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## Introduction



Many problems in image processing can be solved by minimizing

$$
J(u)=\int_{\Omega}|D u|+G(u)
$$

where $G$ is a convex Isc function on $L^{2}$.

Example : the denoising using the ROF model corresponds to $\overline{G(u)=\frac{\lambda}{2}}|u-f|^{2}$
can be used for zooming, deblurring, inpainting etc...

Our approach extends to :

- more general convex functionals with at least linear growth

$$
J(u)=\int_{\Omega} F(x, D u)+G(u)
$$

where $F$ is convex in $p$ and $F(x, p) \geq C|p|^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha \geq 1$,

- problems with boundary conditions.


## Idea of the method

Reminder: The total variation is defined as

$$
\int_{\Omega}|D u|=\sup _{\substack{\xi \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{1}(\Omega) \\|\xi|_{\infty} \leq 1}} \int_{\Omega} u \operatorname{div} \xi
$$

The minimization problem then reads

$$
\min _{u \in B V} J(u)=\min _{u \in B V} \sup _{\substack{\xi \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{1}(\Omega) \\|\xi|_{\infty} \leq 1}}-\int_{\Omega} u \operatorname{div} \xi+G(u)
$$

$\Rightarrow$ It can thus be recasted as a saddle point problem

## The Arrow-Hurwicz Method

For a function $K$, the Arrow-Hurwicz method reads

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=-\nabla_{u} K(u, \xi) \\
\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t}=\nabla_{\xi} K(u, \xi)
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is a gradient descent in the Primal variable $u$ and a gradient ascent in the Dual variable $\xi$.

$$
\text { If } K(u, \xi)=-\int_{\Omega} u \operatorname{div} \xi+G(u) \text { then }
$$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\nabla_{u} K=-\operatorname{div} \xi+\partial G(u) \\
\nabla_{\xi} K=D u
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $K(u, \xi)=-\int_{\Omega} u \operatorname{div} \xi+G(u)$ then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\nabla_{u} K=-\operatorname{div} \xi+\partial G(u) \\
\nabla_{\xi} K=D u
\end{array}\right.
$$

which formally leads to:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\operatorname{div} \xi-\partial G(u) \\
\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t}=D u \quad|\xi|_{\infty} \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

This is exactly the method proposed by Appleton and Talbot. It corresponds to the continuous analogue of the method proposed by Chan and Zhu.

## Theorem

The Cauchy problem associated with the previous system as a unique solution.

Moreover, if $G(u)=\frac{\lambda}{2}|u-f|^{2}$ then this solution converges toward the minimizer $\bar{u}$ of $J$ and we have the a posteriori estimate

$$
|u-\bar{u}| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\left|\partial_{t} u\right|}{\lambda}+\sqrt{\frac{\left|\partial_{t} u\right|^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}+\frac{8|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\lambda}\left|\partial_{t} \xi\right|}\right)
$$

## Crucial observation

Formally we have :

$$
\binom{-\operatorname{div} \xi+\partial G(u)}{-D u} \cdot\binom{u}{\xi}=\partial G(u) \cdot u \geq 0
$$

Thus the operator defining the system is monotone.

## Maximal monotone operators

## Definition

Let $H$ be a Hilbert space. An operator $A$ on $H$ is monotone if :

$$
\forall x_{1}, x_{2} \in D(A), \quad\left(A\left(x_{1}\right)-A\left(x_{2}\right), x_{1}-x_{2}\right) \geq 0
$$

## Definition

It is called maximal monotone if it is maximal in the set of monotone operators.

## Proposition

Let $\varphi$ be a convex Isc function on $H$ then $\partial \varphi$ is maximal monotone.

Reminder : $p \in \partial \varphi(x)$ if for every $y$

$$
\varphi(y)-\varphi(x) \geq p \cdot(y-x)
$$

Theorem
For every $u_{0} \in D(A)$, there exists a unique function $u(t)$ from $[0,+\infty[$ in $H$ such that

- $u(t) \in D(A)$ for every $t>0$
- $u(t)$ is Lipschitz on $\left[0,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$, i.e $\frac{d u}{d t} \in L^{\infty}(0,+\infty ; H)$.
- $-\frac{d u}{d t} \in A(u(t))$ for a.e. $t$.
- $u(0)=u_{0}$.
- if $u$ and $\hat{u}$ are two solutions then $|u(t)-\hat{u}(t)| \leq|u(0)-\hat{u}(0)|$.


## Application to finding saddle points

Theorem (Rockafellar 68)
Let $K$ be a proper saddle function. Assume that $K$ is Isc in $y$ and usc in $z$ then the associated Arrow-Hurwicz operator $T$ is maximal monotone.

## Idea of the proof

Let

$$
H\left(y, z^{*}\right)=\sup _{z} z^{*} \cdot z+K(y, z)
$$

We then have :
Lemma
$H$ is a Isc convex function and

$$
\left(y^{*}, z^{*}\right) \in T(y, z) \Leftrightarrow\left(y^{*}, z\right) \in \partial H\left(y, z^{*}\right)
$$

## Idea of the proof

Let

$$
H\left(y, z^{*}\right)=\sup _{z} z^{*} \cdot z+K(y, z)
$$

We then have :
Lemma
$H$ is a Isc convex function and

$$
\left(y^{*}, z^{*}\right) \in T(y, z) \Leftrightarrow\left(y^{*}, z\right) \in \partial H\left(y, z^{*}\right)
$$

Unfortunately, this theorem doesn't apply directly!

## Application to the initial problem

We remind that we look for a saddle point of

$$
K(u, \xi)=-\int_{\Omega} u \operatorname{div} \xi+G(u)
$$

We then let

$$
\begin{aligned}
H\left(u, \xi^{*}\right) & =\sup _{|\xi|_{\infty} \leq 1}\left\langle\xi, \xi^{*}\right\rangle-\int_{\Omega} u \operatorname{div} \xi+G(u) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left|D u+\xi^{*}\right|+G(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Application to the initial problem

We remind that we look for a saddle point of

$$
K(u, \xi)=-\int_{\Omega} u \operatorname{div} \xi+G(u)
$$

We then let

$$
\begin{aligned}
H\left(u, \xi^{*}\right) & =\sup _{|\xi|_{\infty} \leq 1}\left\langle\xi, \xi^{*}\right\rangle-\int_{\Omega} u \operatorname{div} \xi+G(u) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left|D u+\xi^{*}\right|+G(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

$H$ is a Isc convex function!

We can thus define the maximal monotone $T$ by

$$
\left(u^{*}, \xi^{*}\right) \in T(u, \xi) \Leftrightarrow\left(u^{*}, \xi\right) \in \partial H\left(u, \xi^{*}\right)
$$

Problem: compute $T$.

## Characterization of $T$

## Proposition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(u^{*}, \xi^{*}\right) \in T(u, \xi) \text { if and only if : } \\
& \quad-u \in B V \cap L^{2} \text { and } \xi \in H_{0}^{1}(\text { div }) \text { with }|\xi|_{\infty} \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

- $u^{*}+\operatorname{div} \xi \in \partial G$

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\xi^{*}+D u\right|=\left\langle\xi^{*}, \xi\right\rangle+\int_{\Omega}[\xi, D u]
$$

## About convergence...

## Proposition

For the denoising problem, there is convergence towards the minimizer $\bar{u}$.

Key idea of the proof:
It rests on the simple estimate

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(|u-\bar{u}|^{2}+|\xi-\bar{\xi}|^{2}\right) \leq-C|u-\bar{u}|^{2}
$$

## and the a posteriori estimates

## Proposition

There holds the following a posteriori estimates

$$
|u-\bar{u}| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\left|\partial_{t} u\right|}{\lambda}+\sqrt{\frac{\left|\partial_{t} u\right|^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}+\frac{8|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\lambda}\left|\partial_{t} \xi\right|}\right)
$$

Idea of the proof :
We start from

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & =f+\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\operatorname{div} \xi-\partial_{t} u\right) \\
\bar{u} & =f+\frac{1}{\lambda} \operatorname{div} \bar{\xi}
\end{aligned}
$$

To obtain

$$
|u-\bar{u}|^{2}=\frac{1}{\lambda}\left\langle\operatorname{div}(\xi-\bar{\xi})-\partial_{t} u, u-\bar{u}\right\rangle
$$

## Numerical illustration



Illustration of the stopping criterion

## Interest of the continuous approach

- Leads to a better understanding of the discrete model
- Gives answers that were still unknown in the discrete model
- Gives rise to less anisotropical algorithms
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