TC2 - Optimization for ML

1) About the EXAM : written exam week from 14-18 December at the university. 13:30 $\rightarrow$ 15:30 2Hours without documents.

For the $3 / 4$ of you who cannot be present, we will organize an oral exam.

- Gradient direction : $\nabla f(x)$
- Newton direction: $\left[\nabla^{2} f(x)\right]^{-1} \nabla f(x)$
- $f(x)=\frac{1}{2} x^{\top} A x \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, \quad A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}9 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$

Plot $\nabla f(x), \quad\left[\nabla^{2} f(x)\right]^{-1} \nabla f(x)$ and level set of $f$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla f(x)=\binom{9 x_{1}}{x_{2}} \\
& \nabla^{2} f(x)=A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
9 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& {\left[\nabla^{2} f(x)\right]^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{9} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) ;\left[\nabla^{2} f(x)\right]^{-1} \nabla f(x)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{9} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{g_{1}}{x_{2}}=\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}} \\
& \\
& =x
\end{aligned}
$$

Newton direction: -x


What if $f(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right) \quad \nabla f^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$

Af $x=\binom{-4}{-5} \quad \nabla f(x)=\binom{-9.4}{-5}$

What about the Newton and - If in this case?


Newton direction

$$
=
$$

We observe that the Newton direction points towards the optimum indepeudeutly of the condition number of the Hessian matrix.
whereas - $\nabla f(x)$ points towards the optimum $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { at } x=(1) \\ 1\end{array}\right)$ and only if
$\nabla^{2} f(x)=$ Id and the condition number equal to 1 .

If the Herrian matrix is not diagonal anymore: $f(x)=\frac{1}{2} x^{\top} A x$

symmetric
A positive, definite A not diagonal

$$
-D f(x)(h)=-D f(x) \cdot h
$$

Optimality conditions:
Assume $f: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable ( $f^{\prime}(x)$ exists for all $x$ ) Which one of the following statements are correct:
(1) $f^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)=0 \Rightarrow x^{t h}$ is a local optimum WRoNG
(2) $x^{*}$ is a local optimum $\Rightarrow f^{\prime}\left(x^{k}\right)=0$ CORRECT

(3) $f^{\prime}\left(x^{x}\right)=0 \Rightarrow x^{2}$ is a global optimum wrong
(4) $x^{*}$ is a global optimum $\Rightarrow f^{\prime}\left(x^{k}\right)=0 \quad$ CORRECT
(2) gives a first order necessary condition.
$\frac{\text { THEOREm: }}{\text { (first order necessary condition) }}$
Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function. If $x^{k}$ is a local optimum of $f$ then $D_{f}\left(x^{*}\right)=0$. minimum or maximum

Interpretation when $n=1$ :


PRoof for $n=1$ :

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h}
$$

assume that $x^{k}$ is a local minimum: $f\left(x^{2}\right) \leq f\left(x^{k}+h\right) \quad \forall h$ small en rough

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ah) is } \\
& \text { the slope of } \\
& \text { for } h=0 \\
& \text { slope } \leq 0,
\end{aligned} \sum_{\text {for } h \geqslant 0}^{\text {slope }} \text { All) is the slope }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \geqslant 0 \\
& A(h)=\frac{f\left(x^{\alpha}+h\right)-f\left(x^{\alpha}\right)}{h} \\
& \rightarrow \text { if } h \geqslant 0 \quad A(h) \geqslant 0 \\
& \text { if } h \leq 0 \quad A(h) \leq 0 \\
& \begin{array}{ll} 
& \lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \underbrace{h \geqslant 0}_{\substack{A(h)} f^{\prime}\left(x^{2}\right) \geqslant 0} \text { if } \\
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \\
h \leq 0 \\
h_{s 0}^{A(h)}
\end{array}=f^{\prime}(x) \leq 0 \quad f^{\prime}(x)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

SECOND ORDER NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS:
Let assume that $f$ is twice continuously differentiable
NECESSARY CONDITION: If $x^{+}$is a local minimum, then $\nabla f\left(x^{\alpha}\right)=0$ and $D^{2} f(x)$ is positive semi-definite.

$$
\left(\text { if } n=1, x^{2} \text { is a local minimum } \Rightarrow f^{\prime}\left(x^{k}\right)=0, f^{\prime \prime}(x) \geqslant 0\right)
$$

SUfficient condition: If $x^{*}$ which satisfies $\nabla f\left(x^{2}\right)=0$ and $\nabla^{2} f(x)$ is positive definite, then $x^{*}$ is a strict bal minimum.

$$
\text { (if } \left.n=1, x^{k} \text { such that } f^{\prime}\left(x^{a}\right)=0 \quad f^{\prime \prime}(x)>0 \Rightarrow x^{2} \text { is a strict local } \quad \text { minimum }\right)
$$

Example: $\quad f(x)=x^{2}, f^{\prime}(x)=2 x \quad f^{\prime \prime}(x)=2$


0 Satisfies that $f^{\prime}(0)=2 \times 0=0$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(0)=2>0$
$\Rightarrow 0$ is a strict local minimum


CONVEX FUNCTIONS
Let $f: U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We say that $f$ is convex, if for all $x, y \in U$ open comer ant $\quad \forall t \in[0,1]$

$$
f((1-t) x+t y) \leq(1-t) f(x)+t f(y)
$$




ThEOREM: If $f$ is differentiable, then $f$ is convex if and only if for all $x, y \quad f(y)-f(x) \geqslant \nabla f(x)^{\top}(y-x)=\nabla f(x) \cdot(y-x)$

$$
\text { If } n=1 \quad f(y)-f(x) \geq f^{\prime}(x)(y-x)
$$



Theorem: If $f$ is thrice continuously differentiable, then $f$ is convex of and only if $D^{2} f(x)$ is positive semi- definite for all $x$.
If $n=1$ is trice deviate, then $f$ is convex if and only if $f^{\prime \prime}(x) \geqslant 0$

Examples: $f(x)=x^{2}$ is convex (because $f^{\prime \prime}(x)=2 \geqslant 0$ )

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f(x)=-x^{2} & \left(f^{\prime \prime}(x)=-2 \rightarrow f\right. \text { is not convex)} \\
f(x)=\log (x) & \left(f^{\prime}(x)=\frac{1}{x}, f^{\prime \prime}(x)=-\frac{1}{x^{2}} \leq 0 \rightarrow f \text { is not convex }\right)
\end{array}
$$

$f(x)=x \quad f$ is convex $f^{\prime \prime}(x)=0$
Examples of convex functions:

- $f(x)=\frac{1}{2} x^{+} A x \quad$ A sym. pos. definite
- $f(x)=a^{\top} x+b \quad a \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad b \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$
- the negative of the entropy: $f(x)=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \log \left(x_{i}\right)$

EXERCICE: Let $f: \cup \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex and differentiable Junction.

Prove that if $\operatorname{Df}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)=0$, then $x^{2}$ is a global minimum.
If $f$ is convex and differentiable we have: $\forall x, y$

$$
f(y)-f(x) \geqslant \nabla f(x)^{\top}(y-x)
$$

If $x^{*}$ is such that $D f\left(x^{k}\right)=0$, then $f(y)-f\left(x^{2}\right) \geqslant \underbrace{D f\left(x^{2}\right)^{\top}\left(y-x^{2}\right)}_{=0}$

$$
f(y)-f\left(x^{2}\right) \geqslant 0 \quad \forall y
$$

then $\forall y \quad f(y) \geqslant f\left(x^{*}\right)$
which means that $x^{k}$ is the global minimum of $f$.
differentiable
The important consequence is that for convex functions critical points, points where $D f(x)=0$, are global minima of the functions.


Picture with level sets

from Taylor formula:

$$
f(x+h)=f(x)+D f(x)^{\top} h+0(\|h\|)
$$

h small $f(x+h) \simeq f(x)+D f(x)^{\top} h$

$$
L_{s} f(x t-\underbrace{\sigma \nabla f(x t)}_{h}) \approx f(x t)+\nabla f(x t)^{\top}(-\sigma \nabla f(x t))=f(x t)-\sigma \nabla f(x t)^{\top} \nabla f(x t)=f(x t)-\sigma\|\nabla f(x t)\|^{2}
$$

Choice of the step-size?
optimal step-size: $o t=\arg \min _{\substack{\sigma \geqslant 0}} f(x t-\sigma D f(x t))$
Typically too expensive to do those 1D optimization perfectly there exists different techniques. One widely used one is Armijo rule.

When do we stop the overall algunthm
$\rightarrow$ We can track $f(x t+1)-f(x t)$ (stop when it's small)
$\rightarrow$ We can stop $\wedge\|D f(x t)\|$ is small. when

Remark:
If instead of minimizing $f$, I want to maximize f. we talk about gradient ascent (instead of gradient descent) and the update reads:

$$
x_{t+1}=x t+o t \nabla f(x t)
$$

You can always turn $\max _{x} f(x)$ into $\min (-f(x))$

Gradient descent is slow on ill-conditionned problems:


On a ill-conditionned function -of typically posits in the "wong" direction and the convagence will be slow.
$\rightarrow$ This is also something that can be proven: the convergence rate is slower the lager the condition number is.

The Newton direction prints towards the optimum on convex quadratic functions.

On functions that are not convex-quadratic, the Newton direction will typically Nor points towards the optimum. Yet it will be a good direction to follow when you can approximate the function by sits second order Taylor expansion (i.e for twice contimessly differentiable function).
We can use the Newton direction - $\left.\mathrm{F}^{2} f(x t)\right]^{-1}$ of $(x t)$ as a descent direction.

Ls It minimizes the locally quadratic approximation of $f$.

$$
f(x+\Delta x)=f(x)+\Delta f(x)^{\top} \Delta x+\frac{1}{2}(\Delta x)^{\top} \nabla^{2} f(x) \Delta x
$$

In some settings we can compute the Newton direction analytically, in which care we should do.
Yet we seed to approximate numerically $\left[D^{2} f(x)\right]$ and invert it, this can be too expensive.

QUASi-NENTON NETHOD: BFGS ["old" still state- of the ort]

$$
x t+1=x t-\text { ot Ht } \nabla f(x t)
$$

approximation of the inverse of $\nabla^{2} f(x t)$
Ht is updated iteratively using Df(xt) and approximates of of Wikipedia page for updates of algorithm
$\rightarrow$ Implemented in toolboxes [also large-scale version, L-BFGS limit memory $B F G S$ ]

STOCHASTIC GRADIENT DESCENT
Minimize loss function of the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q(\omega)=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N^{T}} Q_{i}(\omega) \quad N & \begin{array}{l}
\text { \# Data } \\
\\
\end{array} \quad \text { \# Examples }
\end{aligned}
$$

wean be the weights of Neural Network.
Assume we are in a supervied learning setting, we have a classification task.

Qi lw): prediction error made if we use weight w to predict CAT
image $i$


How do we minimize \&?
Gradient descent: $\nabla Q(\omega)=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \nabla Q_{i}(\omega)$

$$
w t+1=w t-\sigma t D Q(w t) \quad \text { [Update of weights] }
$$

BACKPROPAGATICN algorithm is an algorithm to compute $D Q_{i}(\omega)$
Typically $N$ is very large, computation of all DPi( $\omega$ ) $i=1, \ldots, N$ is too expensive.
Instead we use an approximation of $D Q(\omega)$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
D Q(\omega) \underset{\substack{T \\
\text { approximated }}}{\approx} D Q_{i}(\omega) \quad\left[\begin{array}{c}
\text { Gradient of a single } \\
\text { example }]
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Also do mini -batches:

$$
\nabla Q(\omega) \approx \frac{1}{\text { nbatches }} \sum_{i=1}^{\text {notches }} D_{i}(\omega) \quad \text { nbatches } \angle C N \text {. }
$$

Stochastic Gradient Descent :
CHOOSE AN INITIAL VECTORS OF PARAMETERS AND A STEP-SIZE $\eta$ WHILE NOT HAPPY

- Randomly shuffle examples in thairing set
- For $i=1, \ldots, N$

We bop over the

$$
\omega \longleftarrow \omega-\eta \nabla Q_{i}(\omega)
$$ examples

possibly mini-batches

Not creed:- chaise of step-size. (Step-size adapted using "momentum techniques" in particular ADAn step-size update which is WIDELY used)

- increase / choice of mini-batches

