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## Statistical machine learning and convex optimization

- Six classes (lecture notes and slides online), Gotomeeting/live

1. FB: Monday January $24,2 \mathrm{pm}$ to 5 pm
2. FB: Monday January 31, 2 pm to 5 pm
3. AD: Monday February 07, 2pm to 5pm
4. AD: Monday February 14, 2pm to 5 pm
5. AD: Monday February 21, 2pm to 5 pm
6. FB: Monday March 07, 2pm to 5pm

- Evaluation

1. Basic implementations (Matlab / Python / R)
2. Attending 4 out of 6 classes is mandatory
3. Short exam (Monday March 28, 2pm to $4 / 5 \mathrm{pm}$ )

- Register online (https://www.di.ens.fr/~fbach/orsay2022.html)
- Book in preparation: https://www.di.ens.fr/~fbach/ltfp_book.pdf


## "Big data" revolution? A new scientific context

- Data everywhere: size does not (always) matter
- Science and industry
- Size and variety
- Learning from examples
- $n$ observations in dimension $d$


## Search engines - Advertising



## Recherche

Environ 561000000 résultats ( 0,20 secondes)

| Web | Accueil - Fête de la science (site internet) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | www.fetedelascience.fr/ |  |
| Images | Fête de la science 2012, du 10 au 14 octobre. La science vient à votre rencontre ! |  |
| Maps | Manipulez, jouez, expérimentez, visitez des la | toires, dialoguez avec des ... |
|  | Les programmes régionaux | Fête de la science 2012 |
| Vidéos | ... imprimable. Quel que soit votre | Villages des sciences, opérations |
| Actualités | choix, toutes les animations ... | d'envergure, manifestations ... |
| Shopping | Déposer un projet ? Le mode ... | 20e édition en 2011 |
|  | Déposer un projet? Le mode d'emploi. | 20e édition en 2011. La Fête de la |
| Plus | Bienvenue aux futurs ... | science se déroule du 12 au 16 ... |
|  | Tout savoir sur la Fête de la ... | Les lauréats nationaux |

## Search engines - Advertising



## Advertising



## Marketing - Personalized recommendation



## Visual object recognition



## Bioinformatics



- Protein: Crucial elements of cell life
- Massive data: 2 millions for humans
- Complex data


## Context Machine learning for "big data"

- Large-scale machine learning: large $d$, large $n$
- $d$ : dimension of each observation (input)
- $n$ : number of observations
- Examples: computer vision, bioinformatics, advertising


## Context Machine learning for "big data"

- Large-scale machine learning: large $d$, large $n$
- $d$ : dimension of each observation (input)
- $n$ : number of observations
- Examples: computer vision, bioinformatics, advertising
- Ideal running-time complexity: $O(d n)$


## Context <br> Machine learning for "big data"

- Large-scale machine learning: large $d$, large $n$
- $d$ : dimension of each observation (input)
- $n$ : number of observations
- Examples: computer vision, bioinformatics, advertising
- Ideal running-time complexity: $O(d n)$
- Going back to simple methods
- Stochastic gradient methods (Robbins and Monro, 1951b)
- Mixing statistics and optimization


## Scaling to large problems "Retour aux sources"

- 1950's: Computers not powerful enough


IBM "1620", 1959<br>CPU frequency: 50 KHz<br>Price $>100000$ dollars

- 2010's: Data too massive


## Scaling to large problems "Retour aux sources"

- 1950's: Computers not powerful enough


IBM "1620", 1959<br>CPU frequency: 50 KHz<br>Price $>100000$ dollars

- 2010's: Data too massive
- Stochastic gradient methods (Robbins and Monro, 1951a)
- Going back to simple methods


## Outline - I

## 1. Introduction

- Large-scale machine learning and optimization
- Classes of functions (convex, smooth, etc.)
- Traditional statistical analysis through Rademacher complexity

2. Classical methods for convex optimization

- Smooth optimization (gradient descent, Newton method)
- Non-smooth optimization (subgradient descent)
- Proximal methods

3. Non-smooth stochastic approximation

- Stochastic (sub)gradient and averaging
- Non-asymptotic results and lower bounds
- Strongly convex vs. non-strongly convex


## Outline - II

## 4. Classical stochastic approximation

- Asymptotic analysis
- Robbins-Monro algorithm
- Polyak-Rupert averaging

5. Smooth stochastic approximation algorithms

- Non-asymptotic analysis for smooth functions
- Logistic regression
- Least-squares regression without decaying step-sizes

6. Finite data sets

- Gradient methods with exponential convergence rates
- Convex duality
- (Dual) stochastic coordinate descent - Frank-Wolfe


## Supervised machine learning

- Data: $n$ observations $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}, i=1, \ldots, n$, i.i.d.
- Prediction as a linear function $\theta^{\top} \Phi(x)$ of features $\Phi(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$
- (regularized) empirical risk minimization: find $\hat{\theta}$ solution of

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell\left(y_{i}, \theta^{\top} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)\right)+\mu \Omega(\theta) \\
& \text { convex data fitting term }+ \text { regularizer }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Usual losses

- Regression: $y \in \mathbb{R}$, prediction $\hat{y}=\theta^{\top} \Phi(x)$
- quadratic loss $\frac{1}{2}(y-\hat{y})^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(y-\theta^{\top} \Phi(x)\right)^{2}$


## Usual losses

- Regression: $y \in \mathbb{R}$, prediction $\hat{y}=\theta^{\top} \Phi(x)$
- quadratic loss $\frac{1}{2}(y-\hat{y})^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(y-\theta^{\top} \Phi(x)\right)^{2}$
- Classification : $y \in\{-1,1\}$, prediction $\hat{y}=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i g n }}\left(\theta^{\top} \Phi(x)\right)$
- loss of the form $\ell\left(y \theta^{\top} \Phi(x)\right)$
-"True" 0-1 loss: $\ell\left(y \theta^{\top} \Phi(x)\right)=1_{y} \theta^{\top} \Phi(x)<0$
- Usual convex losses:



## Main motivating examples

- Support vector machine (hinge loss): non-smooth

$$
\ell\left(Y, \theta^{\top} \Phi(X)\right)=\max \left\{1-Y \theta^{\top} \Phi(X), 0\right\}
$$

- Logistic regression: smooth

$$
\ell\left(Y, \theta^{\top} \Phi(X)\right)=\log \left(1+\exp \left(-Y \theta^{\top} \Phi(X)\right)\right)
$$

- Least-squares regression

$$
\ell\left(Y, \theta^{\top} \Phi(X)\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(Y-\theta^{\top} \Phi(X)\right)^{2}
$$

- Structured output regression
- See Tsochantaridis et al. (2005); Lacoste-Julien et al. (2013)


## Usual regularizers

- Main goal: avoid overfitting
- (squared) Euclidean norm: $\|\theta\|_{2}^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{d}\left|\theta_{j}\right|^{2}$
- Numerically well-behaved
- Representer theorem and kernel methods : $\theta=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)$
- See, e.g., Schölkopf and Smola (2001); Shawe-Taylor and Cristianini (2004)


## Usual regularizers

- Main goal: avoid overfitting
- (squared) Euclidean norm: $\|\theta\|_{2}^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{d}\left|\theta_{j}\right|^{2}$
- Numerically well-behaved
- Representer theorem and kernel methods : $\theta=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)$
- See, e.g., Schölkopf and Smola (2001); Shawe-Taylor and Cristianini (2004)
- Sparsity-inducing norms
- Main example: $\ell_{1}$-norm $\|\theta\|_{1}=\sum_{j=1}^{d}\left|\theta_{j}\right|$
- Perform model selection as well as regularization
- Non-smooth optimization and structured sparsity
- See, e.g., Bach, Jenatton, Mairal, and Obozinski (2012b,a)
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& \min _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell\left(y_{i}, \theta^{\top} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)\right)+\mu \Omega(\theta) \\
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& \text { convex data fitting term }+ \text { regularizer }
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- Empirical risk: $\hat{f}(\theta)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell\left(y_{i}, \theta^{\top} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)\right) \quad$ training cost
- Expected risk: $f(\theta)=\mathbb{E}_{(x, y)} \ell\left(y, \theta^{\top} \Phi(x)\right) \quad$ testing cost
- Two fundamental questions: (1) computing $\hat{\theta}$ and (2) analyzing $\hat{\theta}$
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## Supervised machine learning

- Data: $n$ observations $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}, i=1, \ldots, n$, i.i.d.
- Prediction as a linear function $\theta^{\top} \Phi(x)$ of features $\Phi(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$
- (regularized) empirical risk minimization: find $\hat{\theta}$ solution of

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell\left(y_{i}, \theta^{\top} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)\right) \text { such that } \Omega(\theta) \leqslant D \\
\text { convex data fitting term }+ \text { constraint }
\end{gathered}
$$

- Empirical risk: $\hat{f}(\theta)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell\left(y_{i}, \theta^{\top} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)\right) \quad$ training cost
- Expected risk: $f(\theta)=\mathbb{E}_{(x, y)} \ell\left(y, \theta^{\top} \Phi(x)\right) \quad$ testing cost
- Two fundamental questions: (1) computing $\hat{\theta}$ and (2) analyzing $\hat{\theta}$
- May be tackled simultaneously


## General assumptions

- Data: $n$ observations $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}, i=1, \ldots, n$, i.i.d.
- Bounded features $\Phi(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}:\|\Phi(x)\|_{2} \leqslant R$
- Empirical risk: $\hat{f}(\theta)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell\left(y_{i}, \theta^{\top} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)\right) \quad$ training cost
- Expected risk: $f(\theta)=\mathbb{E}_{(x, y)} \ell\left(y, \theta^{\top} \Phi(x)\right)$
testing cost
- Loss for a single observation: $f_{i}(\theta)=\ell\left(y_{i}, \theta^{\top} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)\right)$
$\Rightarrow \forall i, f(\theta)=\mathbb{E} f_{i}(\theta)$
- Properties of $f_{i}, f, \hat{f}$
- Convex on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$
- Additional regularity assumptions: Lipschitz-continuity, smoothness and strong convexity


## Convexity

- Global definitions



## Convexity

- Global definitions (full domain)

- Not assuming differentiability:
$\forall \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \alpha \in[0,1], \quad g\left(\alpha \theta_{1}+(1-\alpha) \theta_{2}\right) \leqslant \alpha g\left(\theta_{1}\right)+(1-\alpha) g\left(\theta_{2}\right)$


## Convexity

- Global definitions (full domain)

- Assuming differentiability:

$$
\forall \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \quad g\left(\theta_{1}\right) \geqslant g\left(\theta_{2}\right)+g^{\prime}\left(\theta_{2}\right)^{\top}\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)
$$

- Extensions to all functions with subgradients / subdifferential


## Subgradients and subdifferentials

- Given $g: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ convex

$-s \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is a subgradient of $g$ at $\theta$ if and only if

$$
\forall \theta^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, g\left(\theta^{\prime}\right) \geqslant g(\theta)+s^{\top}\left(\theta^{\prime}-\theta\right)
$$

- Subdifferential $\partial g(\theta)=$ set of all subgradients at $\theta$
- If $g$ is differentiable at $\theta$, then $\partial g(\theta)=\left\{g^{\prime}(\theta)\right\}$
- Example: absolute value
- The subdifferential is never empty! See Rockafellar (1997)


## Convexity

- Global definitions (full domain)

- Local definitions
- Twice differentiable functions
- $\forall \theta, g^{\prime \prime}(\theta) \succcurlyeq 0$ (positive semi-definite Hessians)
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- Twice differentiable functions
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- Why convexity?


## Why convexity?

- Local minimum $=$ global minimum
- Optimality condition (non-smooth): $0 \in \partial g(\theta)$
- Optimality condition (smooth): $g^{\prime}(\theta)=0$
- Convex duality
- See Boyd and Vandenberghe (2003)
- Recognizing convex problems
- See Boyd and Vandenberghe (2003)


## Lipschitz continuity

- Bounded gradients of $g$ ( $\Leftrightarrow$ Lipschitz-continuity): the function $g$ if convex, differentiable and has (sub)gradients uniformly bounded by $B$ on the ball of center 0 and radius $D$ :

$$
\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d},\|\theta\|_{2} \leqslant D \Rightarrow\left\|g^{\prime}(\theta)\right\|_{2} \leqslant B
$$

$$
\Leftrightarrow
$$

$$
\forall \theta, \theta^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{d},\|\theta\|_{2},\left\|\theta^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leqslant D \Rightarrow\left|g(\theta)-g\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqslant B\left\|\theta-\theta^{\prime}\right\|_{2}
$$

- Machine learning
- with $g(\theta)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell\left(y_{i}, \theta^{\top} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)\right)$
- $G$-Lipschitz loss and $R$-bounded data: $B=G R$


## Smoothness and strong convexity

- A function $g: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $L$-smooth if and only if it is differentiable and its gradient is $L$-Lipschitz-continuous

$$
\forall \theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{d},\left\|g^{\prime}\left(\theta_{1}\right)-g^{\prime}\left(\theta_{2}\right)\right\|_{2} \leqslant L\left\|\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right\|_{2}
$$

- If $g$ is twice differentiable: $\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, g^{\prime \prime}(\theta) \preccurlyeq L \cdot I d$




## Smoothness and strong convexity

- A function $g: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $L$-smooth if and only if it is differentiable and its gradient is $L$-Lipschitz-continuous

$$
\forall \theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{d},\left\|g^{\prime}\left(\theta_{1}\right)-g^{\prime}\left(\theta_{2}\right)\right\|_{2} \leqslant L\left\|\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right\|_{2}
$$

- If $g$ is twice differentiable: $\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, g^{\prime \prime}(\theta) \preccurlyeq L \cdot I d$
- Machine learning
- with $g(\theta)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell\left(y_{i}, \theta^{\top} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)\right)$
- Hessian $\approx$ covariance matrix $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right) \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)^{\top}$
- $L_{\text {loss }}$-smooth loss and $R$-bounded data: $L=L_{\text {loss }} R^{2}$


## Smoothness and strong convexity

- A function $g: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\mu$-strongly convex if and only if

$$
\forall \theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, g\left(\theta_{1}\right) \geqslant g\left(\theta_{2}\right)+g^{\prime}\left(\theta_{2}\right)^{\top}\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)+\frac{\mu}{2}\left\|\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

- If $g$ is twice differentiable: $\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, g^{\prime \prime}(\theta) \succcurlyeq \mu \cdot \mathrm{Id}$


- If $g$ is convex, then $g+\frac{\mu}{2}\|\cdot\|_{2}^{2}$ is $\mu$-strongly convex


## Smoothness and strong convexity

- A function $g: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\mu$-strongly convex if and only if
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\forall \theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, g\left(\theta_{1}\right) \geqslant g\left(\theta_{2}\right)+g^{\prime}\left(\theta_{2}\right)^{\top}\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)+\frac{\mu}{2}\left\|\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2}
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- If $g$ is twice differentiable: $\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, g^{\prime \prime}(\theta) \succcurlyeq \mu \cdot \mathrm{Id}$

(large $\mu / L$ )

(small $\mu / L$ )


## Smoothness and strong convexity

- A function $g: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\mu$-strongly convex if and only if
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- If $g$ is twice differentiable: $\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, g^{\prime \prime}(\theta) \succcurlyeq \mu \cdot \mathrm{Id}$
- Machine learning
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- Data with invertible covariance matrix (low correlation/dimension)


## Smoothness and strong convexity

- A function $g: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\mu$-strongly convex if and only if

$$
\forall \theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, g\left(\theta_{1}\right) \geqslant g\left(\theta_{2}\right)+g^{\prime}\left(\theta_{2}\right)^{\top}\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)+\frac{\mu}{2}\left\|\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

- If $g$ is twice differentiable: $\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, g^{\prime \prime}(\theta) \succcurlyeq \mu \cdot \operatorname{Id}$
- Machine learning
- with $g(\theta)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell\left(y_{i}, \theta^{\top} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)\right)$
- Hessian $\approx$ covariance matrix $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right) \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)^{\top}$
- Data with invertible covariance matrix (low correlation/dimension)
- Adding regularization by $\frac{\mu}{2}\|\theta\|^{2}$
- creates additional bias unless $\mu$ is small


## Summary of smoothness/convexity assumptions

- Bounded gradients of $g$ (Lipschitz-continuity): the function $g$ if convex, differentiable and has (sub)gradients uniformly bounded by $B$ on the ball of center 0 and radius $D$ :

$$
\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d},\|\theta\|_{2} \leqslant D \Rightarrow\left\|g^{\prime}(\theta)\right\|_{2} \leqslant B
$$

- Smoothness of $g$ : the function $g$ is convex, differentiable with $L$-Lipschitz-continuous gradient $g^{\prime}$ (e.g., bounded Hessians):

$$
\forall \theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \quad\left\|g^{\prime}\left(\theta_{1}\right)-g^{\prime}\left(\theta_{2}\right)\right\|_{2} \leqslant L\left\|\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right\|_{2}
$$

- Strong convexity of $g$ : The function $g$ is strongly convex with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$, with convexity constant $\mu>0$ :

$$
\forall \theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, g\left(\theta_{1}\right) \geqslant g\left(\theta_{2}\right)+g^{\prime}\left(\theta_{2}\right)^{\top}\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)+\frac{\mu}{2}\left\|\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

## Analysis of empirical risk minimization

- Approximation and estimation errors: $\Theta=\left\{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \Omega(\theta) \leqslant D\right\}$

$$
f(\hat{\theta})-\min _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} f(\theta)=\left[f(\hat{\theta})-\min _{\theta \in \Theta} f(\theta)\right]+\left[\min _{\theta \in \Theta} f(\theta)-\min _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} f(\theta)\right]
$$

Estimation error Approximation error

- NB: may replace $\min _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} f(\theta)$ by best (non-linear) predictions


## Analysis of empirical risk minimization

- Approximation and estimation errors: $\Theta=\left\{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \Omega(\theta) \leqslant D\right\}$

$$
f(\hat{\theta})-\min _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} f(\theta)=\left[f(\hat{\theta})-\min _{\theta \in \Theta} f(\theta)\right]+\left[\min _{\theta \in \Theta} f(\theta)-\min _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} f(\theta)\right]
$$

## Estimation error Approximation error

1. Uniform deviation bounds, with $\hat{\theta} \in \arg \min _{\theta \in \Theta} \hat{f}(\theta)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\hat{\theta})-\min _{\theta \in \Theta} f(\theta) & =[f(\hat{\theta})-\hat{f}(\hat{\theta})]+\left[\hat{f}(\hat{\theta})-\hat{f}\left(\left(\theta_{*}\right)_{\Theta}\right)\right]+\left[\hat{f}\left(\left(\theta_{*}\right)_{\Theta}\right)-f\left(\left(\theta_{*}\right)_{\Theta}\right)\right] \\
& \leqslant \sup _{\theta \in \Theta} f(\theta)-\hat{f}(\theta)+\quad 0 \quad+\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} \hat{f}(\theta)-f(\theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Analysis of empirical risk minimization

- Approximation and estimation errors: $\Theta=\left\{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \Omega(\theta) \leqslant D\right\}$

$$
f(\hat{\theta})-\min _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} f(\theta)=\left[f(\hat{\theta})-\min _{\theta \in \Theta} f(\theta)\right]+\left[\min _{\theta \in \Theta} f(\theta)-\min _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} f(\theta)\right]
$$

Estimation error Approximation error

1. Uniform deviation bounds, with $\hat{\theta} \in \arg \min _{\theta \in \Theta} \hat{f}(\theta)$

$$
f(\hat{\theta})-\min _{\theta \in \Theta} f(\theta) \leqslant \sup _{\theta \in \Theta} f(\theta)-\hat{f}(\theta)+\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} \hat{f}(\theta)-f(\theta)
$$

- Typically slow rate $O(1 / \sqrt{n})$

2. More refined concentration results with faster rates $O(1 / n)$

## Analysis of empirical risk minimization

- Approximation and estimation errors: $\Theta=\left\{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \Omega(\theta) \leqslant D\right\}$

$$
f(\hat{\theta})-\min _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} f(\theta)=\left[f(\hat{\theta})-\min _{\theta \in \Theta} f(\theta)\right]+\left[\min _{\theta \in \Theta} f(\theta)-\min _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} f(\theta)\right]
$$

Estimation error Approximation error

1. Uniform deviation bounds, with $\hat{\theta} \in \arg \min _{\theta \in \Theta} \hat{f}(\theta)$

$$
f(\hat{\theta})-\min _{\theta \in \Theta} f(\theta) \leqslant 2 \cdot \sup _{\theta \in \Theta}|f(\theta)-\hat{f}(\theta)|
$$

- Typically slow rate $O(1 / \sqrt{n})$

2. More refined concentration results with faster rates $O(1 / n)$

## Motivation from least-squares

- For least-squares, we have $\ell\left(y, \theta^{\top} \Phi(x)\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(y-\theta^{\top} \Phi(x)\right)^{2}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}(\theta)-f(\theta)= & \frac{1}{2} \theta^{\top}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right) \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)^{\top}-\mathbb{E} \Phi(X) \Phi(X)^{\top}\right) \theta \\
& -\theta^{\top}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)-\mathbb{E} Y \Phi(X)\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{2}-\mathbb{E} Y^{2}\right), \\
\sup _{\|\theta\|_{2} \leqslant D}|f(\theta)-\hat{f}(\theta)| \leqslant & \frac{D^{2}}{2}\left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right) \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)^{\top}-\mathbb{E} \Phi(X) \Phi(X)^{\top}\right\|_{\mathrm{op}} \\
& +D\left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)-\mathbb{E} Y \Phi(X)\right\|_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{2}-\mathbb{E} Y^{2}\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

$\sup _{\|\theta\|_{2} \leqslant D}|f(\theta)-\hat{f}(\theta)| \leqslant O(1 / \sqrt{n})$ with high probability from 3 concentrations

## Slow rate for supervised learning

- Assumptions ( $f$ is the expected risk, $\hat{f}$ the empirical risk)
$-\Omega(\theta)=\|\theta\|_{2}$ (Euclidean norm)
- "Linear" predictors: $\theta(x)=\theta^{\top} \Phi(x)$, with $\|\Phi(x)\|_{2} \leqslant R$ a.s.
- $G$-Lipschitz loss: $f$ and $\hat{f}$ are $G R$-Lipschitz on $\Theta=\left\{\|\theta\|_{2} \leqslant D\right\}$
- No assumptions regarding convexity


## Slow rate for supervised learning

- Assumptions ( $f$ is the expected risk, $\hat{f}$ the empirical risk)
$-\Omega(\theta)=\|\theta\|_{2}$ (Euclidean norm)
- "Linear" predictors: $\theta(x)=\theta^{\top} \Phi(x)$, with $\|\Phi(x)\|_{2} \leqslant R$ a.s.
- $G$-Lipschitz loss: $f$ and $\hat{f}$ are $G R$-Lipschitz on $\Theta=\left\{\|\theta\|_{2} \leqslant D\right\}$
- No assumptions regarding convexity
- With probability greater than $1-\delta$

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}|\hat{f}(\theta)-f(\theta)| \leqslant \frac{\ell_{0}+G R D}{\sqrt{n}}\left[2+\sqrt{2 \log \frac{2}{\delta}}\right]
$$

- Expectated estimation error: $\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}|\hat{f}(\theta)-f(\theta)|\right] \leqslant \frac{4 \ell_{0}+4 G R D}{\sqrt{n}}$
- Using Rademacher averages (see, e.g., Boucheron et al., 2005)
- Lipschitz functions $\Rightarrow$ slow rate


## Symmetrization with Rademacher variables

- Let $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}=\left\{x_{1}^{\prime}, y_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ an independent copy of the data $\mathcal{D}=\left\{x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{n}\right\}$, with corresponding loss functions $f_{i}^{\prime}(\theta)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} f(\theta)-\hat{f}(\theta)\right] & =\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}\left(f(\theta)-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(\theta)\right)\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\left.\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(f_{i}^{\prime}(\theta)-f_{i}(\theta) \mid \mathcal{D}\right) \right\rvert\,\right. \\
& \leqslant \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\left.\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(f_{i}^{\prime}(\theta)-f_{i}(\theta)\right) \right\rvert\, \mathcal{D}\right]\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(f_{i}^{\prime}(\theta)-f_{i}(\theta)\right)\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i}\left(f_{i}^{\prime}(\theta)-f_{i}(\theta)\right)\right] \text { with } \varepsilon_{i} \text { uniform in }\{-1,1\} \\
& \leqslant 2 \mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} f_{i}(\theta)\right]=\text { Rademacher complexity }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Rademacher complexity

- Rademacher complexity of the class of functions $(X, Y) \mapsto$ $\ell\left(Y, \theta^{\top} \Phi(X)\right)$

$$
R_{n}=\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} f_{i}(\theta)\right]
$$

- with $f_{i}(\theta)=\ell\left(x_{i}, \theta^{\top} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)\right),\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$, i.i.d
- NB 1: two expectations, with respect to $\mathcal{D}$ and with respect to $\varepsilon$
- "Empirical" Rademacher average $\hat{R}_{n}$ by conditioning on $\mathcal{D}$
- NB 2: sometimes defined as $\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} f_{i}(\theta)\right|$
- Main property:

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} f(\theta)-\hat{f}(\theta)\right] \text { and } \mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} \hat{f}(\theta)-f(\theta)\right] \leqslant 2 R_{n}
$$

## From Rademacher complexity to uniform bound

- Let $Z=\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}|f(\theta)-\hat{f}(\theta)|$
- By changing the pair $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right), Z$ may only change by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2}{n} \sup \left|\ell\left(Y, \theta^{\top} \Phi(X)\right)\right| \leqslant \frac{2}{n}(\sup |\ell(Y, 0)|+G R D) \leqslant \frac{2}{n}\left(\ell_{0}+G R D\right)=c \\
& \text { with } \sup |\ell(Y, 0)|=\ell_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

- MacDiarmid inequality: with probability greater than $1-\delta$,

$$
Z \leqslant \mathbb{E} Z+\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} c \cdot \sqrt{\log \frac{1}{\delta}} \leqslant 2 R_{n}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\ell_{0}+G R D\right) \sqrt{\log \frac{1}{\delta}}
$$

## Bounding the Rademacher average - I

- We have, with $\varphi_{i}(u)=\ell\left(y_{i}, u\right)-\ell\left(y_{i}, 0\right)$ is almost surely $G$-Lipschitz:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{R}_{n} & =\mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon}\left[\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} f_{i}(\theta)\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon}\left[\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} f_{i}(0)\right]+\mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon}\left[\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i}\left[f_{i}(\theta)-f_{i}(0)\right]\right] \\
& =0+\mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon}\left[\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i}\left[f_{i}(\theta)-f_{i}(0)\right]\right] \\
& =0+\mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon}\left[\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} \varphi_{i}\left(\theta^{\top} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

- Using Ledoux-Talagrand contraction results for Rademacher averages (since $\varphi_{i}$ is $G$-Lipschitz), we get (Meir and Zhang, 2003):

$$
\hat{R}_{n} \leqslant G \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon}\left[\sup _{\|\theta\|_{2} \leqslant D} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} \theta^{\top} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)\right]
$$

## Proof of Ledoux-Talagrand lemma (Meir and Zhang, 2003, Lemma 5)

- Given any $b, a_{i}: \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (no assumption) and $\varphi_{i}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ any 1-Lipschitz-functions, $i=1, \ldots, n$

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon}\left[\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} b(\theta)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} \varphi_{i}\left(a_{i}(\theta)\right)\right] \leqslant \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon}\left[\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} b(\theta)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i}(\theta)\right]
$$

- Proof by induction on $n$
$-n=0$ : trivial
- From $n$ to $n+1$ : see next slide

From $n$ to $n+1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n+1}}\left[\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} b(\theta)+\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \varepsilon_{i} \varphi_{i}\left(a_{i}(\theta)\right)\right] \\
= & \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}}\left[\sup _{\theta, \theta^{\prime} \in \Theta} \frac{b(\theta)+b\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)}{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} \frac{\varphi_{i}\left(a_{i}(\theta)\right)+\varphi_{i}\left(a_{i}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)\right)}{2}+\frac{\varphi_{n+1}\left(a_{n+1}(\theta)\right)-\varphi_{n+1}\left(a_{n+1}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)\right)}{2}\right. \\
= & \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}}\left[\sup _{\theta, \theta^{\prime} \in \Theta} \frac{b(\theta)+b\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)}{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} \frac{\varphi_{i}\left(a_{i}(\theta)\right)+\varphi_{i}\left(a_{i}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)\right)}{2}+\frac{\mid \varphi_{n+1}\left(a_{n+1}(\theta)\right)-\varphi_{n+1}\left(a_{n+1}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)\right)}{2}\right. \\
\leqslant & \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}}\left[\sup _{\theta, \theta^{\prime} \in \Theta} \frac{b(\theta)+b\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)}{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} \frac{\varphi_{i}\left(a_{i}(\theta)\right)+\varphi_{i}\left(a_{i}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)\right)}{2}+\frac{\left|a_{n+1}(\theta)-a_{n+1}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)\right|}{2}\right] \\
= & \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}} \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon_{n+1}}\left[\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} b(\theta)+\varepsilon_{n+1} a_{n+1}(\theta)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} \varphi_{i}\left(a_{i}(\theta)\right)\right] \\
\leqslant & \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}, \varepsilon_{n+1}}\left[\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} b(\theta)+\varepsilon_{n+1} a_{n+1}(\theta)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i}(\theta)\right] \text { by recursion }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bounding the Rademacher average - II

- We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{n} & \leqslant 2 G \mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{\|\theta\|_{2} \leqslant D} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} \theta^{\top} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)\right] \\
& =2 G \mathbb{E}\left\|D \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)\right\|_{2} \\
& \leqslant 2 G D \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}} \text { by Jensen's inequality } \\
& \leqslant \frac{2 G R D}{\sqrt{n}} \text { by using }\|\Phi(x)\|_{2} \leqslant R \text { and independence }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Overall, we get, with probability $1-\delta$ :

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}|f(\theta)-\hat{f}(\theta)| \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\ell_{0}+G R D\right)\left(4+\sqrt{2 \log \frac{1}{\delta}}\right)
$$

## Putting it all together

- We have, with probability $1-\delta$
- For exact minimizer $\hat{\theta} \in \arg \min _{\theta \in \Theta} \hat{f}(\theta)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\hat{\theta})-\min _{\theta \in \Theta} f(\theta) & \leqslant \sup _{\theta \in \Theta} \hat{f}(\theta)-f(\theta)+\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} f(\theta)-\hat{f}(\theta) \\
& \leqslant \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\ell_{0}+G R D\right)\left(4+\sqrt{2 \log \frac{1}{\delta}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- For inexact minimizer $\eta \in \Theta$

$$
f(\eta)-\min _{\theta \in \Theta} f(\theta) \leqslant 2 \cdot \sup _{\theta \in \Theta}|\hat{f}(\theta)-f(\theta)|+[\hat{f}(\eta)-\hat{f}(\hat{\theta})]
$$

- Only need to optimize with precision $\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\ell_{0}+G R D\right)$


## Putting it all together

- We have, with probability $1-\delta$
- For exact minimizer $\hat{\theta} \in \arg \min _{\theta \in \Theta} \hat{f}(\theta)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\hat{\theta})-\min _{\theta \in \Theta} f(\theta) & \leqslant 2 \cdot \sup _{\theta \in \Theta}|\hat{f}(\theta)-f(\theta)| \\
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- For inexact minimizer $\eta \in \Theta$

$$
f(\eta)-\min _{\theta \in \Theta} f(\theta) \leqslant 2 \cdot \sup _{\theta \in \Theta}|\hat{f}(\theta)-f(\theta)|+[\hat{f}(\eta)-\hat{f}(\hat{\theta})]
$$

- Only need to optimize with precision $\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\ell_{0}+G R D\right)$


## Slow rate for supervised learning (summary)

- Assumptions ( $f$ is the expected risk, $\hat{f}$ the empirical risk)
$-\Omega(\theta)=\|\theta\|_{2}$ (Euclidean norm)
- "Linear" predictors: $\theta(x)=\theta^{\top} \Phi(x)$, with $\|\Phi(x)\|_{2} \leqslant R$ a.s.
- $G$-Lipschitz loss: $f$ and $\hat{f}$ are $G R$-Lipschitz on $\Theta=\left\{\|\theta\|_{2} \leqslant D\right\}$
- No assumptions regarding convexity
- With probability greater than $1-\delta$

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}|\hat{f}(\theta)-f(\theta)| \leqslant \frac{\left(\ell_{0}+G R D\right)}{\sqrt{n}}\left[2+\sqrt{2 \log \frac{2}{\delta}}\right]
$$

- Expectated estimation error: $\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}|\hat{f}(\theta)-f(\theta)|\right] \leqslant \frac{4\left(\ell_{0}+G R D\right)}{\sqrt{n}}$
- Using Rademacher averages (see, e.g., Boucheron et al., 2005)
- Lipschitz functions $\Rightarrow$ slow rate


## Motivation from mean estimation

- Estimator $\hat{\theta}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}=\arg \min _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2 n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\theta-z_{i}\right)^{2}=\hat{f}(\theta)$
$-\theta_{*}=\mathbb{E} z=\arg \min _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}(\theta-z)^{2}=f(\theta)$
- From before (estimation error): $f(\hat{\theta})-f\left(\theta_{*}\right)=O(1 / \sqrt{n})$


## Motivation from mean estimation

- Estimator $\hat{\theta}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}=\arg \min _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2 n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\theta-z_{i}\right)^{2}=\hat{f}(\theta)$
$-\theta_{*}=\mathbb{E} z=\arg \min _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}(\theta-z)^{2}=f(\theta)$
- From before (estimation error): $f(\hat{\theta})-f\left(\theta_{*}\right)=O(1 / \sqrt{n})$
- Direct computation:
$-f(\theta)=\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}(\theta-z)^{2}=\frac{1}{2}(\theta-\mathbb{E} z)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{var}(z)$
- More refined/direct bound:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\hat{\theta})-f(\mathbb{E} z) & =\frac{1}{2}(\hat{\theta}-\mathbb{E} z)^{2} \\
\mathbb{E}[f(\hat{\theta})-f(\mathbb{E} z)] & =\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}-\mathbb{E} z\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2 n} \operatorname{var}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Bound only at $\hat{\theta}+$ strong convexity (instead of uniform bound)


## Fast rate for supervised learning

- Assumptions ( $f$ is the expected risk, $\hat{f}$ the empirical risk)
- Same as before (bounded features, Lipschitz loss)
- Regularized risks: $f^{\mu}(\theta)=f(\theta)+\frac{\mu}{2}\|\theta\|_{2}^{2}$ and $\hat{f}^{\mu}(\theta)=\hat{f}(\theta)+\frac{\mu}{2}\|\theta\|_{2}^{2}$
- Convexity
- For any $a>0$, with probability greater than $1-\delta$, for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$,

$$
f^{\mu}(\hat{\theta})-\min _{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} f^{\mu}(\eta) \leqslant \frac{8 G^{2} R^{2}\left(32+\log \frac{1}{\delta}\right)}{\mu n}
$$

- Results from Sridharan, Srebro, and Shalev-Shwartz (2008)
- see also Boucheron and Massart (2011) and references therein
- Strongly convex functions $\Rightarrow$ fast rate
- Warning: $\mu$ should decrease with $n$ to reduce approximation error

