The Quadratic Assignment Problem: Metaheuristic Optimization Using HC12 Algorithm

Radomil Matousek Brno University of Technology Institute of Automation and Computer Science rmatousek@vutbr.cz Ladislav Dobrovsky Brno University of Technology Institute of Automation and Computer Science dobrovsky@fme.vutbr.cz Jakub Kudela Brno University of Technology Institute of Automation and Computer Science Jakub.Kudela@vutbr.cz

ABSTRACT

The Quadratic Assignment Problem (QAP) is a classical NPhard combinatorial optimization problem. In the paper will be presented suitable metaheuristic algorithm HC12. The algorithm is population based and uses a massive parallel search of the binary space which represents the solution space of the QAP. The presented implementation of the metaheuristic HC12 utilizes the latest GPU CUDA platform. The results are presented on standard test problems from the QAP library.*

CCS CONCEPTS

• Design and analysis of algorithms \rightarrow Approximation algorithms analysis, Parallel algorithms • Mathematical optimization \rightarrow Optimization with randomized heuristics

KEYWORDS

Quadratic assignment problem, Massively parallel algorithm

ACM Reference format:

R. Matousek, L. Dobrovsky, and J. Kudela. 2019. The Quadratic Assignment Problem: Metaheuristic Optimization Using HC12 Algorithm. In *Companion Proceedings of ACM GECCO conference, Prague, Czech Republic, July 2019 (GECCO'19), 2 pages.* DOI: 10.1145/nnnnnn.nnnnnn

1 INTRODUCTION

The NP-hard quadratic assignment problem (QAP), in its Koopmans and Beckmann form [1], can be described as follows: The problem is structured on a complete directed graph with n nodes and n^2 arcs, together with a set of n facilities, that have to be assigned to the nodes. The indices i, j correspond to the nodes, the indices f, g correspond to the facilities, $b_{i,j} \ge 0$ is a

© 2019 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

Publication rights licensed to ACM.

https://doi.org/10.1145/3319619.3322088

given (directed) distance from node *i* to node *j*, $a_{f,g} \ge 0$ is a flow from facility *f* to facility *g*, and $c_{i,j}$ is a cost of assigning facility *f* to node *i*. By using binary variables $x_{i,f} = 1$ if facility *f* is assigned to node *i*, and 0 otherwise, the QAP can be stated as the following 0-1 optimization problem:

min
$$\sum_{i} \sum_{f} \sum_{j} \sum_{g} a_{f,g} b_{i,j} x_{i,f} x_{j,g} + \sum_{i} \sum_{f} c_{i,f} x_{i,f}$$
 (1)

s.t.
$$\sum_{i} x_{i,f} = 1$$
, $\sum_{f} x_{i,f} = 1$, $\forall f, \forall i$ (2)

$$\kappa_{i,f} \in \{0,1\}, \qquad \forall i, \forall f.$$
(3)

Several directions for enriching the QAP formulation have been proposed – among the most notable of these are the multi-objective formulation [2] and stochastic formulation [3].

2 ALGORITHM HC12

The binary HC12 algorithm [4] is a stochastic heuristic searching algorithm which belongs to the class of pseudo global search methods. The basic step of the algorithm is a generation of a neighborhood of the current solution, which serves as a base for the new population. The method of generating the neighborhood is the pivotal characteristic of HC12. The paradigm of the algorithm is the search of the optimal solution in the binary (Hamming) space, that encodes the solution. In this context, it is a parallel approach to genetic algorithms, where the solution is encoded as a binary vector. The best individual of the i-th generation (or iteration) is chosen as the base for the following (i + 1) generation. The approach is depicted in Fig. 1.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The HC12 algorithm is extremely suitable for parallel implementation. In the presented experiments, it was implemented for HPC computations on NVIDIA RTX 2080 (8GB). Even the larger memory requirements of the QAP problems, not more than 6GB were used. The implementation searches for the best solution in multiple runs (restarts of the algorithm). The effectivity of the algorithm (in regard to the number of found optimal solutions) can be determined as a success rate (the ration of runs that ended in an optimal solution).

^{*}Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

GECCO'19, July 13-17, 2019, Prague, Czech Republic

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-6748-6/19/07...\$15.00



Figure 1: The scheme of HC12 iterations.

A rather interesting insight is provided by the dependence of the number of used swaps on the number of found optimal solutions. More swaps also result in a higher computation time. There appear to be "optimal" number of swaps for the given problem (the number of swaps that results in the most successful runs).



Figure 2: An influence of swap operator to convergence features of "had20" test problem.

The computational comparison of HC12 with other state-of-theart metaheuristics is done on the standard test problems from the QAPLIB library [5]. The selected metaheuristics are the hybrid teaching-learning optimization implemented on a cluster [6], the parallel implementation of hybrid algorithms [7,8], and the bee algorithm implemented on a CUDA platform [9]. The results of the computation and the comparison are reported in Table 1.

Although the running times of the HC12 algorithm are extremely fast (compare to the other heuristics), the robustness of the resulting solutions is still rather low and requires additional research and tuning.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was also supported by The Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic, INTER-COST project LTC18053 and European COST Action CA15140 and by IGA BUT: No. FSI-S-17-4785.

REFERENCES

- T.C. Koopmans and M.J. Beckmann. 1957. Assignment problems and the location of economic activities. *Econometrica* 25, 1 (1957), 53–76.
- [2] C. Sanhueza, F. Jimenez, R. Berretta, and P. Moscato. 2017. PasMoQAP: A parallel asynchronous memetic algorithm for solving the Multi-Objective Quadratic Assignment Problem. In: 2017 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC). San Sebastian, Spain, 17013939.
- [3] R. Matousek, P. Popela, and J. Kudela. 2017. Heuristic approaches to stochastic quadratic assignment problem: VaR and CVaR cases. *MENDEL Journal Series* 23 (2017), 73–78.
- [4] R. Matousek and E. Zampachova. 2011. Promising GAHC and HC12 algorithms in global optimization tasks. *Optimization Methods and Software* 26, 3 (2011), 405–419.
- [5] R.E. Burkard, S. Karisch, and F. Rendl. 1991. QAPLIB–A quadratic assignment problem library. *Eur. J. Oper. Res.* 55, 1 (1991), 115–119.
- [6] T. Dokeroglu. 2015 Hybrid teaching-learning-based optimization algorithms for the Quadratic Assignment Problem. *Computers & Industrial Design* 85 (2015), 86–101.
- [7] U. Tosun. 2015. On the performance of parallel hybrid algorithms for the solution of the quadratic assignment problem. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence* 39 (2015), 267–278.
- [8] U. Tosun, T. Dokeroglu, and A. Cosar. A robust Island Parallel Genetic Algorithm for the Quadratic Assignment Problem. *International Journal of Production Research* 51, 14 (2013), 4117–4133.
- [9] W. Chmiel and P. Szwed. 2015. Bees Algorithm for the Quadratic Assignment Problem on CUDA Platform. In: *Man–Machine Interactions 4*, Gruca A., Brachman A., Kozielski S., Czachorski T. (Eds). Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, vol 391, pp 615–625. Springer, Cham.

| problem instance | optimal solution | HC12 (GPU implementation) | | | [6] | | [7] | | [8] | | [9] |
|------------------|------------------|---------------------------|----------|----------|-----|------|-----|-------|-----|------|-------|
| | | swaps | success* | time [s] | APD | time | APD | time | APD | time | APD |
| esc16a | 68 | 62 | 1 | 0.0014 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 151.8 | 0 | 702 | 0 |
| esc32a | 130 | 52 | 0.001 | 5.9462 | 0 | 72 | - | - | - | - | 10.77 |
| had16 | 3720 | 64 | 0.348 | 0.0288 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 149.4 | 0 | 594 | 0 |
| had18 | 5358 | 64 | 0.123 | 0.1476 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 183.6 | 0 | 618 | - |
| had20 | 6922 | 62 | 0.067 | 0.3072 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 223.8 | 0 | 600 | - |
| rou12 | 235528 | 60 | 0.116 | 0.0338 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 87.6 | 0 | 90 | - |
| rou15 | 354210 | 50 | 0.02 | 0.2378 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 133.8 | 0 | 600 | - |
| rou20 | 725522 | 44 | 0.001 | 8.4109 | 0 | 18 | - | - | - | - | 0.25 |

Table 1: Comparison with other results

* success: The effectivity of the algorithm (in regard to the number of found optimal solutions) is determined as ratio of number of optimal solutions to number of algorithms runs.