

eniliuO

©Essential Systems Biology

•Synthetic Biology

•Computational Modeling for Systems/Synthetic Biology

•A Note on Ethical, Social and Legal Issues

•Conclusions

The Far Future
L. Cronin, N. Krasnogor, B. G. Davis, C. Alexander, N. Robertson, J.H.G. Steinke, S.L.M. Schroeder, A.N. Khlobystov, G. Cooper, P. Gardner, P. Siepmann, and B. Whitaker. The imitation game - a computational chemical approach to recognizing life. Nature Biotechnology, 24:1203-1206, 2006.

Outline

- •Essential Systems Biology
- •Synthetic Biology
- •Computational Modeling for Synthetic Biology
- •A Note on Ethical, Social and Legal Issues
- Conclusions

Essential Systems Biology

•The following slides are based on U. Alon's papers & excellent introductory text book:

"An Introduction to Systems Biology: Design Principles of Biological Circuits"

•Also, you may want to check his group's webpage for up-to-date papers/software:

http://www.weizmann.ac.il/mcb/UriAlon/











Network Motifs: Evolution's Preferred Circuits
 Biological networks are complex and vast
 To understand their functionality in a scalable way one must choose the correct abstraction
 "Patterns that occur in the real network significantly more often than in randomized networks are called network motifs"
 Shat S. Shen-Orr et al., Network motifs in the transcriptional regulation network of Escherichia coli. Nature Genetics 31, 64-68 (2002)
 Moreover, these patterns are organised in non-trivial/non-random hierarchies
 Radu Dobrin et al., Aggregation of topological motifs in the Escherichia coli transcriptional regulatory network. BMC Bioinformatics. 2004; 5:10.
 Each network motif carries out a specific information-processing function



















Synthetic Biology

Aims at designing, constructing and developing artificial biological systems

•Offers new routes to 'genetically modified' organisms, synthetic living entities, smart drugs and hybrid computational-biological devices.

Potentially enormous societal impact, e.g., healthcare, environmental protection and remediation, etc

• Synthetic Biology's basic assumption:

•Methods readily used to build non-biological systems could also be use to specify, design, implement, verify, test and deploy novel synthetic biosystems.

•These method come from computer science, engineering and maths.

•Modeling and optimisation run through all of the above.



Top-Down Synthetic Biology: An Approach to Engineering Biology



Cells are **information processors**. DNA is their programming language.

DNA sequencing and PCR: Identification and isolation of cellular parts.

□ Recombinant DNA and DNA synthesis : Combination of DNA and construction of new systems.

□ Tools to make biology easier to engineer: Standardisation, modularisation and abstraction (blueprints).

























eniltuO

- •Essential Systems Biology
- •Synthetic Biology
- •Computational Modeling for Synthetic Biology
- •A Note on Ethical, Social and Legal Issues
- •Conclusions

What is modeling?

• Is an attempt at describing in a precise way an understanding of the elements of a system of interest, their states and interactions

• A model should be operational, i.e. it should be formal, detailed and "runnable" or "executable".

• "feature selection" is the first issue one must confront when building a model

•One starts from a system of interest and then a decision should be taken as to what will the model include/leave out

•That is, at what level the model will be built

The goals of Modelling

•To capture the essential features of a biological entity/phenomenon

- •To disambiguate the understanding behind those features and their interactions
- •To move from qualitative knowledge towards quantitative knowledge



Model Development

From [E. Klipp et al, Systems Biology in Practice, 2005]:

- 1) Formulation of the problem
- 2) Verification of available information
- 3) Selection of model structure
- 4) Establishing a simple model
- 5) Sensitivity analysis
- 6) Experimental tests of the model predictions
- 7) Stating the agreements and divergences between experimental and modelling results
- 8) Iterative refinement of model

roperty	E. Colí	Yeast	Mammalian Cell	_
dl Volume	$\sim 1 \mu m^3$	$\sim 1000 \mu m^3$	$\sim 10000 \mu m^3$	Within a call the
oteins per cell	$\sim~4 imes10^{6}$	$\sim 4 imes 10^9$	$\sim 4 \times 10^{10}$	villin a cell the
ffusion time of proteins ross the cell volume	\sim 0.1 sec	\sim 10 sec	$\sim 100~{ m sec}$	DNA/ transcription facto
ffusion time of small molecules ross the cell volume	$\sim 1 \text{ msec}$	\sim 10 msec	$\sim 0.1~{ m sec}$	binding to specific/non-
se of genome	$4.6 \times 10^6 \ bp$	$1.3 \times 10^7 \ bp$	$3 \times 10^9 b_P$	specific sites differ by 4-
ze of: Regulator binding site Promoter Gene	$\sim 10 \ bp$ $\sim 100 \ bp$ $\sim 1000 \ bn$	$\sim 10 \ bp$ $\sim 1000 \ bp$ $\sim 1000 \ bp$	$\sim 10 \ bp$ $\sim 10^4 \ to \ 10^5 \ bp$ $\sim 10^4 \ to \ 10^6 \ bm$	6 orders of magnitude
me to transcribe a gene	$\sim 2 \min$	$\sim 2 \min$	$\sim 30 \text{ min}$	DNA protein binding
me to translate a protein	$\sim 2 \min$	$\sim 2 \min$	$\sim 30 \text{ min}$	occurs at 1-10s time
mescale for uilibrium biding of sall molecule to otein (diffusion nited)	$\sim 1 \mu sec$ (1 μM affinity)	$\sim 1 sec$ (1nM affinity)	$\sim 1 sec$ (1nM affinity)	scale very fast in comparison to a cell's life cycle.
pical mRNA lifetime	~2 - 5 min	$\sim 10 \text{ min}$ to over 1 h	$\sim 10 \text{ min}$ to over 10 h	-
bosomes per cell	$\sim 10^{4}$	$\sim 10^7$	$\sim 10^8$	
ll generation time	$\sim 30 \text{ min}$ to several hours	~ 2 h to several hours	~ 20 h to nondividing	
R. Milo, et al., BioNumber	-the database o	f key numbers ir	molecular and ce	ll biology. Nucleic Acids







Modelling Approaches

There exist many modeling approaches, each with its advantages and disadvantages.

- Macroscopic, Microscopic and Mesoscopic
- Quantitative and qualitative
- Discrete and Continuous
- Deterministic and Stochastic
- Top-down or Bottom-up

Modelling Frameworks

•Denotational Semantics Models:

Set of equations showing relationships between molecular quantities and how they change over time. They are approximated numerically. (I.e. Ordinary Differential Equations, PDEs, etc)

•Operational Semantics Models:

Algorithm (list of instructions) executable by an abstract machine whose computation resembles the behaviour of the system under study. (i.e. Finite State Machine)

D. Harel, "A Grand Challenge for Computing: Full Reactive Modeling of a Multi-Cellular Animal", *Bulletin of the* EATCS, European Association for Theoretical Computer Science, no. 81, 2003, pp. 226-235

A. Regev, E. Shapiro. The π -calculus as an abstraction for biomolecular systems. Modelling in Molecular Biology., pages 1–50. Springer Berlin., 2004.

asmin Fisher and Thomas Henzinger. Executable cell biology. Nature Biotechnology, 25, 11, 1239-1249 (2008)

Tools Suitability and Cost

•From [D.E Goldberg, 2002] (adapted):

"Since science and math are in the description business, the model is the thing...The engineer or inventor has much different motives. The engineered object is the thing"



ODEs (Ordinary Differential Equations) **Model**

- mainstream model
- a deterministic and continuous model
- limitation: two key assumptions(continuity and determinism)

S-systems Model

- a particular case of ODEs model
- drawback: a large number of model parameters

Linear Weighted Matrices Model

- a deterministic model
- drawback: assuming only linear interactions between the components of cellular systems

Model Design in Systems/Synthetic Biology

• It is a hard process to design suitable models in systems/synthetic biology where one has to consider the choice of the model structure and model parameters at different points repeatedly.

• Some use of computer simulation has been mainly focused on the computation of the corresponding dynamics for a given model structure and model parameters.

• Ultimate goal: for a new biological system (spec) one would like to estimate the model structure and model parameters (that match reality/constructible) simultaneously and automatically.

• Models should be clear & understandable to the biologist

There are good reasons to think that *information processing* is a key viewpoint to take when modeling

Life as we know is:

- coded in discrete units (DNA, RNA, Proteins)
- combinatorially assembles interactions (DNA-RNA, DNA-Proteins, RNA-Proteins , etc) through evolution and self-organisation
- Life emerges from these interacting parts
- Information is:
- transported in time (heredity, memory e.g. neural, immune system, etc)
 transported in space (molecular transport processes, channels, pumps, etc)
- Transport in time = storage/memory \rightarrow a computational process
- ightarrow Transport in space = communication ightarrow a computational process
- $^\circ$ Signal Transduction = processing \rightarrow a computational process

Computer Science Contributions Methodologies designed to cope with:

• Languages to cope with complex, concurrent, systems of parts: J.Twycross, L.R. Band, M. J. Bennett, J.R.

- □-calculus
- Process Calculi
- King, and N. Krasnogor. Stochastic and deterministic multiscale models for systems biology: an auxin-transport case study. BMC Systems Biology, 4(:34), March 2010
- P Systems
- Tools to analyse and optimise:
 - EA, ML
 - Model Checking





P-Systems: Modelling Principles

Molecules Structured Molecules	Objects Strings
Molecular Species	Multisets of objects/strings
Membranes/organelles	Membrane
Biochemical activity	rules
Biochemical activity Biochemical transport	rules Communication rules

Stochastic P Systems

 $\Pi = (O, L, \mu, M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_n, R_{l_1}, \ldots, R_{l_m})$

- O is a finite alphabet representing objects;
- *L* = {*l*₁,...,*l_m*} is a finite alphabet of labels identifying compartments types.
- μ is a membrane structure containing $n \ge 1$ membranes labelled with elements from *L*.
- *M_i* = (*I_i*, *w_i*, *s_i*) is the initial configuration of membrane *i* with *I_i* ∈ *L*, *w_i* ∈ *O*^{*} a finite multiset of objects and *s_i* a finite set of strings over *O*.
- $R_{l_t} = \{r_1^{l_t}, \dots, r_{k_{l_t}}^{l_t}\}$ is a finite set of rewriting rules associated with compartments of type $l_t \in L$.





















Scalability through Modularity

Cellular functions arise from **orchestrated interactions between motifs** consisting of many molecular interacting species.

A *P System model* is a set of rules representing molecular interactions motifs that appear in many cellular systems.

Basic P System Modules Used

Module Name	Type No	Module Size	Module	Biological Function
Com	3	1	$Com(\{X,Y,Z\},\{c\},\{l\}) = \{\lfloor X+Y \rfloor_l \xrightarrow{c} \lfloor Z \rfloor_l\}$	complex formation
Diss	4	1	$Diss(\{X,Y,Z\},\{c\},\{l\}) = \{[X]_l \xrightarrow{c} [Y+Z]_l\}$	complex dissociation
UnReg	0	4	$Un \operatorname{Re} g(\{G, R, P\}, \{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\}, \{l\}) = \begin{cases} [G]_l & \stackrel{c_1}{\longrightarrow} [G + R]_l \\ [R]_l & \stackrel{c_2}{\longrightarrow} [R + P]_l \\ [R]_l & \stackrel{c_3}{\longrightarrow} [I] \end{cases}$	unregulated expression
Pos	1	6	$ \begin{array}{c} Pos(\{Act,G,R,P\},\{c_1,c_2,c_3,c_4,c_3,c_6\},\{l\}) = \\ & \left[[Act,G]_l \xrightarrow{c_1} S[Act,G]_l \\ [Act,G]_l \xrightarrow{c_2} [Act,G]_l \\ - \left[[Act,G]_l \xrightarrow{c_2} S[Act,G]_R \\ [R]_l \xrightarrow{c_4} R+P]_l \\ [R]_l \xrightarrow{c_4} S[R+P]_l \\ [R]_l \xrightarrow{c_4} S[I_l \\] \end{array} \right] $	positive regulated expression
Neg	2	2	$Neg(\{\operatorname{Re} p, G\}, \{c_1, c_2\}, \{l\}) = - \begin{cases} [\operatorname{Rep} + G]_l & \stackrel{c_1}{\longrightarrow} [\operatorname{Rep} G]_l \\ [\operatorname{Rep} G]_l & \stackrel{c_2}{\longrightarrow} [\operatorname{Rep} + G]_l \end{cases}$	negative regulated expression







Characterisation/Encapsulation of Cellular Parts: Degradation Tags

Degradation tags are amino acid sequences recognised by proteases. Once the corresponding DNA sequence is fused to a gene the <u>half life of the protein is</u> reduced considerably.



















An example: A Pulse Generator Two different bacterial strains carrying specific synthetic gene regulatory networks are used. The first strain produces a diffusible signal AHL. The second strain possesses a synthetic gene regulatory network which produces a pulse of GFP after AHL sensing within a range of values (Band Pass).

























Alternating signal pulses in synthetic bacterial colonies

Simulation IV

Outline

- •Essential Systems Biology
- •Synthetic Biology
- •Computational Modeling for Synthetic Biology
- •A Note on Ethical, Social and Legal Issues
- Conclusions

Synthetic Biology

The new science of synthetic biology aims to re-engineer life at the molecular level and even create completely new forms of life. It has the potential to create new medicines, biofuels, assist climate change through carbon capture, and develop solutions to help clean up the environment.

GREEN RED

What is Synthetic Biology?

Synthetic Biology is

A) the design and construction of new biological parts, devices, and systems, and

B) the re-design of existing, natural biological systems for useful purposes.

Been There, Done That









What IS Synthetic Biology?

Synthetic Biology is

/syntheticbiology.org/

A) the design and construction of new biological parts, devices, and systems, and

B) the re-design of existing, natural biological systems for useful purposes.

C) Through rigorous mathematical, computational engineering routes

Biology only smarter, safer and clearer



Outline

- •Essential Systems Biology
- •Synthetic Biology
- •Computational Modeling for Synthetic Biology
- •A Note on Ethical, Social and Legal Issues

•Conclusions

Summary & Conclusions

•These lectures have focused on an integrative methodology for Systems & Synthetic Biology

Executable Biology

108

•Parameter and Model Structure Discovery

•Computational models (or executable in Fisher & Henzinger's jargon) adhere to (a degree) to an operational semantics.

•Refer to the excellent review [Fisher & Henzinger, Nature Biotechnology, 2007]

Summary & Conclusions

•The gap present in mathematical models between the model and its algorithmic implementation disappears in computational models as all of them are algorithms.

•A new gap appears between the biology and the modeling technique and this can be solved by a judicious "feature selection", i.e. the selection of the correct abstractions

•Good computational models are more intuitive and analysable

109

Summary & Conclusions

•Computational models can thus be executed (quite a few tools out there, lots still missing)

•Quantitative VS qualitative modelling: computational models can be very useful even when not every detail about a system is known.

•Missing Parameters/model structures can sometimes be fitted with of-the-shelf optimisation strategies (e.g. COPASI, GAs, etc)

•Computational models can be analysed by model checking: thus they can be used for testing hypothesis and expanding experimental data in a principled way

Summary & Conclusions

•Some really nice tutorials and other sources:

•Luca Caderlli's BraneCalculus & BioAmbients

•Simulating Biological Systems in the Stochastic π -calculus by Phillips and Cardelli

•From Pathway Databases to Network Models by Aguda and Goryachev

•Modeling and analysis of biological processes by Brane Calculi and Membrane Systems by Busi and Zandron

•D. Gilbert's website contain several nice papers with related methods and tutorials

111

Other Sources

F. J. Romero-Campero, J. Twycross, M. Camara, M. Bennett, M. Gheorghe, and N. Krasnogor. Modular assembly of cell systems biology models using p systems. International Journal of Foundations of Computer Science, (to appear), 2009.

110

F.J. Romero-Camero and N. Krasnogor. An approach to biomodel engineering based on p systems. In Proceedings of Computation In Europe (CIE 2009), 2009.

J. Smaldon, N. Krasnogor, M. Gheorghe, and A. Cameron. Liposome logic. In Proceedings of the 2009 Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference (GECCO 2009), 2009

F. Romero-Campero, H.Cao, M. Camara, and N. Krasnogor. Structure and parameter estimation for cell systems biology models. In Maarten Keijzer et.al, editor, Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference (GECCO-2008), pages 331-338. ACM Publisher, 2008. This paper won the Best Paper award at the Bioinformatics track.

J. Smaldon, J. Blake, D. Lancet, and N. Krasnogor. A multi-scaled approach to artificial life simulation with p systems and dissipative particle dynamics. In Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference (GECCO-2008). ACM Publisher, 2008 112

