# Genetic Algorithm based Sleep Scheduling for Maximizing Lifetime of Wireless Sensor Networks

Zhipeng LUO South China Normal University luozhipeng32@gmail.com

# ABSTRACT

In most of applications of Wireless Sensor Networks(WSNs), it is expected that a solution finding a number of non-disjoint cover sets will save more energy. Base on sleep scheduling method, this paper proposed a modified genetic algorithm to maximize the lifetime of networks and schedule the sensors in the sets successively. Results show that under the same conditions, the proposed algorithm can always find the sets with maximum lifetime and consume less computation time while comparing with the recently published algorithms.

## CCS CONCEPTS

• Theory of computation → Optimization with randomized search heuristics; Evolutionary algorithms; Scheduling algorithms;

# **KEYWORDS**

sleep scheduling, non-disjoint cover sets, genetic algorithm, wireless sensor networks

#### **ACM Reference Format:**

Zhipeng LUO and Jingjing LI. 2018. Genetic Algorithm based Sleep Scheduling for Maximizing Lifetime of Wireless Sensor Networks. In *GECCO '18 Companion: Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference Companion, July 15--19, 2018, Kyoto, Japan.* ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/3205651.3205769

## **1 INTRODUCTION**

Since the sensor has the limited power, how to extend the lifetime of the sensor nodes is a critical issue of research in WSNs. As a main method to improve the energy efficiency, sleep scheduling is used in various research, such as disjoint cover sets problem. Cardei et al. [4] propose an algorithm to solve the problem using graphs, in [2] [7], approximation algorithms are proposed to extend the lifetime of WSNs. Besides, heuristic algorithms [1, 5, 8, 10--12] are preferred to compute the maximum number of covers.

However, many applications are designed as hybrid / heterogeneous sensors networks [9] [13] in which different sensors involved have different capability and energy supply. Hence the non-disjoint cover sets problem is more worth discussing. [3] first transfer the non-disjoint cover sets problem to Minimum Weight Sensor Cover Problem, then an approximation algorithm is proposed. The paper

GECCO '18 Companion, July 15--19, 2018, Kyoto, Japan

© 2018 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-5764-7/18/07...\$15.00

https://doi.org/10.1145/3205651.3205769

Jingjing LI South China Normal University jingjing.li1124@gmail.com

in [14] makes multiple layers in a cover set, when some sensors of former layer ran out of power, the sensors of latter layer will replace the sensors which are without energy. Then a modified Ant Colony Algorithm(MCACO) is proposed to maximize the network lifetime. And the paper in [6] designed a deterministic algorithm based on a linear programming model to achieve the optimum by classifying target points.

## 2 PROPOSED ALGORITHM

For solving the non-disjoint cover sets problem, we propose a genetic algorithm with adaptive crossover and multiple mutation operators(ACMMGA). Given different remaining energy of each sensor, the algorithm can find the non-disjoint cover sets with maximum sum of the lifetime of the sets.

Firstly, the representation and initialization of chromosomes are introduced. There are a set of feature values  $g_j$  related to each gene,  $g_j$  indicates the scheduling number for activation. Each gene  $G_i$  in the chromosome is represented as

$$G_i = (g_{i1}, g_{i2}, \dots, g_{ij}) \tag{1}$$

where  $g_{ij}$  is the scheduling number in ascending order, *i* is the gene number and corresponds sensor *i*. Then each chromosome  $C_h$  in the population is represented as

$$C_h = (G_{h1}, G_{h2}, \dots, G_{hN})$$
(2)

where N is the total number of the sensors deployed in the area, h is the chromosome number. In the step of initialization, all the sensors are in the same set, so that  $C_h = ((1), (1), ..., (1))$ .

Secondly, a fitness function for evaluation of chromosome is designed as follow.

$$F_h = w_1 L_h + w_2 P_{T_h + 1} \tag{3}$$

where  $L_h$  is the total lifetime of chromosome  $C_h$ .  $P_{T_h+1}$  is the coverage percentage of the incomplete cover set  $T_h + 1$ .  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are two weights for  $L_h$  and  $P_{T_h+1}$ . Then an adaptive crossover can have more probabilities to selecting genes from the parent with bigger fitness and produce new offspring.

To preserve the diversity of the population, there are four mutation operators should be executed after crossover. Respectively, **Evolutionary Mutation** is to schedule the coverage redundant sensor in the complete cover set to the incomplete cover set. **Growing Mutation** is to schedule the lifetime redundant sensor to the incomplete cover set. And to avoid the local optimum, **Retrograde Mutation** and **Critical Mutation** can transfer back the sensors of the last set to any other set or a critical set.

## **3 EXPERIMENT AND RESULTS**

As a representative example, STHGA [8] method, MCACO [14] and EXACT [6] are used for comparison. MCACO and EXACT

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

| Cases |     |    |                 | ACMMGA |       |     | STHGA  |       |     | MCACO |       |     | EXACT  |       |
|-------|-----|----|-----------------|--------|-------|-----|--------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-----|--------|-------|
| NO.   | N   | R  | $\widetilde{T}$ | Mean   | t(ms) | ok% | Mean   | t(ms) | ok% | Mean  | t(ms) | ok% | Result | t(ms) |
| 1     | 100 | 4  | 6               | 6      | 19    | 100 | 6      | 54    | 100 | 6     | 17    | 100 | 6      | 124   |
| 2     | 100 | 6  | 21              | 21     | 74    | 100 | 21     | 438   | 100 | 21    | 49    | 100 | 21     | 93    |
| 3     | 100 | 8  | 25              | 25     | 46    | 100 | 25     | 213   | 100 | 25    | 57    | 100 | 25     | 80    |
| 4     | 100 | 10 | 38              | 38     | 60    | 100 | 38     | 399   | 100 | 38    | 81    | 100 | 38     | 100   |
| 5     | 150 | 8  | 53              | 53     | 171   | 100 | 53     | 1978  | 100 | 53    | 150   | 100 | 53     | 286   |
| 6     | 200 | 8  | 77              | 77     | 712   | 100 | 76.96  | 11808 | 97  | 77    | 726   | 100 | 77     | 1106  |
| 7     | 200 | 10 | 122             | 122    | 1040  | 100 | 121.69 | 50862 | 72  | 122   | 2904  | 100 | 122    | 1624  |

 Table 1: TEST RESULTS FOR DIFFERENT NUMBERS N OF SENSORS AND SENSING RANGE R

are heuristic and approximation algorithms for non-disjoint cover sets problem respectively. Besides, the STHGA is to find the maximum number of disjoint sets of sensors to maximize the lifetime of the network by using a modified genetic algorithm. And to ensure that the STHGA can be worked for solving the non-disjoint set problem, a preprocess for STHGA should be added. The preprocess is regarding a sensor with l unit lifetime as l sensors with 1 unit lifetime.



Figure 1: Comparison of the time used for obtaining the optimization results by ACMMGA and MCACO when R increases from 8 to 30 for the test case L = 50, W = 50, N = 200.

To test the efficiency of the proposed algorithm, different test cases are designed for area coverage problem and simulate a network with N sensors randomly located in a 20 × 20 area. In Table I, ACM-MGA, STHGA and MCACO are executed 100 independent times on each case and use the average results of comparison. The number N of sensors and sensing rang R are variables,  $\tilde{T}$  is the maximum lifetime of cases, ok% is the successful percentage, Mean is the mean result for each algorithm. Besides, a time limit is set for the execution of every algorithm, which is 60,000ms(10min). Once the execution of an algorithm has exceeded the time limit, it will stop and fail to find the optimal solutions.

From Table I, ACMMGA, MCACO and EXACT can always obtain the optimal solutions in all cases while the successful percentage of STHGA is 97% and 72% in case 6 and 7. By comparing the calculation time of four algorithms, ACMMGA and MCACO are much shorter than that of the others. In case 3, 4, 6, 7, ACMMGA is faster than MCACO. Then We further compare with the calculation time of ACMMGA and MCACO when sensing range R vary between 8 and 30 and L = 50, W = 50, N = 200. As the Fig.1 indicates, the ACMMGA runtime is much smaller than MCACO runtime with the increment of sensing range.

### REFERENCES

- M. F. Abdulhalim and B. A. Attea. 2015. Multi-layer Genetic Algorithm for Maximum Disjoint Reliable Set Covers Problem in Wireless Sensor Networks. *Wireless Personal Communications* 80, 1 (2015), 203--227.
- [2] P. Ashwin, V. K. Bagaria, and R. Vaze. 2017. Optimally approximating the coverage lifetime of wireless sensor networks. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking* 25, 1 (2017), 98--111.
- [3] P. Berman, G. Calinescu, C. Shah, and A. A. Zelikovsky. 2005. Efficient energy management in sensor networks. Ad Hoc & amp Sensor Networks Nova Science Publishers 2 (2005), 1--16.
- [4] M. Cardei and D. Z. Du. 2005. Improving Wireless Sensor Network Lifetime through Power Aware Organization. *Wireless Networks* 11, 3 (May 2005), 333--340.
- [5] C. P. Chen, S. C. Mukhopadhyay, C. L. Chuang, T. S. Lin, M. S. Liao, Y. C. Wang, and J. A. Jiang. 2015. A Hybrid Memetic Framework for Coverage Optimization in Wireless Sensor Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics* 45, 10 (Oct 2015), 2309--2322.
- [6] K. Deschinkel. 2015. A Column Generation based Heuristic for Maximum Lifetime Coverage in Wireless Sensor Networks. *The Fifth International Conference* on Sensor Technologies and Applications (Oct 2015), 209-214.
- [7] S. Henna and T. Erlebach. 2013. Approximating Maximum Disjoint Coverage in Wireless Sensor Networks. *International Conference on Ad-Hoc Networks and Wireless* (Jul 2013).
- [8] X. M. Hu, J. Zhang, Y. Yu, S. H. Chung, Y. L. Li, and Y. H. Shi; X. N. Luo. 2010. Hybrid genetic algorithm using a forward encoding scheme for lifetime maximization of wireless sensor networks. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation* 14, 5 (2010), 766--781.
- [9] M. Khan, B. N. Silva, and K. Han. 2016. Internet of Things Based Energy Aware Smart Home Control System. *IEEE Access* 4 (Oct 2016), 7556--7566.
- [10] Manju, D. Singh, S. Chand, and B. Kumar. 2018. Genetic Algorithm-Based Heuristic for Solving Target Coverage Problem in Wireless Sensor Networks. Advanced Computing and Communication Technologies (2018), 257--264.
- [11] H. Mostafaei and M. R. Meybodi. 2013. Maximizing Lifetime of Target Coverage in Wireless Sensor Networks Using Learning Automata. *Wireless Personal Communications* 71, 2 (2013), 1461--1477.
- [12] H. Mostafaei and M. Shojafar. 2015. A New Meta-heuristic Algorithm for Maximizing Lifetime of Wireless Sensor Networks. *Wireless Personal Communications* 82, 2 (2015), 723--742.
- [13] W. Zhang and G. Cao. 2004. DCTC Dynamic Convoy Tree-Based Collaboration for Target Tracking in Sensor Networks. *IEEE Tansactions on Wireless Communications* 3, 5 (2004), 1689--1701.
- [14] J. H. Zhong and J. Zhang. 2011. Energy-efficient local wake-up scheduling in wireless sensor networks. *IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC)* (Jun 2011).