Introduction to Optimization Lecture 5: CMA-ES

October 12, 2018 TC2 - Optimisation Université Paris-Saclay



Dimo Brockhoff Inria Saclay – Ile-de-France

Course Overview

1	M_{00} 1702019	Monday's locture: introduction, example problems, problem types		
1	1011, 17.9.2010	Monday's recture. Introduction, example problems, problem types		
	Thu, 20.9.2018	groups defined via wiki		
		everybody went (actively!) through th github.com/numbbo/coco	e Getting Started part of	
2	Fri, 21.9.2018	lecture "Benchmarking", final adjustments of groups everybody can run and postprocess the example experiment (~1h for final questions/help during the lecture)		
3	Fri, 28.9.2018	lecture "Introduction to Continuous Optimization"		
4	Fri, 5.10.2018	lecture "Gradient-Based Algorithms" + DFO		
5	Fri, 12.10.2018	lecture "Stochastic Algorithms", in particular CMA-ES		
6	Fri, 19.10.2018	lecture "Discrete Optimization I: graphs, greedy algos, dyn. progr." deadline for submitting data sets		
	Wed, 24.10.2018	deadline for paper submission		
7	Fri, 26.10.2018	final lecture "Discrete Optimization II: dyn. progr., B&B, heuristics"		
	29.102.11.2018	vacation aka learning for the exams		
	Thu, 8.11.2018 / Fri, 9.11.2018	oral presentations (individual time slots)		
	Fri, 16.11.2018	written exam	All deadlines:	
			23:59pm Paris time	

Course Overview

1	Mon, 17.9.2018	Monday's lecture: introduction, example problems, problem types	
	Thu, 20.9.2018	groups defined via wiki	
		everybody went (actively!) through th github.com/numbbo/coco	e Getting Started part of
2	Fri, 21.9.2018	lecture "Benchmarking", final adjustments of groups everybody can run and postprocess the example experiment (~1h for final questions/help during the lecture)	
3	Fri, 28.9.2018	lecture "Introduction to Continuous Optimization"	
4	Fri, 5.10.2018	lecture "Gradient-Based Algorithms" + DFO	
5	Fri, 12.10.2018	lecture "Stochastic Algorithms", in particular CMA-ES	
6	Fri, 19.10.2018	lecture "Discrete Optimization I: graphs, greedy algos, dyn. progr." deadline for submitting data sets	
	Wed, 24.10.2018	deadline for paper submission	
7	Fri, 26.10.2018	final lecture "Discrete Optimization	Please put your
	29.102.11.2018	vacation aka learning for the exar availabilities into the wiki	
	Thu, 8.11.2018 / Fri, 9.11.2018	oral presentations (individual time slots)	
	Fri, 16.11.2018	written exam	All deadlines:
			23:59pm Paris time

Details on Continuous Optimization Lectures

Introduction to Continuous Optimization

- examples (from ML / black-box problems)
- typical difficulties in optimization

Mathematical Tools to Characterize Optima

- reminders about differentiability, gradient, Hessian matrix
- unconstraint optimization
 - first and second order conditions
 - convexity
- constraint optimization

Gradient-based Algorithms

- quasi-Newton method (BFGS)
- **DFO:** trust-region method (Nelder-Mead)

Learning in Optimization / Stochastic Optimization

- CMA-ES (adaptive algorithms / Information Geometry)
- PhD thesis possible on this topic

method strongly related to ML / new promising research area

interesting open questions

CMA-ES in a Nutshell

Evolution Strategies (ES)

A Search Template

The CMA-ES

Input: $m \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}_+$, λ Initialize: C = I, and $p_c = 0$, $p_{\sigma} = 0$, Set: $c_{\mathbf{c}} \approx 4/n$, $c_{\sigma} \approx 4/n$, $c_1 \approx 2/n^2$, $c_{\mu} \approx \mu_w/n^2$, $c_1 + c_{\mu} \leq 1$, $d_{\sigma} \approx 1 + \sqrt{\frac{\mu_w}{n}}$, and $w_{i=1...\lambda}$ such that $\mu_w = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} w_i^2} \approx 0.3 \lambda$

While not terminate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{i} &= \mathbf{m} + \sigma \mathbf{y}_{i}, \quad \mathbf{y}_{i} \sim \mathcal{N}_{i}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C}), \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, \lambda & \text{sampling} \\ \mathbf{m} \leftarrow \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} w_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i:\lambda} &= \mathbf{m} + \sigma \mathbf{y}_{w} \quad \text{where } \mathbf{y}_{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} w_{i} \mathbf{y}_{i:\lambda} & \text{update mean} \\ \mathbf{p}_{c} \leftarrow (1 - c_{c}) \mathbf{p}_{c} + \mathbf{1}_{\{||p_{\sigma}|| < 1.5\sqrt{n}\}} \sqrt{1 - (1 - c_{c})^{2}} \sqrt{\mu_{w}} \mathbf{y}_{w} & \text{cumulation for } \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{p}_{\sigma} \leftarrow (1 - c_{\sigma}) \mathbf{p}_{\sigma} + \sqrt{1 - (1 - c_{\sigma})^{2}} \sqrt{\mu_{w}} \mathbf{C}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{y}_{w} & \text{cumulation for } \sigma \\ \mathbf{C} \leftarrow (1 - c_{1} - c_{\mu}) \mathbf{C} + c_{1} \mathbf{p}_{c} \mathbf{p}_{c}^{\mathrm{T}} + c_{\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} w_{i} \mathbf{y}_{i} \cdots \mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{T}} & \text{update } \mathbf{C} \\ \sigma \leftarrow \sigma \times \exp\left(\frac{c_{\sigma}}{d_{\sigma}} \left(\frac{||p_{\sigma}||}{\mathbf{E}||\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{I})||} - 1\right)\right) & \text{Goal:} \\ \text{Understand the main principles} \\ \text{of this state-of-the-art algorithm} \end{aligned}$$

е

Copyright Notice

- Last slide was taken from http://www.cmap.polytechnique.fr/~nikolaus.hansen/co penhagen-cma-es.pdf (copyright by Nikolaus Hansen, one of the main inventors of the CMA-ES algorithms)
- In the following, I will borrow more slides from there and from http://www.cmap.polytechnique.fr/~dimo.brockhoff/opt imizationSaclay/2015/slides/20151106continuousoptIV.pdf (by Anne Auger)
- In the following and the online material in particular, I refer to these pdfs as [Hansen, p. X] and [Auger, p. Y] respectively.

Back to CMA-ES

The CMA-ES

Input: $m \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}_+$, λ Initialize: $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{I}$, and $p_{\mathbf{c}} = \mathbf{0}$, $p_{\sigma} = \mathbf{0}$, Set: $c_{\mathbf{c}} \approx 4/n$, $c_{\sigma} \approx 4/n$, $c_1 \approx 2/n^2$, $c_{\mu} \approx \mu_w/n^2$, $c_1 + c_{\mu} \leq 1$, $d_{\sigma} \approx 1 + \sqrt{\frac{\mu_w}{n}}$, and $w_{i=1...\lambda}$ such that $\mu_w = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} w_i^2} \approx 0.3 \lambda$

While not terminate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{i} &= \mathbf{m} + \sigma \mathbf{y}_{i}, \quad \mathbf{y}_{i} \sim \mathcal{N}_{i}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C}), \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, \lambda & \text{sampling} \\ \mathbf{m} \leftarrow \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} w_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i:\lambda} &= \mathbf{m} + \sigma \mathbf{y}_{w} \quad \text{where } \mathbf{y}_{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} w_{i} \mathbf{y}_{i:\lambda} & \text{update mean} \\ \mathbf{p}_{c} \leftarrow (1 - c_{c}) \mathbf{p}_{c} + \mathbf{1}_{\{||p_{\sigma}|| < 1.5\sqrt{n}\}} \sqrt{1 - (1 - c_{c})^{2}} \sqrt{\mu_{w}} \mathbf{y}_{w} & \text{cumulation for } \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{p}_{\sigma} \leftarrow (1 - c_{\sigma}) \mathbf{p}_{\sigma} + \sqrt{1 - (1 - c_{\sigma})^{2}} \sqrt{\mu_{w}} \mathbf{C}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{y}_{w} & \text{cumulation for } \sigma \\ \mathbf{C} \leftarrow (1 - c_{1} - c_{\mu}) \mathbf{C} + c_{1} \mathbf{p}_{c} \mathbf{p}_{c}^{\mathrm{T}} + c_{\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} w_{i} \mathbf{y}_{i} \cdots \mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{T}} & \text{update } \mathbf{C} \\ \sigma \leftarrow \sigma \times \exp\left(\frac{c_{\sigma}}{d_{\sigma}} \left(\frac{||p_{\sigma}||}{\mathbf{E}||\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{I})||} - 1\right)\right) & \text{Goal:} \\ \text{Understand the main principles} \\ \text{of this state-of-the-art algorithm} \end{aligned}$$

CMA-ES: Stochastic Search Template

A stochastic blackbox search template to minimize $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ Initialize distribution parameters θ , set population size $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ While happy do:

- Sample distribution $P(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- Evaluate x_1, \dots, x_{λ} on f
- Update parameters $\theta \leftarrow F_{\theta}(\theta, x_1, ..., x_{\lambda}, f(x_1), ..., f(x_{\lambda}))$

For CMA-ES and evolution strategies in general:

sample distributions = multivariate Gaussian distributions

Sampling New Candidate Solutions (Offspring)

Evolution Strategies

New search points are sampled normally distributed

 $\boldsymbol{x}_i \sim \boldsymbol{m} + \sigma \, \mathcal{N}_i(\boldsymbol{0}, \mathbf{C})$ for $i = 1, \dots, \lambda$



as perturbations of *m*, where $x_i, m \in \mathbb{R}^n, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

where

- the mean vector $m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ represents the favorite solution
- the so-called step-size $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}_+$ controls the step length
- the covariance matrix $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ determines the shape of the distribution ellipsoid

here, all new points are sampled with the same parameters

it remains to show how to adapt the parameters, but for now: normal distributions

Normal Distribution

1-D case



General case

• Normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{m}, \sigma^2)$

probability density of the 1-D standard normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ (expected (mean) value, variance) = (0,1)

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right)$$

(expected value, variance) = (\mathbf{m}, σ^2) density: $p_{\mathbf{m},\sigma}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mathbf{m})^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$

- A normal distribution is entirely determined by its mean value and variance
- The family of normal distributions is closed under linear transformations: if X is normally distributed then a linear transformation aX + b is also normally distributed
- Exercice: Show that $\boldsymbol{m} + \sigma \mathcal{N}(0, 1) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{m}, \sigma^2)$

∢∄⊁ ∢≣⊁

from [Auger, p. 11]

Normal Distribution

General case

A random variable following a 1-D normal distribution is determined by its mean value **m** and variance σ^2 .

In the *n*-dimensional case it is determined by its mean vector and covariance matrix

Covariance Matrix

If the entries in a vector $\boldsymbol{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)^T$ are random variables, each with finite variance, then the covariance matrix Σ is the matrix whose (i, j) entries are the covariance of (X_i, X_i)

$$\Sigma_{ij} = \operatorname{cov}(X_i, X_j) = \operatorname{E}\left[(X_i - \mu_i)(X_j - \mu_j)\right]$$

where $\mu_i = E(X_i)$. Considering the expectation of a matrix as the expectation of each entry, we have

$$\Sigma = \mathrm{E}[(X - \mu)(X - \mu)^{T}]$$

 Σ is symmetric, positive definite from [Auger, p. 12]

The Multi-Variate (n-Dimensional) Normal Distribution

Any multi-variate normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(m, \mathbb{C})$ is uniquely determined by its mean value $m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and its symmetric positive definite $n \times n$ covariance matrix \mathbb{C} .

density: $p_{\mathcal{N}(m,C)}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} |C|^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x-m)^{\mathrm{T}} C^{-1}(x-m)\right),$



The Multi-Variate (n-Dimensional) Normal Distribution

Any multi-variate normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(m, \mathbb{C})$ is uniquely determined by its mean value $m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and its symmetric positive definite $n \times n$ covariance matrix \mathbb{C} .

density: $p_{\mathcal{N}(m,C)}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} |C|^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x-m)^{\mathrm{T}} C^{-1}(x-m)\right),$

The mean value m

- determines the displacement (translation)
- value with the largest density (modal value)
- the distribution is symmetric about the distribution mean

$$\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{m}, \mathbf{C}) = \boldsymbol{m} + \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C})$$



from [Auger, p. 13]

The Multi-Variate (n-Dimensional) Normal Distribution

Any multi-variate normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(m, \mathbb{C})$ is uniquely determined by its mean value $m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and its symmetric positive definite $n \times n$ covariance matrix \mathbb{C} .

density: $p_{\mathcal{N}(m,C)}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} |C|^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x-m)^{\mathrm{T}} C^{-1}(x-m)\right),$

The mean value m

- determines the displacement (translation)
- value with the largest density (modal value)
- the distribution is symmetric about the distribution mean

$$\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{\mathsf{C}}) = \boldsymbol{m} + \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{\mathsf{C}})$$



The covariance matrix C

- determines the shape
- ▶ geometrical interpretation: any covariance matrix can be uniquely identified with the iso-density ellipsoid $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (x m)^T C^{-1} (x m) = 1\}$

(日)

14

from [Auger, p. 13]

Covariance Matrix: Lines of Equal Density

...any covariance matrix can be uniquely identified with the iso-density ellipsoid $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (x - m)^T C^{-1}(x - m) = 1\}$



 $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{m}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}) \sim \mathbf{m} + \sigma \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$ one degree of freedom σ components are independent standard normally distributed

where I is the identity matrix (isotropic case) and D is a diagonal matrix (reasonable for separable problems) and $\mathbf{A} \times \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}})$ holds for all A.

- 4 🗗)

from [Auger, p. 14]

Covariance Matrix: Lines of Equal Density

...any covariance matrix can be uniquely identified with the iso-density ellipsoid $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (x - m)^T C^{-1}(x - m) = 1\}$



 $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{m}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}) \sim \mathbf{m} + \sigma \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$ one degree of freedom σ components are independent standard normally distributed $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{D}^2) \sim \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{D} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$ *n* degrees of freedom components are independent, scaled

where I is the identity matrix (isotropic case) and D is a diagonal matrix (reasonable for separable problems) and $\mathbf{A} \times \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}})$ holds for all A.

< 口 > < 🗗 >

from [Auger, p. 14]

Covariance Matrix: Lines of Equal Density

... any covariance matrix can be uniquely identified with the iso-density ellipsoid $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (x - m)^T C^{-1}(x - m) = 1\}$



where I is the identity matrix (isotropic case) and D is a diagonal matrix (reasonable for separable problems) and $\mathbf{A} \times \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}})$ holds for all A.

< 🗗 >

from [Auger, p. 14]

Adaptation of Sample Distribution Parameters

Adaptation: What do we want to achieve?

New search points are sampled normally distributed

 $\mathbf{x}_i \sim \mathbf{m} + \sigma \mathcal{N}_i(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C})$ for $i = 1, \dots, \lambda$

where $\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

- the mean vector should represent the favorite solution
- the step-size controls the step-length and thus convergence rate

should allow to reach fastest convergence rate possible

• the covariance matrix $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ determines the shape of the distribution ellipsoid

adaptation should allow to learn the "topography" of the problem particulary important for ill-conditionned problems $\mathbf{C} \propto \mathbf{H}^{-1}$ on convex quadratic functions

from [Auger, p. 16]

Adaptation of the Mean

Plus and Comma Selection

Evolution Strategies (ES) The Normal Distribution

Evolution Strategies

Terminology

 μ : # of parents, λ : # of offspring

Plus (elitist) and comma (non-elitist) selection

 $(\mu + \lambda)$ -ES: selection in {parents} \cup {offspring} (μ, λ) -ES: selection in {offspring}

(1+1)-ES

Sample one offspring from parent m

$$\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{m} + \sigma \, \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{0}, \mathbf{C})$$

If x better than m select

 $m \leftarrow x$

• • • • • • • from [Hansen; p. 35]

Non-Elitism and Weighted Recombination

Evolution Strategies (ES) The Normal Distribution

The ($\mu/\mu,\lambda$)-ES

Non-elitist selection and intermediate (weighted) recombination Given the *i*-th solution point $x_i = m + \sigma \mathcal{N}_i(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C}) = m + \sigma y_i$

Let $x_{i:\lambda}$ the *i*-th ranked solution point, such that $f(x_{1:\lambda}) \leq \cdots \leq f(x_{\lambda:\lambda})$. The new mean reads

$$\boldsymbol{m} \leftarrow \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} w_i \boldsymbol{x}_{i:\lambda} = \boldsymbol{m} + \sigma \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} w_i \boldsymbol{y}_{i:\lambda}}_{=: y_w}$$

where

$$w_1 \ge \dots \ge w_\mu > 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^\mu w_i = 1, \quad \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^\mu w_i^2} =: \mu_w \approx \frac{\lambda}{4}$$

The best μ points are selected from the new solutions (non-elitistic) and weighted intermediate recombination is applied. from [Hansen].

Invariance Against Order-Preserving *f***-Transformations**



Three functions belonging to the same equivalence class

A *function-value free search algorithm* is invariant under the transformation with any order preserving (strictly increasing) g.

Invariances make

observations meaningful

as a rigorous notion of generalization

algorithms predictable and/or "robust"

from [Hansen, p. 37]

Invariance Against Translations in Search Space

Evolution Strategies (ES)

Invariance

Basic Invariance in Search Space

translation invariance

is true for most optimization algorithms



Identical behavior on f and f_a

$$f: \mathbf{x} \mapsto f(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \mathbf{x}^{(t=0)} = \mathbf{x}_0$$

$$f_{\mathbf{a}}: \mathbf{x} \mapsto f(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}), \quad \mathbf{x}^{(t=0)} = \mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{a}$$

No difference can be observed w.r.t. the argument of f

from [Hansen, p. 38]

Invariance Against Search Space Rotations

Evolution Strategies (ES) Invar

Invariance

Rotational Invariance in Search Space

• invariance to orthogonal (rigid) transformations **R**, where $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{I}$ e.g. true for simple evolution strategies

recombination operators might jeopardize rotational invariance



Identical behavior on f and $f_{\mathbf{R}}$

$$f: \mathbf{x} \mapsto f(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x}^{(t=0)} = \mathbf{x}_0$$

$$f_{\mathbf{R}}: \mathbf{x} \mapsto f(\mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x}^{(t=0)} = \mathbf{R}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_0)$$

45

<u>No difference can be observed w.r.t.</u> the argument of f

⁴Salomon 1996. "Reevaluating Genetic Algorithm Performance under Coordinate Rotation of Benchmark Functions; A survey of some theoretical and practical aspects of genetic algorithms." BioSystems, 39(3):263-278

Hansen 2000. Invariance, Self-Adaptation and Correlated Mutations in Evolution Strategies. Parallel Problem Solving from Nature PPSN VI

Invariance Against Rigid Search Space Transformations

Invariance



Evolution Strategies (ES)



for example, invariance under search space rotation (separable ⇔ non-separable)

© Dimo Brockhoff, Inria

TC2: Introduction to Optimization, U. Paris-Saclay, Oct. 12, 2018

2

from [Hansen, p. 40

Invariance Against Rigid Search Space Transformations



for example, invariance under search space rotation (separable \Leftrightarrow non-separable)

from [Hansen, p. 41]

Invariance Against Rigid Search Space Transformations



for example, invariance un (separable \Leftrightarrow non-separab

mainly Nelder-Mead and CMA-ES have this property

Invariances: Summary

Evolution Strategies (ES)

Invariance

Invariance

The grand aim of all science is to cover the greatest number of empirical facts by logical deduction from the smallest number of hypotheses or axioms. Albert Einstein

- Empirical performance results
 - from benchmark functions
 - from solved real world problems

are only useful if they do generalize to other problems

Invariance is a strong non-empirical statement about generalization generalizing (identical) performance from a single function to a whole class of functions

consequently, invariance is important for the evaluation of search algorithms

• • • • • from [Hansen; p: 43]

Step-Size Adaptation

Recap CMA-ES: What We Have So Far

Step-Size Control

Evolution Strategies

Recalling

New search points are sampled normally distributed

 $x_i \sim m + \sigma \mathcal{N}_i(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C})$ for $i = 1, \dots, \lambda$

as perturbations of m, where $x_i, m \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\mathbb{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

where

- the mean vector $m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ represents the favorite solution and $m \leftarrow \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} w_i x_{i:\lambda}$
- the so-called step-size $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}_+$ controls the step length
- the covariance matrix $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ determines the shape of the distribution ellipsoid

The remaining question is how to update σ and C.

32/81



A = > < from [Hansen, p. 45]
A = >

Why At All Step-Size Adaptation?

Why Step-Size Control?



Why Step-Size Adaptation?

Why Step-Size Control?



< (□) ▶

-

32

from [Auger, p. 22]

900

æ

Optimal Step-Size

Step-Size Control

Why Step-Size Control



33

Optimal Step-Size vs. Step-Size Control

Step-Size Control

Why Step-Size Control



with optimal versus adaptive step-size σ with too small initial σ

• • • • • • from [Hansen; •p: 48]

Optimal Step-Size vs. Step-Size Control

Step-Size Control

Why Step-Size Control

Why Step-Size Control? (5/5_w,10)-ES 10⁰ with optimal step-size with step-size control respective step-size 10⁻¹ $\|\boldsymbol{m} - \boldsymbol{x}^*\| = \sqrt{f(\boldsymbol{x})}$ 10⁻² $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2$ 10⁻³ for n = 10 and $x^0 \in [-0.2, 0.8]^n$ 10⁻⁴ 10⁻⁵ 200 600 1000 1200 0 400 800 function evaluations comparing number of f-evals to reach $||m|| = 10^{-5}$: $\frac{1100-100}{650} \approx 1.5$ • • • • • • from [Hansen; p: 49]

Adapting the Step-Size

Question:

How to actually adapt the step-size during the optimization?

Most common:

- 1/5 success rule
- Cumulative Step-Size Adaptation (CSA, as in standard CMA-ES)
- others possible (Two-Point Adaptation, self-adaptive step-size, ...)
One-fifth success rule







© Dimo Brockhoff, Inria

TC2: Introduction to Optimization, U. Paris-Saclay, Oct. 12, 2018

<□▶ <⊡▶

37

One-fifth success rule





Probability of success (p_s)

1/2

Probability of success (p_s)

"too small"

1/5

from [Auger, p. 33]

900

One-fifth success rule

 $\begin{array}{l} p_s: \ \# \ \text{of successful offspring} \ / \ \# \ \text{offspring} \ (\text{per generation}) \\ \sigma \leftarrow \sigma \times \exp\left(\frac{1}{3} \times \frac{p_s - p_{\text{target}}}{1 - p_{\text{target}}}\right) & \text{Increase} \ \sigma \ \text{if} \ p_s > p_{\text{target}} \\ \text{Decrease} \ \sigma \ \text{if} \ p_s < p_{\text{target}} \end{array}$

(1+1)-ES $p_{target} = 1/5$ IF offspring better parent $p_s = 1, \ \sigma \leftarrow \sigma \times \exp(1/3)$ ELSE $p_s = 0, \ \sigma \leftarrow \sigma / \exp(1/3)^{1/4}$

© Dimo Brockhoff, Inria

from [Auger, p. 34]

▶ ▲□ ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ▲ 三 ● ● ●

Why 1/5?

Asymptotic convergence rate and probability of success of scale-invariant step-size (1+1)-ES



sphere - asymptotic results, i.e. $n = \infty$ (see slides before)

1/5 trade-off of optimal probability of success on the sphere and from [Auger, p. 35]

Cumulative Step-Size Adaptation (CSA)

Path Length Control (CSA)

The Concept of Cumulative Step-Size Adaptation

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{x}_i &=& \mathbf{m} + \sigma \, \mathbf{y}_i \\ \mathbf{m} &\leftarrow& \mathbf{m} + \sigma \, \mathbf{y}_w \end{array}$

Measure the length of the evolution path

the pathway of the mean vector \boldsymbol{m} in the generation sequence



- 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト

from [Auger, p. 36]

900

34

Cumulative Step-Size Adaptation (CSA)

Path Length Control (CSA)

The Equations

Initialize $\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}_+$, evolution path $\boldsymbol{p}_{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{0}$, set $\boldsymbol{c}_{\sigma} \approx 4/n$, $\boldsymbol{d}_{\sigma} \approx 1$.

$$m \leftarrow m + \sigma \mathbf{y}_{w} \text{ where } \mathbf{y}_{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \mathbf{w}_{i} \mathbf{y}_{i:\lambda} \text{ update mean}$$

$$p_{\sigma} \leftarrow (1 - c_{\sigma}) \mathbf{p}_{\sigma} + \sqrt{1 - (1 - c_{\sigma})^{2}} \underbrace{\sqrt{\mu_{w}}}_{\text{accounts for } 1 - c_{\sigma}} \mathbf{y}_{w}$$

$$\sigma \leftarrow \sigma \times \exp\left(\frac{c_{\sigma}}{d_{\sigma}}\left(\frac{\|\mathbf{p}_{\sigma}\|}{\mathbb{E}\|\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{I})\|} - 1\right)\right) \text{ update step-size}$$

$$>1 \iff \|\mathbf{p}_{\sigma}\| \text{ is greater than its expectation}$$

from [Auger, p. 37]

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ ▲目 ● ●

Cumulative Step-Size Adaptation (CSA)

Step-size adaptation

What is achived



白

from [Auger, p. 38]

୬ଏ୯

Covariance Matrix Adaptation

Recap CMA-ES: What We Have So Far

Evolution Strategies

Recalling

New search points are sampled normally distributed

 $\mathbf{x}_i \sim \mathbf{m} + \sigma \, \mathcal{N}_i(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C}) \qquad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, \lambda$

as perturbations of *m*,

where
$$\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ \sigma \in \mathbb{R}_+, \mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$



where

- ▶ the mean vector $m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ represents the favorite solution
- the so-called step-size $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}_+$ controls the step length
- ▶ the covariance matrix $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ determines the shape of the distribution ellipsoid

The remaining question is how to update C.

from [Auger, p. 40]

Covariance Matrix Adaptation

Rank-One Update



initial distribution, $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{I}$

from [Auger, p. 41] < ≣ ▶

900

< - 17 ▶

Covariance Matrix Adaptation

Rank-One Update



initial distribution, $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{I}$

from [Auger, p. 41]

-77 ▶

Covariance Matrix Adaptation

Rank-One Update



 $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{w}}$, movement of the population mean \mathbf{m} (disregarding σ)

from [Auger, p. 41]

Covariance Matrix Adaptation

Rank-One Update



mixture of distribution **C** and step y_w , $\mathbf{C} \leftarrow 0.8 \times \mathbf{C} + 0.2 \times y_w y_w^{\mathrm{T}}$

from [Auger, p. 41]

Covariance Matrix Adaptation

Rank-One Update



new distribution (disregarding σ)

< 1 →

Covariance Matrix Adaptation

Rank-One Update



new distribution (disregarding σ)

from [Auger, p. 41]

< 1 →

Covariance Matrix Adaptation

Rank-One Update



movement of the population mean m

< 1 →

Covariance Matrix Adaptation

Rank-One Update



mixture of distribution C and step y_w , C $\leftarrow 0.8 \times C + 0.2 \times y_w y_w^T$

© Dimo Brockhoff, Inria

< A >

< E.

from [Auger, p. 41]

- (E) - (

Covariance Matrix Adaptation

Rank-One Update



new distribution,

 $\mathbf{C} \leftarrow 0.8 imes \mathbf{C} + 0.2 imes \mathbf{y}_w \mathbf{y}_w^{\mathrm{T}}$

the ruling principle: the adaptation increases the likelihood of successful steps, y_w , to appear again

< 17 ▶

< ⊒ >

from [Auger, p. 41]

(신문) 문

Covariance Matrix Adaptation

Rank-One Update

Initialize $m \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{I}$, set $\sigma = 1$, learning rate $c_{\text{cov}} \approx 2/n^2$ While not terminate

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{x}_{i} &= \mathbf{m} + \sigma \, \mathbf{y}_{i}, \qquad \mathbf{y}_{i} \sim \mathcal{N}_{i}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C}), \\ \mathbf{m} &\leftarrow \mathbf{m} + \sigma \, \mathbf{y}_{w} \qquad \text{where } \mathbf{y}_{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \mathbf{w}_{i} \, \mathbf{y}_{i:\lambda} \\ \mathbf{C} \leftarrow (1 - \mathbf{c}_{\text{cov}})\mathbf{C} + \mathbf{c}_{\text{cov}} \mu_{w} \underbrace{\mathbf{y}_{w} \mathbf{y}_{w}^{\text{T}}}_{\text{rank-one}} \quad \text{where } \mu_{w} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \mathbf{w}_{i}^{2}} \geq 1 \end{split}$$

from [Auger, p. 42]

(ロ) (中) (ヨ) (ヨ) (コ)

Rank-One Update: Summary

Covariance Matrix Adaptation (CMA) Covariance Matrix Rank-One Update

 $\mathbf{C} \leftarrow (1 - c_{\mathrm{cov}})\mathbf{C} + c_{\mathrm{cov}}\mu_{w}\mathbf{y}_{w}\mathbf{y}_{w}^{\mathrm{T}}$

covariance matrix adaptation

- learns all pairwise dependencies between variables off-diagonal entries in the covariance matrix reflect the dependencies
- conducts a principle component analysis (PCA) of steps y_w, sequentially in time and space

eigenvectors of the covariance matrix ${\bf C}$ are the principle components / the principle axes of the mutation ellipsoid

learns a new rotated problem representation

components are independent (only)

learns a new (Mahalanobis) metric

variable metric method

approximates the inverse Hessian on quadratic functions

transformation into the sphere function

• for $\mu = 1$: conducts a natural gradient ascent on the distribution \mathcal{N}

entirely independent of the given coordinate system

$$\square \rightarrow \square \rightarrow \square$$
 from [Hansen, p. 71]

Evolution Path

Cumulation

The Evolution Path

Evolution Path

Conceptually, the evolution path is the search path the strategy takes over a number of generation steps. It can be expressed as a sum of consecutive steps of the mean m.



An exponentially weighted sum of steps y_w is used

$$p_{c} \propto \sum_{i=0}^{g} (1-c_{c})^{g-i} y_{w}^{(i)}$$

exponentially fading weights

The recursive construction of the evolution path (cumulation):

$$\boldsymbol{p_{c}} \leftarrow \underbrace{(1-c_{c})}_{\text{decay factor}} \boldsymbol{p_{c}} + \underbrace{\sqrt{1-(1-c_{c})^{2}}\sqrt{\mu_{w}}}_{\text{normalization factor}} \underbrace{\boldsymbol{y}_{w}}_{\text{input}} = \frac{m-m_{old}}{\sigma}$$

where $\mu_w = \frac{1}{\sum w_i^2}$, $c_c \ll 1$. History information is accumulated in the evolution path. from [Auger, p. 44]

Utilizing the Evolution Path

Cumulation

Utilizing the Evolution Path

We used $y_w y_w^T$ for updating **C**. Because $y_w y_w^T = -y_w (-y_w)^T$ the sign of y_w is lost.





< 17 ▶

Utilizing the Evolution Path

Cumulation

Utilizing the Evolution Path

We used $y_w y_w^T$ for updating **C**. Because $y_w y_w^T = -y_w (-y_w)^T$ the sign of y_w is lost.



900

-77 ▶

Utilizing the Evolution Path

Cumulation

Utilizing the Evolution Path

We used $y_w y_w^T$ for updating **C**. Because $y_w y_w^T = -y_w (-y_w)^T$ the sign of y_w is lost.



The sign information is (re-)introduced by using the evolution path.

$$p_{c} \leftarrow \underbrace{(1-c_{c})}_{\text{decay factor}} p_{c} + \underbrace{\sqrt{1-(1-c_{c})^{2}}\sqrt{\mu_{w}}}_{\text{normalization factor}} y_{w}$$

$$C \leftarrow (1-c_{cov})C + c_{cov} \underbrace{p_{c} p_{c}}_{\text{rank-one}}^{T}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot c_{c} \ll 1.$$

where
$$\mu_w = \frac{1}{\sum w_i^2}$$
, $c_c \ll 1$.

from [Auger, p. 45]

200

Rank-µ Update

Rank- μ Update

The rank- μ update extends the update rule for large population sizes λ using $\mu > 1$ vectors to update **C** at each generation step.



< □ > < 同 >

Rank-µ Update

Rank- μ Update

The rank- μ update extends the update rule for large population sizes λ using $\mu > 1$ vectors to update C at each generation step. The matrix

$$\mathsf{C}_{\mu} = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} extsf{w}_{i} \, oldsymbol{y}_{i:\lambda} oldsymbol{y}_{i:\lambda}^{ extsf{T}}$$

computes a weighted mean of the outer products of the best μ steps and has rank min(μ , n) with probability one.

from [Auger, p. 47]

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

Rank-µ Update

Rank- μ Update

The rank- μ update extends the update rule for large population sizes λ using $\mu > 1$ vectors to update C at each generation step. The matrix

$$\mathsf{C}_{\mu} = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} extsf{w}_{i} \, oldsymbol{y}_{i:\lambda} oldsymbol{y}_{i:\lambda}^{ extsf{T}}$$

computes a weighted mean of the outer products of the best μ steps and has rank min(μ , n) with probability one. The rank- μ update then reads

$$\mathsf{C} \leftarrow (1 - c_{ ext{cov}}) \, \mathsf{C} + c_{ ext{cov}} \, \mathsf{C}_{\mu}$$

where $c_{\rm cov} \approx \mu_w / n^2$ and $c_{\rm cov} \leq 1$.

from [Auger, p. 47]

▲ 골 ▶ ▲ 골 ▶ ... 골 ...

Illustration of Rank-µ Update



 $\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{m} + \sigma \mathbf{y}_i, \quad \mathbf{y}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C})$

sampling of $\lambda = 150$ solutions where $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{I}$ and $\sigma = 1$

from [Auger, p. 48]

Illustration of Rank-µ Update



sampling of $\lambda = 150$ solutions where $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{I}$ and $\sigma = 1$ calculating C where $\mu = 50, w_1 = \cdots =$ $w_\mu = \frac{1}{\mu}$, and $c_{cov} = 1$

from [Auger, p. 48]

Illustration of Rank-µ Update



sampling of $\lambda = 150$ solutions where $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{I}$ and $\sigma = 1$ calculating C where $\mu = 50, w_1 = \cdots =$ $w_\mu = \frac{1}{\mu}$, and $c_{cov} = 1$ new distribution

from [Auger, p. 48]

Rank-µ Update: Summary

The rank- μ update

increases the possible learning rate for large populations

"large" when $\lambda \ge 3n + 10$

- is the primary mechanism whenever a large population size is used
- can be easily combined with rank-one update

CMA-ES in a Nutshell

Evolution Strategies (ES)

A Search Template

The CMA-ES

Input: $m \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}_+$, λ Initialize: $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{I}$, and $p_{\mathbf{c}} = \mathbf{0}$, Set: $c_{\mathbf{c}} \approx 4/n$, $c_{\sigma} \approx 4/n$, $c_1 \approx$ and $w_{i=1...\lambda}$ such that $\mu_w =$

While not terminate

Promised: Understand the main principles of this state-of-the-art algorithm.

$$\begin{split} & \boldsymbol{x}_{i} = \boldsymbol{m} + \sigma \, \boldsymbol{y}_{i}, \quad \boldsymbol{y}_{i} \sim \mathcal{N}_{i}(\boldsymbol{0}, \mathbf{C}), \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, \lambda \\ & \boldsymbol{m} \leftarrow \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} w_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{i:\lambda} = \boldsymbol{m} + \sigma \boldsymbol{y}_{w} \quad \text{where } \boldsymbol{y}_{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} w_{i} \boldsymbol{y}_{i:\lambda} \\ & \boldsymbol{p}_{c} \leftarrow (1 - c_{c}) \, \boldsymbol{p}_{c} + \mathbf{1}_{\{ \| \boldsymbol{p}_{\sigma} \| < 1.5\sqrt{n} \}} \sqrt{1 - (1 - c_{c})^{2}} \sqrt{\mu_{w}} \, \boldsymbol{y}_{w} \\ & \boldsymbol{p}_{\sigma} \leftarrow (1 - c_{\sigma}) \, \boldsymbol{p}_{\sigma} + \sqrt{1 - (1 - c_{\sigma})^{2}} \sqrt{\mu_{w}} \, \mathbf{C}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{y}_{w} \\ & \boldsymbol{C} \leftarrow (1 - c_{1} - c_{\mu}) \, \mathbf{C} + c_{1} \, \boldsymbol{p}_{c} \boldsymbol{p}_{c}^{\mathrm{T}} + c_{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} w_{i} \boldsymbol{y}_{i:\lambda} \boldsymbol{y}_{i:\lambda}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \leftarrow \sigma \times \exp\left(\frac{c_{\sigma}}{d_{\sigma}} \left(\frac{\| \boldsymbol{p}_{\sigma} \|}{\mathbf{E} \| \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{I}) \|} - 1\right)\right) \\ \end{split}$$

Not covered on this slide: termination, restarts, useful output, boundaries and encoding

くロン (行) (注) (注) (注)

୬ ଏ ୯ 16 / 81

 $\frac{l_w}{n}$,

CMA-ES in a Nutshell

Evolution Strategies (ES) A Se

A Search Template

The CMA-ES

Input: $\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}_+$, λ Initialize: $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{I}$, and $\boldsymbol{p_c} = \mathbf{0}$, $\boldsymbol{p_\sigma} = \mathbf{0}$, Set: $c_{\mathbf{c}} \approx 4/n$, $c_{\sigma} \approx 4/n$, $c_1 \approx 2/n^2$, $c_{\mu} \approx \mu_w/n^2$, $c_1 + c_{\mu} \leq 1$, $d_{\sigma} \approx 1 + \sqrt{\frac{\mu_w}{n}}$, and $w_{i=1...\lambda}$ such that $\mu_w = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} w_i^2} \approx 0.3 \lambda$

While not terminate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{i} &= \mathbf{m} + \sigma \, \mathbf{y}_{i}, \quad \mathbf{y}_{i} \sim \mathcal{N}_{i}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C}), \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, \lambda & \text{sampling} \\ \mathbf{m} \leftarrow \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} w_{i} \, \mathbf{x}_{i:\lambda} &= \mathbf{m} + \sigma \, \mathbf{y}_{w} & \text{where } \mathbf{y}_{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} w_{i} \, \mathbf{y}_{i:\lambda} & \text{update mean} \\ \mathbf{p}_{c} \leftarrow (1 - c_{c}) \, \mathbf{p}_{c} + \mathbf{1}_{\{ \| \mathbf{p}_{\sigma} \| < 1.5\sqrt{n} \}} \sqrt{1 - (1 - c_{c})^{2}} \sqrt{\mu_{w}} \, \mathbf{y}_{w} & \text{cumulation for } \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{p}_{\sigma} \leftarrow (1 - c_{\sigma}) \, \mathbf{p}_{\sigma} + \sqrt{1 - (1 - c_{\sigma})^{2}} \sqrt{\mu_{w}} \, \mathbf{C}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \, \mathbf{y}_{w} & \text{cumulation for } \sigma \\ \mathbf{C} \leftarrow (1 - c_{1} - c_{\mu}) \, \mathbf{C} + c_{1} \, \mathbf{p}_{c} \mathbf{p}_{c}^{\mathrm{T}} + c_{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} w_{i} \, \mathbf{y}_{i:\lambda} \mathbf{y}_{i:\lambda}^{\mathrm{T}} & \text{update } \mathbf{C} \\ \sigma \leftarrow \sigma \times \exp\left(\frac{c_{\sigma}}{d_{\sigma}} \left(\frac{\| \mathbf{p}_{\sigma} \|}{\mathbf{E} \| \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{I}) \|} - 1\right)\right) & \text{update of } \sigma \end{aligned}$$

Not covered on this slide: termination, restarts, useful output, boundaries and encoding

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 善臣 のへで

16/81

CMA-ES: Almost Parameterless

CMA-ES Summary

Strategy Internal Parameters

Strategy Internal Parameters

- related to selection and recombination
 - λ , offspring number, new solutions sampled, population size
 - μ , parent number, solutions involved in updates of *m*, C, and σ
 - $w_{i=1,...,\mu}$, recombination weights
- related to C-update
 - c_c, decay rate for the evolution path
 - c₁, learning rate for rank-one update of C
 - c_{μ} , learning rate for rank- μ update of C
- related to σ-update
 - c_{σ} , decay rate of the evolution path
 - d_{σ} , damping for σ -change

Parameters were identified in carefully chosen experimental set ups. Parameters do not in the first place depend on the objective function and are not meant to be in the users choice. Only(?) the population size λ (and the initial σ) might be reasonably varied in a wide range, *depending on the objective function* Useful: restarts with increasing population size (IPOP)

• • • • • # • • from [Hansen; p? 90]

59/81

Experimental Considerations

Experimentum Crucis with CMA-ES

CMA-ES Summary

The Experimentum Crucis

Experimentum Crucis (0)

What did we want to achieve?

reduce any convex-quadratic function

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{x}$$

e.g.
$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 10^{6\frac{i-1}{n-1}} x_i^2$$

to the sphere model

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{x}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{x}$$

without use of derivatives

lines of equal density align with lines of equal fitness

 $\mathbf{C} \propto \mathbf{H}^{-1}$

in a stochastic sense

© Dimo Brockhoff, Inria

72
Experimentum Crucis with CMA-ES

CMA-ES Summary

The Experimentum Crucis

Experimentum Crucis (1)

f convex quadratic, separable



• • • • • • from [Hansen; p? 92]

73

Experimentum Crucis with CMA-ES

CMA-ES Summary

The Experimentum Crucis

Experimentum Crucis (2)

f convex quadratic, as before but non-separable (rotated)



 $\mathbf{C} \propto \mathbf{H}^{-1}$ for all g, \mathbf{H}

• • • • • • from [Hansen; p. 93]

© Dimo Brockhoff, Inria

Influence of Condition Number + Invariance

Comparing Experiments

Comparison to BFGS, NEWUOA, PSO and DE

f convex quadratic, separable with varying condition number α

Ellipsoid dimension 20, 21 trials, tolerance 1e-09, eval max 1e+07



SP1 = average number of objective function evaluations¹⁴ to reach the target function value of $g^{-1}(10^{-9})$

¹⁴Auger et.al. (2009): Experimental comparisons of derivative free optimization algorithms, SEA 🔌 🚊 🕨 🚊 🖉 🔍 🖓

Influence of Condition Number + Invariance

Comparing Experiments

Comparison to BFGS, NEWUOA, PSO and DE

f convex quadratic, non-separable (rotated) with varying condition number α

Rotated Ellipsoid dimension 20, 21 trials, tolerance 1e-09, eval max 1e+07



BFGS (Broyden et al 1970) NEWUAO (Powell 2004) DE (Storn & Price 1996) PSO (Kennedy & Eberhart 1995) CMA-ES (Hansen & Ostermeier 2001) $f(\mathbf{x}) = g(\mathbf{x}^{T}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{x})$ with

H full g identity (for BFGS and NEWUOA)

g any order-preserving = strictly increasing function (for all other)

SP1 = average number of objective function evaluations¹⁵ to reach the target function value of $g^{-1}(10^{-9})$

Influence of Condition Number + Invariance

Comparing Experiments

Comparison to BFGS, NEWUOA, PSO and DE

f non-convex, non-separable (rotated) with varying condition number α

Sqrt of sqrt of rotated ellipsoid dimension 20, 21 trials, tolerance 1e-09, eval max 1e+07



SP1 = average number of objective function evaluations¹⁶ to reach the target function value of $g^{-1}(10^{-9})$

¹⁶Auger et.al. (2009): Experimental comparisons of derivative free optimization algorithms, SEA 🛛 🚊 🔪 🤇 🖓

72/81

77

Performance on BBOB Testbed: Data Profile

Comparing Experiments

Comparison during BBOB at GECCO 2009



220

Summary CMA-ES I

Summary and Final Remarks

Main Characteristics of (CMA) Evolution Strategies

- Multivariate normal distribution to generate new search points follows the maximum entropy principle
- 2 Rank-based selection implies invariance, same performance on g(f(x)) for any increasing gmore invariance properties are featured
- Step-size control facilitates fast (log-linear) convergence and possibly linear scaling with the dimension in CMA-ES based on an evolution path (a non-local trajectory)
- Covariance matrix adaptation (CMA) increases the likelihood of previously successful steps and can improve performance by orders of magnitude

the update follows the natural gradient $\mathbf{C} \propto \mathbf{H}^{-1} \iff$ adapts a variable metric \iff new (rotated) problem representation $\implies f: \mathbf{x} \mapsto g(\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{x})$ reduces to $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{x}$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Summary CMA-ES II

Summary and Final Remarks

Limitations of CMA Evolution Strategies

- internal CPU-time: 10⁻⁸n² seconds per function evaluation on a 2GHz PC, tweaks are available 1 000 000 *f*-evaluations in 100-D take 100 seconds *internal* CPU-time
- better methods are presumably available in case of
 - partly separable problems
 - specific problems, for example with cheap gradients

specific methods

• small dimension ($n \ll 10$)

for example Nelder-Mead

small running times (number of *f*-evaluations < 100*n*) model-based methods

▲□▶▲@▶▲≧▶▲≧▶ ≧ めぬぐ

I hope it became clear...

...that CMA-ES samples according to multivariate normal distributions ...how CMA-ES updates its mean, stepsize, and covariance matrix ...and what are the invariance properties of CMA-ES