

Authorized documents : lecture notes and personal notes of this course.

Arguments should be concise and stated carefully, without neglecting partial answers.

Problem 1 (Ensta and Master students) : Optimal fish harvesting

Consider the state equation

$$\dot{y}(t) = r(y(t)) - u(t), \quad t \in (0, T); \quad y(0) = y_0 > 0. \quad (1)$$

Here $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ is the number of fish, $u(t)$ is the harvesting rate, $y_0 > 0$ and $T > 0$ are given, $r : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of class C^1 , and satisfies $r(0) = 0$ and $r'(0) > 0$. The control constraint is, for some $u_M > 0$:

$$u(t) \in [0, u_M], \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in (0, T). \quad (2)$$

We minimize the opposite of total harvesting :

$$J(u, y) := - \int_0^T u(t) dt, \quad (3)$$

with final constraint, for some $y_T > 0$:

$$y(T) \geq y_T. \quad (4)$$

We will ignore the implicit state constraint $y(t) \geq 0$ (always satisfied in view of the state equation and final constraint).

- 1/ Give the expression of the pre-Hamiltonian and of the costate equation (including the final condition and the conditions on the multiplier). We denote the costate by p , and the multiplier associated with the initial and final constraint by Ψ_0 and Ψ_F , resp.

ANSWER: We have that $H = -\beta u + p(r(y) - u)$. The costate equation is therefore

$$-\dot{p}(t) = p(t)r'(y(t)) \text{ a.e.}; \quad p(T) = -\Psi_F; \quad \Psi_F \geq 0; \quad \Psi_F(y(T) - y_T) = 0. \quad (5)$$

- 2/ Show that the nontriviality condition (for the Pontryagin multiplier) is equivalent to

$$\beta + |\Psi_F| > 0. \quad (6)$$

ANSWER: The nontriviality condition is

$$\beta + |\Psi_0| + |\Psi_F| > 0. \quad (7)$$

It is enough to check that (7) implies (6), and for this to check that $\Psi_0 = 0$ if $\Psi_F = 0$. By the costate equation we then have $p(t) = 0$ for all t and in particular $0 = -p(0) = \Psi_0$.

3/ Compute the solution in the case when $\Psi_F = 0$.

ANSWER: If $\Psi_F = 0$, then $\beta = 1$ in view of (6), and (by the costate equation) $p(t) = 0$ for all t , so that $H_u(t) = -1$, so that $u(t) = u_M$ for all t . In that case, a maximal harvesting is compatible with the final state constraint.

4/ In the sequel we assume that $\Psi_F > 0$. Show that $p(t) < 0$, for all $t \in (0, T)$.

ANSWER: If $p(t_0) = 0$ for some $t_0 \in (0, T)$, then by the Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem, $p(t) = 0$ for all t , contradicting the condition $p(T) = -\Psi_F \neq 0$. So $p(t)$ remains negative for all t .

5/ Discuss the case when $\beta = 0$.

ANSWER: Then, in view of the previous question, $H_u(t) = -p(t)$ is always positive, so that $u(t) = 0$ for all t , meaning that the final state constraint implies to have a zero harvesting rate.

6/ Let $(t_1, t_2) \subset (0, T)$ be such that $r'(y(t)) > 0$ (resp. < 0), for all $t \in (t_1, t_2)$. Show that, over (t_1, t_2) , $p(t)$ is increasing (resp. decreasing) and that the control variable has value in $\{0, u_M\}$ with at most one switch (give the value of the control before and after the switch).

ANSWER: Since $p(t) < 0$ for all t , $\dot{p}(t) = -p(t)r'(y(t))$ has the same sign as $r'(y(t))$. The strict monotonicity of p follows. Therefore $H_u(t) = -1 - p(t)$ is also strictly monotonous. If $r'(y(t)) > 0$, $H_u(t)$ is decreasing so that the control switches from 0 to u_M . If $r'(y(t)) < 0$, $H_u(t)$ is increasing so that the control switches from u_M to 0.

7/ Can we compute the optimal control when $r(y) = r_1(y)$, where $r_1(y) := y/(1 + y)$.

ANSWER: Then we always have $r'(y(t)) > 0$. By the previous question, either the control has constant value 0 or u_M , or there exists $t_0 \in (0, T)$ such that $u(t) = 0$ for all $t < t_0$, and $u(t) = u_M$ for all $t > t_0$. The value of t_0 can be estimated by checking that $y(T) = y_T$.

8/ Assume that we have a singular arc, i.e., $H_u(t) = 0$ for all $t \in (t_1, t_2)$ with $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq T$. Show that over the singular arc, $p(t)$ has a constant value (to be determined) and that $r'(y(t)) = 0$.

ANSWER: An obvious consequence of the condition $-1 - p(t) = H_u(t) = 0$ is that $p(t) = -1$ over the singular arc. Consequently $0 = \dot{p}(t) = -p(t)r'(y(t))$. Since $p(t) < 0$ for all t , this implies $r'(y(t)) = 0$.

9/ In the next questions we assume that $r(y) = r_2(y)$, with $r_2(y) = y(2 - y)$. What is the value of the state and control over a singular arc? Is this compatible with the control constraint?

ANSWER: We have that $r'(y) = 2(1 - y)$ so that the state is equal to 1 over a singular arc. By the state equation, $u(t) = r(1) = 1$. So a singular arc cannot occur if $u_M < 1$.

10/ We assume that $y_0 \in (0, 1)$, $y_T \in (1, 2)$ and we look for a trajectory satisfying Pontryagin's principle, of the following form : there exists $0 < t_1 < t_2 < T$ such that $u(t) = 0$ if $t < t_1$, (t_1, t_2) is a singular arc, and $u(t) = 0$ if $t \in (t_2, T)$. Explain how to compute the state and costate and check all conditions in Pontryagin's principle.

ANSWER: Since the control is determined over each of the three arcs, we compute t_1 as the time for which the state has value 1 when integrating the state equation starting from $t = 0$. We proceed similarly for t_2 , integrating backwards with final condition $y(T) = y_T$. We need to check that $t_1 < t_2$.

Over the singular arc, $p(t) = -1$. Then we integrate p over (t_2, T) and $(0, t_1)$ (the latter backwards) with “initial” conditions $p(t_1) = p(t_2) = -1$.

We next discuss the Hamiltonian inequality. By construction, $H_u(t) = 0$ over the singular arc. Over $(0, t_1)$, $y(t) < 1$ so that $r'(y(t)) > 0$, and $p(t)$ is increasing, so $H_u(t)$ is decreasing (with zero value at time t_1), and has therefore positive values which is compatible with the zero value for the control. This compatibility also holds over (t_2, T) using similar arguments. Since $-\Psi_F = p(T) < 0$, all conditions of Pontryagin’s principle are satisfied.

Problem 2 (Master students only) : Optimal fish harvesting with state constraint

We consider the same problem with the additional state constraint

$$y(t) \leq y_M, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad (8)$$

and $y_0 < y_T < y_M$. We call “state constrained arc” an interval (t_1, t_2) with $0 < t_1 < t_2 < T$ such that $y(t) = y_M$, for all $t \in [t_1, t_2]$.

- 1/ Give the expression of the costate equation and of the Hamiltonian inequality.

ANSWER: *The costate equation is*

$$-dp(t) = p(t)r'(y(t)) + d\mu(t), \quad (9)$$

with the same initial and final conditions for p , and

$$d\mu(t) \geq 0, \quad y(t) \leq y_M, \quad \int_0^T (y(t) - y_M)d\mu(t) = 0. \quad (10)$$

The Hamiltonian inequality gives : if $-1 - p(t) > 0$, then $u(t) = 0$; if $-1 - p(t) < 0$, then $u(t) = u_M$.

- 2/ In the sequel we assume that $\beta = 1$. Give the value of $u(t)$ along a state constrained arc and show that if such an arc exists, then $r(y_M) \leq u_M$.

ANSWER: *Along a state constrained arc, we must have $0 = \dot{y}(t) = r(y_M) - u(t)$ so that $u(t) = r(y_M)$. Necessarily $u(t) \leq u_M$, whence the conclusion.*

- 3/ In the sequel we assume that $r(y_M) < u_M$. Give the value of $p(t)$ and $\dot{\mu}(t)$ along a state constrained arc and show that if such an arc exists, then $r'(y_M) \geq 0$.

ANSWER: *Over a state constrained arc we have that $u(t) \in]0, u_M[$ implying that $0 = H_u(t) = -1 - p(t)$. Therefore $p(t) = -1$, so that $0 = -dp(t) = -r'(y_M)dt + d\mu(t)$ and so, there exists $\dot{\mu}(t) = r'(y_M)$. Since μ is nondecreasing, the conclusion follows.*

- 4/ Find the sign of the jumps $[u(\tau)]$ (whenever they exist).

ANSWER: *We have that*

$$[H_u(\tau)] = -[p(\tau)] = [\mu(\tau)] \geq 0, \quad (11)$$

and by the Hamiltonian inequality, $[H_u(\tau)]$ and $[u(\tau)]$ have opposite sign. So, $[u(\tau)] \leq 0$.

- 5/ Show that either $\mu(t)$ or $u(t)$ is continuous at any time τ where $[u(\tau)]$ exists.

ANSWER: *Assume on the contrary that $\nu := [\mu(\tau)] > 0$, then $y(t)$ has a maximum at time τ , so that $[\dot{y}(\tau)] \leq 0$, implying $[u(\tau)] \geq 0$, and the opposite inequality is provided by the previous question.*