Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms fo monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backwar Acceleration Continuous (convex) optimisation M2 - PSL / Dauphine / S.U.

Antonin Chambolle, CNRS, CEREMADE

Université Paris Dauphine PSL

Oct.-Dec. 2021

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のQ@

Lecture 4: Splitting algorithms, Acceleration, FISTA

# Contents

#### Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms fo monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backwar Acceleration

### 1 Algorithms for monotone operators

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のQ@

- Abstract problems
- Splitting methods

### 2 Descent algorithms

- Forward-Backward
- Acceleration

### Abstract methods for Monotone operators

Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms fo monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backwar Acceleration General problem:

### $0 \in Ax$ or $0 \in Ax + Bx$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

where A, B are maximal monotone operators (which may or may not be subgradients).

## Explicit methods

#### Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms fo monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backward Acceleration

### Generalization of gradient descent:

$$x^{k+1} = x^k - \tau p^k, p^k \in Ax^k.$$

Issue: Even if A is single-valued and Lipschitz continuous, then this might not work. Example:  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then,

$$x^k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\tau \\ \tau & 1 \end{pmatrix}^k x^0.$$

The eigenvalues of this matrix are  $1 + \pm \tau i$  with modulus  $\sqrt{1 + \tau^2}$  and the iteration always diverges (unless  $x^0 = 0$ ).

# Explicit methods

Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms for monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backwarc Acceleration So one needs a stronger condition on *A*. We recall that the gradient descent works for convex functions with Lipschitz gradient, and the proof relies on the co-coercivity.

### Theorem

Let A maximal monotone be  $\mu$ -co-coercive (in particular, single-valued):

$$\langle Ax - Ay, x - y \rangle \ge \mu |Ax - Ay|^2$$

Assume there exists a solution to Ax = 0. Then the iteration  $x^{k+1} = x^k - \tau Ax^k$  converges to  $x^*$  with  $Ax^* = 0$  if  $0 < \tau < 2\mu$ .

**Remark:** this is the same as  $\mu A$  firmly non-expansive.

Then, the proof relies on proving that  $I - \tau A$  is an averaged operator.

### Explicit methods

Proof:

Continuous (convex) optimisation

. Chambolle

Algorithms fo monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backward Acceleration

$$\begin{aligned} |(I-\tau A)x - (I-\tau A)y|^2 \\ &= |x-y|^2 - 2\tau \langle x-y, Ax - Ay \rangle + \tau^2 |Ax - Ay|^2 \\ &\leq |x-y|^2 - \tau (2\mu - \tau) |Ax - Ay|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that if  $0 \le \tau \le 2\mu$ ,  $I - \tau A$  is 1-Lipschitz (nonexpansive). Hence for  $\tau < 2\mu$ ,

$$I - \tau A = (1 - \frac{\tau}{2\mu})I + \frac{\tau}{2\mu}(I - (2\mu)A)$$

is averaged. By The K-M Theorem, the iterates weakly converge, as  $k \to \infty$ , to a fixed point of  $(I - \tau A)$  (if it exists). If  $\tau = 0$  this is not interesting, if  $0 < \tau < 2\mu$ , then it is a zero of A, which exists by assumption.

# Explicit method without co-coercivity?

Extragradient method (Korpelevich, 1976)

#### Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms for monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backward Acceleration In case B is just *L*-Lipschitz continuous, the following method was proposed in 1976 by G. M. Korpelevich:

 $\begin{cases} y^k = x^k - \tau B x^k \\ x^{k+1} = x^k - \tau B y^k \end{cases}$ 

#### Theorem

If  $\tau L < 1$ , then the algorithm generates sequences  $x^k$  and  $y^k$  which (weakly) converge to a solution of  $Bx \ni 0$ , if there exists one. In addition,  $|x^k - y^k| \to 0$ .

*Remark:* the original paper has an additional projection step (for a convex constraint), the proof is almost identical.

## Extragradient method

Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms fo monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backwar Acceleration *Proof:* For this algorithm we cannot use out of the box a previous theorem. We compute, for  $x^*$  with  $Bx^* \ni 0$ ,

$$|x^{k+1}-x^{*}|^{2} = |x^{k}-x^{*}|^{2} + 2\langle x^{k}-x^{*}, x^{k+1}-x^{k}\rangle + |x^{k+1}-x^{k}|^{2} = |x^{k}-x^{*}|^{2} - 2\tau \langle x^{k}-x^{*}, By^{k}\rangle + |x^{k+1}-x^{k}|^{2}.$$
  
We use then that  $\langle x^{k}-x^{*}, By^{k}\rangle = \langle x^{k}-y^{k}+y^{k}-x^{*}, By^{k}-Bx^{*}\rangle \ge \langle x^{k}-y^{k}, By^{k}\rangle$  and deduce:  
 $|x^{k+1}-x^{*}|^{2} \le |x^{k}-x^{*}|^{2} - 2\tau \langle x^{k}-y^{k}, By^{k}\rangle + |x^{k+1}-x^{k}|^{2} = |x^{k}-x^{*}|^{2} + 2\langle x^{k}-y^{k}, x^{k+1}-x^{k}\rangle + |x^{k+1}-x^{k}|^{2}.$   
It follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |x^{k+1} - x^*|^2 &\leq |x^k - x^*|^2 + |x^{k+1} - y^k|^2 - |x^k - y^k|^2 \\ &= |x^k - x^*|^2 + |\tau B y^k - \tau B x^k|^2 - |x^k - y^k|^2 \leq |x^k - x^*|^2 - (1 - \tau^2 L^2)|y^k - x^k|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce, when  $\tau L < 1$ , that  $|x^k - x^*|$  is decreasing (Fejér-monotonicity of the sequence), that  $|x^k - y^k| \rightarrow 0$  (and therefore also  $|x^{k+1} - y^k|$  and  $|x^{k+1} - x^k|$ ) and can continue as in the proof of KM's theorem.

## Extragradient method

#### Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms for monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backwar Acceleration One also needs to check that a fixed point is a solution! A fixed point satisfies:  $y = x - \tau Bx$ ,  $x = x - \tau By$ . Hence one has  $y - x = \tau (By - Bx)$  so that  $|y - x| \le \tau L |y - x|$ . If  $\tau L < 1$  then y - x = 0 and Bx = 0.

Continuous (convex) optimisation

Chambolle

Algorithms fo monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backward Acceleration Now we consider the "implicit descent":

$$x^{k+1} \in x^k - \tau A x^{k+1}$$

This is precisely which is solved by

$$x^{k+1} = (I + \tau A)^{-1} x^k = J_{\tau A} x^k$$

which is well-posed for A is maximal monotone.

This iteration is known as the *proximal point algorithm*. It obviously converges to a fixed point as the operator is (1/2)-averaged (if the fixed point, that is a point with Ax = 0, exists).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Overrelaxation

#### Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms fo monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backwar Acceleration The reflexion  $R_{\tau A} = 2(I + \tau A)^{-1} - I$  is 1-Lipschitz and one can generalize as follows:

$$x^{k+1} = (1-\theta_k)x^k + \theta_k R_{\tau A} x^k = x^k + 2\theta_k \left( (I+\tau A)^{-1} x^k - x^k \right) = x^k - 2\theta_k \tau A_\tau x^k$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

for  $0 < \underline{\theta} \le \theta_k \le \overline{\theta} < 1$ . We still get convergence.

#### Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms for monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backward Acceleration

### Theorem (PPA Algorithm)

Let  $x^0 \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $\tau_k \geq \underline{\tau} > 0$ ,  $0 \leq \underline{\lambda} \leq \lambda_k \leq \overline{\lambda} \leq 2$ , and let

$$x^{k+1} = x^k + \lambda_k ((I + \tau_k A)^{-1} x^k - x^k).$$
(1)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

If there exists x with  $Ax \ge 0$ , then  $x^k$  weakly converges to a zero of A.

We could also consider (summable) errors. (See Bauschke-Combettes for variants, Eckstein-Bertsekas for a proof with errors.)

*Proof.* The proof follows the lines of the proof of the KM Theorem.

We observe that obviously,  $|x^{k+1} - x|^2 \le |x^k - x|^2$  for each  $k \ge 0$  and for each x with  $Ax \ge 0$ . But we can be more precise. One has:

Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms fo monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backwar Acceleration

$$\begin{aligned} |x^{k+1} - x|^2 &= |x^k - x|^2 + \lambda_k^2 |J_{\tau_k A} x^k - x^k|^2 + 2\lambda_k \left\langle x^k - x, J_{\tau_k A} x^k - x^k \right\rangle \\ &= |x^k - x|^2 + \lambda_k^2 |J_{\tau_k A} x^k - x^k|^2 \\ &+ \lambda_k \left( |J_{\tau_k A} x^k - x|^2 - |x^k - x|^2 - |J_{\tau_k A} x^k - x^k|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

As  $J_{\tau_k A}$  is firmly non-expansive:

$$|J_{\tau_k A} x^k - x|^2 + |(I - J_{\tau_k A}) x^k - (I - J_{\tau_k A}) x|^2 \le |x^k - x|^2$$

where in addition  $(I - J_{\tau_k A})x = 0$  so that  $|(I - J_{\tau_k A})x^k - (I - J_{\tau_k A})x|^2 = |x^k - J_{\tau_k A}x^k|^2$ . Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} |x^{k+1} - x|^2 &\leq |x^k - x|^2 + \lambda_k^2 |J_{\tau_k A} x^k - x^k|^2 - 2\lambda_k |J_{\tau_k A} x^k - x^k|^2 \\ &= |x^k - x|^2 - \lambda_k (2 - \lambda_k) |J_{\tau_k A} x^k - x^k|^2. \end{aligned}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms for monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backwar Acceleration Letting  $c = \underline{\lambda}(2 - \overline{\lambda}) > 0$ , we deduce that  $(x^k)_k$  is Fejér-monotone with respect to  $\{x : Ax \ni 0\}$  and that

$$c\sum_{k=0}^{n}|J_{\tau_kA}x^k-x^k|^2+|x^{n+1}-x|^2\leq |x^0-x|^2$$

for all  $n \ge 0$ , in particular  $|J_{\tau_k A} x^k - x^k| \to 0$  (as well as, by the scheme,  $x^{k+1} - x^k$ ). We would like to deduce convergence as in the proof of KM's Theorem. Yet, with varying  $\tau_k$ , it is not obvious that a limit point  $\bar{x}$  of a subsequence  $x^{k_l}$  is a fixed point (of what?). But one proves that  $Ax \ge 0$  using the maximal-monotonicity of A. If  $x' \ge \mathcal{X}$ ,  $y' \in Ax'$ , denoting  $e_k := J_{\tau_k A} x^k - x^k \to 0$  we have:

$$A(x^k + e^k) 
i - rac{e_k}{ au_k}$$

so that

$$\left\langle y'+rac{\mathbf{e}^k}{\tau_k},x'-x^k-\mathbf{e}^k
ight
angle \geq 0.$$

In the limit along the subsequence  $x^{k_l}$ , we find  $\langle y', x' - \bar{x} \rangle \ge 0$ , so that  $A\bar{x} \ge 0$ . The rest of the proof relies on Opial's lemma and is as in the proof of the KM Theorem.

# Splitting methods

Forward-Backward splitting

#### Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms for monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backward Acceleration We can now mix the implicit and explicit algorithms: Let A, B be maximal-monotone, with  $B \mu$ -co-coercive. We define the *forward-backward* splitting algorithm as:

$$x^{k+1} = (I + \tau A)^{-1} (I - \tau B) x^k$$

If  $0 < \tau < 2\mu$ , the algorithm is the composition of two averaged operator  $\rightarrow$  converges weakly to a fixed point if it exists:

 $(I + \tau A)^{-1}(I - \tau B)x = x \Leftrightarrow x - \tau Bx \in x + \tau Ax \Leftrightarrow Ax + Bx \ni 0.$ 

(As *B* is continuous, this is equivalent to  $(A + B)x \ni 0$ . Hence, if A + B has a zero, this algorithm converges to a zero of A + B.)

Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms for monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backward Acceleration Introduced under the following form in a paper of Lions and Mercier (79):

$$x^{k+1} = J_{\tau A} (2J_{\tau B} - I) x^k + (I - J_{\tau B}) x^k$$

#### Theorem

Let  $x^0 \in \mathcal{X}$ . Then  $x^k \rightarrow x$  such that  $w = J_{\tau B} x$  is a solution of  $Aw + Bw \ni 0$  (if it exists).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms for monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backward Acceleration Introduced under the following form in a paper of Lions and Mercier (79):

$$x^{k+1} = J_{ au A} (2J_{ au B} - I) x^k + (I - J_{ au B}) x^k$$

#### Theorem

Let  $x^0 \in \mathcal{X}$ . Then  $x^k \rightarrow x$  such that  $w = J_{\tau B} x$  is a solution of  $Aw + Bw \ni 0$  (if it exists).

To prove this, we express the iterations in terms of the reflexion opeators:

$$J_{\tau A} = \frac{1}{2}I + \frac{1}{2}R_{\tau A}, \quad J_{\tau B} = \frac{1}{2}I + \frac{1}{2}R_{\tau B}.$$

Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms fo monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backward Acceleration

### One has then

$$J_{\tau A}(2J_{\tau B} - I)x + (I - J_{\tau B})x = \left(\frac{I + R_{\tau A}}{2}(R_{\tau B}) + \frac{I - R_{\tau B}}{2}\right)(x)$$
$$= \frac{I + R_{\tau A} \circ R_{\tau B}}{2}(x)$$

It follows that the iterates are of an averaged operator (with 1/2). A fixed points satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= J_{\tau A} (2J_{\tau B} - I) x + (I - J_{\tau B}) x \Leftrightarrow w := J_{\tau B} x = J_{\tau A} (2w - x) \\ &\Leftrightarrow w + \tau A w \ni 2w - x \Leftrightarrow \tau A w \ni w - x \end{aligned}$$

Now since  $w + \tau Bw \ni x$ , this is  $\tau Aw + \tau Bw \ni 0$ , which shows the theorem.

and Peaceman-Rachford splitting

#### Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms for monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backward Acceleration *Remark:* In addition: one can consider an "over-relaxed" iteration with operator:

 $(1-\theta)I + \theta R_{\tau A} \circ R_{\tau B} = I + 2\theta (J_{\tau A}(2J_{\tau B} - I) - J_{\tau B}).$ 

for  $0 < \theta < 1$ . The case  $\theta = 1$  is called the "Peaceman-Rachford" splitting and converges under some conditions on *A*, *B*.

## Descent algorithms: Forward-backward descent

Continuous (convex) optimisation

Chambolle

Algorithms fo monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backward Acceleration In case  $A = \partial g$ ,  $B = \nabla f$ , g, f convex, lsc, f with *L*-Lipschitz gradient, the forward-backward splitting solves  $\partial g(x) + \nabla f(x) \ni 0$ : then x is a minimizer of the composite minimization problem:

 $\min_{x} F(x) := f(x) + g(x).$ 

We consider the operator:

 $\bar{x} \mapsto \hat{x} = T_{\tau}\bar{x} := \operatorname{prox}_{\tau g}(\bar{x} - \tau \nabla f(\bar{x})) = (I + \tau \partial g)^{-1}(\bar{x} - \tau \nabla f(\bar{x})).$ 

It corresponds to one explicit descent step for f followed by an implicit descent step for g. [Also "composite" gradient descent, where  $(T_{\tau}(x) - x)/\tau$  is the "composite" gradient of f + g, cf Nesterov, 2005]

### Forward-Backward descent with fixed step ("ISTA")

#### Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms fo monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backward Acceleration We choose  $x^0 \in \mathcal{X}$  and let  $x^{k+1} = T_{\tau}x^k$  for fixed k. Then we have seen that if  $\tau < 2/L$ , the methods converges to a fixed point of  $T_{\tau}$  which is a minimizer of F. In this case we can additionally show, at least for  $\tau \leq 1/L$ :

$$F(x^k) - F(x^*) \le \frac{1}{2\tau k} |x^* - x^0|^2$$

while in case f is  $\mu_f$  convex and/or g is  $\mu_g$  convex ( $\mu_f, \mu_g \ge 0, \mu_f + \mu_g > 0$ ) one shows:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

$$F(x^{k}) - F(x^{*}) + \frac{1 + \tau \mu_{g}}{2\tau} |x^{k} - x^{*}|^{2} \le \omega^{k} \frac{1 + \tau \mu_{g}}{2\tau} |x^{0} - x^{*}|^{2}$$

where  $\omega = (1 - \tau \mu_f) / (1 + \tau \mu_g) < 1$ .

## Proof: descent inequality

Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms for monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backward Acceleration

Let 
$$\hat{x} = T_{\tau} \bar{x}$$
: then for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ,

$$F(x) + (1 - \tau \mu_f) \frac{|x - \bar{x}|^2}{2\tau} \ge \frac{1 - \tau L}{\tau} \frac{|\hat{x} - \bar{x}|^2}{2} + F(\hat{x}) + (1 + \tau \mu_g) \frac{|x - \hat{x}|^2}{2\tau}.$$
  
In particular, if  $\tau L \le 1$ ,

$$F(x) + (1 - au \mu_f) rac{|x - ar{x}|^2}{2 au} \geq F(\hat{x}) + (1 + au \mu_g) rac{|x - \hat{x}|^2}{2 au}.$$

The proof relies on the fact that  $\hat{x}$  is obtained as a minimizer of

$$\min_{x} f(\bar{x}) + \langle \nabla f(\bar{x}), x - \bar{x} \rangle + g(x) + \frac{1}{2\tau} |x - \bar{x}|^2$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬる

which is  $(\mu_g + \frac{1}{\tau})$ -convex.

### Descent inequality

Proof: One has

Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms fo monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backward Acceleration 
$$\begin{split} f(\bar{x}) + \langle \nabla f(\bar{x}), x - \bar{x} \rangle + g(x) + \frac{1}{2\tau} |x - \bar{x}|^2 \\ \geq f(\bar{x}) + \langle \nabla f(\bar{x}), \hat{x} - \bar{x} \rangle + g(\hat{x}) + \frac{1}{2\tau} |\hat{x} - \bar{x}|^2 + (\mu_g + \frac{1}{\tau}) \frac{1}{2} |x - \hat{x}|^2 \end{split}$$

Now, on the one hand we have:

$$F(x) = f(x) + g(x) \ge f(\bar{x}) + \langle \nabla f(\bar{x}), x - \bar{x} \rangle + \frac{\mu_f}{2} |x - \hat{x}|^2 + g(x)$$

and on the other hand because  $\nabla f$  is *L*-Lipschitz we have

$$f(\bar{x}) + \langle \nabla f(\bar{x}), \hat{x} - \bar{x} \rangle + g(\hat{x}) \geq f(\hat{x}) - \frac{L}{2} |\hat{x} - \bar{x}|^2 + g(\hat{x}) = F(\hat{x}) - \frac{L}{2} |\hat{x} - \bar{x}|^2.$$

Combining these three inequalities we get the descent inequality:

$$F(x) + (1 - au\mu_f) rac{|x - ar{x}|^2}{2 au} \geq F(\hat{x}) + (1 + au\mu_g) rac{|x - \hat{x}|^2}{2 au}.$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

## Rates of convergence for the FB splitting

#### Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms fo monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backward Acceleration We consider the case  $\mu_f + \mu_g = 0$ . The descent rule with  $x = x^*$  shows that:

$$F(x^{k+1}) + \frac{1}{2\tau} |x^{k+1} - x^*|^2 \le F(x^*) + \frac{1}{2\tau} |x^k - x^*|^2$$

while for  $x = x^k$  we get:

$$F(x^{k+1}) + \frac{1}{2\tau} |x^{k+1} - x^k|^2 \le F(x^k)$$

We deduce that for  $N \geq 1$ ,

$$N(F(x^{N}) - F(x^{*})) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} F(x^{k+1}) - F(x^{*}) + \frac{1}{2\tau} |x^{N} - x^{*}|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2\tau} |x^{0} - x^{*}|^{2}.$$

# FISTA: acceleration for the FB splitting

Continuous (convex) optimisation

Chambolle

Algorithms fo monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backwar Acceleration Due in this form to Beck and Teboulle (2009), see also Nesterov (1983, 2004 "Introductory lectures...")

Algorithm: FISTA with fixed steps:

Choose  $x^0 = x^{-1} \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $t_0 \ge 0$ for all  $k \ge 0$  do

$$y^{k} = x^{k} + \beta_{k}(x^{k} - x^{k-1})$$
$$x^{k+1} = T_{\tau}y^{k} = \operatorname{prox}_{\tau g}(y^{k} - \tau \nabla f(y^{k}))$$

where

$$t_{k+1} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4t_k^2}}{2} \ge \frac{k+1}{2},$$
  
$$\beta_k = \frac{t_k - 1}{t_{k+1}},$$

### end for

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 二臣 - のへで

## FISTA: strongly convex case

#### Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms fo monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backwar Acceleration In case  $\mu = \mu_f + \mu_g > 0$  is known, then the previous method is not optimal. One should choose:

$$t_{k+1} = \frac{1 - q t_k^2 + \sqrt{(1 - q t_k^2)^2 + 4 t_k^2}}{2},$$
  
$$\beta_k = \frac{t_k - 1}{t_{k+1}} \frac{1 + \tau \mu_g - t_{k+1} \tau \mu}{1 - \tau \mu_f},$$

where  $q = \tau \mu / (1 + \tau \mu_g) < 1$ , or alternatively the fixed overrelaxation parameter:  $\beta = \frac{\sqrt{1 + \tau \mu_g} - \sqrt{\tau \mu}}{\sqrt{1 + \tau \mu_g} + \sqrt{\tau \mu}}.$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のQ@

# FISTA: rate

Theorem

Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms fo monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backwar Acceleration

$$\begin{split} & \text{If } \sqrt{q}t_0 \leq 1, \ t_0 \geq 0, \ \text{then the sequence } (x^k) \ \text{produced the algorithm satisfies} \\ & F(x^k) - F(x^*) \leq \min\left\{\frac{(1 - \sqrt{q})^k}{t_0^2}, \frac{4}{(k+1)^2}\right\} \left(t_0^2(F(x^0) - F(x^*)) + \frac{1 + \tau\mu_g}{2\tau}|x^0 - x^*|^2\right) \\ & \text{if } t_0 \geq 1, \ \text{and} \\ & F(x^k) - F(x^*) \leq \\ & \min\left\{(1 + \sqrt{q})(1 - \sqrt{q})^k, \frac{4}{(k+1)^2}\right\} \left(t_0^2(F(x^0) - F(x^*)) + \frac{1 + \tau\mu_g}{2\tau}|x^0 - x^*|^2\right) \\ & \text{if } t_0 \in [0, 1], \ \text{where } x^* \ \text{is a minimiser of } F. \end{split}$$

Common choices are  $t_0 = 0$ ,  $t_0 = 1$ . The rate is "optimal".

Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms fo monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backwar Acceleration Again we prove first  $\mu_f + \mu_g = 0$ . In that case, the algorithm has the form  $x^{k+1} = T_{\tau}y^k$  for some  $y^k$  which we will specify later. One has for all x:

$$F(x^{k+1}) + rac{|x - x^{k+1}|^2}{2\tau} \le F(x) + rac{|x - y^k|^2}{2\tau}$$

The idea is to choose x as a convex combination of a minimizer  $x^*$  [or any point] and the old point  $x^k$ , and use the convexity to deduce a "better" decrease. Here we choose (as it will make the computation much quicker)  $x = ((t-1)x^k + x^*)/t$ ,  $t \ge 1$ , and we find:

$$\begin{aligned} F(x^{k+1}) - F(x^*) + \frac{|(t-1)x^k + x^* - tx^{k+1}|^2}{2t^2\tau} &\leq F\left(\frac{(t-1)x^k + x^*}{t}\right) - F(x^*) + \frac{|(t-1)x^k + x^* - ty^k|^2}{2t^2\tau} \\ &\leq \frac{t-1}{t}(F(x^k) - F(x^*)) + \frac{|(t-1)x^k + x^* - ty^k|^2}{2t^2\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence multiplying by  $t^2$  and adding an index k + 1 to t:

$$\begin{aligned} t_{k+1}^2(F(x^{k+1})-F(x^*)) + \frac{|(t_{k+1}-1)x^k+x^*-t_{k+1}x^{k+1}|^2}{2\tau} \\ &\leq t_{k+1}(t_{k+1}-1)(F(x^k)-F(x^*)) + \frac{|(t_{k+1}-1)x^k+x^*-t_{k+1}y^k|^2}{2\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のQ@

Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms fo monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backwar Acceleration We see here that the factor in front of  $F(x^k)$  is strictly less than in front of  $F(x^{k+1})$ .

$$\begin{aligned} t_{k+1}^2(F(x^{k+1})-F(x^*)) + \frac{|(t_{k+1}-1)x^k+x^*-t_{k+1}x^{k+1}|^2}{2\tau} \\ &\leq t_{k+1}(t_{k+1}-1)(F(x^k)-F(x^*)) + \frac{|(t_{k+1}-1)x^k+x^*-t_{k+1}y^k|^2}{2\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

This iteration can be iterated if the sequences  $t_k$  and  $y_k$  satisfy:

$$t_{k+1}(t_{k+1}-1) = t_k^2 \qquad (\leq \text{ if } x^* \text{ is a minimizer})$$
  
$$(t_{k+1}-1)x^k + x^* - t_{k+1}y^k = (t_k-1)x^{k-1} + x^* - t_k x^k.$$

Then, indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t_{k+1}^2(F(x^{k+1})-F(x^*)) + \frac{|(t_{k+1}-1)x^k+x^*-t_{k+1}x^{k+1}|^2}{2\tau} \\ &\leq t_k^2(F(x^k)-F(x^*)) + \frac{|(t_k-1)x^{k-1}+x^*-t_kx^k|^2}{2\tau} \end{aligned}$$

and summing we obtain

$$t_{N}^{2}(F(x^{N}) - F(x^{*})) \leq t_{0}^{2}(F(x^{0}) - F(x^{*})) + \frac{|(t_{0} - 1)x^{-1} + x^{*} - t_{0}x^{0}|^{2}}{2\tau}$$

with by convention  $y^0 = x^0 = x^{-1}$ , and  $t_0$  does not need to be  $\geq 1$  (only  $t_1)_{2} \rightarrow (2) \rightarrow (2)$ 

Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms fo monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backwa Acceleration

#### To ensure:

$$t_{k+1}(t_{k+1}-1) = t_k^2$$
 ( $\leq$  if  $x^*$  is a minimizer

one can solve  $t_{k+1}^2 - t_{k+1} - t_k^2 = 0$  and take

$$t_{k+1} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4t_k^2}}{2}$$

(observe that if  $t_0 \ge 0$ ,  $t_1 \ge 1$ ), or one can also show that  $t_k = (k + a - 1)/a$ ,  $a \ge 2$ , satisfies  $t_{k+1} \ge 1$  and  $t_{k+1}^2 - t_{k+1} \le t_k^2$  for any  $k \ge 0$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のQ@

Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms fo monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backwa Acceleration

#### To ensure:

$$t_{k+1}(t_{k+1}-1) = t_k^2$$
 ( $\leq$  if  $x^*$  is a minimizer

one can solve  $t_{k+1}^2 - t_{k+1} - t_k^2 = 0$  and take

$$t_{k+1} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4t_k^2}}{2}$$

(observe that if  $t_0 \ge 0$ ,  $t_1 \ge 1$ ), or one can also show that  $t_k = (k + a - 1)/a$ ,  $a \ge 2$ , satisfies  $t_{k+1} \ge 1$  and  $t_{k+1}^2 - t_{k+1} \le t_k^2$  for any  $k \ge 0$ .

To ensure:  $(t_{k+1} - 1)x^k + x^* - t_{k+1}y^k = (t_k - 1)x^{k-1} + x^* - t_kx^k$  one has to take, simply,

$$y^{k} = x^{k} + \frac{t_{k} - 1}{t_{k+1}}(x^{k} - x^{k-1}).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のQ@

# FISTA: analysis

Continuous (convex) optimisation

A. Chambolle

Algorithms fo monotone operators Abstract problems Splitting methods

Descent algorithms Forward-Backwar Acceleration

### Observe that

$$\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4t_k^2}}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}+t_k$$

hence if  $t_1 = 1$ ,  $t_k \ge (k+1)/2$ . Then, the final bound shows, for  $t_0 = 0$  and  $\tau = 1/L$ :

$$F(x^N) - F(x^*) \le \frac{2L}{(k+1)^2} |x^0 - x^*|^2$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

which is "optimal".

1