

INSTRUCTOR



July 2015



Mike is Research Associate at ERCIS, the European Research Center for Information Systems, at the University of Muenster, Germany. He received Diploma degree and PhD at the Chair of Algorithm Engineering, Computer Science Department, TU Dortmund, Germany, in 1998 and 2013, respectively.

He investigates evolutionary algorithms for real-valued problems (multimodal and multi-objective optimization), and the experimental methodology for (non-deterministic) optimization algorithms. He is currently working on the adaptability and applicability of computational intelligence techniques for computer games and various engineering domains, pushing forward modern approaches of experimental analysis as the Exploratory Landscape Analysis (ELA) and innovative uses of surrogate models. Within the games field, he is mainly interested in AI for realtime strategy (RTS) games and procedural content generation (PCG).









ATTEMPTING A DEFINITION



July 2015

In a multimodal optimization task, the main purpose is to find multiple optimal solutions (global and local), so that the user can have a better knowledge about different optimal solutions in the search space and as and when needed, the current solution may be switched to another suitable optimum solution.

Deb, Saha: <u>Multimodal Optimization Using a Bi-Objective Evolutionary Algorithm</u>, ECJ, 2012

main tasks:

- alternative solutions
- problem knowledge





























EXACT RESULTS P(B) = 1, P(BR) = 0 under the assumption of equal probabilities (for single cards/basins), this can be computed formula of (Stadje. The collector's problem with group drawings. Advances in Applied Probability, 22(4):866-882, 1990): n = l = 1 for t₂ und n = l = b for t₃: E(Z(b, l, n, c)) = (^b_c) ∑ⁿ⁻¹_{j=0} (-1)^{n-j+1} (^l_j) (^j - ^j - 1) [(^b_c) - (^b - ^l + ^j)]⁻¹ b = cards/basins per drawing, c = number of cards/basins n = desired elements of desired set, l = desired set size



THIS IS SHOCKING!



25 July 2015

- under the equal basin size assumption, obtaining the global optimum (t2) needs on average b local searches!
- so basin identification does not make sense?

but:

- what about basin recognition?
- equal basin sizes not realistic
- we cannot know if we have reached t2
- situation changes if we want multiple solutions

Multimodal Optimization Mike Preuss.





26 July 2015

- we leave out perfect BR, no BI, seems unreasonable
- even under ideal circumstances, not much gain for t2
- but BI/BR help for t3:

Multimodal Optimization

Mike Preuss.

rationale for multimodal optimization

BI/BR accuracy	$E(t_2)/b$	$E(t_3)/b$
no BI, no BR	1	$R = \sum_{i=1}^{b} \frac{1}{i} \overset{b>3}{\approx} \gamma + \ln b$
perfect BI, no BR	1 from Stadje equation	Stadje equation
perfect BI and BR	0.5	1

 more complex cases (unequal basin sizes, PBI/PBR not 0 or 1) have to be simulated

























ONE-GLOBAL

Multimodal Optimization

Mike Preuss.



39 July 2015

 the BBOB (black-box optimization benchmark) established the expected runtime (ERT)

$$\operatorname{ERT}(f_{\operatorname{target}}) = \operatorname{RT}_{S} + \frac{1 - p_{s}}{p_{s}} \operatorname{RT}_{\operatorname{US}}$$

- MMO not really well suited to **one-global** scenario
- this could also be applied to other scenarios, need to redefine targets





41 July 2015

peak ratio (PR), but this is problematic

^	Nult	ımc	Idal	Up	tım	12
	Nko	Dre				

ation







PEAK DISTANCE (PD)



45 July 2015

$$PD(\mathcal{P}) := \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{nn}(\vec{z}_i, \mathcal{P})$$

introduced in slightly different form in

Stoean, Preuss, Stoean, Dumitrescu. Multimodal optimization by means of a topological species conservation algorithm. IEEE TEC 14(6) (2010) 842-864

- for every optimum, looks for nearest element in population P
- similar to inverted generational distance as known in MOO
- large result sets are not penalized (needs subset selection)
- no parameter needed, gradual improvement measured





GENERAL ME	THOD OVERVIEW		ERCIS
space	 parallel hillclimbers cellular EAs island model EAs multi-EA hybrids memetic algorithms canonical EAs 	nost niching EAs ●	
	sequential r	niching 🗨	
	 canonical EAs w. restarts 	:	
time	 multistart hillclimbers 		
	diversity maintenance	basin maintenance	
Multimodal Optimization Mike Preuss.			48 July 2015

WHAT NICHING CAN DO



- we assume that some sort of niching is necessary for MMO
- niching is meant as paradigm used to "organize search with respect to basins of attraction"
- it helps to avoid 2 problems:
- "Type I Error, Local search will be repeated in some region of attraction.

Type II Error, Local search will not start in some region of attraction even if a sample point has been located in that region of attraction."

this statement comes from an early global optimization work:

Ali, Storey. <u>Topographical multilevel single linkage</u>. Journal of Global Optimization, 5(4):349-358, 1994.

Multimodal Optimization Mike Preuss.

49 July 2015

NICHING BASED CLASSIFICATION



50 July 2015

- A. Explicit basin identification: mapping from search space to basins for determining the basin any location in the search space belongs to
- B. Basin avoidance (implicit basin identification or basin recognition): avoid search in known regions
- C. Diversity maintenance: spread out search while ignoring topology. Also constrained information exchange without explicit relation bot basins, e.g., by subpopulations or mating restrictions

٩IC	CHING BASED	TAXON	IOM	YI			
year	method name	author	class	dist.	obj.	k var	basic technique
1970	alg. of Becker and Lago	Becker	А	1	i	1	density based clustering
1973	Törn's LC algorithm	Toern	A	1	i	1	density based clustering
975	crowding	DeJong	C	,		,	local selection
984	single linkage GOA	Timmer	A	1	i	~	single linkage clustering
984	multi level single linkage	Timmer	A	1	1	1	topological & single-link
987	sharing	Goldberg	С				selection modification
1992	topographical GO	Toern	Α	~	~	√	topological
.993	sequential niching	Beasley	В			~	derating
993	adaptive clustering	Yin	A	1			k-means
994	tagging	Spears	С			~	randomized
996	dynamic peak identificat.	Miller	Α	~	i	~	single-link
996	clearing	Petrowski	Α	~	i	~	single-link
998	UEGO	Jelasity	Α	√	~	~	topological & single-link
998	SGA-CL	Hanagandi	Α	~	i	~	density based/Törn LC
1999	hill-valley method	Ursem	Α		~	√	topological
999	shifting balance GA	Oppacher	В	~		~	island location control
1999	classificat. tree speciation	Petrowski	Α	√	√	√	topological
1999	dynamic niche method	Gan	Α	~	i	\checkmark	topological
2000	$\kappa(\mu(\tau)/\rho, \lambda)$ -ES	Aichholzer	Α	~		\checkmark	complete linkage
2001	DNM wt. hill-valley	Gan	Α	~	~	~	topological
2002	NichePSO	Brits	A	~	~	~	stagnation & single-link
2002	DNM/niche linkage	Gan	Α	~	~	~	topological & single-link
2002	species conservation	Li	Α	~	i	~	single-link
Nultim Nike P	nodal Optimization reuss.						

year	method name	author	class	dist.	obj.	k var	basic technique
2003	clustering based niching	Streichert	Α	~		~	single-link
2004	clustered genetic search	Schaefer	Α	~	i	1	density based clustering
2005	ES dynamic niching	Shir2005	Α	~	i		single-link
2005	nearest-better clustering	Preuss	Α	√	~	√	topological
2005	sample-based crowding	Ando	Α		~	1	topological
2005	DE species conservation	Li	Α	~	i	~	single-link
2006	DNM wt. recursive middl.	Yao	Α	~	~	1	topological
2006	ES adaptive niching	Shir	Α	√	i		adaptive single-link
2006	adaptive niching PSO	Bird	Α	~		~	adaptive single-link
2007	fitness-euclidean dist.ratio	Li	Α	√	√	√	topological
2007	roaming	Lung	Α	~	~	~	topological & single-link
2007	topological species cons.	Stoean	Α		~	√	topological
2010	ES shape adaptive niching	Shir	Α	√	i		adaptive single-link
2010	topological species cons. 2	Stoean	Α	i	~	√	topological
2011	dynamic archive	Zhai	Α	~	~	~	adapt. slink/stagnation
2011	NOAH	Ulrich	С	√			density based removal
2012	nearest-better clustering 2	Preuss	Α	~	~	~	topological
2012	neighborhood based SC	Qu	Α	√	i	√	single-link
2012	multiobjectivization	Deb	Α	~	~	~	topological
2013	dADE/nrand/1	Epitropakis	Α	~	~	~	adaptive single-link

SOME FINDINGS



- many early "niching methods" are not class A niching methods
- the number of used techniques is limited: single-link, density based clustering, topological methods, archives appear often
- there are many A methods using distances, objective values and can handle a variable number of optima/basins
- early global optimization methods (e.g. Timmers' multi-level single linkage) may make good MMO algorithms
- there is nothing like BBOB (many algorithms comparisons) here

SEQUENTIAL NICHING



54 July 2015

- parallelizes in time (sequential)
- basically restarted local search
- modifies objective function to avoid known basins (derating)
- related to "tunneling"

Multimodal Optimization

Multimodal Optimization Mike Preuss

Mike Preuss.

- comes with the same problems: basins are not exactly known
- optima may not be completely hidden
- new optima may be introduced unintendedly

Beasley, Bull, Martin. A sequential niche technique for multimodal function optimization. Evolutionary Computation, 1(2):101-125, 1993

Multimodal Optimization Mike Preuss.

RADIUS-BASED APPROACHES



53 July 2015

- Niching Evolution Strategy (or Niching-CMA-ES) as example
- uses DPI (dynamic peak identification), fittest first ordering
- for every search point, we check if distance to any existing peak is < preset radius</p>
- $(1 + \lambda)$ is executed for every peak (in parallel)
- fixed number of niches
- extensions: shape learning, step size / radius coupling

Shir. Niching in Derandomized Evolution Strategies and its Applications in Quantum Control. PhD thesis, Universiteit Leiden, 2008

Multimodal Optimization Mike Preuss.

55 July 2015



- multi-level single-linkage (MLSL) uses a method very similar to DPI, but more than 10 years earlier
- a theoretically motivated radius separates "species"
- from an initial sample, local searches are executed to find the optima that belong to the starting set samples
- "detects" the number of optima by itself
- only used as global optimization algorithm, not for MMO

Rinnooy Kan, Boender, Timmer. A stochastic approach to global optimization. Technical Report WP1602-84, 1984.

306

MORE GLOBAL OPTIMIZATION METHODS



July 2015

- topographical global optimization (TGO) does away with radius
- uses the k-topograph (connect each point to all of k nearest neighbors that are worse) instead
- points without incoming connections are seen as near to local optima, used as start points for local search
- k usually > 8, so that only few local optima can be identified
- some published improvements, never used for MMO

Törn, Viitanen. Topographical global optimization. In Recent Advances in Global Optimization, pp. 384-398. Princeton University Press, 1992











ERCIS

DELS

1.0e-7

1.0e-8

































(original, vertical balance of impassables+left half concentration of impassables, horizontal balance of resources+top half concentration of resources, diagonal concentration of impassables, impassable segments+largest segment)





