, then p + Cu is another possible solution. To determine the value of the constant C we use

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\partial \hat{\lambda}}(h,\lambda,u,p) = -\int_{\Omega} \rho hup \, dx = 0$$

which implies that  $C = -\int_{\Omega} \rho hup \, dx$ .

8. The derivative satisfies

$$\langle J'(h), k \rangle = \langle \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial h}(h, \lambda, u, p), k \rangle$$

We compute

$$\langle \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial h}(h,\lambda,u,p),k \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \left(k \nabla u \cdot \nabla p - \lambda \rho k u p\right) dx,$$

which implies

$$J'(h) = \nabla u \cdot \nabla p - \lambda \rho u p.$$

## 2 Geometric optimization: 6 points

1. To define the Lagrangian we introduce two Lagrange multipliers  $q \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $\mu \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , which, together with  $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , are the arguments of  $\mathcal{L}$ 

$$\mathcal{L}(\Omega, v, q, \mu) = \int_{\Omega} j(v) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (\Delta v + f) q \, dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} (v - g) \mu \, ds.$$

2. To get the adjoint problem we differentiate the Lagrangian with respect to v and set this partial derivative equal to 0. Before that we perform two successive integration by parts

$$\mathcal{L}(\Omega, v, q, \mu) = \int_{\Omega} j(v) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (fq - \nabla q \cdot \nabla v) \, dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} q + (v - g) \mu \right) \, ds$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\Omega, v, q, \mu) = \int_{\Omega} j(v) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (fq + \Delta qv) \, dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} q - \frac{\partial q}{\partial n} v + (v - g) \mu \right) \, ds$$

For any  $\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  we have

$$\langle \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v}(\Omega, v, q, \mu), \phi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} j'(v)\phi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \Delta q\phi \, dx \qquad (1)$$
$$+ \int_{\partial \Omega} \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} q - \frac{\partial q}{\partial n} \phi + \phi \mu \right) \, ds$$

We first take a test function  $\phi$  with compact support in  $\Omega$ , so we deduce that the optimal value of q, the adjoint p, satisfies

$$-\Delta p = j'(u)$$
 in  $\Omega$ .

Then we take  $\phi = 0$  on  $\partial \Omega$  but with no restriction on the value of  $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n}$  on  $\partial \Omega$ , so that

$$p = 0$$
 on  $\partial \Omega$ .

This yields the adjoint problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta p = j'(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ p = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Eventually, varying the trace of  $\phi$  on  $\partial\Omega$  gives the optimal value of the Lagrange multiplier

$$\lambda = \frac{\partial p}{\partial n} \text{ on } \partial \Omega.$$

3. Formally we know that the shape derivative is given by

$$J'(\Omega)(\theta) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Omega}(\Omega, u, p)(\theta).$$

We compute the partial derivative

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Omega}(\Omega, v, q, \mu)(\theta) = \int_{\partial \Omega} \left( j(v) + fq - \nabla q \cdot \nabla v \right) \theta \cdot n \, ds + \int_{\partial \Omega} \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial n} + Hh \right) \theta \cdot n \, ds,$$

with  $h = \frac{\partial v}{\partial n}q + (v - g)\mu$ . Taking into account p = 0 and u = g on  $\partial\Omega$ , we deduce

$$J'(\Omega)(\theta) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left( j(u) - \nabla p \cdot \nabla u \right) \theta \cdot n \, ds + \int_{\partial\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \frac{\partial p}{\partial n} + \mu \frac{\partial (u-g)}{\partial n} \right) \theta \cdot n \, ds.$$

Since  $\lambda = \frac{\partial p}{\partial n}$  on  $\partial \Omega$  and  $\nabla_t p = 0$  on  $\partial \Omega$ , it leads to

$$J'(\Omega)(\theta) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left( j(u) + \frac{\partial p}{\partial n} \frac{\partial (u-g)}{\partial n} \right) \theta \cdot n \, ds.$$