Exercise: Pole Balancing

Advanced Control lecture at Ecole Centrale Paris

Anne Auger and Dimo Brockhoff firstname.lastname@inria.fr

Jan 11, 2013

#### Abstract

Balancing a pole on a moving cart is a standard benchmark problem of control engineering. A related control problem has to be solved within the Segway personal transporter. In this exercise, we implement the most basic pole balancing problem (one single pole mounted on a cart that is only able to move in one dimension where we abstract from friction).

Please keep your code for the next exercises!

### 1 Simulating the Pole Balancing Problem

Choose your favorite language (recommended: MATLAB/SciLab<sup>1</sup>) and implement the pole balancing problem from the lecture. To this end, use the simple Euler method to approximate the ODEs for angle and position accel-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Useful commands to look at: sign, fprintf, disp

erations, given by

$$\ddot{\theta}_t = \frac{g \sin \theta_t + \cos \theta_t \left[ \frac{-F_t - m_p l \dot{\theta}_t^2 \sin \theta_t}{m_c + m_p} \right]}{l \left[ \frac{4}{3} - \frac{m_p \cos^2 \theta_t}{m_c + m_p} \right]}$$

$$\ddot{x}_t = \frac{F_t + m_p l \left[ \dot{\theta}_t^2 \sin \theta_t - \ddot{\theta}_t \cos \theta_t \right]}{m_c + m_p},$$

the linear controller mentioned in the lecture, and the variables and parameters as described in Table 1.

### Recommended Procedure:

- a) Start with functions/methods for computing  $\ddot{\theta}$  and  $\ddot{x}$ .
- b) Continue with a function for the linear controller. The response  $F_t$  of the controller is based on the four constants  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$ ,  $k_3$ , and  $k_4$  (choose them from [0,1]) as well as on  $F_m = 100N$ :

$$F_t = F_m \operatorname{sgn}(k_1 x_t + k_2 \dot{x}_t + k_3 \theta_t + k_4 \dot{\theta}_t).$$

The function sgn(x) is the signum function, giving 1 if x > 1, 0 if x = 0, and -1 otherwise.

c) Combine all parts to a single script/function that simulates the system for 120s and a fixed parameter setting using the Euler method:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_{t+1} & = & x_t + \tau \dot{x}_t \\ \dot{x}_{t+1} & = & x_t + \tau \ddot{x}_t \\ \theta_{t+1} & = & \theta_t + \tau \dot{\theta}_t \\ \dot{\theta}_{t+1} & = & \dot{\theta}_t + \tau \ddot{\theta}_t \end{array}$$

- d) Output the number of iterations until your simulation results in an unstable pole ( $\theta$  not in [-12°, +12°]) to see whether a given parameter setting is producing a good controller.
- e) Test your controller by choosing 1000 different (randomly chosen<sup>2</sup>) settings for  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$ ,  $k_3$ , and  $k_4$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Look out for the command rand(n,1).

Table 1: System parameters and variable names for the pole balancing problem.

| lem.                 |                               |  |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Symbol               | Name                          | Description  |
| $\theta$             | Pole Angle                    | measured (in radians) relatively to the upright position, initial value in $[-0.1, +0.1]$    |
| $\dot{	heta}$        | Pole Velocity                 | angular velocity of the pole in rad/s  |
| $\ddot{	heta}$       | Pole Accelara-<br>tion        | acceleration of the pole in $rad/s^2$  |
| x                    | Card Position                 | measured relatively to the middle of the track (in m), initial value in $[-1, +1]$           |
| $\dot{x}$            | Card Velocity                 | velocity of the cart (in m/s)  |
| $\ddot{x}$           | Card Accelera-<br>tion        | acceleration of the cart (in m/s)  |
| g                    | Gravitational<br>Acceleration | acceleration due to gravity ( $g = 9.81 \text{ m/s}^2$ )                                     |
| $m_c$                | Cart Mass                     | 1.0 kg   |
| $m_p$                | Pole Mass                     | 0.1 kg   |
| l                    | Pole Length                   | distance from pivot to the pole's center of mass (l=0.5m)                                    |
| t                    | Time                          | measured in s  |
| $F_t$                | Force                         | force applied to the cart at time $t$ (in N, always $F_t \neq 0$ for a bang-bang controller) |
| h                    | Track Limit                   | $\pm 2.4$ m from track center  |
| r                    | Pole Failure Angle            | $\pm 12^{\circ}$ from vertical (12° $\approx 0.209$ rad)                                     |
| τ                    | Time Step                     | discrete integration time step for the simulation ( $\tau = 0.02s$ )                         |
| $F_m$                | Controller Constant           | constant of linear controller (set to $F_m = 100N$ )   |
| $k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4$ | Controller Constants          | further constants of controller (in $[0, 1]$ , to be optimized)                              |

# 2 Questions

- a) Is it easy to find parameter values that produce a stable controller?
- b) Are different starting conditions x and  $\theta$  of the system simulation equally difficult for the linear controller?
- c) What is the influence of the simulation accuracy  $\tau$ ?

# 3 Non-Mandatory Questions

If you have more time, are you able to answer the following two questions?

- a) Are the found good controllers also robust to changes in the cart and the pole mass?
- b) How would you find robust parameter values of a controller for (more or less) arbitrary starting conditions and masses?
- c) Is is easier to build a bang-bang controller or one that allows arbitrary (continuous) forces to be applied in each step?
- d) Is this also true if the starting position of the pole is exactly in the middle?