BSDEs with continuous generator. I. Sublinear growth and Logarithmic growth

KHALED BAHLALI

Université de Toulon Et CNRS, I2M, Aix Marseille Université

CIMPA, Tlemcen 12-24 avril 2014



Definitions and notations

- The summarized way
 - Essaky-Hassani result on reflected BSDEs
- BSDEs with sublinear growth
- BSDEs with logarithmic nonlinearity.
 - A priori estimates
 - Estimate of Y
 - Estimate of Z
 - Estimate for |YLn|Y||
 - Approximations and convergences
 - Approximation for f
 - Approximation for Y, Z, f
 - Estimate between two approximate solutions
 - Proofs of existence and uniqueness
 - Proofs of existence
 - Proofs of uniqueness
 - Some extensions, YLogY and $Z\sqrt{LogZ}$
 - *H* dominated by $YLogY + Z\sqrt{LogZ}$

Definitions and notations

The BSDE under consideration is

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T H(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s$$

It will be referred as $eq(\xi, H)$.

- $\mathcal{F}_t := \mathcal{F}_t^W$.
- C := the space of continuous and \mathcal{F}_t –adapted processes.
- $S^2 := \{Y \text{ which is } \mathcal{F}_t \text{-adapted and } \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} |Y_t|^2 < \infty \}$
- $\mathcal{M}^2 := \{ Z \text{ which is } \mathcal{F}_t \text{-adapted and } \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds < \infty \}$
- $\mathcal{L}^2 := \{ Z \text{ which is } \mathcal{F}_t \text{-adapted and } \int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds < \infty \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \}$

Definition

A solution of the BSDE $eq(\xi, H)$ is an \mathcal{F}_t -adapted processes (Y, Z) which satisfy BSDE $eq(\xi, H)$ for each $t \in [0, T]$ and such that Y is continuous and $\int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds < \infty \mathbb{P} - a.s.$, that is $(Y, Z) \in \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{L}^2$.

(1)

- Our Approach consists to derive the existence of solutions for the BSDE without reflection from solutions of a suitable 2-barriers Reflected BSDE.
- To this end, we use the result of Essaky & Hassani which establishes the existence of solutions for reflected QBSDEs without assuming any integrability condition on the terminal datum.
- For the self-contained, we state the Essaky & Hassani result in the following theorem.

Theorem

(Essaky–Hassani (JDE 2013)). Let L and U be continuous processes and ξ be a \mathcal{F}_T measurable random variable. Assume that

1) $L_T \leq \xi \leq U_T$.

2) there exists a semimartingale which passes between the barriers L and U.

3) *H* is continuous in (y, z) and satisfies for every (s, ω) , every $y \in [L_s(\omega), U_s(\omega)]$ and every $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

 $|f(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z})| \leq \eta_{\boldsymbol{s}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) + \frac{C_{\boldsymbol{s}}(\boldsymbol{\omega})}{2}|\boldsymbol{z}|^2$

where $\eta \in \mathbb{L}^1([0, T] \times \Omega)$ and *C* is a continuous process. Then, the following RBSDE has a minimal and a maximal solution.

$$\begin{cases} (i) \quad Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T H(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds + \int_t^T dK_s^+ - \int_t^T dK_s^- - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s \\ (ii) \quad \forall t \le T, \ L_t \le Y_t \le U_t, \\ (iii) \quad \int_t^T (Y_t - L_t) dK_t^+ = \int_t^T (U_t - Y_t) dK_t^- = 0, \ a.s., \\ (iv) \quad K_0^+ = K_0^- = 0, \ K^+, K^-, \ are \ continuous \ nondecreasing. \\ (v) \quad dK^+ \perp dK^- \end{cases}$$

Theorem

Assume that there exist positive constants a and b such that ξ satisfies (HL2) $\mathbb{E}(|\xi|^2) < \infty$.

Let the generator $H(t, \omega, y, z)$ be continuous in (y, z) for a.e (t, ω) and satisfies

$$H(t, y, z) \le a + b|y| + c|z|$$
 (3)

for some positive constants a, b and c. Then, the BSDE (ξ, H) has at least one solution (Y, Z) which belongs to $S^2 \times M^2$.

4 **A** N A **B** N A **B** N

Proof. We put g(t, y, z) := a + b|y| + c|z|.

Let $\xi^+ := max(\xi, 0)$ and $\xi^- := min(\xi, 0)$.

According to Pardoux-Peng Theorem, the BSDE with the parameters (ξ^+, g) as well as the BSDE with the parameters $(-\xi^-, -g)$ have unique solutions in $S^2 \times M^2$.

We denote by (Y^g, Z^g) [resp. (Y^{-g}, Z^{-g})] the unique solution of $eq(\xi^+, g)$ [resp. $eq(-\xi^-, -g)$].

Using then the Essaky-Hassani result with

 $L = Y^{-g}, \ U = Y^{g}, \ \eta_{t} = a + b(|Y_{t}^{-g}| + |Y_{t}^{g}|) + \frac{1}{2}c^{2}, \ \text{and} \ C_{t} = 1$,

we deduce the existence of solution (Y, Z, K^+, K^-) to the following Reflected BSDE, s.t. (Y, Z) belongs to $C \times L^2$.

Proof, H sublinear, continued 1

$$\begin{cases} (i) \quad Y_{t} = \xi + \int_{t}^{T} H(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}) ds + \int_{t}^{T} dK_{s}^{+} - \int_{t}^{T} dK_{s}^{-} - \int_{t}^{T} Z_{s} dW_{s} \\ (ii) \quad \forall \ t \leq T, \ Y_{t}^{-g} \leq Y_{t} \leq Y_{t}^{g}, \\ (iii) \quad \int_{0}^{T} (Y_{t} - Y_{t}^{-g}) dK_{t}^{+} = \int_{0}^{T} (Y_{t}^{g} - Y_{t}) dK_{t}^{-} = 0, \ \text{a.s.}, \\ (iv) \quad K_{0}^{+} = K_{0}^{-} = 0, \ K^{+}, K^{-} \text{ are continuous nondecreasing.} \\ (v) \quad dK^{+} \perp dK^{-} \end{cases}$$
(4)

Now, if we show that $dK^+ = dK^- = 0$, then the proof is finished. We shall prove this property.

Since Y_t^g is a solution to BSDE $eq(\xi, g)$, then Tanaka's formula applied to $(Y_t^g - Y_t)^+$ shows that,

4 3 5 4 3 5

Proof, H sublinear, continued 2

$$(Y_t^g - Y_t)^+ = (Y_0^g - Y_0)^+ + \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_s^g > Y_s\}} [f(s, Y_s, Z_s) - g(s, Y_s^g, Z_s^g)] ds$$

+ $\int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_s^g > Y_s\}} (dK_s^+ - dK_s^-) + \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_s^g > Y_s\}} (Z_s^g - Z_s) dW_s$
+ $L_t^0 (Y^g - Y)$

where $L_t^0(Y^g - Y)$ denotes the local time at time *t* and level 0 of the semimartingale $(Y^g - Y)$.

Since $Y^g \ge Y$, then $(Y^g_t - Y_t)^+ = (Y^g_t - Y_t)$.

Therefore, identifying the terms of $(Y_t^g - Y_t)^+$ with those of $(Y_t^g - Y_t)$ and using the fact that $1 - \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_s^g > Y_s\}} = \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_s^g \le Y_s\}} = \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_s^g = Y_s\}}$, we show that $(Z - Z^g)\mathbf{1}_{\{Y_s^g = Y_s\}} = 0$.

Using the previous equalities, we deduce that,

Proof, H sublinear, continued 3

$$\int_{0}^{t} \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_{s}^{g}=Y_{s}\}} (dK_{s}^{+} - dK_{s}^{-}) = \int_{0}^{t} \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_{s}^{g}=Y_{s}\}} [g(s, Y_{s}^{g}, Z_{s}^{g}) - f(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s})] ds + L_{t}^{0} (Y^{g} - Y)$$

Since $\int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_s^g = Y_s\}} dK_s^+ = 0$, it holds that

$$0 \leq \int_{0}^{t} \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_{s}^{g}=Y_{s}\}} [g(s, Y_{s}^{g}, Z_{s}^{g}) - f(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s})] ds + L_{t}^{0}(Y^{g} - Y)$$

= $-\int_{0}^{t} \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_{s}^{g}=Y_{s}\}} dK_{s}^{-} \leq 0$ ≥ 0

This shows that , $\int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_s^g = Y_s\}} dK_s^- = 0$, and hence $dK^- = 0$. Arguing symmetrically, one can show that $dK^+ = 0$. Therefore, (Y, Z) satisfies the initial non reflected BSDE.

KHALED BAHLALI (UTLN)

BSDEs with continuous generator. I. Subl

Since both Y^g and Y^{-g} belong to S^2 , so it is for Y.

Using standard arguments in BSDEs, we show that $\mathbb{E} \int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds < \infty$. This complete the proof.

Theorem

Let a, b, c₀ be positive real numbers, and assume that,

(H.1) $\mathbb{E}[|\xi|^{e^{2c_0T}+1}] < +\infty.$ Then. the BSDE

$$Y_{t} = \xi + \int_{t}^{T} (a + b|Y_{s}| + c_{0}|Y_{s}||\ln|Y_{s}||) ds - \int_{t}^{T} Z_{s} dW_{s}$$
 (5)

has a unique solution such that

$$\mathbb{E}\big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Y_t|^{e^{2c_0t}+1}\big)<\infty \quad and \quad \mathbb{E}\int_0^T|Z_s|^2ds<\infty \tag{6}$$

To prove this theorem we need some a priori estimates and approximations. This will be given in the following Lemmas.

KHALED BAHLALI (UTLN)

(B)

Lemma

Let $C \ge 2c_0$. Let (Y, Z) be a solution to BSDE (5) such that $\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |Y_t|^{e^{Ct}+1} < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E} \int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds < \infty$. Then, there exists a constant $K = K_T$ such that:

 $\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |Y_t|^{e^{Ct}+1} \le K_T \big(\mathbb{E} |Y_T|^{(e^{CT}+1)} + a(e^{CT}+1)T \exp[b(e^{CT}+1)T] \big)$

Estimate of Y, idea of the proof

Idea of the Proof. Let $f(y) := a + b|y| + c_0|y|| \ln |y||$. Itô's formula gives Let *u* be $C^{1,2}$ function. Itô's formula gives,

$$u(t, Y_t) = u(T, Y_T) - \int_t^T Y_s \partial_y u(s, Y_s) Z_s dW_s$$

+ $\int_t^T [Y_s f(s, Y_s) \partial_y u(s, Y_s) - \partial_s u(s, Y_s)] ds$
- $\frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \partial_{yy}^2 u(s, Y_s) |Z_s|^2 ds$

If we can find a $C^{1,2}$ and positive function *u* such that

 $\left[Y_s f(s, Y_s) \partial_y u(s, Y_s) - \partial_s u(s, Y_s)\right] \leq a_1 + b_1 u(s, Y_s), \quad (7)$

then Gronwall Lemma gives the result. The function $u(t, y) = |y|^{e^{2c_0t}+1}$ satisfies these properties.

4 10 4 10 10

Itô's formula gives

$$\begin{aligned} |Y_t|^{e^{Ct}+1} &= |Y_T|^{e^{CT}+1} - \int_t^T (e^{Cs}+1)|Y_s|^{(e^{Cs})} sgn(Y_s) Z_s dW_s \\ &- \int_t^T (Ce^{Cs} \ln(|Y_s|)|Y_s|^{e^{Cs}+1} - (e^{Cs}+1)|Y_s|^{(e^{Cs})} sgn(Y_s)f(Y_s)) ds \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T |Z_s|^2 (e^{Cs}+1)(e^{Cs})|Y_s|^{(Cs-1)} ds \end{aligned}$$

æ

40

イロン イロン イヨン イヨン

Proof estimate of Y, continued

Since $C \geq 2c_0$, we deduce that,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t|^{e^{Ct}+1} &\leq |Y_T|^{(e^{CT}+1)} + \int_t^T (e^{Cs}+1)|Y_s|^{(e^{Cs})}(a+b|Y_s|)ds \\ &- \int_t^T (e^{Cs}+1)|Y_s|^{(e^{Cs})}sgn(Y_s)Z_sdW_s. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}|Y_t|^{e^{CT}+1} \le \mathbb{E}|Y_T|^{(e^{CT}+1)} + \mathbb{E}\int_t^T (e^{Cs}+1)|Y_s|^{(e^{Cs})}(a+b|Y_s|)ds$$

Using Gronwall Lemma, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}|Y_t|^{e^{CT}+1} \leq \left(\mathbb{E}|Y_T|^{(e^{CT}+1)} + a(e^{CT}+1)T\right) \exp[b(e^{CT}+1)T].$$

Using the BDG inequality, we complete the proof of Lemma 5.

4 3 5 4 3

Lemma

Let (Y, Z) be a solution of BSDE (5) such that $\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |Y_t|^{e^{Ct}+1} < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E} \int_0^T |Z_s| 2ds < \infty$. Then, there exits a positive constant $C_1 = C_1(C, T, K, c_0)$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\int_{0}^{T}|Z_{s}|^{2}ds \leq C_{1}\mathbb{E}\left(1+|\tilde{\zeta}|^{2}+\int_{0}^{T}|(a+b|Y_{s}|)|^{2}ds+\sup_{s\leq T}|Y_{s}|^{e^{Cs}+1}\right)$$
(8)

Proof By Itô's formula, we have:

$$|Y_0|^2 + \int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds = |\xi|^2 + 2 \int_0^T Y_s f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - 2 \int_0^T Y_s Z_s dW_s$$

$$\leq |\xi|^2 + 2 \int_0^T |Y_s| (a+b|Y_s|) + 2c_0 |Y_s| |\ln|Y_s||) ds - 2 \int_0^T Y_s Z_s dW_s$$

Since for every *y* and every $\gamma > 0$, we have $|y| |\ln |y|| \le 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} |y|^{1+\gamma}$, we use standard arguments in BSDEs to get,

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds &\leq |\xi|^2 + 2c_0 T \sup_{s \leq T} |Y_s|^2 + \int_0^T (a+b|Y_s|)^2 ds \\ &+ 2c_0 T \big(1 + \frac{K(C,T)}{(e^{2c_0 T} - 1)^+}\big) \\ &+ 2c_0 T \sup_{s \leq T} (|Y_s|^{(e^{2c_0 T} + 1)}) - 2\int_0^T Y_s Z_s dW_s \end{split}$$

The result follows by passing to expectation.

Lemma

Let $f(y) := a + b|y| + c_0|y|| \ln |y||$. Assume that ξ satisfies **(H.1)**. Let (Y, Z) be a solution to BSDE (5) satisfying Lemma 5 and Lemma 6. Then, there exists a positive constant $\bar{\alpha}$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\!\int_0^T |f(Y_s)|^{\bar{\alpha}} ds \leq K \big[1 + \mathbb{E}\!\int_0^T |Y_s|^2 ds + \mathbb{E}\!\int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds \big]$$

where K is a positive constant which depends on c_0 and T.

Proof

It is not difficult to show that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $|y \ln y| \le 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |y|^{1+\varepsilon}$. We put $\alpha := 1 + \varepsilon$ and $\bar{\alpha} = \frac{2}{\alpha}$. A simple computation gives

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Proof estimate for |YLn|Y||, continued

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T} |f(Y_{s})|^{\bar{\alpha}} ds &\leq \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T} ((a+b|Y_{s}|)+c_{0}|y||\ln|y||)^{\bar{\alpha}} ds \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T} ((a+b|Y_{s}|)+c_{0}(1+\frac{1}{\alpha-1}|Y_{s}|^{\alpha})^{\bar{\alpha}} ds \\ &\leq (1+c_{0}^{\bar{\alpha}}) \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T} ((a+b|Y_{s}|)^{\bar{\alpha}}+(1+\frac{1}{\alpha-1}|Y_{s}|)^{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}) ds \\ &\leq (1+c_{0}^{\bar{\alpha}}) \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T} ((1+(a+b|Y_{s}|))^{2}+(1+\frac{1}{\alpha-1}|Y_{s}|)^{2} ds \\ &\leq (1+c_{0}^{\bar{\alpha}}) (4T+\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T} ((a+b|Y_{s}|)^{2}+\frac{1}{(\alpha-1)^{2}})|Y_{s}|^{2} ds) \end{split}$$

Lemma

Let $f(y) := a + b|y| + c_0|y|| \ln |y||$. There exists a sequence of functions (f_n) such that,

(a) For each n, f_n is bounded and globally Lipschitz in (y, z) a.e. t and P-a.s. ω .

(b)
$$\sup_{n} |f_{n}(y)| \leq a + b|y| + c_{0}|y|| \ln |y||$$
, *P-a.s.*, *a.e.* $t \in [0, T]$.

(c) For every N, $\rho_N(f_n - f) \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$,

where
$$\rho_N(f) := E \int_0^T \sup_{|y|, |z| \le N} |f(s, y, z)| ds.$$

(人間) とうきょうきょう

Proof Let $\varepsilon_n : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a sequence of regularization functions which satisfy $\int \varepsilon_n(u) du = 1$.

Let ψ_n from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R}_+ be a sequence of smooth functions such that $0 \leq |\psi_n| \leq 1$, $\psi_n(u) := 1$ for $|u| \leq n$ and $\psi_n(u) := 0$ for $|u| \geq n+1$.

We put, $\varepsilon_{q,n}(y) := \int f(y-u)\alpha_q(u)du\psi_n(y)$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let q(n) be an integer such that $q(n) \ge n + n^{\alpha}$.

It is not difficult to see that the sequence $f_n := \varepsilon_{q(n),n}$ satisfies all the assertions (*a*)-(*c*).

(日)

Approximation for Y, Z, f

Using the previous estimates for Y, Z and f, and standard arguments of BSDEs, we prove the following estimates.

Lemma

Let f and ξ be as in Theorem 4. Let $\xi_n := \xi \wedge n$ and (f_n) be the sequence of functions associated to f by the previous Lemma. Denote by (Y^{f_n}, Z^{f_n}) the solution of BSDE (ξ_n, f_n) . Then, there exit constants K_1, K_2, K_3 and a universal constant ℓ such that a) $\sup_{n} \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T} |Z_{s}^{f_{n}}|^{2} ds \leq K_{1}$ b) $\sup_{n} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} (|Y_t^{f_n}|^2) \le \ell K_1 := K_2$ c) $\sup_{n} \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T} |f_{n}(Y_{s}^{f_{n}})|^{\bar{\alpha}} ds \leq K_{3}$ where $\bar{\alpha}$ is a constant defined on the proof of Lemma 7.

From this Lemma we deduce the following limits.

Approximation for Y, Z, f, continued

After extracting a subsequence, if necessary, we have

Corollary

There are $Y \in \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, L^{\infty}[0, T])$, $Z \in \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega \times [0, T])$ and $\Gamma \in \mathbb{L}^{\tilde{\alpha}}(\Omega \times [0, T])$ such that

$$Y^{f_n}
ightarrow Y$$
, weakly star in $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, L^{\infty}[0, T])$
 $Z^{f_n}
ightarrow Z$, weakly in $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega \times [0, T])$
 $f_n(., Y^{f_n}, Z^{f_n})
ightarrow \Gamma$, weakly in $\mathbb{L}^{\tilde{\alpha}}(\Omega \times [0, T])$.

Moreover

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T \Gamma_s ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \ \forall t \in [0, T].$$

(9)

A (10) A (10) A (10)

<u>Estimate</u> between the $(\overline{Y^{t_n}, Z^{t_n}})$

The key estimate is,

KHALED BAHLALI (UILN)

Lemma

Let $\bar{\alpha}$ be the positive constant defined on the proof of the previous Lemma, and put $M_2 := 3c_0$. For every $R \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta \in]1$, min $(3 - \frac{2}{\pi}, 2)[$, $\delta' < \frac{(\beta-1)}{(2\ell+\beta-1)M_2} \min(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\kappa}{\beta}, \kappa := 3 - \frac{2}{\bar{\alpha}} - \beta)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N_0 > R$ such that for all $N > N_0$ and T' < T:

$$\lim_{n,m\to+\infty} \sup_{(T'-\delta')^+ \le t \le T'} |Y_t^{f_n} - Y_t^{f_m}|^{\beta} + E \int_{(T'-\delta')^+}^{T'} \frac{\left|Z_s^{f_n} - Z_s^{f_m}\right|^{2}}{\left(|Y_s^{f_n} - Y_s^{f_m}|^2 + \nu_R\right)^{\frac{2-\beta}{2}}} dt^{2}$$

$$\le \varepsilon + \frac{\ell}{\beta - 1} e^{C_N \delta'} \lim_{n,m\to+\infty} \sup_{T'} E|Y_{T'}^{f_n} - Y_{T'}^{f_m}|^{\beta}.$$
where $C_N = \frac{2M_2^2\beta}{(\beta - 1)} \log N$, $\nu_R := \sup_{T'} \{\frac{1}{N}, N \ge R\}$ and ℓ is the BDG constant.

. 0

Let $0 < T' \leq T$. It follows from Itô's formula that for all $t \leq T'$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| Y_{t}^{f_{n}} - Y_{t}^{f_{m}} \right|^{2} + \int_{t}^{T'} \left| Z_{s}^{f_{n}} - Z_{s}^{f_{m}} \right|^{2} ds \\ &= \left| Y_{T'}^{f_{n}} - Y_{T'}^{f_{m}} \right|^{2} + 2 \int_{t}^{T'} \left(Y_{s}^{f_{n}} - Y_{s}^{f_{m}} \right) \left(f_{n}(s, Y_{s}^{f_{n}}) - f_{m}(s, Y_{s}^{f_{m}}) \right) ds \\ &- 2 \int_{t}^{T'} \langle Y_{s}^{f_{n}} - Y_{s}^{f_{m}}, \quad (Z_{s}^{f_{n}} - Z_{s}^{f_{m}}) dW_{s} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

For $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ we set, $\Delta_t := \left| \mathbf{Y}_t^{f_n} - \mathbf{Y}_t^{f_m} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{N}$.

Let C > 0 and $1 < \beta < \min\{(3 - \frac{2}{\tilde{\alpha}}), 2\}$. Itô's formula applied to $e^t \cdot (\Delta_t)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$ shows that,

$$\begin{split} & e^{Ct} \Delta_{t}^{\frac{\beta}{2}} + C \int_{t}^{T'} e^{Cs} \Delta_{s}^{\frac{\beta}{2}} ds \\ &= e^{CT'} \Delta_{T'}^{\frac{\beta}{2}} + \beta \int_{t}^{T'} e^{Cs} \Delta_{s}^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} \left(Y_{s}^{f_{n}} - Y_{s}^{f_{m}} \right) \left(f_{n}(s, Y_{s}^{f_{n}}) - f_{m}(s, Y_{s}^{f_{m}}) \right) ds \\ &- \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{t}^{T'} e^{Cs} \Delta_{s}^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} \left| Z_{s}^{f_{n}} - Z_{s}^{f_{m}} \right|^{2} ds \\ &- \beta \int_{t}^{T'} e^{Cs} \Delta_{s}^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} \langle Y_{s}^{f_{n}} - Y_{s}^{f_{m}}, \quad \left(Z_{s}^{f_{n}} - Z_{s}^{f_{m}} \right) dW_{s} \rangle \\ &- \beta (\frac{\beta}{2} - 1) \int_{t}^{T'} e^{Cs} \Delta_{s}^{\frac{\beta}{2}-2} \left((Y_{s}^{f_{n}} - Y_{s}^{f_{m}}) (Z_{s}^{f_{n}} - Z_{s}^{f_{m}}) \right)^{2} ds \end{split}$$

If we put $\Phi(s) = |Y_s^{f_n}| + |Y_s^{f_m}|$, then the previous formula becomes,

$$\begin{split} e^{Ct} \Delta_{t}^{\frac{\beta}{2}} + C \int_{t}^{T'} e^{Cs} \Delta_{s}^{\frac{\beta}{2}} ds \\ &= e^{CT'} \Delta_{T'}^{\frac{\beta}{2}} - \beta \int_{t}^{T'} e^{Cs} \Delta_{s}^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} \langle Y_{s}^{f_{n}} - Y_{s}^{f_{m}}, \quad \left(Z_{s}^{f_{n}} - Z_{s}^{f_{m}}\right) dW_{s} \rangle \\ &- \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{t}^{T'} e^{Cs} \Delta_{s}^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} \left| Z_{s}^{f_{n}} - Z_{s}^{f_{m}} \right|^{2} ds \\ &+ \beta \frac{(2-\beta)}{2} \int_{t}^{T'} e^{Cs} \Delta_{s}^{\frac{\beta}{2}-2} \left((Y_{s}^{f_{n}} - Y_{s}^{f_{m}}) (Z_{s}^{f_{n}} - Z_{s}^{f_{m}}) \right)^{2} ds \\ &+ J_{1} + J_{2} + J_{3} + J_{4}, \end{split}$$

where

$$J_{1} := \beta \int_{t}^{T'} e^{Cs} \Delta_{s}^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} (Y_{s}^{f_{n}} - Y_{s}^{f_{m}}) (f_{n}(s, Y_{s}^{f_{n}}) - f_{m}(s, Y_{s}^{f_{m}})) 1_{\{\Phi(s) > N\}} ds.$$

$$J_{2} := \beta \int_{t}^{T'} e^{Cs} \Delta_{s}^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} (Y_{s}^{f_{n}} - Y_{s}^{f_{m}}) (f_{n}(s, Y_{s}^{f_{n}}) - f(s, Y_{s}^{f_{n}})) 1_{\{\Phi(s) \le N\}} ds.$$

UNITA.

$$J_{3} := \beta \int_{t}^{T'} e^{Cs} \Delta_{s}^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} (Y_{s}^{f_{n}} - Y_{s}^{f_{m}}) (f(s, Y_{s}^{f_{n}}) - f(s, Y_{s}^{f_{m}})) \mathbb{1}_{\{\Phi(s) \le N\}} ds.$$

$$J_{4} := \beta \int_{t}^{T'} e^{Cs} \Delta_{s}^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} (Y_{s}^{f_{n}} - Y_{s}^{f_{m}}) (f(s, Y_{s}^{f_{m}}) - f_{m}(s, Y_{s}^{f_{m}})) \mathbb{1}_{\{\Phi(s) \le N\}} ds.$$

40

Since
$$|Y_{s}^{f_{n}} - Y_{s}^{f_{m}}|^{2} \leq \Delta_{s}$$
, we have
 $e^{Ct}\Delta_{t}^{\frac{\beta}{2}} + C\int_{t}^{T'}e^{Cs}\Delta_{s}^{\frac{\beta}{2}}ds$
 $\leq e^{CT'}\Delta_{T'}^{\frac{\beta}{2}} - \beta\int_{t}^{T'}e^{Cs}\Delta_{s}^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}\langle Y_{s}^{f_{n}} - Y_{s}^{f_{m}}, \quad (Z_{s}^{f_{n}} - Z_{s}^{f_{m}}) dW_{s}\rangle$
 $-\frac{\beta}{2}\int_{t}^{T'}e^{Cs}\Delta_{s}^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}|Z_{s}^{f_{n}} - Z_{s}^{f_{m}}|^{2}ds$
 $+\beta\frac{(2-\beta)}{2}\int_{t}^{T'}e^{Cs}\Delta_{s}^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}|Z_{s}^{f_{n}} - Z_{s}^{f_{m}}|^{2}ds$
 $+J_{1} + J_{2} + J_{3} + J_{4},$

Passing to expectation, we obtain for every t,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{Ct}\Delta_t^{\frac{\beta}{2}} + C\int_t^{T'}e^{Cs}\Delta_s^{\frac{\beta}{2}}ds + \beta\frac{(\beta-1)}{2}\int_t^{T'}e^{Cs}\Delta_s^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}|Z_s^{f_n} - Z_s^{f_m}|^2ds\right)$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}(J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4)$$

÷

According to BDG inequality, we deduce that

$$E \sup_{(T'-\delta)^{+} \le t \le T'} e^{Ct} \Delta_{t}^{\frac{\beta}{2}} + C \int_{(T'-\delta)^{+}}^{T'} e^{Cs} \Delta_{s}^{\frac{\beta}{2}} ds$$

+ $\beta \frac{(\beta-1)}{2} \int_{(T'-\delta)^{+}}^{T'} e^{Cs} \Delta_{s}^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} |Z_{s}^{f_{n}} - Z_{s}^{f_{m}}|^{2} ds$
 $\le e^{CT'} \Delta_{T'}^{\frac{\beta}{2}} + \frac{2\ell}{\beta-1} E(J_{1} + J_{2} + J_{3} + J_{4}) + \mathbb{E}(J_{1} + J_{2} + J_{3} + J_{4})$
 $= e^{CT'} \Delta_{T'}^{\frac{\beta}{2}} + (\frac{2\ell+\beta-1}{\beta-1}) E(J_{1} + J_{2} + J_{3} + J_{4})$ (10)

It remains now to estimate $\mathbb{E}(J_i)$ for i = 1, 2, 3, 4.

Let $\kappa = 3 - \frac{2}{\bar{\alpha}} - \beta$. Since $\frac{(\beta-1)}{2} + \frac{\kappa}{2} + \frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}} = 1$, we use Hölder inequality to obtain,

$$\mathbb{E}(J_1) \leq \beta e^{CT'} \frac{1}{N^{\kappa}} \left[\mathbb{E} \int_t^{T'} \Delta_s ds \right]^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} \left[\mathbb{E} \int_t^{T'} \Phi(s)^2 ds \right]^{\frac{\kappa}{2}} \\ \times \left[\mathbb{E} \int_t^{T'} |f_n(s, Y_s^{f_n}) - f_m(s, Y_s^{f_m})|^{\bar{\alpha}} ds \right]^{\frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}}}.$$

Since $|Y_{s}^{f_{n}} - Y_{s}^{f_{m}}| \leq \Delta_{s}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, it easy to see that

$$\begin{split} J_2 + J_4 &\leq 2\beta e^{CT'} [2N^2 + \nu_1]^{\frac{\beta - 1}{2}} \bigg[\int_t^{T'} \sup_{|y|, |z| \leq N} |f_n(y) - f(y)| ds \\ &+ \int_t^{T'} \sup_{|y|, |z| \leq N} |f_m(y) - f(y)| ds \bigg]. \end{split}$$

Since $\Delta_t := |Y_t^{f_n} - Y_t^{f_m}|^2 + \frac{1}{N}$ and the generator $f(y) := a + b|y| + c_0|y| |\ln |y||$ satisfies for every $N > max(e, e^b)$ and every $|y| \le N$

$$|f(y) - f(y')| \le 3c_0(\ln N)|y - y'| + 3c_0\frac{\ln N}{N}.$$

we then deduce [by putting $M_2 := 3c_0$] that,

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{J}_3 &\leq \beta \mathcal{M}_2 \int_t^{T'} e^{\mathcal{C}s} \Delta_s^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} \left[|Y_s^{f_n} - Y_s^{f_m}|^2 \ln N + \frac{\ln N}{N} \right] \texttt{1}_{\{\Phi(s) \leq N\}} ds \\ &\leq \beta \mathcal{M}_2 \int_t^{T'} e^{\mathcal{C}s} \Delta_s^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} \left[\Delta_s \ln N \right] \texttt{1}_{\{\Phi(s) \leq N\}} ds. \end{split}$$

If we choose $C = C_N = \beta(\frac{2\ell+\beta-1}{\beta-1})M_2 \ln N$, then we obtain

$$E \sup_{(T'-\delta)^{+} \leq t \leq T'} e^{C_{N}t} \Delta_{t}^{\frac{\beta}{2}} + \beta \frac{(\beta-1)}{2} \int_{(T'-\delta)^{+}}^{T'} e^{C_{N}s} \Delta_{s}^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} |Z_{s}^{f_{n}} - Z_{s}^{f_{m}}|^{2} ds$$

$$\leq e^{C_{N}T'} \Delta_{T'}^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{2\ell+\beta-1}{\beta-1}\right) \left\{ \beta e^{C_{N}T'} \frac{1}{N^{\kappa}} \left[\mathbb{E} \int_{(T'-\delta)^{+}}^{T'} \Delta_{s} ds \right]^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} \left[\mathbb{E} \int_{(T'-\delta)^{+}}^{T'} \Phi(s)^{2} ds \right]^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}$$

$$\times \left[\mathbb{E} \int_{(T'-\delta)^{+}}^{T'} |f_{n}(s, Y_{s}^{f_{n}}) - f_{m}(s, Y_{s}^{f_{m}})|^{\bar{\alpha}} ds \right]^{\frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}}}$$

$$+ 2\beta e^{C_{N}T'} [2N^{2} + \nu_{1}]^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} \left[\int_{(T'-\delta)^{+}}^{T'} \sup_{|y| \leq N} |f_{n}(y) - f(y)| ds$$

$$+ \int_{(T'-\delta)^{+}}^{T'} \sup_{|y| \leq N} |f_{m}(y) - f(y)| ds \right] \right\}$$
(11)

Since $T' - (T' - \delta')^+ \leq \delta'$, we use the estimates for Y and Z to show that there exists a positive constant $K' = K'(K_1, K_2, \bar{\alpha}, \kappa, \beta, \ell)$ such that,

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{(T'-\delta')^{+} \leq t \leq T'} |Y_{t}^{f_{n}} - Y_{t}^{f_{m}}|^{\beta} + \beta \frac{(\beta - 1)}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_{(T'-\delta')^{+}}^{T'} \frac{\left|Z_{s}^{f_{n}} - Z_{s}^{f_{m}}\right|^{2}}{\left(|Y_{s}^{f_{n}} - Y_{s}^{f_{m}}|^{2} + \nu_{R}\right)^{\frac{2-\beta}{2}}} \\ \leq e^{C_{N}\delta'} (\mathbb{E}|Y_{T'}^{f_{n}} - Y_{T'}^{f_{m}}|^{\beta}) + \frac{e^{C_{N}\delta'}}{N^{\frac{\beta}{2}}} + K' \frac{e^{C_{N}\delta'}}{N^{\kappa}} \\ + \left(\frac{2\ell + \beta - 1}{\beta - 1}\right) e^{C_{N}\delta'} \left\{\beta [2N^{2} + \nu_{1}]^{\frac{\beta - 1}{2}} \left[\rho_{N}(f_{n} - f) + \rho_{N}(f_{m} - f)\right]\right\}$$

Since for $\delta' < \frac{(\beta-1)}{(2\ell+\beta-1)M_2} \min\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\kappa}{\beta}\right)$, the quantities $\frac{e^{C_N\delta'}}{N^{\frac{\beta}{2}}}$ and $\frac{e^{C_N\delta'}}{N^{\kappa}}$ tend to 0 as *N* tends to ∞ , we pass to the limits on *n*, *m* to complete the proof.

Proof of the existence

We now pass to the Proof of the existence. Passing to the limit first on *n*, *m* and next on *N*, then taking successively T' = T, $T' = (T - \delta')^+$, $T' = (T - 2\delta')^+$... in the previous inequality, we obtain, for every $\beta \in]1$, min $(3 - \frac{2}{\bar{\alpha}}, 2)$ [

$$\lim_{n,m\to+\infty}\left(\mathbb{E}\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|Y_t^{f_n}-Y_t^{f_m}|^{\beta}+\mathbb{E}\int_0^T\frac{\left|Z_s^{f_n}-Z_s^{f_m}\right|^2}{\left(|Y_s^{f_n}-Y_s^{f_m}|^2+\nu_R\right)^{\frac{2-\beta}{2}}}ds\right)=0.$$

. 0

But, by Schwarz inequality we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |Z_s^{f_n} - Z_s^{f_m}| ds &\leq \left(\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \frac{\left| Z_s^{f_n} - Z_s^{f_m} \right|^2}{\left(|Y_s^{f_n} - Y_s^{f_m}|^2 + \nu_R \right)^{\frac{2-\beta}{2}}} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\times \left(\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \left(|Y_s^{f_n} - Y_s^{f_m}|^2 + \nu_R \right)^{\frac{2-\beta}{2}} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{split}$$

lonco

KHALED BAHLALI (UTLN)

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\left(\mathbb{E}\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|Y_t^{f_n}-Y_t|^{\beta}+\mathbb{E}\int_0^T|Z_s^{f_n}-Z_s|ds\right)=0.$$

This allows to show that $\int_0^1 f_n(s, Y_s^{f_n}) ds$ tends to $\int_0^1 f(s, Y_s) | ds$ as *n* tends to ∞ . And hence to deduce that (Y, Z) is a solution to the BSDE (5). Using Fatou's Lemma and our a priori estimates, one can show that (Y, Z) satisfies inequality (6).

Indeed, the last inequality shows that there exists a subsequence, which we still denote (Y^{f_n}, Z^{f_n}) , such that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \left(|Y_t^{f_n} - Y_t| + |Z_t^{f_n} - Z_t| \right) = 0 \quad a.e. \ (t, \omega).$$
(12)

We have,

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^T |f_n(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{s}}^{f_n}) - f(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{s}})| d\boldsymbol{s} \le l_1^n + l_2^n$$

whore

Proof Existence, continued 2

where

$$I_1^n := \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |f_n(s, Y_s^{f_n}) - f(s, Y_s^{f_n})| ds$$

$$I_2^n := \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |f(s, Y_s^{f_n}) - f(s, Y_s)| ds$$

we have

$$\begin{split} I_{1}^{n} &\leq \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T} |f_{n}(s, Y_{s}^{f_{n}}) - f(s, Y_{s}^{f_{n}})| 1\!\!1_{\{|Y_{s}^{f_{n}}| \leq N\}} ds \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T} |f_{n}(s, Y_{s}^{f_{n}}) - f(s, Y_{s}^{f_{n}})| \frac{(|Y_{s}^{f_{n}}|)^{(2-\frac{2}{\tilde{\alpha}})}}{N^{(2-\frac{2}{\tilde{\alpha}})}} 1\!\!1_{\{|Y_{s}^{f_{n}}|| \geq N\}} ds \\ &\leq \rho_{N}(f_{n} - f) + \frac{2K_{3}^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}}} [TK_{2} + K_{1}]^{1-\frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}}}}{N^{(2-\frac{2}{\tilde{\alpha}})}}. \end{split}$$

Passing to the limit on *n* and *N*, we show that l_1^n tends to 0. We use the continuity of *f* and the uniform integrability to show that l_2^n tends to 0.

KHALED BAHLALI (UTLN)

Proof of uniqueness

Uniqueness. Let (Y, Z) and (Y', Z') be two solutions. Arguing as previously one can show (with $M_2 := 3c_0$) that: for every R > 2, $\beta \in]1$, min $(3 - \frac{2}{\bar{\alpha}}, 2)$ [, $\delta' < \frac{(\beta-1)}{(2\ell+\beta-1)M_2} \min\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\kappa}{\beta}\right)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N_0 > R$ such that for all $N > N_0$ and $T' \leq T$:

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{\substack{(T'-\delta')^+ \leq t \leq T'}} |Y_t - Y'_t|^{\beta} + \mathbb{E}\int_{(T'-\delta')^+}^{T'} \frac{\left|Z_s - Z'_s\right|^2}{\left(|Y_s - Y'_s|^2 + \nu_R\right)^{\frac{2-\beta}{2}}} ds$$
$$\leq \varepsilon + \frac{\ell}{\beta - 1} e^{C_N \delta'} \mathbb{E}|Y_{T'} - Y'_{T'}|^{\beta}.$$

Again, taking successively T' = T, $T' = (T - \delta')^+$, $T' = (T - 2\delta')^+$..., we establish the uniqueness of solution. Theorem 4 is proved.

A (10) A (10)

The previous Theorem can be extended, with the an analogue integrability condition on ξ , to the case where

$$H(t, y, z) = a + b|y| + c|z| + d|y||\ln|y|| + e|z|\sqrt{|\ln|z||}$$
(13)

More generally, using Essaky-Hassan result one can establish the following theorem.

H dominated by $YLogY + Z\sqrt{LogZ}$

Theorem

Assume that,

(H.1) *H* is continuous on (y, z) and, there exit positive real numbers a, b, c, d and e such that for every t, y, z

 $|H(t, y, z)| \le a + b|y| + c_1|z| + c_0|y||\ln|y|| + e|z|\sqrt{|\ln|z||}$

(H.2) There exists a positive constant *C* (large enough) such that $\mathbb{E}[|\xi|^{e^{CT}+1}] < +\infty$.

Then, the BSDE with parameters (ξ, H) has a unique solution such that

$$\mathbb{E}\big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Y_t|^{e^{Ct}+1}\big)<\infty \quad and \quad \mathbb{E}\int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds <\infty$$
(14)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >