Theory of Swarm Intelligence

Dirk Sudholt

University of Sheffield, UK

Tutorial at GECCO 2015

Parts of the material used with kind permission by Heiko Röglin and Carsten Witt.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the Owner/Author. GECCO '15, July 11-15, 2015, Madrid, Spain.

ACM 978-1-4503-3488-4/15/07. http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2739482.2756570



This project has received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme for research, technological development and demonstration under grant agreement no 618091 (SAGE).

Theory of Swarm Intelligence

Introduction



Introduction ACO in Pseudo-Boolean Optimization

- \bullet MMAS with best-so-far update
- How MMAS deals with plateaus
- MMAS with iteration-best update

3 ACO and Shortest Path Problems

- Single-Destination Shortest Paths
- All-Pairs Shortest Paths
- ACO and Minimum Spanning Trees
- 5 ACO and the TSP
- 6 Particle Swarm Optimization
 - Binary PSO
 - Continuous Spaces

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

7 Conclusions

Theory of Swarm Intelligence

Introduction

2 / 74

Swarm Intelligence

Collective behavior of a "swarm" of agents.

Examples from Nature

- dome construction by termites
- communication of bees
- ant trails
- foraging behavior of fish schools and bird flocks
- swarm robotics

Plenty of inspiration for optimization.

ACO and PSO

Ant colony optimization (ACO)

- inspired by foraging behavior of ants
- artificial ants construct solutions using pheromones
- pheromones indicate attractiveness of solution component

Particle swarm optimization (PSO)

- mimics search of bird flocks and fish schools
- particles "fly" through search space
- each particle is attracted by own best position and best position of neighbors

Theory

What "theory" can mean

- convergence analysis
- analysis of simplified models of algorithms
- empirical studies on test functions
- runtime analysis / computational complexity analysis
- . . .

Example Question

How long does it take on average until algorithm A finds a target solution on problem P?

Introduction

Notion of time: number of iterations, number of function evaluations

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield) Theory of Swarm Intelligence

5 / 74

Content

What this tutorial is about

- runtime analysis
- simple variants of swarm intelligence algorithms
- insight into their working principles
- impact of parameters and design choices on performance
- what distinguishes ACO/PSO from evolutionary algorithms?
- performance guarantees for combinatorial optimization
- methods and proof ideas

What this tutorial is not about

• convergence results

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

- analysis of models of algorithms
- no intend to be exhaustive

6 / 74

Pseudo-Boolean Optimization

Overview

Introduction

- 2 ACO in Pseudo-Boolean Optimization
 - \bullet MMAS with best-so-far update
 - How MMAS deals with plateaus
 - MMAS with iteration-best update

3 ACO and Shortest Path Problems

- Single-Destination Shortest Paths
- All-Pairs Shortest Paths

4 ACO and Minimum Spanning Trees

6 ACO and the TSP

- 6 Particle Swarm Optimization
 - Binary PSO
 - Continuous Spaces

Conclusions



452

Ant Colony Optimization (ACO)

Pseudo-Boolean Optimization

Theory of Swarm Intelligence

Main idea: artificial ants communicate via pheromones.

Scheme of ACO

Repeat:

- construct ant solutions guided by pheromones
- update pheromones by reinforcing good solutions

Introduction

Pseudo-Boolean Optimization

Pseudo-Boolean Optimization

Goal: maximize $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$.

Illustrative test functions
$$ONEMAX(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$
 $LEADINGONES(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{i} x_j$ $NEEDLE(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i$

ACO in Pseudo-Boolean Optimization

Pseudo-Boolean Optimization



Probability of choosing an edge equals pheromone on the edge.

Initial pheromones: $\tau(x_i = 0) = \tau(x_i = 1) = 1/2$.

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

Note: no linkage between bits. No heuristic information used.

Pheromones $\tau(x_i = 1)$ suffice to describe all pheromones.

Pseudo-Boolean Optimization ACO in Pseudo-Boolean Optimization (2)

Pheromone update: reinforce some good solution x.

Strength of update determined by evaporation factor $0 \le \rho \le 1$:

$$\tau'(\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{1}) = \begin{cases} (1 - \rho) \cdot \tau(\mathbf{x}_i = 1) & \text{if } \mathbf{x}_i = 0\\ (1 - \rho) \cdot \tau(\mathbf{x}_i = 1) + \rho & \text{if } \mathbf{x}_i = 1 \end{cases}$$

Pheromone borders as in MAX-MIN Ant System (Stützle and Hoos, 2000):

$$au_{\min} \ \le \ au' \ \le \ 1 - au_{\min}$$

Default choice: $\tau_{\min} := 1/n$ (cf. standard mutation in EAs).



) (

Theory of Swarm Intelligence

Most ACO algorithms analyzed: one ant per iteration.

One ant at a time, many ants over time.

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield

Steady-state GA	Ant Colony Optimization
 Probabilistic model:	 Probabilistic model:
Population	Pheromones
• New solutions:	 New solutions:
selection + variation	construction graph
• Environmental selection	• Selection for reinforcement

I) Theory of Swarm Intellig

Pseudo-Boolean Optimization

Evolutionary Algorithms vs. ACO

MMAS* (Gutjahr and Sebastiani, 2008)

Start with uniform random solution x^* and repeat:

- Construct *x*.
- Replace x^* by x if $f(x) > f(x^*)$.
- Update pheromones w.r.t. x* (best-so-far update).

Note: best-so-far solution x^* is constantly reinforced.

(1+1) EA

Start with uniform random solution x^* and repeat:

- Create x by flipping each bit in x^* independently with probability 1/n.
- Replace x^* by x if $f(x) \ge f(x^*)$.

(1+1) EA: Probability of setting bit to 1 is in $\{1/n, 1-1/n\}$.

MMAS*: Probability of setting bit to 1 is in [1/n, 1-1/n] (unless $\rho \approx 1$).

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield) Theory of Swarm Intelligence

lligence

Introduction ACO in Pseudo-Boolean Optimization MMAS with best-so-far update How MMAS deals with plateaus MMAS with iteration-best update ACO and Shortest Path Problems Single-Destination Shortest Paths AII-Pairs Shortest Paths ACO and Minimum Spanning Trees ACO and the TSP Particle Swarm Optimization Binary PSO Continuous Spaces Conclusions

Pseudo-Boolean Optimization MMAS with best-so-far update

Overview

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

The series of t

Pseudo-Boolean Optimization MMAS with best-so-far update MMAS* Pheromones on 1-edges x^* 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0</

1 n

Theory of Swarm Intelligence

After $(\ln n)/\rho$ reinforcements of x^* MMAS* temporarily behaves like (1+1) EA.

Fitness-Level Metl	hod with $A_i = s$	search points with <i>i</i> -t	th fitness value	
(1+1) EA:	$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{s_i}$	MMAS*:	$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{s_i} + m \cdot \frac{\ln n}{\rho}$	

Upper bounds: time for finding improvements + time for pheromone adaptation. Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield) Theory of Swarm Intelligence 16 /

454

13 / 74

16 / 74

MMAS*



Pseudo-Boolean Optimization MMAS with best-so-far update

(1+1) EA: $\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{s_i}$ MMAS*: $\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{s_i} + m \cdot \frac{\ln n}{\rho}$ Upper bounds: time for finding improvements + time for pheromone adaptation.

Theory of Swarm Intelligence

Pseudo-Boolean Optimization MMAS with best-so-far update

Bounds with Fitness Levels

LEADINGONES 11110010

$$s_i \geq rac{1}{n} \cdot \left(1 - rac{1}{n}
ight)^{n-1} \geq rac{1}{en}$$

Theorem		
(1+1) EA: <i>en</i> ²	MMAS*: $en^2 + n \cdot \frac{\ln n}{\rho} = O(n^2 + (n \log n)/\rho)$	

Unimodal functions with d function values:

Theorem	
(1+1) EA: end	MMAS*: $end + d \cdot \frac{\ln n}{\rho} = O(nd + (d \log n)/\rho)$

Theory of Swarm Intelligence

Pseudo-Boolean Optimization How MMAS deals with plateaus

Overview

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

Introduction

- 2 ACO in Pseudo-Boolean Optimization
 - \bullet MMAS with best-so-far update
 - \bullet How MMAS deals with plateaus
 - MMAS with iteration-best update

3 ACO and Shortest Path Problems

- Single-Destination Shortest Paths
- All-Pairs Shortest Paths

4 ACO and Minimum Spanning Trees

5 ACO and the TSP

- 6 Particle Swarm Optimization
 - Binary PSO
 - Continuous Spaces

7 Conclusions

455

Pseudo-Boolean Optimization How MMAS deals with plateaus

Strict Selection

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

Most ACO algorithms replace x^* only if $f(x) > f(x^*)$.

Drawback

Cannot explore plateaus.

Theorem (Neumann, Sudholt, Witt, 2009)

Expected time of MMAS* on NEEDLE is $\Omega(2^{-n} \cdot n^n) = \Omega((n/2)^n)$.

Define variant MMAS of MMAS* replacing x^* if $f(x) \ge f(x^*)$. Pheromones on each bit perform a random walk.

Theorem (Neumann, Sudholt, Witt, 2009 and Sudholt, 2011)

Expected time of MMAS on NEEDLE is $O(n^2/\rho^2 \cdot \log n \cdot 2^n)$.

Mixing time estimates (Sudholt, 2011)

MMAS "forgets" initial pheromones on bits that have been irrelevant for the last $\Omega(n^2/\rho^2)$ steps.

Pseudo-Boolean Optimization How MMAS deals with plateaus

MMAS and Fitness Levels

Is MMAS as fast as MMAS* on easy functions like ONEMAX?

Switching between equally fit solutions can prevent freezing.



MMAS and Fitness Levels

Is MMAS as fast as MMAS* on easy functions like $\operatorname{ONEMAX}\nolimits?$

Switching between equally fit solutions can prevent freezing.



Pseudo-Boolean Optimization How MMAS deals with plateaus

Pseudo-Boolean Optimization How MMAS deals with plateaus

Is this Behavior Detrimental?

Probably not.

Theorem (Kötzing, Neumann, Sudholt, and Wagner, 2011)

 $O(n \log n + n/\rho)$ on ONEMAX for both MMAS* and MMAS.

Assuming the sum of pheromones is fixed. Worst possible pheromone distribution for finding improvements on ONEMAX (Gleser, 1975):



Worst case: all pheromones (but one) at borders.

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield) Theory of Swarm Intelligence

Pseudo-Boolean Optimization How MMAS deals with plateaus Experiments (Kötzing et al., 2011)



- MMAS better than MMAS*
- MMAS with $\rho < 1$ better than (1+1) EA (=MMAS at $\rho = 1$)!
- does not hold for MMAS*

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

Open Problem

Prove that MMAS with proper ρ is faster than MMAS* and (1+1) EA.

Pseudo-Boolean Optimization MMAS with iteration-best update

Overview

Introduction

- 2 ACO in Pseudo-Boolean Optimization
 - MMAS with best-so-far update
 - How MMAS deals with plateaus
 - MMAS with iteration-best update

3 ACO and Shortest Path Problems

- Single-Destination Shortest Paths
- All-Pairs Shortest Paths

ACO and Minimum Spanning Trees

5 ACO and the TSP

6 Particle Swarm Optimization

- Binary PSO
- Continuous Spaces

Conclusion:

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield) Theory of Swarm Intelligence

23 / 74

Pseudo-Boolean Optimization MMAS with iteration-best update

Iteration-Best Update

λ -MMAS_{ib}

Repeat:

- construct λ ant solutions
- update pheromones by reinforcing the best of these solutions

Advantages:

- can escape from local optima
- inherently parallel

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

• simpler ants

Theory of Swarm Intelligence

24 / 74

Pseudo-Boolean Optimization MMAS with iteration-best update

Iteration-Best vs. Comma Strategies

Rowe and Sudholt, GECCO 2012

- (1, λ) EA: $\lambda \ge \log_{e/(e-1)} n (\approx 5 \log_{10} n)$ necessary, even for ONEMAX.
- If $\lambda \leq \log_{e/(e-1)} n \approx 5 \log_{10} n$ then $(1,\lambda)$ EA needs exponential time.

Reason: $(1,\lambda)$ EA moves away from optimum if close and λ too small.

Behavior too chaotic to allow for hill climbing!

Pseudo-Boolean Optimization MMAS with iteration-best update

Iteration-Best on ONEMAX

Slow pheromone adaptation effectively eliminates chaotic behavior.

Theorem (Neumann, Sudholt, and Witt, 2010)

If $\rho = 1/(cn^{1/2} \log n)$ for a large constant c > 0 then 2-MMAS_{ib} optimizes ONEMAX in expected time $O(n \log n)$.

Two ants are enough!

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

Proof idea: as long as all pheromones are at least $1/3, \, the \, sum \, of \, pheromones$ grows steadily.

Large ρ or small λ : pheromones come crashing down to 1/n.

Theorem

Choosing $\lambda/\rho \leq (\ln n)/244$, the optimization time of λ -MMAS_{ib} on every function with a unique optimum is $2^{\Omega(n^{\varepsilon})}$ for some constant $\varepsilon > 0$ w. o. p.

457

Overview

Introduction

2 ACO in Pseudo-Boolean Optimization

Shortest Paths

- MMAS with best-so-far update
- How MMAS deals with plateaus
- MMAS with iteration-best update

3 ACO and Shortest Path Problems

- Single-Destination Shortest Paths
- All-Pairs Shortest Paths

ACO and Minimum Spanning Trees

5 ACO and the TSP

6 Particle Swarm Optimization

- Binary PSO
- Continuous Spaces

Conclusion:

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield) Theory of Swarm Intelligence

ACO System for Single-Destination Shortest Path Problem

From Sudholt and Thyssen (2012), going back to Attiratanasunthron and Fakcharoenphol (2008).



MMAS_{SDSP}

For each vertex u the ant

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

- memorizes and keeps track of its best-so-far path
- constructs a simple path from u to the destination
- updates pheromones on edges (u, \cdot) (local pheromone update)

Theory of Swarm Intelligence



MMAS_{SDSP}

For each vertex u the ant

- memorizes and keeps track of its best-so-far path
- constructs a simple path from u to the destination
- updates pheromones on edges (u, \cdot) (local pheromone update)

Shortest Paths Single-Destination Shortest Paths Shortest Paths Propagate Through the Graph



Let $\tau_{\min} := 1/(\Delta \ell)$. Consider vertex *u* such that all ants on its shortest paths have found shortest paths and adapted their pheromones.

Shortest Paths Single-Destination Shortest Paths

Shortest Paths Propagate Through the Graph



Let $\tau_{\min} := 1/(\Delta \ell)$. Consider vertex *u* such that all ants on its shortest paths have found shortest paths and adapted their pheromones.

• probability of ant at u choosing the first edge correctly $\geq \tau(e)/2 \geq \tau_{\min}/2$

Theory of Swarm Intelligence

Shortest Paths Single-Destination Shortest Paths

Shortest Paths Propagate Through the Graph



Let $\tau_{\min} := 1/(\Delta \ell)$. Consider vertex *u* such that all ants on its shortest paths have found shortest paths and adapted their pheromones.

• probability of ant at u choosing the first edge correctly $\geq au(e)/2 \geq au_{\min}/2$

Theory of Swarm Intelligence

• probability of following adapted pheromones: $(1 - 1/\ell)^{\ell-1} \ge 1/e$.

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)



Let $\tau_{\min} := 1/(\Delta \ell)$. Consider vertex u such that all ants on its shortest paths have found shortest paths and adapted their pheromones.

- probability of ant at u choosing the first edge correctly $\geq au(e)/2 \geq au_{\min}/2$
- probability of following adapted pheromones: $(1 1/\ell)^{\ell-1} \ge 1/e$.



Let $\tau_{\min} := 1/(\Delta \ell)$. Consider vertex *u* such that all ants on its shortest paths have found shortest paths and adapted their pheromones.

- probability of ant at u choosing the first edge correctly $\geq \tau(e)/2 \geq \tau_{\min}/2$
- probability of following adapted pheromones: $(1-1/\ell)^{\ell-1} \ge 1/e$.

Expected time until ant at u has done the same $\leq 2e/\tau_{\min} + \ln(1/\tau_{\min})/\rho$.

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

459

Shortest Paths Single-Destination Shortest Paths

Shortest Paths Propagate Through the Graph



Let $\tau_{\min} := 1/(\Delta \ell)$. Consider vertex *u* such that all ants on its shortest paths have found shortest paths and adapted their pheromones.

• probability of ant at *u* choosing the first edge correctly $\geq \tau(e)/2 \geq \tau_{\min}/2$

Theory of Swarm Intellig

• probability of following adapted pheromones: $(1 - 1/\ell)^{\ell-1} \ge 1/e$.

Expected time until ant at u has done the same $\leq 2e/\tau_{\min} + \ln(1/\tau_{\min})/\rho$.

Upper bounds for MMAS_{SDSP} (Sudholt and Thyssen, 2012)

• Consider all vertices sequentially: $O(n\Delta \ell + n \ln(\Delta \ell)/\rho)$.

Shortest Paths Single-Destination Shortest Paths

Shortest Paths Propagate Through the Graph



Let $\tau_{\min} := 1/(\Delta \ell)$. Consider vertex *u* such that all ants on its shortest paths have found shortest paths and adapted their pheromones.

- probability of ant at u choosing the first edge correctly $\geq au(e)/2 \geq au_{\min}^*/2$
- probability of following adapted pheromones: $(1 1/\ell)^{\ell-1} \ge 1/e$.

Expected time until ant at u has done the same $\leq 2e/ au_{\min} + \ln(1/ au_{\min})/
ho$.

Upper bounds for MMAS_{SDSP} (Sudholt and Thyssen, 2012)

- Consider all vertices sequentially: $O(n\Delta \ell + n \ln(\Delta \ell)/\rho)$.
- Slice graph into "layers" and exploit parallelism: $O(\Delta \ell^2 + \ell/\rho)$.

Shortest Paths All-Pairs Shortest Paths

Theory of Swarm Intelligen

All-Pairs Shortest Path Problem

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

Use distinct pheromone function $\tau_{v} \colon E \to \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$ for each destination v:



Shortest Paths All-Pairs Shortest Paths

Overview

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

Introduction

- 2 ACO in Pseudo-Boolean Optimization
 - MMAS with best-so-far update
 - How MMAS deals with plateaus
 - MMAS with iteration-best update

3 ACO and Shortest Path Problems

- Single-Destination Shortest Paths
- All-Pairs Shortest Paths

4 ACO and Minimum Spanning Trees

6 ACO and the TSP

6 Particle Swarm Optimization

- Binary PSO
- Continuous Spaces
- 7 Conclusions

Shortest Paths All-Pairs Shortest Paths

A Simple Interaction Mechanism

Path construction with interaction

For each ant traveling from u to v

- with prob. 1/2
 - use τ_v to travel from u to v
- with prob. 1/2

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

• choose an intermediate destination $w \in V$ uniformly at random

Theory of Swarm Intelligence

- uses τ_w to travel from u to w
- uses au_v to travel from w to v

Shortest Paths All-Pairs Shortest Paths

Speed-up by Interaction

Theorem

If $\tau_{\min} = 1/(\Delta \ell)$ and $\rho \le 1/(23\Delta \log n)$ the number of iterations using interaction w. h. p. is $O(n \log n + \log(\ell) \log(\Delta \ell)/\rho)$.

Possible improvement: $O(n^3) \rightarrow O(n \log^3 n)$

Proof Sketch

Phase 1: find all shortest paths with one edge slow evaporation \rightarrow near-uniform search

Phase 2: interaction concatenates shortest paths with up to *k* edges



Theory of Swarm Intelligence

мят

 \longrightarrow find shortest paths with up to $3/2 \cdot k$ edges.

Note: slow adaptation helps!

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

33 / 7

Shortest Paths All-Pairs Shortest Paths

Stochastic and Dynamic Shortest Path Problems

Sudholt and Thyssen, Algorithmica 2012

Unmodified MMAS_{SDSP} on noisy SDSP: ants can become risk-seeking.

Doerr, Hota, and Kötzing, GECCO 2012

Re-evaluating best-so-far paths removes risk-seeking behavior.

Lissovoi and Witt, GECCO 2013

How effective is ACO in tracking dynamically changing shortest paths?

Lissovoi and Witt, GECCO 2014

MMAS vs. Population-Based EA on a Family of Dynamic Fitness Functions

Friedrich, Kötzing, Krejca, Sutton, GECCO 2015

Robustness of Ant Colony Optimization to Noise

461

32 / 74



Overview

ACO in Pseudo-Boolean Optimization

- MMAS with best-so-far update
- How MMAS deals with plateaus
- MMAS with iteration-best update

3 ACO and Shortest Path Problems

- Single-Destination Shortest Paths
- All-Pairs Shortest Paths

ACO and Minimum Spanning Trees

- 5 ACO and the TSI
- Particle Swarm Optimization
 - Binary PSO
 - Continuous Spaces
- Conclusion

Construction Based on Broder's Algorithm

Based on Neumann and Witt (2010).

Problem: Minimum Spanning Trees (tree of minimum weight spanning all nodes)



Results for Pheromone Updates

Case $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 0$: proportional influence of pheromone values

Theorem (Broder-based construction graph)

Choosing $h/\ell = n^3$, the expected time until the 1-ANT with the Broder-based construction graph has found an MST is $O(n^6(\log n + \log w_{max}))$.

MST

Theorem (Component-based construction graph)

Choosing $h/\ell = (m - n + 1) \log n$, the expected time until the 1-ANT with the component-based construction graph has found an MST is $O(mn(\log n + \log w_{max}))$.

Better than (1+1) EA!

Algorithm

- 1-ANT: (following Neumann/Witt, 2010)
 - two pheromone values
 - value h: if edge has been rewarded
 - value ℓ : otherwise

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

• heuristic information η , $\eta(e) = \frac{1}{w(e)}$ (used before for TSP)

MST

- Let v_k the current vertex and N_{v_k} be its neighborhood.
- Prob(to choose neighbor y of v_k) = $\frac{[\tau_{(v_k,y)}]^{\alpha} \cdot [\eta_{(v_k,y)}]^{\beta}}{\sum_{y \in N(v_k)} [\tau_{(v_k,y)}]^{\alpha} \cdot [\eta_{(v_k,y)}]^{\beta}}$ with $\alpha, \beta \ge 0$.
- Consider special cases where either $\beta = 0$ or $\alpha = 0$.

Broder Construction Graph: Heuristic Information

Theory of Swarm Intelligence

Example graph G^* with n = 4k + 1 vertices.

- k triangles of weight profile (1,1,2)
- two paths of length k with exponentially increasing weights.



Theorem (Broder-based construction graph)

Let $\alpha = 0$ and β be arbitrary, then the probability that the 1-ANT using the Broder construction procedure does not find an MST in polynomial time is $1 - 2^{-\Omega(n)}$.

462

Component-based Construction Graph/Heuristic Information

Theorem (Component-based construction graph)

Choosing $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta \ge 6w_{max} \log n$, the expected time of the 1-ANT with the component-based construction graph to find an MST is constant.

Proof Idea

- Choose edges as Kruskal's algorithm.
- Calculation shows: probability of choosing a lightest edge is at least 1 1/n.

Theory of Swarm Intelligence

• n-1 steps \implies probability for an MST is $\Omega(1)$.

Overview

1 Introduction

ACO in Pseudo-Boolean Optimization

- MMAS with best-so-far update
- How MMAS deals with plateaus
- MMAS with iteration-best update

3 ACO and Shortest Path Problems

- Single-Destination Shortest Paths
- All-Pairs Shortest Paths
- 4 ACO and Minimum Spanning Trees

5 ACO and the TSP

- 6 Particle Swarm Optimization
- Binary PSO
- Continuous Spaces

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

7 Conclusion

Traveling Salesman Problem

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)



MMAS for TSP (Kötzing, Neumann, Röglin, Witt 2010)

Theory of Swarm Intelligence

TSP

Best-so-far pheromone update with $au_{\min} := 1/n^2$ and $au_{\max} := 1-1/n$.

Initialization: same pheromone on all edges.

"Ordered" tour construction

Append a feasible edge chosen with probability proportional to pheromones.



"Arbitrary" tour construction

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

Add an edge chosen with probability proportional to pheromones as long as no cycle is closed or a vertex gets degree at least 3.

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

463

40 / 74

Locality

Lemma MMAS^{*}_{Ord} with saturated pheromones exchanges Ω(log(n)) edges in expectation. Image: Constant of the saturated pheromones exchanges Ω(log(n)) edges in expectation. Length of unseen part roughly halves each time. Lenma For any constant k: MMAS^{*}_{Arb} with saturated pheromones creates exactly k new edges with probability Θ(1).

Theory of Swarm Intelligence

TSP

TSP

Locality

Lemma

MMAS^{*}_{Ord} with saturated pheromones exchanges $\Omega(\log(n))$ edges in expectation.

TSP



Length of unseen part roughly halves each time.

Lemma

For any constant k: $MMAS^*_{Arb}$ with saturated pheromones creates exactly k new edges with probability $\Theta(1)$.

Theory of Swarm Intellige

TSP

ACO Simulating 2-OPT

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

Average Case Analysis

(University of Sheffield

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

Assume that *n* points placed independently, uniformly at random in the unit hypercube $[0, 1]^d$.

Theorem [Englert, Röglin, Vöcking 2007]

2-Opt finds after $O(n^{4+1/3} \cdot \log n)$ iterations with probability 1 - o(1) a solution with approximation ratio O(1).

Theorem

For $\rho = 1$, MMAS^{*}_{Arb} finds after $O(n^{6+2/3})$ iterations with probability 1 - o(1) a solution with approximation ratio O(1).

Theorem

For $\rho = 1$, MMAS^{*}_{Ord} finds after $O(n^{7+2/3})$ iterations with probability 1 - o(1) a solution with approximation ratio O(1).

Smoothed Analysis

Smoothed Analysis

Each point $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ is chosen independently according to a probability density $f_i : [0, 1]^d \rightarrow [0, \phi]$.

Theory of Swarm Intelligence

PSO

TSP





MMAS^{*}_{Ord}: $O(\sqrt[d]{\phi})$ -approximation in $O(n^{7+2/3} \cdot \phi^3)$ steps

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{MMAS}^*_{Arb}: \ O(\sqrt[4]{\phi})\text{-approximation} \\ \mathsf{in} \ O(n^{6+2/3} \cdot \phi^3) \ \mathsf{steps} \end{array}$

ACO: Summary and Open Questions

Shortest Paths

Natural and interesting test-bed for the robustness of ACO algorithms.

- global pheromone updates?
- how to deal with noise and dynamic changes?
- where does slow pheromone adaptation help?
- average-case analyses with heuristic information

Strength of ACO

Problem-specific construction procedures can make ACO more powerful.

• how to find a fruitful combination of metaheuristic search and problem-specific components?

Main Challenge in Analysis of ACO

Understand dynamics of pheromones within borders.

• results for MST and TSP with more natural pheromone models

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield) Theory of Swarm Intelligence

48 / 74

Overview

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

Introduction

- 2 ACO in Pseudo-Boolean Optimization
 - MMAS with best-so-far update
 - How MMAS deals with plateaus
 - MMAS with iteration-best update

3 ACO and Shortest Path Problems

- Single-Destination Shortest Paths
- All-Pairs Shortest Paths

4 ACO and Minimum Spanning Trees

5 ACO and the TSF

6 Particle Swarm Optimization

- Binary PSO
- Continuous Spaces

7 Conclusion:

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield) Theory

Theory of Swarm Intelligence

465

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield) The

Particle Swarm Optimization

Particle Swarm Optimization

• Bio-inspired optimization principle developed by Kennedy and Eberhart (1995).

PSO

- Mostly applied in continuous spaces.
- Swarm of particles, each moving with its own velocity.
- Velocity is updated according to
 - own best position and
 - position of the best individual in its neighborhood (here: swarm).

Particle Swarm Optimization



PSO

Creating New Positions

Probabilistic construction using velocity v and sigmoid function s(v):

$$Prob(x_j = 1) = s(v_j) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-v_j}}$$

$$0.0 - \frac{1}{-4} - \frac{1}{0} + \frac{1}{4}$$

PSO Binary PSO

Restrict velocities to $v_i \in [-v_{\max}, +v_{\max}]$.

- Common practice: $v_{max} = 4 \pmod{\text{for } n \in [50, 500]}$
- Sudholt and Witt (2010): $v_{max} := \ln(n-1)$ (good across all n):

 $\frac{1}{n} \leq \operatorname{Prob}(x_j = 1) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{n}.$

Theory of Swarm Intelligence

Understanding Velocities

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

Assume bit i is 1 in global best and own best. Create x.

- **ACO**: reinforce bit value 1 in probabilistic model if $x_i = 1$
- **PSO**: reinforce bit value 1 in probabilistic model if $x_i = 0$

Probability of increasing v_i is $1 - s(v_i)$:



Updating Velocities

Update current velocity vector according to

- cognitive component \rightarrow towards own best: $x^{*(i)} x^{(i)}$ and
- social component \rightarrow towards global best: $x^* x^{(i)}$.

Learning rates c_1 , c_2 affect weights for the two components.

Random scalars $r_1 \in U[0, c_1]$, $r_2 \in U[0, c_2]$ chosen anew in each generation:

$$v^{(i)} = v^{(i)} + r_1(x^{*(i)} - x^{(i)}) + r_2(x^* - x^{(i)})$$

PSO Binary PSO

466

Velocity Freezing

Particle with best-so-far solution: own best = global best 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 x^* $1 - \frac{1}{n}$ THE REPORT

Theory of Swarm Intelligence

PSO Binary PSO

Lemma

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

Expected freezing time to v_{max} or $-v_{max}$ is O(n) for single bits and $O(n \log n)$ for n or μn bits if $\mu = polv(n)$.

Velocity Freezing

Particle with best-so-far solution: own best = global best 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 x^* $1 - \frac{1}{n}$

Theory of Swarm Intelligence

PSO Binary PSO

Lemma

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

Expected freezing time to v_{max} or $-v_{max}$ is O(n) for single bits and $O(n \log n)$ for n or μn bits if $\mu = poly(n)$.

PSO Binary PSO Fitness-Level Method for Binary PSO Upper bound for the (1+1) EA $\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{s_i}$ Upper bound for #generations of Binary PSO $\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{s_i} + O(m \cdot n \log n)$ Upper bound for #generations of "social" Binary PSO, i.e., $c_1 := 0$ $O\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\frac{1}{s_i}+m\cdot n\log n\right)$ Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield) Theory of Swarm Intelligence

PSO Binary PSO 1-PSO vs. (1+1) EA on ONEMAX

More detailed analysis: average adaptation time of $384 \ln n$ is sufficient.

Theorem (Sudholt and Witt, 2010)

The expected optimization time of the 1-PSO on ONEMAX is $O(n \log n)$.

Experiments: 1-PSO 15% slower than (1+1) EA on ONEMAX.

467

55 / 74

PSO Continuous Spaces Continuous PSO Overview Search space: (bounded subspace of) \mathbb{R}^n . • MMAS with best-so-far update • How MMAS deals with plateaus Objective function: $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$. • MMAS with iteration-best update ACO and Shortest Path Problems Particles represent positions $x^{(i)}$ in this space. • Single-Destination Shortest Paths • All-Pairs Shortest Paths Particles fly at certain velocity: $x^{(i)} := x^{(i)} + v^{(i)}$. ACO and Minimum Spanning Trees Velocity update with inertia weight ω : 6 Particle Swarm Optimization Binary PSO Continuous Spaces Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield) Theory of Swarm Intelligence 58 / 74 Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield) Theory of Swarm Intelligence

Swarm can collapse to points or other low-dimensional subspaces.

Convergence results for standard PSO, $\omega < 1$ (Jiang, Luo, and Yang, 2007) PSO converges ... somewhere.

PSO Continuous Spaces

 $v^{(i)} = \omega v^{(i)} + r_1 (x^{*(i)} - x^{(i)}) + r_2 (x^* - x^{(i)})$

PSO Continuous Spaces

Stagnation of Standard PSO

Lehre and Witt, 2013

Standard PSO with one/two particles stagnates even on one-dimensional Sphere!



Expected first hitting time of ε -ball around optimum is infinite.

Noisy PSO (Lehre and Witt, 2013)

Adding noise $U[-\delta/2, \delta/2]$ for $\delta \leq \varepsilon$: finite expected hitting time on (half-)Sphere.

Similar result for *n* dimensions (Schmitt and Wanka, GECCO 2013)

PSO modification: pick random velocities when swarm converges (all velocities plus distance to global best $\leq \delta$). Converges to local optima almost surely.

Convergence of PSO

468

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield) Theory of Swarm Intelligence

PSO Extensions

Extensions of standard PSO

- Bare-bones PSO (Kennedy, 2003)
- PSO with mutation (several variants)
- PSO using gradient information (several variants)
- Guaranteed Convergence PSO (GCPSO) (van den Bergh and Engelbrecht, 2002)

PSO Continuous Spaces

- Make a cube mutation of a particle's position by adding $p \in U[-\ell, \ell]^n$.
- Adapt "step size" ℓ in the course of the run by doubling or halving it, depending on the number of successes.

Theory of Swarm Intelligence

 $\longrightarrow 1/5$ -rule known from evolution strategies!

GCPSO with 1 Particle (Witt, 2009)

GCPSO with one particle is basically a (1+1) ES with cube mutation.

Can be analyzed like classical (1+1) ES (Jägersküpper, 2007)

Sphere(x) := $||x|| = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2$

Theorem (Witt, 2009)

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

Consider the GCPSO₁ on SPHERE. If $\ell = \Theta(||x^*||/n)$ for the initial solution x^* , the runtime until the distance to the optimum is no more than $\varepsilon ||x^*||$ is $O(n \log(1/\varepsilon))$ with probability at least $1 - 2^{-\Omega(n)}$ provided that $2^{-n^{O(1)}} \le \varepsilon \le 1$.

Same result as for (1+1) ES using Gaussian mutations in Jägersküpper, 2007.

Theory of Swarm Intelligence

Conclusions

PSO Continuous Spaces

PSO: Summary and Open Questions

Summary

- analysis of Binary PSO and its probabilistic model
- initial results on runtime of GCPSO and convergence of modified PSO
- results on expected first hitting time of ε -ball for Standard PSO & Noisy PSO

Neighborhood topologies

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

- ring topology, etc. instead of global best of swarm
- where does a restricted topology help?

Swarm dynamics

- analyze combined impact of cognitive and social components
- more results on swarms in continuous spaces

Overview

Introduction

- 2 ACO in Pseudo-Boolean Optimization
 - MMAS with best-so-far update
 - How MMAS deals with plateaus
 - MMAS with iteration-best update

3 ACO and Shortest Path Problems

- Single-Destination Shortest Paths
- All-Pairs Shortest Paths
- ACO and Minimum Spanning Trees
- **5** ACO and the TSP
- Particle Swarm Optimization
 - Binary PSO
 - Continuous Spaces
- Conclusions

74

469

62 / 74

Conclusions

Summary

- Insight into probabilistic models underlying ACO and PSO
- How design choices and parameters affect (bounds on) running times

Conclusions

- How simple ACO algorithms optimize unimodal functions and plateaus
- Results for ACO in combinatorial optimization
- First analyses of basic PSO algorithms in discrete and continuous spaces

Future Work

- A unified theory of randomized search heuristics?
- More results on multimodal problems
- When and how diversity and slow adaptation help

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

Theory of Swarm Intelligence

Selected Literature I

Conference/workshop papers superseded by journal papers are omitted. Preliminary versions of "Sudholt and Thyssen" (né Horoba) appeared as "Horoba and Sudholt". N. Attiratanasunthron and J. Fakcharoenphol. A running time analysis of an ant colony optimization algorithm for shortest paths in directed acyclic graphs. Information Processing Letters, 105(3):88-92, 2008

Conclusions

B. Doerr, A. R. Hota, and T. Kötzing. Ants easily solve stochastic shortest path problems. In Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference (GECCO 2012), pages 17-24. ACM Press, 2012. B Doerr and D Johannsen Refined runtime analysis of a basic ant colony optimization algorithm. In Proceedings of the Congress of Evolutionary Computation (CEC '07), pages 501-507. IEEE Press, 2007

B. Doerr, D. Johannsen, and C. H. Tang, How single ant ACO systems optimize pseudo-Boolean functions. In Parallel Problem Solving from Nature (PPSN X), pages 378-388. Springer, 2008.

B Doerr E Neumann D Sudholt and C Witt Runtime analysis of the 1-ANT ant colony optimizer. Theoretical Computer Science, 412(17):1629-1644, 2011.

M. Dorigo and C. Blum. Ant colony optimization theory: A survey. Theoretical Computer Science, 344:243-278, 2005

M. Dorigo and T. Stützle. Ant Colony Optimization. MIT Press, 2004

.

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield) Theory of Swarm Intelligence

Selected Literature II



Conclusions

Conclusions Selected Literature III Z. Hao, H. Huang, X. Zhang, and K. Tu. A time complexity analysis of ACO for linear functions. In Simulated Evolution and Learning (SEAL 2006), volume 4247 of LNCS, pages 513-520. Springer, 2006. M. Jiang, Y. P. Luo, and S. Y. Yang. Stochastic convergence analysis and parameter selection of the standard particle swarm optimization algorithm. Information Processing Letters, 102(1):8-16, 2007 J. Kennedy and R. C. Eberhart. Particle swarm optimization In Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks, pages 1942–1948. IEEE Press, 1995 J. Kennedy and R. C. Eberhart. A discrete binary version of the particle swarm algorithm. In Proceedings of the World Multiconference on Systemics, Cybernetics and Informatics (WMSCI), pages 4104-4109, 1997. J. Kennedy, R. C. Eberhart, and Y. Shi Swarm Intelligence.

Morgan Kaufmann, 2001. T. Kötzing, P. K. Lehre, P. S. Oliveto, and F. Neumann. Ant colony optimization and the minimum cut problem. In Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference (GECCO '10), pages 1393-1400. ACM, 2010.

T. Kötzing and H. Molter. ACO beats EA on a dynamic pseudo-boolean function. In Parallel Problem Solving from Nature - PPSN XII, pages 113-122. Springer, 2012.

T. Kötzing, F. Neumann, H. Röglin, and C. Witt. Theoretical analysis of two ACO approaches for the traveling salesman problem

470

Selected Literature IV

	T. Kötzing F. Neumann, D. Sudholt, and M.	Wagner	
	Simple Max-Min ant systems and the optimiz	ation of linear pseudo-Boolean functions.	
	In Proceedings of the 11th Workshop on Fou	ndations of Genetic Algorithms (FOGA 2011), pages	209-218. ACM Press, 2011.
	P. K. Lehre and C. Witt.		
	Finite first hitting time versus stochastic conv	vergence in particle swarm optimisation.	
	In L. D. Gaspero, A. Schaerf, and T. Stützle, Sories, pages 1, 20, Sevinger, 2012	editors, Advances in Metaheuristics, number 53 in C	perations Research/Computer Science Interfaces
	Series, pages 1-20. Springer, 2013.		
	A. Lissovoi and C. Witt.		
	MMAS versus population-based EA on a fam	ily of dynamic fitness functions.	
	Algorithmica, pages 1-23, 2015.		
	A Linear of C Mitt		
	A. Lissovoi and C. witt.		
	Theoretical Computer Science 561 Part A(0	bn dynamic shortest path problems.	
	Genetic and Evolutionary Computation.	,	
	F. Neumann, D. Sudholt, and C. Witt.		
	Rigorous analyses for the combination of ant	colony optimization and local search.	(11) (11) TO (10) 1
	In Proceedings of the Sixth International Con pages 132–143 Springer 2008	ference on Ant Colony Optimization and Swarm Inte	elligence (ANTS '08), volume 5217 of LNCS,
	pages 152 145. Springer, 2000.		
	F. Neumann, D. Sudholt, and C. Witt.		
_	Analysis of different MMAS ACO algorithms	on unimodal functions and plateaus.	
_	Swarm Intelligence, 3(1):35-68, 2009.		
	E November D. Sudhala and C. With		
	F. Neumann, D. Sudnoit, and C. Witt.	and the second second second	
	In C P Lim I C Jain and S Deburi edito	mization and its hybridization with local search.	SGL Springer 2009
	in c. r. ein, e. c. san, and S. Dentin, euto	is, intovations in owarm intelligence, number 246 in	Sol. Springer, 2009.
		T I (C) ("	71 / 74
Dir	k Sudholt (University of Sheffield)	I neory of Swarm Intelligence	/1//4

Conclusions

Selected Literature V

Dirk Sudholt (University of Sheffield)

	E. Numerov, D. Sudhels, and C. With
	r. Neumann, D. Sudnort, and C. Witt.
	A few ants are enough: ACO with iteration-best update.
-	in denence and Evolutionary computation conference (dECCO 10), pages 05 10, 2010.
	F. Neumann and C. Witt.
	Runtime analysis of a simple ant colony optimization algorithm.
	Algorithmica, 54(2):243–255, 2009.
	E Nummer and C Men
	r, Neumann and C. Witt.
	Ant colony optimization and the minimum spanning tree problem.
	Theoreman Computer Science, 411(25).2400 - 2415, 2010.
Ē.	J. E. Rowe and D. Sudholt.
	The choice of the offspring population size in the (1, λ) EA.
	In Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference (GECCO 2012), pages 1349–1356, 2012.
	M. Schmitt and R. Wanka.
	Particle swarm optimization almost surely finds local optima.
	Theoretical Computer Science, 501, Part A(0):57–72, 2015.
-	Genetic and Evolutionary Computation.
	T. Stützle and H. H. Hoos.
	MAX-MIN ant system
	Journal of Future Generation Computer Systems, 16:889–914, 2000.
	D. Sudholt.
	Using Markov-chain mixing time estimates for the analysis of ant colony optimization.
	In Proceedings of the 11th Workshop on Foundations of Genetic Algorithms (FOGA 2011), pages 139–150. ACM Press, 2011.

Theory of Swarm Intelligence

Conclusions

Conclusions

Conclusions Selected Literature VI

	D. Sudholt and C. Thyssen.
	Running time analysis of ant colony optimization for shortest path problems.
	Journal of Discrete Algorithms, 10:105–160, 2012.
	D. Sudholt and C. Thyssen.
	A simple ant colony optimizer for stochastic shortest path problems. <i>Algorithmica</i> , 64(4):643–672, 2012.
	D. Sudhalt and C. Mitt
	Runtime analysis of a binary particle swarm optimizer.
_	Theoretical Computer Science, 411(21):2084–2100, 2010.
	C. Witt.
	Rigorous runtime analysis of swarm intelligence algorithms - an overview.
	In Swarm Intelligence for Multi-objective Problems in Data Mining, number 242 in Studies in Computational Intelligence (SCI), pages 157–1 Springer, 2009.
	C Witt
	Why standard particle swarm optimisers elude a theoretical runtime analysis.
	In Foundations of Genetic Algorithms 10 (FOGA '09), pages 13-20. ACM Press, 2009.
	C. Witt.
	Theory of particle swarm optimization.
	In Theory of Randomized Search Heuristics-Foundations and Recent Developments. World Scientific Publishing, 2011.
	Y. Zhou.
	Runtime analysis of an ant colony optimization algorithm for TSP instances. IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation, 13(5):1083–1092, 2009.
Dirk	Sudholt (University of Sheffield) Theory of Swarm Intelligence