

Singular perturbation control problems: a BSDE approach

Joint work with [Francois Delarue](#) Université de Nice
and [Giuseppina Guatteri](#) Politecnico di Milano

Le Mans 8th of October 2015
Conference in honour of Vlad Bally

We consider a **two scale** system of controlled ∞ -dimensional SDEs:

$$dX_t^{u,v} = \left(AX_t^{u,v} + b(X_t^{u,v}, Q_t^{\epsilon,u,v}, u_t) \right) dt + dW^1(t), \quad X_0^{u,v} = x^0,$$

$$\epsilon dQ_t^{\epsilon,u,v} = \left(BQ_t^{\epsilon,u,v} + F(X_t^{u,v}, Q_t^{\epsilon,u,v}) + G\rho(v_t) \right) dt + \sqrt{\epsilon} G dW^2(t) \quad Q_0^\epsilon = q,$$

the **slow** variable X takes its values in the Hilbert space H

the **fast** variable Q^ϵ takes its values in the Hilbert space K ,

$\epsilon \in]0, 1]$ is a small parameter.

$A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ and $B : D(B) \subset K \rightarrow K$ are **unbounded** linear operators generating C_0 - semigroups.

$(W_t^i)_{t \geq 0}$, $i = 1, 2$, are **independent cylindrical** Wiener processes with values in H and K respectively, moreover $G \in \mathcal{L}(K)$

u and v are controls adapted to the filtration generated by (W^1, W^2) . They take values in suitable topological spaces U and V respectively.

$$dX_t^{u,v} = \left(AX_t^{u,v} + b(X_t^{u,v}, Q_t^{\epsilon,u,v}, u_t) \right) dt + dW^1(t), \quad X_0^{u,v} = x^0,$$

$$\epsilon dQ_t^{\epsilon,u,v} = \left(BQ_t^{\epsilon,u,v} + F(X_t^{u,v}, Q_t^{\epsilon,u,v}) + G\rho(v_t) \right) dt + \sqrt{\epsilon} G dW^2(t) \quad Q_0^\epsilon = q_0$$

- F and b Lipschitz and Gateaux differentiable w.r.t. X and Q
- b and ρ are bounded
- the semigroups generated by A and B are **Hilbert Schmidt** and

$$|e^{sA}|_{L_2(\Xi, H)} + |e^{sB}|_{L_2(\Xi, K)} \leq \frac{L}{(1 \wedge s)^\gamma}, \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1/2$$

- $B + F$ is **dissipative** with respect to Q e.g.

$$\langle (q - q'), B(q - q') + F(x, q - q') \rangle \leq -\eta |q - q'|^2$$

for a suitable $\eta > 0$ and all $x \in H, q, q' \in K$

$$dX_t^{u,v} = \left(AX_t^{u,v} + b(X_t^{u,v}, Q_t^{\epsilon,u,v}, u_t) \right) dt + dW^1(t), \quad X_0^{u,v} = x^0,$$

$$\epsilon dQ_t^{\epsilon,u,v} = \left(BQ_t^{\epsilon,u,v} + F(X_t^{u,v}, Q_t^{\epsilon,u,v}) + G\rho(v_t) \right) dt + \sqrt{\epsilon} G dW^2(t) \quad Q_0^\epsilon = q_0$$

We consider the following optimal control problem

$$J^\epsilon(u, v) = \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \left(l_1(X_t^{u,v}, Q_t^{\epsilon,u,v}, u_t) + l_2(X_t^{u,v}, Q_t^{\epsilon,u,v}, u_t) \right) dt$$

and the corresponding value function $V(\epsilon) = \inf_{u,v} J^\epsilon(u, v)$

Our purpose is to study the limit of $V(\epsilon)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Idea: if we **freeze** the slow evolution then the control problem for the quick one behaves like the optimal state of an **ergodic** control problem.

Thus **Ergodic BSDEs** must be involved here!

Ergodic BSDEs

Consider the following system in infinite horizon

$$\begin{cases} -d\check{Y}_s = [\Psi(U_s, \check{\Xi}_s) - \lambda] ds - \check{\Xi}_s dW_s, & s \geq 0 \\ dU_s = [LU_s + F(U_s)]ds + GdW_s & s \geq 0 \\ U_0 = u_0 \end{cases}$$

Assume that $L + F(\cdot)$ is **dissipative** and Ψ is Lipschitz w.r.t. $\check{\Xi}$ bounded w.r.t. U then the above system admits a unique solution $((U_t), (\check{Y}_t), (\check{\Xi}_t), \lambda)$ with

$$\check{Y}_s \leq C(1 + |U_s|)$$

where C can be chosen to depend only on the Lipschitz constant of Ψ and on the dissipativity constant of $L + F(\cdot)$.

Moreover λ is the value function of an ergodic control problem (both in Cesaro and in Abel sense)

see [M.Fuhrman, Y. Hu, G.T. 2009], [A. Debussche, Y. Hu 2011], [Y. Hu, P.Y. Madec, A. Richou 2013])

BSDE reformulation of the problem

Recall that we have

$$dX_t^{u,v} = \left(AX_t^{u,v} + b(X_t^{u,v}, Q_t^{\epsilon,u,v}, u_t) \right) dt + dW^1(t), \quad X_0^{u,v} = x^0,$$

$$\epsilon dQ_t^{\epsilon,u,v} = \left(BQ_t^{\epsilon,u,v} + F(X_t^{u,v}, Q_t^{\epsilon,u,v}) + G\rho(v_t) \right) dt + \sqrt{\epsilon} G dW^2(t), \quad Q_0^\epsilon = q,$$

thus if

$$\psi(x, q, p, \xi) = \inf_{u \in U} \{pb(x, q, u) + l_1(x, q, u)\} + \inf_{v \in V} \{l_2(x, q, v) + \xi\rho(v)\}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} dX_t = AX_t + dW_t^1, \\ \epsilon dQ_t^\epsilon = (BQ_t^\epsilon + F(X_t^\epsilon, Q_t^\epsilon)) dt + \epsilon^{1/2} G dW_t^2, \\ -dY_t^\epsilon = \psi(X_t^\epsilon, Q_t^\epsilon, Z_t^\epsilon, \Xi_t^\epsilon / \sqrt{\epsilon}) dt - Z_t^\epsilon dW_t^1 - \Xi_t^\epsilon dW_t^2, \\ X_0^\epsilon = x_0, \quad Q_0^\epsilon = q_0, \quad Y_1^\epsilon = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

then

$$V(\epsilon) = Y_0^\epsilon$$

Proof: Usual elimination of control by change of \mathbb{P} argument. Notice that the 'fast' controlled equation reads

$$dQ_t^{\epsilon,u,v} = \dots\dots\dots + \epsilon^{-1/2} G (\epsilon^{-1/2} \rho(v_t) + dW_t^2)$$

The parametrized ergodic BSDE

Fix $x \in H$ and $p \in H^*$ we consider the following version of the fast equation (notice that time has been stretched that is $\widehat{Q}_t = Q_{\epsilon t}$, $\widehat{W}_t^2 = e^{-1/2} W_{\epsilon t}^2$)

$$d\widehat{Q}_s^{x,q_0} = B\widehat{Q}_s^{x,q_0} + F(x, \widehat{Q}_s^{x,q_0}) ds + d\widehat{W}_s^2; \quad Q_0^{x,q_0} = q_0$$

Theorem 1 $\forall x \in H, p \in H^*$ (and $Q_0 \in K$), $\exists!$ solution

$$(Y^{x,q_0,p}, \Xi^{x,q,p}, \lambda^{x,p})$$

of the infinite horizon *ergodic BSDE*

$$-d\check{Y}_t^{x,q_0,p} = [\psi(x, \widehat{Q}_t^{x,q_0}, p, \check{\Xi}_t^{x,q_0,p}) - \lambda(x, p)] dt - \check{\Xi}_t^{x,q_0,p} dW_t^2, \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

Moreover

$$|\check{Y}_t^{x,q_0,p}| \leq c(1 + |\widehat{Q}_t^{x,q_0}|)$$

where $c > 0$ only depends on the Lipschitz constants of ψ with respect to Q and on the dissipativity constant of $B + F(x, \cdot)$.

The corresponding parametrized - ergodic Control Problem

$\lambda(x, p)$ is the **value function** of an ergodic control problem with **state equation**

$$d\hat{Q}_s = B\hat{Q}_s^v + F(x, \hat{Q}_s^v) ds + G\rho(v_s)ds + Gd\widehat{W}_s^2, \quad \hat{Q}_0^v = q_0$$

and **cost**

$$J(x, p, v) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \delta \int_0^\infty e^{-\delta s} \psi^1(x, Q_s^{x,v}, p) + l_2(x, Q_s^{x,v}, v_s)) ds$$

where we recall $\psi^1(x, q, p) = \inf_{u \in U} \{pb(x, Q_s^{x,v}, u) + l_1(x, q, u)\}$

This implies that λ is **Lipschitz** in p and x .

Limit equation and main result

We can now introduce the **limit forward-backward system**:

$$\begin{cases} d\bar{Y}_t &= -\lambda(X_t, \bar{Z}_t) dt + \bar{Z} dW_t^1, & t \in [0, 1), & \bar{Y}_1 = 0, \\ dX_t &= AX_t dt + dW_t^1, & X_0 = x_0 \end{cases}$$

Recall the f.b. system for the **original, two scales** control problem:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t &= AX_t + dW_t^1, & t \in [0, 1) \\ \epsilon dQ_t^\epsilon &= (BQ_t^\epsilon + F(X_t^\epsilon, Q_t^\epsilon)) dt + \sqrt{\epsilon} dW_t^2, & t \in [0, 1) \\ -dY_t^\epsilon &= \psi(X_t^\epsilon, Q_t^\epsilon, Z_t^\epsilon, \Xi_t^\epsilon / \sqrt{\epsilon}) dt - Z_t^\epsilon dW_t^1 - \Xi_t^\epsilon dW_t^2, & t \in [0, 1) \\ X_0^\epsilon &= x_0 & Q_0^\epsilon = q_0, & Y_1^\epsilon = 0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2 (Main result)

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} |Y_0^\epsilon - \bar{Y}_0| = 0$$

[Alvarez-Bardi 2001-2007] for the finite dimensional counterpart by viscosity solutions techniques.

Also see [Kabanov-Pergamenshchikov 2003],

Proof: a freezing/discretization argument

The idea is to **freeze** the **slow equation** to give time to the fast equation to behave as the optimal ergodic state. We start from

$$Y_0^\epsilon - \bar{Y}_0 = \int_0^1 (\psi(X_t, Q_t^\epsilon, Z_t^\epsilon, \Xi_t^\epsilon/\sqrt{\epsilon}) - \lambda(X_t, \bar{Z}_t)) dt + \int_0^1 (Z_t^\epsilon - \bar{Z}_t) dW_t^1 + \int_0^1 \Xi_t^\epsilon dW_t^2.$$

Adding and subtracting the term: $\int_0^1 (\psi(X_t, Q_t^\epsilon, \bar{Z}_t, \Xi_t^\epsilon/\sqrt{\epsilon}) dt$ that eventually will be easily treated by a change of probability we are left with

$$\int_0^1 (\psi(X_t, Q_t^\epsilon, \bar{Z}_t, \Xi_t^\epsilon/\sqrt{\epsilon}) - \lambda(X_t, \bar{Z}_t)) dt + \int_0^1 (Z_t^\epsilon - \bar{Z}_t) dW_t^1 + \int_0^1 \Xi_t^\epsilon dW_t^2.$$

Let $t_k = k2^{-N}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^N - 1$ and define for $t_k \leq t < t_{k+1}$:

$$X^N(t) = X(t_k), \quad Z^N(t) = 2^N \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \bar{Z}_s ds.$$

Fixed k we consider the system (with stretched time) for $t \geq t_k/\epsilon$:

$$-d\tilde{Y}_t^{N,k} = [\psi(\mathbf{X}_{t_k}, \hat{Q}_t^{N,k}, \mathbf{Z}_{t_k}^{N,k}, \hat{\Xi}_t^{N,k}) - \lambda(X_{t_k}, Z_{t_k}^{N,k})] dt - \hat{\Xi}_t^{N,k} d\widehat{W}_t^2,$$

$$d\hat{Q}_t^{N,k} = (B\hat{Q}_t^{N,k} + F(\mathbf{X}_{t_k}, \hat{Q}_t^{N,k})) dt + d\widehat{W}_t^2, \quad Q_{t_k/\epsilon}^{N,k} = Q_{t_k/\epsilon}^{N,k-1},$$

Recall that the above system admits a unique solution $(\hat{Y}_t^{N,k}, \hat{\Xi}_t^{N,k}, \lambda(X_{t_k}^N, Z_{t_k}^N))$ such that $|\hat{Y}_t^{N,k}| \leq c(1 + |\hat{Q}_t^{N,k}|)$

If we set $\widehat{Q}_t^N = \widehat{Q}_t^{N,k}$, $\widehat{\Xi}_t^N = \widehat{\Xi}_t^{N,k}$ for $t \in [t_k/\epsilon, t_{k+1}/\epsilon[$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \check{Y}_{t_{k+1}/\epsilon}^{N,k} - \check{Y}_{t_k/\epsilon}^{N,k} &= \int_{t_k/\epsilon}^{t_{k+1}/\epsilon} [\psi(X_{\epsilon t}^N, \widehat{Q}_t^N, Z_{\epsilon t}^N, \widehat{\Xi}_t^N) - \lambda(X_{\epsilon t}^N, Z_{\epsilon t}^N)] dt \\ &\quad + \int_{t_k/\epsilon}^{t_{k+1}/\epsilon} \widehat{\Xi}_t^N d\widehat{W}_t^2. \end{aligned}$$

therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^{2^N} \left[(\check{Y}_{t_k/\epsilon}^{N,k} - \check{Y}_{t_{k+1}/\epsilon}^{N,k}) + \int_{t_k/\epsilon}^{t_{k+1}/\epsilon} \widehat{\Xi}_t^N d\widehat{W}_t^2 \right] + \\ &- \int_0^{1/\epsilon} [\psi(X_{\epsilon t}^N, \widehat{Q}_t^N, Z_{\epsilon t}^N, \widehat{\Xi}_t^N) - \lambda(X_{\epsilon t}^N, Z_{\epsilon t}^N)] dt = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Recall that we had to estimate (after change of time, that is for:

$$\hat{Q}_t^\epsilon := Q_{\epsilon t}^\epsilon, \hat{\Xi}_t^\epsilon := \Xi_{\epsilon t}^\epsilon / \sqrt{\epsilon})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \epsilon \int_0^{1/\epsilon} (\psi(X_{\epsilon t}, \hat{Q}_t^\epsilon, \bar{Z}_{\epsilon t}, \hat{\Xi}_t^\epsilon) - \lambda(X_{\epsilon t}, \bar{Z}_{\epsilon t})) dt \\ & + \sqrt{\epsilon} \int_0^{1/\epsilon} (Z_{\epsilon t}^\epsilon - \bar{Z}_{\epsilon t}) d\widehat{W}_t^1 + \int_0^{1/\epsilon} \hat{\Xi}_t^\epsilon dW_t^2. \end{aligned}$$

Adding the term (in blue) that we have proved to be null we get

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0^\epsilon - \bar{Y}_0 &= \epsilon \int_0^{1/\epsilon} \mathcal{R}_t^{\epsilon, N} dt + \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^N (\check{Y}_{t_k/\epsilon}^{N, k} - \check{Y}_{t_{k+1}/\epsilon}^{N, k}) \\ & + \epsilon \int_0^{1/\epsilon} (\check{\Xi}_t^N - \hat{\Xi}_t^\epsilon) dW_t^2 + \epsilon^{1/2} \int_0^{1/\epsilon} (Z_{\epsilon t}^\epsilon - \bar{Z}_{\epsilon t}) dW_t^1 \\ & + \epsilon \int_0^{1/\epsilon} [\psi(X_{\epsilon t}^N, \hat{Q}_t^N, Z_{\epsilon t}^N, \check{\Xi}_t^N) - \psi(X_{\epsilon t}^N, \hat{Q}_t^N, Z_{\epsilon t}^N, \Xi_t^N)] dt \\ & + \epsilon \int_0^{1/\epsilon} [\psi(X_{\epsilon t}, \hat{Q}_t^\epsilon, Z_{\epsilon t}^\epsilon, \hat{\Xi}_t^\epsilon) - \psi(X_{\epsilon t}, \hat{Q}_t^\epsilon, \bar{Z}_{\epsilon t}, \hat{\Xi}_t^\epsilon)] dt \end{aligned}$$

where

$$|\mathcal{R}_t^{\epsilon, N}| \leq L(|X_{\epsilon t}^\epsilon - X_{\epsilon t}^N| + |\hat{Q}_t^\epsilon - \hat{Q}_t^N| + |\bar{Z}_{\epsilon t} - Z_{\epsilon t}^N|) \quad (1)$$

We get rid of some terms by Girsanov. Let:

$$\delta^1(t) = \frac{\psi(X_{\epsilon t}, \hat{Q}_t^\epsilon, Z_{\epsilon t}^\epsilon, \hat{\Xi}_t^\epsilon) - \psi(X_{\epsilon t}, \hat{Q}_t^\epsilon, \bar{Z}_{\epsilon t}, \hat{\Xi}_t^\epsilon)}{Z_{\epsilon t}^\epsilon - \bar{Z}_{\epsilon t}}$$

and

$$\delta^2(t) = \frac{\psi(X_{\epsilon t}^N, \hat{Q}_t^N, Z_{\epsilon t}^N, \hat{\Xi}_t^\epsilon) - \psi(X_{\epsilon t}^N, \hat{Q}_t^N, Z_{\epsilon t}^N, \hat{\Xi}_t^N)}{\hat{\Xi}_t^\epsilon - \hat{\Xi}_t^N}$$

We set for $s \in [0, 1]$:

$$\widetilde{W}_s^1 =: \int_0^s \delta^1(t/\epsilon) dt + W_s^1, \quad \widetilde{W}_s^2 =: \epsilon^{-1/2} \int_0^s \delta^2(t/\epsilon) dt + W_s^2$$

We denote by $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^\epsilon$ the expectation with respect to the probability \mathbb{Q}^ϵ under which $(\widetilde{W}_s^1, \widetilde{W}_s^2)$ is a brownian motion (notice that both δ^1 and δ^2 are bounded uniformly in ϵ and N).

Since the left hand side is deterministic, we have

$$Y_0^\epsilon - \bar{Y}_0 = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}^\epsilon \int_0^1 \mathcal{R}_t^{\epsilon, N} dt + \epsilon \tilde{\mathbb{E}}^\epsilon \sum_{k=1}^N (\check{Y}_{t_k/\epsilon}^{N, k} - \check{Y}_{t_{k+1}/\epsilon}^{N, k}) \quad (2)$$

We now have to estimate the expectation on the ‘error’ in the new probability

$$|\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^\epsilon \int_0^1 \mathcal{R}_{t/\epsilon}^{\epsilon, N} dt| \leq \tilde{\mathbb{E}}^\epsilon L \int_0^1 (|X_t - X_t^N| + |\hat{Q}_{t/\epsilon}^\epsilon - \hat{Q}_{t/\epsilon}^N| + |\bar{Z}_t - Z_t^N|) dt$$

Let us start from $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^\epsilon \int_0^1 |X_t - X_t^N| dt$

We notice that, with respect to \tilde{W}^1 , $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies

$$dX(t) = AX(t)dt - \delta^1(t)dt + d\tilde{W}^1(t), \quad X_0 = x^0$$

Again by Girsanov since $\delta^1 \psi^\epsilon$ is uniformly bounded

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^\epsilon \int_0^1 |X_t - X_t^N| dt \leq C_{\delta^1} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^1 |X_t - X_t^N|^2 dt \right]^{1/2} := C \Delta^X(N)$$

By the continuity of trajectories of $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and integrability of $\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |X_t|$ we get

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Delta^X(N) = 0 \tag{3}$$

Concerning the term

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^\epsilon \int_0^1 |\bar{Z}_t - Z_t^N| dt$$

by the same argument

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^\epsilon \int_0^1 |\bar{Z}_t - Z_t^N| dt \leq C_{\delta 1} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^1 |\bar{Z}_t - Z_t^N|^2 dt \right]^{1/2} := C_{\delta 1} \Delta^Z(N)$$

and $\Delta^Z(N) \rightarrow 0$ by construction of Z^N

Let us come to the term:

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^\epsilon \int_0^1 |Q_t^\epsilon - \hat{Q}_{t/\epsilon}^N| dt = \epsilon \tilde{\mathbb{E}}^\epsilon \int_0^{1/\epsilon} L |\hat{Q}_t^\epsilon - \hat{Q}_t^N| dt$$

With respect to $\widehat{W}_t^2 := \epsilon^{1/2} \widetilde{W}_{\epsilon t}^2$ the process $(\hat{Q}_t^\epsilon)_{t \in [0, 1/\epsilon]}$ solves

$$d\hat{Q}_t^\epsilon = (B\hat{Q}_t^\epsilon + F(X_{\epsilon t}, \hat{Q}_t^\epsilon)) dt + \delta^2(t) dt + d\widehat{W}_t^2, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \hat{Q}_0^\epsilon = q_0,$$

and \hat{Q}_t^N solves

$$d\hat{Q}_t^N = (B\hat{Q}_t^N + F(X_{\epsilon t}^N, \hat{Q}_t^N)) dt + \delta^2 dt + d\widehat{W}_t^2, \quad t \geq 0, \quad Q_0 = q_0,$$

thus $\hat{Q}_t^\epsilon - \hat{Q}_t^N$ is a the solution to:

$$d[\hat{Q}_t^\epsilon - \hat{Q}_t^N] = B(\hat{Q}_t^\epsilon - \hat{Q}_t^N) dt + F(X_{\epsilon t}, \hat{Q}_t^\epsilon) - F(X_{\epsilon t}^N, \hat{Q}_t^N) dt, \quad Q_0 = 0.$$

And since $B + F(x, \cdot)$ is dissipative we still can say that, \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$\epsilon \int_0^{1/\epsilon} |\hat{Q}_t^\epsilon - \hat{Q}_t^N| dt \leq \epsilon \int_0^{1/\epsilon} |X_{\epsilon t} - X_{\epsilon t}^N| dt = \int_0^1 |X_t - X_t^N| dt.$$

thus

$$\mathbb{E}^\epsilon \int_0^1 L |Q_{\epsilon t}^\epsilon - Q_{\epsilon t}^N| dt \leq C \Delta^X(N)$$

Now we come to the last term.

Recalling that

$$|\tilde{Y}_s^{N,k}| \leq c(1 + |\hat{Q}_s^N|) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathbb{E}}^\epsilon \sup_{s \geq 0} |\hat{Q}_s^N|^2 \leq \tilde{C}$$

we get

$$|\epsilon \tilde{\mathbb{E}}^\epsilon \sum_{k=1}^N (\hat{Y}_{t_k/\epsilon}^{N,k} - \hat{Y}_{t_{k+1}/\epsilon}^{N,k})| \leq \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^N \tilde{\mathbb{E}}^\epsilon (1 + |\hat{Q}_{t_k/\epsilon}^N| + |\hat{Q}_{t_{k+1}/\epsilon}^N|) \leq \epsilon N(1 + 2\tilde{C})$$

At last we sum up all results to get

$$\begin{aligned} |Y_0^\epsilon - \bar{Y}_0| &\leq \tilde{\mathbb{E}}^\epsilon \int_0^1 |\mathcal{R}_{t/\epsilon}^{\epsilon,N}| dt + \epsilon |\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^\epsilon \sum_{k=1}^N (\tilde{Y}_{t_k/\epsilon}^{N,k} - \tilde{Y}_{t_{k+1}/\epsilon}^{N,k})| \\ &\leq \Delta^X(N) + \Delta^Z(N) + \epsilon N(1 + 2\tilde{C}) \end{aligned}$$

So our claim follow letting ϵ tend to 0 and then N to ∞ .

Things to do

I) Allow degenerate noise in the slow equation.

In this case the state equation reads

$$dX_t^{u,v} = \left(AX_t^{u,v} + b(X_t^{u,v}, Q_t^{\epsilon,u,v}) \right) dt + \Gamma r(u_t) dt + \Gamma dW^1(t),$$

and after Girsanov transf. the slow equation still depends on Q

$$dX_t = (AX_t + b(X_t, Q_t)) dt + \Gamma dW^1(t),$$

We have to use averaging arguments similar to [Cerrai 99] or [Bréhier 2012] taking into account that the law of the solution to the **fast** equation will converge towards an **optimal** invariant measure.

II ?): Guess some information on the convergence of the optimal controls

Grazie

e buon compleanno!