A Population Entropy Based Adaptation Strategy for Differential **Evolution**

Yanyun Zhang School of Computer, China University of Geosciences Wuhan, China zyy2008@cug.edu.cn

Lei Peng School of Computer, China University of Geosciences Wuhan, China lei.peng@cug.edu.cn

Guangming Dai School of Computer, China University School of Computer, China University of Geosciences Wuhan, China cugdgm@126.com

Maocai Wang School of Computer, China University of Geosciences Wuhan, China cugwangmc@126.com

Mingcheng Zuo

of Geosciences Wuhan, China mingcheng.zuo@cug.edu.cn

Zhengquan Liu Shanghai Institute of Satellite Engineering Shanghai, China spacest@sina.com

ABSTRACT

We introduce a new enhanced algorithm with a population entropy based population adaptation strategy under the framework of SHADE (PE-SHADE). The distribution state of the population is characterized, and then the population size is adapted with a population increasing strategy and a population reduction strategy. Experimental results on CEC2014 statistically support the effectiveness of the proposed algorithm.

CCS CONCEPTS

• Mathematics of computing → Evolutionary algorithms; Continuous optimization; • Theory of computation \rightarrow Evolutionary algorithms;

KEYWORDS

Differential Evolution, Population Adaptation, Population Entropy

ACM Reference Format:

Yanyun Zhang, Guangming Dai, Mingcheng Zuo, Lei Peng, Maocai Wang, and Zhengquan Liu. 2019. A Population Entropy Based Adaptation Strategy for Differential Evolution. In Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference Companion (GECCO '19 Companion), July 13-17, 2019, Prague, Czech Republic. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/ 3319619.3321981

INTRODUCTION 1

Due to its simple structure, easy implementation and fewer control parameters, Differential Evolution (DE) [5] has attracted substantial attention in both theoretical studies and engineering applications. Among the three basic control parameters of DE, the population size (PS) has a influence on the allocation and balance of resources. An Excessive PS is good for global exploration but consumes many

GECCO '19 Companion, July 13-17, 2019, Prague, Czech Republic

© 2019 Association for Computing Machinery.

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-6748-6/19/07...\$15.00

https://doi.org/10.1145/3319619.3321981

been made [4]. The entropy is one of the parameters that characterize the state of matter in thermodynamics, and is used as a measure of the degree of chaos in the system. In this paper, a population-entropy-based method is proposed to characterize the distribution state of the population along with a population increasing strategy and a reduction strategy. And then a new algorithm PE-SHADE is proposed as an

fitness evaluations. Otherwise, a too small PS will make for exploitation but easily leads to early convergence as well. Although

the research on PS is not so mature, many achievements have also

2 PE-SHADE

enhancement of the algorithm SHADE [6].

In this paper, all of the entropy values mentioned below are calculated based on the expression of the information entropy. In order to simplify the calculation, the entropy value of dimension i at generation *q* is calculated first as Eq. 1 shows.

$$E_{i,g} = -\sum_{j=1}^{PS} p_{j,g} \log p_{j,g} (i = 1, ..., D)$$
(1)

where each dimension in the decision space is evenly divided into PS intervals, D denotes the problem dimension, and at generation g, the probability that the individuals fall into each interval is $p_{i,q}$.

$$p_{j,g} = \frac{N_{j,g}}{PS}(j=0,...,PS)$$
 (2)

where $N_{j,g}$ is the number of individuals fall into the *j*-th interval of dimension i at generation g. Then the entropy value of each dimension is multiplied as the final population entropy value E_q at generation q.

$$E_g = \prod E_{i,g}(i=1,...,D) \tag{3}$$

Each generation, the ratio of the current population entropy value and the one at last generation is calculated to judge the population distribution state, according to which the corresponding PS adaptation strategy is adopted. The ratio R can be expressed as following.

$$R = \frac{E_{g+1}}{E_g} \tag{4}$$

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Every *N* generations, namely mod(g, N) = 0 where *g* refers to the current generation, the average value of the *R* values is calculated, denoted by R_{avg} , and then determine how to adapt the PS value. The setting of N = 4 is referred to [4]. When the number of evaluations $NEFs < 0.2 \times MaxNEFs$, it is believed that if $rand(0, 1) > R_{avg}$, R_{avg} is probably a small value, so the population become concentrated at the early stage. At this time, $rate_1 \times PS$ individuals are randomly generated to help slow down the converging. If the PS exceeds the upper bound PS_{max} , it will be truncated to PS_{max} . And when $NEFs \ge 0.2 \times MaxNEFs$, the convergence speed is considered slow if $rand < R_{avg}$, and the worst $rate_2 \times PS$ individuals will be removed from the population. The population size can be reduced to the lower bound PS_{min} at most. Here, $rate_1 = 0.3$, rate2 = 0.05, and it will be discussed in detail in Section 3.

3 EXPERIMENT

This section discusses the performance of the proposed PE-SHADE on the *CEC2014 Special Session on Real-Parameter Single Objective Optimization* benchmark suit [3], including the performance comparisons with SHADE[6], L-SHADE[7], UMOEAS[2], CoDE[8], JADE[9] and jDE[1]. Experiments were conducted on CEC2014 benchmark (D = 30, 50, 100) and $MaxNFEs = 10000 \times D$. For each function, each algorithm was tested on it for 51 times, and the performances of the algorithms were evaluated in terms of function error value [3], defined as $f(X) - f(X^*)$, where X^* was the global optimum of the test problem. When the function error was less than 10^{-8} , it was treated as 0, and the average of the 51 function error values for each function was evaluated. The PS varies in a given range, and $PS_{max} = 10 \times D$, $PS_{min} = D$, while the initial population size $PS_{init} = 100$, which have referred to some conclusions in [4].

Table 1: Comparisons between PE-SHADE and DE variants on CEC2014 benchmark D=30, 50, 100

								_
D		SHADE	UMOEAs	CoDE	JADE	jDE	L-SHADE	
D=30	+	2	4	2	2	2	12	Ē
	-	18	18	19	22	22	5	[1
	=	10	8	9	6	6	13	[2
D=50	+	5	4	3	4	2	10	
	-	16	21	24	21	23	10	
	=	9	5	3	5	5	10	[3
D=100	+	4	10	3	2	4	11	-
	-	16	15	22	23	19	11	
	=	10	5	5	5	7	8	[4

The results are shown in Table 1. To effectively analyze the results, the Wilcoxon's rank-sum test at $\alpha = 0.05$ was performed to help compare the performance. In the tables, the symbols '+',' -',' =' respectively mean that the corresponding algorithm is significantly better than, significantly worse than or comparable with the proposed algorithm PE-SHADE on CEC2014 benchmark problems.

In general, the comprehensive improvements of PE-SHADE, compared with SHADE, over these three dimensional problems, have clearly proven the effectiveness of the proposed PS adaptation strategy, while the comparisons with UMOEAs and the classic DE variants, namely CoDE, JADE and jDE, show the advantages of PE-SHADE as a whole. When comparing with L-SHADE, PE-SHADE is worse than L-SHADE on the 30-dimensional problems, however, it performs comparably to L-SHADE on the 50-dimensional problems and 100-dimensional problems. This indicates that PE-SHADE also has potential for competing with other PS adaptation algorithms.

The parameters $rate_1$ and $rate_2$ were used to control the increasing rate and reduction rate of the population size respectively. A simple sensitive test has been done about these two parameters, where $rate_1 = 0.1, 0.3, 0.5$ and $rate_2 = 0.05, 0.1, 0.3$, and the experiments have been done with the random combinations of these values of these two parameters on CEC2014 benchmarks with D = 30, 50, 100. Due to the space constraints, the detailed results will not be shown here. Overall, the combination $rate_1 = 0.3, rate_2 = 0.05$ is recommended.

4 CONCLUSIONS

This paper has proposed an extension variants of SHADE, namely PE-SHADE, with a population entropy-based PS adaptation strategy, and the experimental results have shown the effectiveness and efficiency of PE-SHADE. Nevertheless, some more attention should be paid to the deeper impact of PS adaptation strategy on the changing of population distribution states and the division of the search space when calculating the population entropy.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.61472375 and No.41571403), by the 13th Five-year Preresearch Project of Civil Aerospace in China, National Key R&D Program of China (Grant No.2016YFB0501001), by Joint Fund for Preresearch and Development of Aerospace Science and Technology (Grant No.20181900219) and by Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant No.CUG2017G01 and No.CUG2019ZR05).

REFERENCES

- Janez Brest and Mirjam Sepesy Maučec. 2008. Population size reduction for the differential evolution algorithm. Applied Intelligence 29, 3 (2008), 228–247.
- [2] Saber M. Elsayed, Ruhul A. Sarker, Daryl L. Essam, and Noha M. Hamza. 2014. Testing united multi-operator evolutionary algorithms on the CEC2014 real-parameter numerical optimization. In *Evolutionary Computation (CEC)*, 2014 IEEE Congress on. IEEE. 1650–1657.
- [3] J.J. Liang, B.Y. Qu, and P.N. Suganthan. 2013. Problem definitions and evaluation criteria for the CEC 2014 special session and competition on single objective real-parameter numerical optimization. Computational Intelligence Laboratory, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou China and Technical Report, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore (2013).
- [4] Adam P. Piotrowski. 2017. Review of differential evolution population size. Swarm and Evolutionary Computation 32 (2017), 1–24.
- [5] Rainer Storn and Kenneth Price. 1995. Differential evolution-A simple and efficient adaptive scheme for global optimization over continuous spaces [R]. *Berkeley: ICSI* (1995).
- [6] Ryoji Tanabe and Alex Fukunaga. 2013. Success-history based parameter adaptation for differential evolution. In *Evolutionary Computation (CEC), 2013 IEEE Congress on*. IEEE, 71–78.
- [7] Ryoji Tanabe and Alex S. Fukunaga. 2014. Improving the search performance of SHADE using linear population size reduction. In *Evolutionary Computation* (CEC), 2014 IEEE Congress on. IEEE, 1658–1665.
- [8] Yong Wang, Zixing Cai, and Qingfu Zhang. 2011. Differential evolution with composite trial vector generation strategies and control parameters. *IEEE Transactions* on Evolutionary Computation 15, 1 (2011), 55–66.
- [9] Jingqiao Zhang and Arthur C. Sanderson. 2009. JADE: adaptive differential evolution with optional external archive. *IEEE Transactions on evolutionary computation* 13, 5 (2009), 945–958.