

MODELING THE SPREAD OF COMMUNITY-ASSOCIATED MRSA

Charles M. Macal
Michael J. North
Nicholson Collier

Argonne National Laboratory
Argonne, IL 60439 USA
and
University of Chicago
Chicago, IL 60637 USA

Diane S. Lauderdale
Michael Z. David
Robert S. Daum
Philip Shumm
Robert S. Daum
James A. Evans
Jocelyn R. Wilder

University of Chicago
Chicago, IL 60637 USA

Vanja M. Dukic

University of Colorado - Boulder
Boulder, CO 80309 USA

Duane T. Wegener

Ohio State University
Columbus, OH 43210 USA

ABSTRACT

Community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (CA-MRSA) are strains of the bacterium *S. aureus* that are responsible for skin and soft tissue, blood, bone, and other infections that can be life threatening. CA-MRSA strains are resistant to standard antibiotics related to penicillins and have a high prevalence in the general community, as well as in healthcare facilities. CA-MRSA presents novel challenges for computational epidemiological modeling compared to other commonly modeled diseases. CA-MRSA challenges include modeling activities and contact processes of individuals in which direct skin contact can be an important infection pathway, estimating disease transmission parameters based on limited data, and representing behavioral responses of individuals to the disease and healthcare interventions. We are developing a fine-grained agent-based model of CA-MRSA for the Chicago metropolitan area. This paper describes how we are modeling CA-MRSA disease processes based on variants of standard epidemiological models and individual agent-based approaches.

1 INTRODUCTION

Community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (CA-MRSA) are strains of the bacterium *S. aureus* that are responsible for skin and soft tissue, blood, bone, and other infections that can be life threatening. CA-MRSA strains are resistant to standard antibiotics related to penicillins and have a high prevalence in the general community, as well as in healthcare facilities. CA-MRSA presents novel challenges for computational epidemiological modeling compared to other commonly modeled diseases, such as influenza and smallpox. There have been few epidemiological simulation models focusing on CA-MRSA until now. This paper describes aspects of modeling CA-MRSA and its spread throughout the community that have not been previously reported. These include modeling the co-location of individuals and the nature of contact between individuals that could result in CA-MRSA transmission, individual behavior in response to MRSA infection, and the effects of healthcare interventions on individuals. The modeling approaches developed here may also apply to other diseases and in other public health contexts.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides background on CA-MRSA and epidemiological modeling. Section 3 describes the CA-MRSA modeling problem and our approaches to modeling contact, disease transmission and transition, behavior, and metrics. Section 4 presents conclusions.

2 BACKGROUND

2.1 Community Associated (CA-)MRSA

Staphylococcus aureus is a bacterium first described in 1880 in pus from surgical abscesses. Frequently, *S. aureus* lives on the skin, in the nose, throat, and other body surfaces. It has been estimated that up to 30% of the population are “staph carriers,” exhibiting no symptoms unless an active infection is present. Through the 1950s, *S. aureus* infections were generally treated with early beta-lactam antibiotics including penicillin. In the early 1960s an antibiotic-resistant form of *S. aureus*, named MRSA (Methicillin-Resistant *S. aureus*) was isolated in the United Kingdom. MRSA was identified in the U.S. in 1968. Initially described in hospitals and facilities, this strain was referred to as “health care-associated” MRSA (HA-MRSA). HA-MRSA strains had evolved an ability to resist treatment with all beta-lactam antibiotics, requiring treatment with specialized antibiotics. In hospitals, patients with open wounds, invasive devices, and compromised immune systems were at greater risk for contracting this infection. The spread of bacterial colonies from patient to patient was attributed in part to contacts with hospital staff, which suggests that modeling the nature and frequency of contacts between workers and patients is of central importance in modeling HA-MRSA transmission.

MRSA was identified in the general community outside of the healthcare system in the late 1990s, and genetically distinct strains came to be called Community-Associated MRSA (CA-MRSA). Simple CA-MRSA skin infections are often found in people living or working in close quarters where skin contact is more likely, such as in households or as members of sports teams, prison inmates, child care workers, etc. However, individuals with no apparent risk factors have also contracted CA-MRSA. Why some healthy people develop CA-MRSA skin infections that are treatable, whereas others infected with the same strain develop severe and potentially deadly infections is the subject of ongoing research.

CA-MRSA infection reports increased dramatically across the U.S. and Europe in the early 1990s, leading to the recognition that CA-MRSA had reached epidemic proportions. CA-MRSA became the most frequent cause of skin and soft tissue infections seen in emergency departments in the U.S. throughout the first decade of the 2000s. The frequency of reported deaths from invasive MRSA infections surpassed the number of deaths from HIV-AIDS in the U.S. to become the number one public health crisis in the country. Lee et al. (2012) estimate that in the U.S., CA-MRSA imposes an annual burden of \$478 million to \$2.2 billion on third-party payers and \$1.4 -13.8 billion on society, depending on the CA-MRSA definitions and incidences. One of the goals of the CA-MRSA model discussed here is to understand the factors that might have been responsible for the rapid progress of the epidemic in its early stages.

2.2 Epidemiological Modeling

The differential equation model proposed by Kermack and McKendrick (1927) for modeling infectious disease spread provides a conceptual framework for modeling CA-MRSA in an agent-based modeling framework. The SIR model, as it is known, has been widely used to understand and predict the behavior of many epidemics. A population is divided into three groups, or compartments, which consist of susceptible individuals, denoted by S , infected individuals, denoted by I , and recovered individuals, denoted by R (thus S-I-R):

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= -\beta SI / N \\
\frac{dI}{dt} &= \beta SI / N - \gamma I \\
\frac{dR}{dt} &= \gamma
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Initial conditions : $S_0 = N - 1, I_0 = 1, R_0 = 0$.

where β is related to both the number of contacts an individual has with other individuals and the likelihood that an infected individual transmits the infection to a susceptible individual upon contact, γ is the rate at which infected individuals recover from an infection, which is taken as $1/(\text{mean duration of the illness})$, and N is the population size, assumed to be constant. The SIR model is termed a homogeneous mixing model because of three implicit assumptions: the population is fully mixed in such a way that a susceptible individual is equally likely to have contact with anyone in the population; all individuals have the same number of contacts per unit time; and infected individuals transmit the disease to any susceptible individual with the same probability. Each of these assumptions is relaxed in an agent-based epidemic model, which, unlike the deterministic system in Equation (1), is also stochastic in nature.

Equation (1) represents the number of susceptible individuals that become infected in the time interval Δt . Sterman (2000) and others note that β is a composite of two factors, the number of contacts per individual, β_c , and the probability that the infection is transmitted from an infected individual to a susceptible individual upon contact, β_i , as in $\beta = \beta_c \beta_i$, where only the composite of β_c and β_i appears in Equation (1). If ΔS is the number of susceptibles infected in Δt , then

$$\Delta S = (\text{number of susceptibles, } S) \times \text{Pr}[\text{Susceptible becomes infected in } \Delta t].$$

Decomposing the probability that a susceptible individual becomes infected into its contact and infectivity components yields the following:

$$\Delta S = (\text{Number of contacts per individual}) \times \text{Pr}[\text{infection is transmitted from an infected individual to a susceptible individual upon contact}] \times SI / N.$$

where the number of contacts per individual is taken as an average value in the deterministic SIR model.

In an agent-based model of disease transmission, the activities of individuals that lead to contact with others are explicitly modeled. Disease transmission is context-dependent and modeled as a stochastic process. The processes that give rise to contact and infection, can be treated separately, mechanistically, and in great detail. There are equivalence relationships between differential equation, system dynamics, and agent-based models that can inform the epidemic agent-based modeling process (Rahmandad and Sterman 2008, Macal 2010).

2.3 Agent-based Epidemiological Modeling

From a public health perspective, Maglio and Mabry (2011) observe that agent-based modeling applications to public health are relatively new, but see ABM as part of an important “movement in public health to embrace systems science methodologies and the interdisciplinary study of complex systems.” Some large-scale agent-based epidemiological models have appeared in the literature with varying degrees of detail and sophistication. Many of these focus on modeling influenza, such as EpiSimS, a massive simulation of the dynamics of contagious pandemic influenza in an artificial society (Stroud et al. 2007), GSAM, a global simulation of pandemic influenza (Parker and Epstein 2011), and the urban pandemic in-

fluenza model by Aleman et al. (2009). An agent-based approach to modeling infective processes explicitly models the processes of contact, infection, treatment, and recovery.

2.4 Background on Modeling HA-MRSA

Detailed simulation models for HA-MRSA in the healthcare setting include Chamchod and Ruan (2012), Meng et al. (2010), Barnes et al. (2010), D'Agata et al. (2009), Forrester et al. (2005), Hotchkiss et al. (2005), Raboud et al. (2005), and Seville and Valleron (1997). HA-MRSA models are typically probabilistic transmission models or discrete-event simulations that model movements of healthcare workers, contacts with patients, and patient contact with the hospital environment. Facilities are represented in spatial detail, and time is represented in discrete increments, as in a process or discrete event simulation. This approach facilitates the fine-grained modeling of individual contacts between patients and healthcare workers, including the nature of, duration of, and timing of contacts, which is necessary to fully investigate the effects of such contact. In another application, Lee et al. (2011) model HA-MRSA transmission in a model of a hospital system by modeling patient transfers between facilities.

3 MODELING CA-MRSA

The challenges for modeling CA-MRSA differ from those for modeling HA-MRSA. Instead of modeling individual facilities or the relationships between facilities, the entire community must be modeled. There are many open questions about the types of contact between individuals that might result in CA-MRSA transmission and the importance of individual factors, such as genetic predisposition, demographic characteristics, etc. In addition, some individuals seem to resist colonization and infection, while others appear to be in a semi-permanent state of colonization. CA-MRSA differs from other diseases such as influenza in several important ways for modeling; in influenza models, the geographic scope is often national or global, as mobility patterns could allow infection to spread around the globe within a short time. For CA-MRSA, the focus is on the community, and the geographic scope is local or regional, such as a metropolitan area; the effects of new people entering the region are not thought to be large once CA-MRSA has been established in the community. The asymptomatic colonization state makes the disease interesting in terms of modeling agent behaviors. Specific aspects of modeling CA-MRSA contact and transmission as embodied in the CA-MRSA ABM are described in the following sections. The CA-MRSA ABM is being developed as part of the Models of Infectious Disease Agent Study (MIDAS 2012).

3.1 Contact Through Modeling Agent Activities

The CA-MRSA ABM models people as agents who go through their daily activities at various places in the metropolitan area, on an hourly basis, and separately for weekdays and weekends. Agents engage in activities that afford possibilities for disease transition, such as self-infection due to an abrasion or injury, or transmission, if other agents are present and have close contact. The model includes a synthetic population of agents for the metro area based on U.S. Census and other data (Wheaton et al. 2009). Individual places across the area are included in the model, with locations denoted by geographic coordinates. Agents located in the same place and engaged in the same activity at the same time in the simulation possibly have the type of close physical contact with one another that can result in CA-MRSA transmission. They may transition to different disease states through contact or other possible disease state transition pathways.

Data are combined from many data sources to model the daily activities of the synthetic agent population. The American Time Use Survey (ATUS) is used to model adult activities. ATUS, available from the U.S. Department of Labor, measures the amount of time people spend in various activities, such as paid work, childcare, volunteering, and socializing. The Panel Study of Income Dynamics (PSID) is used to model children's activities. PSID, administered by the University of Michigan, is a longitudinal household survey. The ATUS data categorize an extensive number of individual daily activities. For the CA-MRSA ABM, ATUS activities are aggregated into a smaller number of categories most relevant to the

kinds of contact that might occur for individuals engaged in the activities and the possibilities these activities afford for CA-MRSA disease state transmission and transition. Places and activities relevant to CA-MRSA include households, schools, workplaces, hospitals and clinics, jails and prisons, and general living quarters, such as nursing homes, college dorms, gyms, and military barracks. These CA-MRSA specific activities can be modified as new data and studies are reported. Each place and activity type has its own model for the likelihood of uncolonized individuals becoming colonized or infected when engaged in that activity.

Agent activities vary by time of year; for example, children are out of school during summer recess and have different contact patterns. We assume that the time of year is not important in terms of the direct effect of environmental conditions (temperature, humidity, rainfall, etc.) on CA-MRSA infectivity, since no data suggests these factors are important for CA-MRSA.

To model individual activities and movements as realistically as possible, assignment algorithms have been developed to match specific people with specific places and activities. Children agents are matched to schools, people agents are matched to workplaces, individuals who visit other households are matched to a set of households they could likely visit, etc. For example, children are matched to the nearest schools in their neighborhood, based on geographic coordinates of households and schools. Within schools, students are assigned to grade levels based on age, which allows for children to have more contact with the children in their same classrooms, and less contact with other children in the same school. The matching is designed to be statistically accurate. Although an agent may not correspond exactly to a specific person in the population engaged in an activity at a particular time and place, the aggregate of all the agents engaging in all such activities at a particular time is consistent with empirical data at the regional level.

3.2 Modeling Disease Transmission and Disease State Transition

We model the disease transitions between the three disease states for CA-MRSA: colonized (denoted by C), uncolonized (denoted by U), and infected (denoted by I). The uncolonized state corresponds to the state S and infected to state I in the SIR model, Equation (1). Colonized is an additional compartment corresponding to an asymptomatic state in which an individual has measurable levels of CA-MRSA but exhibits no symptoms. An individual transitions between pairs of these states and is susceptible to repeated colonizations and infections. Figure 1 summarizes the CA-MRSA disease states and transitions in the CA-MRSA ABM.

Various approaches to modeling contact have been used in epidemiological models. Some model disease state transition as a Markov process with probabilistic “memoryless” agent disease state transitions (Larson 2007). Others model the social contact structure for individuals within households based on family relationships. For example, Marathe et al. (2011) considers synthesized household contact networks for complete mixing and care-giver types. In care-giver networks, infected individuals have contact only with caregivers, who in turn have contact with the rest of the household members.

There is incomplete data at this time on the nature of various contacts and specific relationships among individuals that result in CA-MRSA colonization or infection. So the CA-MRSA ABM uses a hybrid approach to model contact likelihood, based partly on perfect mixing and partly on implied social network relationships by activity. Disease transition at the individual level is modeled by transition probabilities and event scheduling. The event scheduler is a nondeterministic finite state automaton (FSA) and discrete event simulation (DES). The FSA models individual disease state transition in the absence of agent behavioral responses or policy interventions. Effectively, this is a Markov discrete-time state transition model in which agents change disease states and are dynamically compartmentalized based on their current disease and activity states. The DES is used to model disease state transitions that depend on individual patient behavior and assumed policy interventions, and potentially of physician and healthcare worker behavior.

The next section describes modeling each disease transmission and transition pathway.




Figure 1: Disease State Transition Probabilities in CA-MRSA Model

3.2.1 Uncolonized to Colonized

An uncolonized individual may become colonized upon contact with a colonized or infected individual. The likelihood of an individual becoming colonized is greater when the individual contacts an infected individual than when contacting a colonized individual. We assume CA-MRSA is only transmitted between individual people; transmission does not occur from animals to people or through contact with inanimate objects (fomites). If ongoing research shows other transmission pathways could be important, the structure of the CA-MRSA ABM allows them to be readily incorporated.

We define the parameter a as the empirically-estimated transition probability of an uncolonized individual (in disease state U) becoming colonized (transitioning to disease state C) due to contact for one hour with a colonized individual. (Empirical estimation of all parameters in the model is described in Section 3.2.6.) Parameter a is the only disease state transition parameter in the CA-MRSA model that is the result of physical contact between individuals. To reflect a higher probability of becoming colonized upon contact with an infected individual, we define the Transmission Intensity Parameter (TIP), which scales the transmission rate. The value of TIP is based on expert judgment of clinical physicians, and is one of the model parameters designated for sensitivity testing experiments.

The likelihood of transmission also depends on the kind of activity individuals are engaged in that results in contact. For example, activities in which abrasions are more likely as the result of contact, such as certain sports activities, are more likely to result in colonization of an uncolonized individual by a colonized or infected individual. To account for this activity-related risk, a is adjusted according to the risk level of the place and activity in which the contact occurs. This scaling factor is denoted as the Place/Activity Risk parameter (PAR). Equation 2 summarizes the state transition process from the uncolonized to the colonized state.

$$\Pr[U_s \rightarrow C] = TIP_s \times PAR \times a \quad (2)$$

where U_s is the disease state of the uncolonized individual having contact with an individual in state $s \in \{C, I\}$, and TIP_s depends on the state of the contacting individual.

3.2.2 Colonized to Infected

Colonized individuals may develop infections by infecting themselves as a result of a skin abrasion, cut, or other injury, represented as parameter b , estimated from empirical data. In the model,

$$\Pr[C \rightarrow I] = AIP \times b$$

where b is the probability of a colonized individual becoming infected per hour, and AIP (Activity Infection Parameter) is a parameter that reflects the relative likelihood that an activity results in an infection. An infected individual either clears the infection naturally through self-care or seeks treatment. Upon receiving care, the individual is treated with antibiotics and most likely clears the infection, returning to either a colonized (parameter c below) or uncolonized state (parameter d below). If the infection clears by itself, we assume it takes several days longer to clear than if it is treated. We assume that an individual passes through the C state to become infected; direct infection by a colonized or infected individual is not an important pathway.

3.2.3 Infected to Colonized

We assume an infected individual eventually clears the infection, either through self-care or by receiving treatment, and returns to either a colonized state or uncolonized state. We characterize the disease state transition from I to C by a probability as:

$$\Pr[I \rightarrow C] = c$$

where c is the probability of an infected individual transitioning to a colonized state per hour after receiving treatment, estimated from empirical data. If the individual does not receive treatment, this probability is adjusted downward, as described below and represented in Figure 4, corresponding to observed disease durations for individuals who self-care.

3.2.4 Infected to Uncolonized

We characterize the disease state transition from I to U by the probability :

$$\Pr[I \rightarrow U] = d$$

where d is the probability of an infected individual transitioning to an uncolonized state per hour after seeking treatment, estimated from empirical data. If the individual does not receive treatment, this probability is adjusted downward, corresponding to observed disease durations for individuals who do not seek treatment.

3.2.5 Colonized to Uncolonized

An individual may spontaneously become uncolonized (i.e., without treatment), as the individual's own defenses eliminate the bacteria, or through targeted decolonization treatments. The hourly probability of the transition is denoted by parameter e , estimated from empirical data:

$$\Pr[C \rightarrow U] = e.$$

3.2.6 Estimating Disease Transmission and Transition Parameters

Miller et al. (2012) conducted a cross-sectional study of adults and children with skin infections and their household contacts in Los Angeles and Chicago; it is one of the few sources of detailed data on CA-MRSA infection and colonization disease states over time. These data, along with data from studies in the literature on CA-MRSA infection and colonization, are the basis for estimating disease state transmission probabilities.

Describing the full process for estimating transmission parameters is beyond the scope of the current paper. In general, parameter estimates are based on Miller et al. (2012) and a small set of time series data from the literature. Bootstrap resampling is applied to the household contact data to estimate uncertainty ranges for the disease state transition parameters a , c , d , and e ; data from several studies on CA-MRSA infection rates are used to estimate the range for parameter b . Figure 2 shows, for example, the resampled distribution for disease state transitions $U \rightarrow C$. Figure 3 shows the estimated ranges for all parameters.




Figure 2: Bootstrap resampling statistics for portion of the population transitioning from U to C state in 90 days based on 660 data points and 200 samples. 95% confidence interval is $[0.0796, 0.1308]$ (used for estimation of parameter a),




Figure 3: Estimated 95% confidence intervals for all disease state transition parameters

3.2.7 Modeling Behaviors and Policy Interventions

Ferguson (2007) contends that understanding the dynamics of infectious-disease transmission demands a holistic approach, yet today's models largely ignore how epidemics change individual behavior. Some models incorporate endogenous behavior of agents who dynamically respond to disease states computed by the model. Del Valle et al. (2005) use a simplified model of an epidemic outbreak that includes behavioral changes modeled by the permanent transfer of individuals during the outbreak to a less active class transfer rates that depend on people's knowledge of the outbreak as measured by the number of identified cases. Epstein et al. (2008) model two interacting compartmentalized contagion processes: one of disease and one of fear of the disease. Aleman et al. (2009) and Aleman et al. (2011) model the actions of individuals based on the recognition of a pandemic outbreak; as people realize an outbreak is occurring, they

decide to quarantine themselves, admit themselves to hospitals, etc. Lizon et al. (2010) incorporate patient behaviors and interactions with healthcare workers into their model.

We develop a framework for modeling behavior of patients, and potentially of healthcare workers. Figure 4 shows a scenario in which an individual agent acquires a CA-MRSA infection for the first time. The behavioral framework shown explicitly represents the infection duration, actions the agent might take in response to the infection, and a possible public health intervention. An alternative behavioral model might be invoked for the same agent if infection reoccurs; for example, the next time the agent develops an infection, they might seek treatment more quickly and receive alternate treatments.




Figure 4: Discrete Event Framework for Modeling Behavior

3.3 Data Logging and Metrics

A deterministic differential equation epidemic model produces the values for the state variables over the simulation time horizon, which consists of the numbers of individuals in each disease state. A detailed agent-based model produces data on many more variables in a single simulation run, from agent disease states to agent interaction outcomes, to agent behavioral responses activities. The stochastic nature of the simulation also requires many simulation runs to properly characterize uncertainty. Recording all of the data the model produces is prohibitive due to the overhead of recording and storing the data. A subset of “indicator variables” consists of summary statistics computed by the model and logged as the simulation progresses. The indicator variables are initially used for debugging and to determine whether the model is producing reasonable results; later in the modeling process indicator variables are used for model calibration, verification, and validation. Indicator variables include infected and colonized counts at each time, new colonizations due to contacts with infected and colonized individuals, dendograms for selected agents that portray their entire disease state history, and many more.

Indicator variables include metrics that correspond to the metrics that public health decision makers typically use to understand epidemics. One of the key metrics that indicates the severity of an epidemic is called the basic reproduction ratio, referred to as “ R_0 ,” an empirical statistic often calculated from surveillance data in the initial stages of fast-moving epidemics, such as influenza. R_0 is defined as “the expected number of secondary cases produced in a completely susceptible population, by a typical infected individual during its entire period of infectiousness” (Diekmann et al. 1990) and is typically calculated as the spectral radius of the next generation operator for a differential equation epidemic model. From Equation (1), R_0 (not to be confused with the number of recovered individuals at time zero from Equation (1)) is estimated as β/γ , which can be seen by assuming the index patient is the only infected at $t = 0$, and interacts with a fully susceptible population. When estimated in this way, R_0 is a threshold, rather than the average number of secondary infections and is used to understand whether an epidemic will die out ($R_0 < 1$) or become endemic ($R_0 > 1$). Longini et al. (2005) provides a method for calculating R_0 from a stochastic epidemiological model, which also applies to agent-based models, by performing many simulations starting with a single infected individual entering a wholly susceptible population. For an agent-based model, R_0 can also be calculated explicitly, true to its definition as the number of secondary infections per infected individual per infection episode by logging data on agent colonization/infection (C/I) events and C/I interactions with other agents. This calculation is done in the CA-MRSA ABM.

4 CONCLUSIONS

We are developing a fine-grained agent-based model of community associated CA-MRSA for the Chicago metropolitan area. Time is represented at the hourly level and space is represented at the place and activity level. The model represents the details of contact and disease transmission and transition for individuals as they go through their daily routines and participate in various activities in various places. The model combines U.S. Census data, samples of individual activity diaries, data from the CA-MRSA literature, clinical data, expert judgments based on clinical experience, and specialized studies. The model provides a detailed picture of general activity patterns of the population, CA-MRSA transmission pathways, and individuals’ behaviors in response to contracting the disease and public health programs. Using traditional epidemic models as a foundation, several modeling challenges (modeling contact, infectivity, behavior, metrics) are addressed in making the transition to the CA-MRSA ABM, some of which are applicable to other diseases that share CA-MRSA characteristics.

5 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences, Models of Infectious Disease Agent Study (MIDAS), grant number U01GM087729, and the U.S. Department of Energy under contract number DE-AC02-06CH11357.

REFERENCES

- Aleman, D., T. Wibisono, and B. Schwartz. 2009. “Accounting for Individual Behavior and Demographics in Pandemic Disease Modeling.” *Proc. 2009 Winter Simulation Conf.*, M. D. Rossetti, R. Hill, B. Johansson, A. Dunkin and R. G. Ingalls, eds., 86-98, Wiley-IEEE Press.
- Aleman, D., T. Wibisono, and B. Schwartz. 2011. “A Non-Homogeneous Mixing Model For Predicting Pandemic Disease Spread.” *Interfaces Special Issue on Humanitarian Applications* 41(3):301-315.
- Barnes, S., B. Golden, and E. Wasil. 2010. “MRSA Transmission Reduction Using Agent-Based Modeling and Simulation.” *INFORMS Journal on Computing* 22(4):635-646.
- Chamchod F., and S. Ruan. 2012. “Modeling the Spread of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Nursing Homes for Elderly.” *PLoS ONE* 7(1):e29757.

- D'Agata, E., G. Webb, M. Horn, R. Moellering, and S. Ruan. 2009. "Modeling the Invasion of Community-Acquired Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* into Hospitals." *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 48(3):274–284.
- Del Valle, S., H. Hethcote, J. M. Hyman, and C. Castillo-Chavez. 2005. "Effects Of Behavioral Changes In A Smallpox Attack Model." *Mathematical Biosciences.* 195(2):228-251.
- Diekmann, O., J. A. P. Heesterbeek, and J. A. J. Metz. 1990. "On the Definition and Computation of the Basic Reproduction Ratio R_0 in Models of Infectious Diseases in Heterogeneous Populations." *Journal of Mathematical Biology* 28(4):365–382.
- Epstein, J., J. Parker, D. Cummings, and R. Hammond. 2008. "Coupled Contagion Dynamics of Fear and Disease: Mathematical and Computational Explorations." *PLoS ONE* 3(12):e3955.
- Ferguson, N. 2007. "Capturing Human Behavior." *Nature* 446:733, April.
- Forrester, M., B. Comm, B. Arts, and A. Pettitt. 2005. "Use of Stochastic Epidemic Modeling to Quantify Transmission Rates of Colonization With Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in an Intensive Care Unit." *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology* 26(7):598-606.
- Hotchkiss, J., D. Strike, D. Simonson, A. Broccard, and P. Crooke. 2005. "An Agent-Based and Spatially Explicit Model of Pathogen Dissemination in the Intensive Care Unit." *Critical Care Medicine* 33(1):168-176.
- Kermack, W., and A. McKendrick. 1927. "A Contribution to the Mathematical Theory of Epidemics." *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* 115:700-721.
- Larson, R. 2007. "Simple Models of Influenza Progression Within a Heterogeneous Population." *Operations Research* 55 (3):399–412.
- Lee, B., S. McGlone, et al. 2011. "Modeling the Spread of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) Outbreaks Throughout the Hospitals in Orange County, California." *Infection Control and Hospital* 32(6):562-572.
- Lee B., A. Singh, M. David, S. Bartsch, R. Slayton, S. Huang, S. Zimmer, M. Potter, C. Macal, D. Lauderdale, L. Miller, and R. Daum. 2012. "The Economic Burden of Community-Associated Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (CA-MRSA)." *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* May 21 [Adv. Epub].
- Lizon, N., D. Aleman, and B. Schwartz. 2010. "Incorporating Healthcare Systems in Pandemic Models," *Proc. 2010 Winter Simulation Conf.*, B. Johansson, S. Jain, J. Montoya-Torres, J. Hugan, and E. Yucesan, eds. 2230-2236. Baltimore, MD.
- Longini, I., A. Nizam, S. Xu, K. Ungchusak, W. Hanshaworakul, D. Cummings, and M. Halloran. 2005. "Containing Pandemic Influenza at the Source." *Science* 309:1083-1087, Supplement.
- Maglio, P. P., and P. L. Mabry. 2011. "Agent-Based Models and Systems Science Approaches to Public Health." *Am J Prev Med* 40(3):392–394, March.
- Macal, C. 2010. "To Agent-based Simulation from System Dynamics." *Proc. 2010 Winter Simulation Conf.* B. Johansson, S. Jain, J. Montoya-Torres, J. Hugan, and E. Yücesan, eds., 86-98. Wiley-IEEE Press.
- Marathe, A., B. Lewis, J. Chen, and S. Eubank. 2011. "Sensitivity of Household Transmission to Household Contact Structure and Size." *PLoS ONE* 6(8):e22461.
- Meng Y., R. Davies, K. Hardy, and P. Hawkey. 2010. "The Application of Agent-Based Simulation to the Modelling of MRSA Transmission in Hospital." *Journal of Simulation* 4:60-67.
- MIDAS (Models of Infectious Disease Agents Study). 2012. www.nigms.nih.gov/Research/FeaturedPrograms/MIDAS/.
- Miller, L., S. Eells, A. Taylor, M. David, N. Ortiz, D. Zychowski, N. Kumar, D. Cruz, S. Boyle-Vavra, and R. Daum. 2012. "*Staphylococcus aureus* Colonization Among Household Contacts of Patients With Skin Infections: Risk Factors, Strain Discordance, and Complex Ecology." *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 54(11):1523-1535.
- Parker J., and J. Epstein. 2011. "A Distributed Platform for Global-scale Agent-based Models of Disease Transmission." *ACM Trans. Model. Comput. Simul.* 22(1) Article 2.
- Raboud, J., R. Saskin, A. Simor, M. Loeb, K. Green, D. Low, and A. McGeer. 2005. "Modeling Trans-

- mission of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Among Patients Admitted to a Hospital.” *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology* 26(7):607-615.
- Rahmandad, H., and J. Sterman. 2008. “Heterogeneity and Network Structure in the Dynamics of Diffusion: Comparing Agent-Based and Differential Equation Models.” *Management Sci.* 54(5):998–1014.
- Sebille V., and A. J. Valleron. 1997. “A Computer Simulation Model for the Spread of Nosocomial Infections Caused by Multidrug-Resistant Pathogens.” *Computers and Biomedical Research* 30:307-322.
- Sterman, J. D., 2000, *Business Dynamics: Systems Thinking and Modeling for a Complex World*. Boston: Irwin McGraw-Hill.
- Stroud, P., S. Del Valle, S. Sydoriak, J. Riese and S. Mniszewski. 2007. “Spatial Dynamics of Pandemic Influenza in a Massive Artificial Society.” *Journal of Artificial Societies and Social Simulation* 10(4)9 <http://jasss.soc.surrey.ac.uk/10/4/9.html>.
- Wheaton, W. D., et al. 2009. *Synthesized Population Databases: A US Geospatial Database for Agent-Based Models*. Publication No. MR-0010-0905, RTI Press: North Carolina.

AUTHOR BIOGRAPHIES

CHARLES M. MACAL, PhD, PE, is a senior system engineer at Argonne National Laboratory and Senior Fellow of the Computation Institute (CI) of the University of Chicago (UC) (macal@anl.gov).

MICHAEL J. NORTH, MBA, PhD, is a software engineer at Argonne and Senior Fellow in the UC-CI (north@anl.gov).

NICHOLSON COLLIER, PhD, is a software engineer at Argonne (nick.collier@gmail.com).

VANJA M. DUKIC, PhD, is associate professor in the Dept. of Applied Mathematics at the University of Colorado-Boulder (Vanja.Dukic@colorado.edu).

DIANE S. LAUDERDALE, PhD, is professor of epidemiology in the UC Dept. of Health Studies (lauderdale@uchicago.edu).

MICHAEL Z. DAVID, MS, MD, PhD, is assistant professor in the UC Depts. of Medicine and Health Studies (mdavid@medicine.bsd.uchicago.edu).

ROBERT S. DAUM, MD, CM, is professor of pediatrics, microbiology, and molecular medicine in the UC Dept. of Pediatrics and director of the UC MRSA Research Center (rdaum@bsd.uchicago.edu).

PHILIP SHUMM, MA, is senior biostatistician in the UC Dept. of Health Studies (pschumm@uchicago.edu).

JAMES A. EVANS, PhD, is associate professor in the UC Dept. of Sociology (jevans@uchicago.edu).

JOCELYN R. WILDER, MA, is a public health analyst in the UC Dept. of Health Studies (jwilder@health.bsd.uchicago.edu).

DUANE T. WEGNER, PhD, is professor in the Dept. of Psychology, Ohio State University (wegener.1@osu.edu).